

TENT SPACES OVER LIPSCHITZ DOMAINS WITH APPROACH REGIONS

BYUNG-OH PARK

1. Introduction

Several authors have studied the L^p boundedness of maximal functions defined by means of general subsets. This depends on an atomic decomposition for certain tent spaces. This was proved in the Euclidean case \mathbf{R}_+^{n+1} by Coifman, Meyer, and Stein[2]. Also, María J,Carro and Javier Soria have studied the tent spaces over general approach regions and their atomic decomposition.

In this paper, we are going to define a tent spaces over Lipschitz domains with approach regions. Also, duality and atomic decomposition of tent spaces generalize the earlier results.([1],[2])

This purpose of the present paper is to show that every element of the tent spaces $\mathbf{T}_\Omega^p(\mathcal{L})(0 < p \leq 1)$ can be decomposed into particles which are called "atoms"[Thm 1] and the dual space of $\mathbf{T}_\Omega^p(\mathcal{L})(0 < p \leq 1)$ is the space of Carleson measure[Thm 2].

2. Preliminaries

A real valued function ϕ defined on R^n is said to be a *Lipschitz function* if there exists a constant M such that $|\phi(x) - \phi(y)| \leq M|x - y|$ for all $x, y \in R^n$.

Let \mathcal{L} be the set

$$\mathcal{L} = \{(y, t) \in R^n \times R : \phi(y) < t\}$$

Then \mathcal{L} is called a *Lipschitz domain* determined by ϕ . The boundary of \mathcal{L} will be denoted by $\partial\mathcal{L}$. For $\tilde{x} = (x, \phi(x)) \in \partial\mathcal{L}$, let π be the projection of $\partial\mathcal{L}$ onto R^n given by $\pi(\tilde{x}) = x$. A set $U \subset \partial\mathcal{L}$ is said to be *open* if $\pi(U)$ is open in R^n . Also, we will denote ds by the area measure on $\partial\mathcal{L}$.

Received June 1, 1995.

Let $\Omega = \{\Omega_{\tilde{x}}\}_{\tilde{x} \in \partial\mathcal{L}}$ be a collection of measurable subset, where $\Omega_{\tilde{x}} \subset \mathcal{L}$. For a measurable function f on \mathcal{L} . We define the *maximal function* of f with respect to Ω as

$$\mathcal{A}_{\Omega}^{\infty}(f)(\tilde{x}) = \sup_{(y,t) \in \Omega_{\tilde{x}}} |f(y,t)|.$$

We will always assume that Ω is chosen so that $\mathcal{A}_{\Omega}^{\infty}(f)$ is a measurable function. We also define the *tent space* $T_{\Omega}^p(\mathcal{L})$ is defined as the spaces of functions f so that $\mathcal{A}_{\Omega}^{\infty}(f) \in L^p(\partial\mathcal{L}, ds)$, where p is finite and with norm $\|f\|_{T_{\Omega}^p} = \|\mathcal{A}_{\Omega}^{\infty}(f)\|_{L^p(\partial\mathcal{L})}$.

Suppose $\Omega = \{\Omega_{\tilde{x}}\}_{\tilde{x} \in \partial\mathcal{L}}$, where F is any subset of $\partial\mathcal{L}$. We define the *tent* over F , with respect to Ω as

$$\widehat{F}_{\Omega} = \mathcal{L} \setminus \cup_{\tilde{x} \notin F} \Omega_{\tilde{x}}.$$

We also set $\Omega_{\tilde{x}}(t) = \{\tilde{y} \in \partial\mathcal{L} : (y,t) \in \Omega_{\tilde{x}}\}$. For a measure μ in \mathcal{L} , we say μ is an (Ω, β) -Carleson measure ($\beta \geq 1$) and write $\mu \in V_{\Omega}^{\beta}$ if

$$\|\mu\|_{V_{\Omega}^{\beta}} = \sup_{Q \subset \partial\mathcal{L}} \frac{|\mu|(\widehat{Q}_{\Omega})}{|Q|^{\beta}} < \infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over all cubes $Q \subset \partial\mathcal{L}$. Some relevant definitions and results are given in [1],[2] and [4]. Throughout this paper, points on $\partial\mathcal{L}$ will be denoted by $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \dots$, etc.

