ON WEAK-MIXING PROPERTY

YONG SUN CHO AND HYUN WOO LEE

1. Introduction.

Let (X, \mathcal{B}, m) be a probability space and let $T: X \to X$ a measurepreserving transformation. We introduce some results related ergodicity and mixing property of T. We try to extend 1-fold ergodicity and 1-fold mixing to r-fold ergodicity and r-fold mixing. We shall use the result, about sequences of real numbers, to obtain formulations of r-fold weak-mixing. We show that for a measure-preserving transformation T, r-fold weak-mixing properties of T are equivalent to r-fold strong-mixing properties of $T \times T$. Now, we shall introduce the concept of r-fold weak-mixing property and r-fold strong-mixing property. We show that basic properties of 1-fold mixing keep same properties in the case of r-fold mixing. We shall use that U is defined on functions by $Uf = f \circ T$, $\forall f \in L^p(X, \mathcal{B}, m)$, $p \geq 1$.

2. r-fold mixing.

DEFINITION 1. Let T be a measure-preserving transformation of a probability space (X, \mathcal{B}, m) and let $r \geq 1$.

(a) T is r-fold ergodic if $\forall A_0, A_1, \dots, A_r \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}$,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} m(A_0 \cap T^{-i}A_1 \cap \dots \cap T^{-ri}A_r) = m(A_0)m(A_1) \dots m(A_r).$$

(b) T is r-fold weak-mixing if $\forall A_0, A_1, \dots, A_r \in \mathcal{B}$,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |m(A_0 \cap T^{-i}A_1 \cap \dots \cap T^{-ri}A_r)$$
$$- m(A_0)m(A_1) \cdots m(A_r)|$$
$$= 0.$$

Received November 2, 1994.

(c) T is r-fold strong-mixing if $\forall A_0, A_1, \dots, A_r \in \mathcal{B}$,

$$\lim_{n_1, \dots, n_r \to \infty} m(A_0 \cap T^{-n_1} A_1 \cap \dots \cap T^{-(n_1 + \dots + n_r)} A_r) = \prod_{j=0}^r m(A_j).$$

THEOREM 1. Let $T:(X,\mathcal{B},m)\to (X,\mathcal{B},m)$ be a measure- preserving transformation.

- (i) The following are equivalent:
 - (1) T is r-fold ergodic.
 - (2) For all $f_0, f_1, \dots, f_r \in L^{r+1}(X, \mathcal{B}, m)$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n\int (f_0U^if_1\cdots U^{ri}f_r)dm=\prod_{j=0}^r\int f_jdm.$$

- (ii) The following are equivalent:
 - (1) T is r-fold weak-mixing.
 - (2) For all $f_0, f_1, \dots, f_r \in L^{r+1}(X, \mathcal{B}, m)$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n\left|\int (f_0U^if_1\cdots U^{ri}f_r)dm-\prod_{j=0}^r\int f_jdm\right|=0.$$

- (iii) The following are equivalent:
 - (1) T is r-fold strong-mixing.
 - (2) For all $f_0, f_1, \dots, f_r \in L^{r+1}(X, \mathcal{B}, m)$,

$$\lim_{n_1,\dots,n_r\to\infty} \int (f_0 U^{n_1} f_1 \cdots U^{n_1+\dots+n_r} f_r) \ dm = \prod_{j=0}^r \int f_j dm.$$

Proof. (i),(ii) and (iii) are proved by using similar methods of [4].

REMARK. Every r-fold strong-mixing transformation implies r-fold weak-mixing and every r-fold weak-mixing transformation implies r-fold ergodic.

This is because if $\{a_n\}$ is a sequence of real numbers then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = 0$$

implies

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |a_i| = 0$$

and this second condition implies

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i = 0.$$

We shall use the following result, about sequences of real numbers, to obtain other formulations of r-fold weak-mixing.

THEOREM 2. If $\{a_n\}$ is a bounded sequence of real numbers then the following are equivalent:

(i)

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |a_i| = 0.$$

(ii) There exists a subset J of \mathbb{Z}^+ of density zero

$$(i.e., \left(\frac{cardinality(J \cap \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\})}{n}\right) \to 0),$$

such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = 0$ provided $n \notin J$.

(iii)

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |a_i|^2 = 0.$$

Proof. See [7].

THEOREM 3. If T is a measure-preserving transformation of a probability space (X, \mathcal{B}, m) , the followings are equivalent:

(i) T is weak-mixing.