3. Duality and atomic decomposition of $T_{\Omega}^p(\mathcal{L})(0 < p \leq 1)$ space

LEMMA 1. suppose $F \subset \partial\mathcal{L}$, $\Omega = \{\Omega_{\tilde{x}}\}_{\tilde{x} \in \partial\mathcal{L}}$ are as above. Then

- (i) $A_{\Omega}^{\infty}(\chi_{\widehat{F}_{\Omega}})(\tilde{x}) \leq \chi_F(\tilde{x})$ for all $\tilde{x} \in \partial\mathcal{L}$.
- (ii) $A_{\Omega}^{\infty}(\chi_{\widehat{F}_{\Omega}})(\tilde{x}) = \chi_F(\tilde{x})$ if and only if $\Omega_{\tilde{x}} \cap \widehat{F}_{\Omega} \neq \emptyset$ for all $\tilde{x} \in F$.
- (iii) If Ω is a symmetric family (that is, if $\tilde{x} \in \Omega_{\tilde{y}}(t)$ then $\tilde{y} \in \Omega_{\tilde{x}}(t)$), we have that

$$\widehat{F}_{\Omega} = \{(\tilde{y}, t) \in \mathcal{L} : \Omega_{\tilde{y}}(t) \subset F\}.$$

Proof. (i) Observe that

$$(3.1) \quad \chi_{\widehat{F_\Omega}}(\tilde{y}, t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (\tilde{y}, t) \notin \Omega_{\tilde{z}} \text{ for all } \tilde{z} \notin F \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Suppose $\tilde{x} \notin F$. If $(\tilde{y}, t) \in \Omega_{\tilde{x}}$, then we have $\chi_{\widehat{F_\Omega}}(\tilde{y}, t) = 0$ (by (3.1)) and this shows(i).

(ii) $A_\Omega^\infty(\chi_{\widehat{F_\Omega}})(\tilde{x}) = \chi_F(\tilde{x})$ if and only if for all $\tilde{x} \in F$, $A_\Omega^\infty(\chi_{\widehat{F_\Omega}})(\tilde{x}) = 1$ if and only if there exists $(\tilde{y}, t) \in \Omega_{\tilde{x}}$ such that $(y, t) \in \widehat{F_\Omega}$ if and only if $\Omega_{\tilde{x}} \cap \widehat{F_\Omega} \neq \emptyset$

(iii) That $(y, t) \in \widehat{F_\Omega}$ means that $y \notin \Omega_{\tilde{x}}(t)$, for all $\tilde{x} \notin F$, which, by symmetry, is equivalent to saying that for all $\tilde{x} \notin F, \tilde{x} \notin \Omega_{\tilde{y}}(t)$; that is, $\Omega_{\tilde{y}}(t) \subset F$.

Let \mathcal{L} be a Lipschitz domain in R_+^{n+1} . A measurable function $a : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow R$ is a T_Ω^p -atom if there exists a ball $Q \subset \partial\mathcal{L}$ such that $\text{supp } a \subset \widehat{Q_\Omega}$, and $\|a\|_\infty \leq |Q|^{-\frac{1}{p}}$. We restrict ourselves to the case $n = 1$, but a similar proof also works in any other dimension.

THEOREM 1. *If $\Omega = \{\Omega_{\tilde{x}}\}_{\tilde{x} \in \partial\mathcal{L}}$ is a symmetric family of sets, such that $\Omega_{\tilde{x}}(t)$ is an open for all $(\tilde{x}, t) \in \mathcal{L} \subset R_+^2$, then , for $0 < p \leq 1$, $f \in T_\Omega^p$ if and only if*

$$(3.2) \quad f \equiv \sum_j \lambda_j a_j,$$

where a_j is a T_Ω^p -atom and $\sum_j |\lambda_j|^p < \infty$.

Moreover, $\|f\|_{T_\Omega^p} \approx \inf\{(\sum_j |\lambda_j|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}\}$, where the infimum is taken over all sequences satisfying (3.2).

Proof. We first show that $\|\cdot\|_{T_\Omega^p}$ is always a p -norm, for $0 < p \leq 1$ and hence if $f \equiv \sum_j \lambda_j a_j$, then $\|f\|_{T_\Omega^p}^p \leq \sum_j |\lambda_j|^p \|a_j\|_{T_\Omega^p}^p$. But by the previous Lemma:

$$\begin{aligned} \|a_j\|_{T_\Omega^p}^p &= \int_{\partial\mathcal{L}} (A_\Omega^\infty(a_j)(\tilde{x}))^p ds \\ &\leq \int_{\partial\mathcal{L}} \|a_j\|_\infty^p (A_\Omega^\infty(\chi_{\widehat{Q_{j,\Omega}}})(\tilde{x}))^p ds \\ &\leq \|a_j\|_\infty^p \int_{\partial\mathcal{L}} \chi_{Q_j}(\tilde{x}) ds \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

hence, $\|f\|_{T_\Omega^p}^p \leq \sum_j |\lambda_j|^p$. For the converse we need the following observation: If $f \in T_\Omega^p$ and $\lambda > 0$ then $\{\tilde{x} : A_\Omega^\infty(f)(x) > \lambda\}$ is an open set. In fact, if $A_\Omega^\infty(f)(\tilde{x}) > \lambda$, then there exists a point $(z, t) \in \Omega_{\tilde{x}}$ so that $|f(z, t)| > \lambda$. By hypothesis, $\tilde{x} \in \Omega_{\tilde{z}}(t)$ and there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that if $\tilde{y} \in B(\tilde{x}, \epsilon)$ then $\tilde{y} \in \Omega_{\tilde{z}}(t)$. Again, by symmetry, $(z, t) \in \Omega_{\tilde{y}}$ and so $A_\Omega^\infty(f) > \lambda$ if $\tilde{y} \in B(\tilde{x}, \epsilon)$. Set now $M_k = \{\tilde{x} \in \partial\mathcal{L} : A_\Omega^\infty(f) > 2^k\}$ and write $M_k = \cup_{j \in Z} B_j^k$ by Whitney decomposition([3],[5]). Since $f \in T_\Omega^p$, B_j^k is bounded for all $j, k \in Z$. Set $a_{j,k} \equiv \lambda_{j,k}^{-1} f(\chi_{\widehat{B_{j,\Omega}^k}} - \sum_{B_l^{k+1} \subset B_j^k} \chi_{\widehat{B_{l,\Omega}^{k+1}}})$, where $\lambda_{j,k} = 2^{k+1} s(B_j^k)^{\frac{1}{p}}$.

It is clear that $\text{supp } a_{j,k} \subset \widehat{B_{j,\Omega}^k}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j,k} |\lambda_{j,k}|^p &= \sum_k 2^{p(k+1)} s(M_k) \\ &\leq C \|f\|_{T_\Omega^p}^p < \infty \end{aligned}$$

and so it remains to show that $f \equiv \sum_{j,k} \lambda_{j,k} a_{j,k}$ and $\|a_{j,k}\|_\infty \leq s(B_j^k)^{-\frac{1}{p}}$. Let $(x, t) \in \widehat{B_{j,\Omega}^k}$ and suppose $|f(x, t)| > 2^{k+1}$. If $\tilde{y} \in \Omega_{\tilde{x}}(t)$, then $(x, t) \in \Omega_{\tilde{y}}$ and hence $\tilde{y} \in M_{k+1}$. Therefore $\Omega_{\tilde{x}}(t) \subset M_{k+1}$ and there exists a unique $l \in Z$ so that $\Omega_{\tilde{x}}(t) \subset B_l^{k+1}$. Since $\Omega_{\tilde{x}}(t) \subset B_j^k$ then $B_l^{k+1} \subset B_j^k$. Thus,

$$\chi_{\widehat{B_{j,\Omega}^k}}(x, t) - \sum_{B_r^{k+1} \subset B_j^k} \chi_{\widehat{B_{r,\Omega}^{k+1}}}(x, t) = 0.$$

Therefore, for all $(x, t) \in \widehat{B_{j,\Omega}^k}$, $|a_{j,k}(x, t)| \leq 2^{-(k+1)} s(B_j^k)^{-\frac{1}{p}} 2^{k+1} = s(B_j^k)^{-\frac{1}{p}}$.

Finally, if $(x, t) \in \mathcal{L}$ and $2^l < |f(x, t)| < 2^{l+1}$ then $\Omega_{\tilde{x}}(t) \subset M_l$. Let $K \in Z$ be the greatest integer satisfying $\Omega_{\tilde{x}}(t) \subset M_k$ (since $A_\Omega^\infty(f)(\tilde{x}) < \infty$, $e \tilde{x} \in \partial\mathcal{L}$). Let $s \in Z$ so that $\Omega_{\tilde{x}}(t) \subset B_s^K$. We want to show that if

$$g_{j,k}(x, t) = \chi_{\widehat{B_{j,\Omega}^k}}(x, t) - \sum_{B_r^{k+1} \subset B_j^k} \chi_{\widehat{B_{r,\Omega}^{k+1}}}(x, t)$$

then $\sum_{j,k} g_{j,k}(x, t) = 1$. If $\Omega_{\tilde{x}}(t) \subset B_j^k$, then $k \leq K$. Suppose that $k < K$ and $(x, t) \in \widehat{B_{j,\Omega}^k}$, then $B_s^K \subset B_r^{k+1} \subset B_j^k$ for some $r \in Z$

and hence $g_{j,k}(x, t) = 0$. If $(x, t) \in \widehat{B_{j,\Omega}^K}$ then clearly $j = s$ and $g_{j,K}(x, t) = 1$.

THEOREM 2. *Suppose Ω is a symmetric family and $\{\tilde{x} \in \partial\mathcal{L} : \Omega_{\tilde{x}} \cap K \neq \emptyset\}$ is finite measure. where K is compact set in $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbb{R}_+^2$. For $0 < p \leq 1$, the dual space of T_Ω^p is the space of $V_\Omega^{\frac{1}{p}}$ -Carleson measure. more presisely, the pairing*

$$(f, d\mu) \longrightarrow \int_{\mathcal{L}} f(x, t)d\mu(x, t)$$

with f ranging over functions which are in T_Ω^p and are continuous in \mathcal{L} and $d\mu$ over Carleson measures, relizes the duality of T_Ω^p with Carleson measures $V_\Omega^{\frac{1}{p}}$. That is, $(T_\Omega^p)^* = V_\Omega^{\frac{1}{p}}$

Proof. Let $f \in T_\Omega^p$ and $\mu \in V_\Omega^{\frac{1}{p}}$, and write $f \equiv \sum_j \lambda_j a_j$ as Theorem 1. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathcal{L}} f(x, t)d\mu(x, t) \right| &\leq \sum_j |\lambda_j| \int_{\widehat{B_{j,\Omega}}} |a(x, t)|d\mu(x, t) \\ &\leq \sum_j |\lambda_j| \|a_j\|_\infty |\mu|(\widehat{B_{j,\Omega}}) \\ &\leq \sum_j |\lambda_j| s(B_j)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \|\mu\|_{V_\Omega^{\frac{1}{p}} s(B_j)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_j |\lambda_j|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \|\mu\|_{V_\Omega^{\frac{1}{p}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, a bounded functional on $T_\Omega^p(\mathcal{L})$ gives bounded linear functional on $\mathcal{C}(K)$ which is the space of continuous function on compact set K , K ranges over the compact subset of \mathcal{L} . This induces a measure $d\mu$ on \mathcal{L} . To show $d\mu$ is a Carleson measure. Write $d\mu = \eta d|\mu|$ and put $f(x, t) = \bar{\eta}\chi_{(\widehat{Q})}$. Let $\{f_n\}$ be a sequence of continuous functions with compact support which converges to $f = \bar{\eta}\chi_{\widehat{Q}}$ in the sence of $T_\Omega^p(\mathcal{L})$ -norm convergence. Since $A_\Omega^\infty(f) = \chi_Q$, by the continuity of the liner functional we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\widehat{Q_\Omega}} d|\mu| &\leq \left| \int_{\widehat{Q_\Omega}} \bar{\eta}d\mu \right| = \left| \int_{\widehat{Q_\Omega}} f d\mu \right| \\ &\leq \|A_\Omega^\infty(f)\|_{L^p(\partial\mathcal{L}, ds)}^{\frac{1}{p}} = C_s(Q)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] María J. Carro and Javier Soria, *Tent spaces over general approach regions and pointwise estimates*, Pacific. J. Math **163** (1994), 217–235.
- [2] R.R. Coifman, Y. Meyer and E. M. Stein, *Some new function spaces and there applications to harmonic analysis*, J. Func. Anal. **62** (1985), 304–355.
- [3] José Garcia and José L. Rubio de Francia, *Weighted norm inequalities and related topics*, North-Holland-Amsterdam, New York, Oxford, 1985.
- [4] C.E. Keing, *Weighted H^p spaces in Lipschitz domains*, Amer. J. Math **102** (1980), 129–163.
- [5] E. M. Stein, *Singular integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions*, Priceton Univ. Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1970.

Department of Mathematics
Kyungpook National University
Taegu, 702-701, Korea