(ii) For all A_0, A_1, \dots, A_r of \mathcal{B} there is a subset $J(A_0, A_1, \dots, A_r)$ of \mathbb{Z}^+ of density zero such that

$$\lim_{\substack{n \notin J(A_0, A_1, \dots, A_r) \\ n \neq \infty}} m(A_0 \cap T^{-n} A_1 \cap \dots \cap T^{-rn} A_r) = m(A_0) m(A_1) \dots m(A_r).$$

(iii) For all A_0, A_1, \dots, A_r of \mathcal{B} we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |m(A_0 \cap T^{-i}A_1 \cap \cdots \cap T^{-ri}A_r) - m(A_0)m(A_1) \cdots m(A_r)|^2$$

$$= 0.$$

Proof. Apply Theorem 2 with

$$a_n = m(A_0 \cap T^{-n}A_1 \cap T^{-2n}A_2 \cap \cdots \cap T^{-rn}A_r) - m(A_0)m(A_1)\cdots m(A_r).$$

The following theorem gives a way of checking the mixing properties for examples by reducing the computations to a class of sets we can manipulate with.

THEOREM 4. Let (X, \mathcal{B}, m) be a probability space and let \mathcal{T} be a semi-algebra that generates \mathcal{B} . Let $T: X \to X$ be a measure-preserving transformation. Then T is r-fold strang-mixing iff $\forall C_0, C_1, \dots, C_r \in \mathcal{T}$,

$$\lim_{n_1, \dots, n_r \to \infty} m(C_0 \cap T^{-n_1} C_1 \cap \dots \cap T^{-(n_1 + \dots + n_r)} C_r)$$
$$= m(C_0) m(C_1) \cdots m(C_r).$$

Proof. See [4].

3. r-fold weak-mixing.

We have main result. This result connects r-fold weak-mixing of T with r-fold ergodicity of $T \times T$. Also, this result relates to r-fold weak-mixing of T with r-fold weak-mixing of $T \times T$.

THEOREM 5. If T is a measure-preserving transformation on a probability space (X, \mathcal{B}, m) then the followings are equivalent:

- (i) T is r-fold weak-mixing.
- (ii) $T \times T$ is r-fold ergodic.
- (iii) $T \times T$ is r-fold weak-mixing.

Proof. ((i) \Rightarrow (iii)). Let $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_r \in \mathcal{B}$, $B_0, B_1, \dots, B_r \in \mathcal{B}$. There exist subsets $J_1(A_0, A_1, \dots, A_r)$, $J_2(B_0, B_1, \dots, B_r)$ of \mathbb{Z}^+ of density zero such that

$$\lim_{\substack{n \notin J_1 \\ n \to \infty}} m(A_0 \cap T^{-n}A_1 \cap \dots \cap T^{-rn}A_r) = m(A_0)m(A_1) \cdot \dots \cdot m(A_r)$$

and

$$\lim_{\substack{n \notin J_2 \\ n \to \infty}} m(B_0 \cap T^{-n}B_1 \cap \dots \cap T^{-rn}B_r) = m(B_0)m(B_1) \cdot \dots \cdot m(B_r).$$

Then

$$\lim_{\substack{n \notin J_1 \cup J_2 \\ n \to \infty}} (m \times m) \{ (A_0 \times B_0) \cap (T \times T)^{-n} (A_1 \times B_1) \cap \cdots \\ \cap (T \times T)^{-rn} (A_r \times B_r) \}$$

$$= \lim_{\substack{n \notin J_1 \cup J_2 \\ n \to \infty}} m(A_0 \cap T^{-n}A_1 \cap \dots \cap T^{-rn}A_r) \cdot$$

$$m(B_0 \cap T^{-n}B_1 \cap \dots \cap T^{-rn}B_r)$$

$$= m(A_0)m(A_1) \cdot \dots \cdot m(A_r)m(B_0)m(B_1) \cdot \dots \cdot m(B_r)$$

$$= (m \times m)(A_0 \times B_0)(m \times m)(A_1 \times B_1) \cdot \dots \cdot (m \times m)(A_r \times B_r).$$

By Theorem 2 we know

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |(m \times m)[(A_0 \times B_0) \cap (T \times T)^{-i}(A_1 \times B_1) \cap \cdots$$
$$\cap (T \times T)^{-ri}(A_r \times B_r)] - \prod_{j=0}^{r} (m \times m)(A_j \times B_j)|$$
$$= 0.$$

Since the measurable rectangles form a semi-algebra that generates $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}$, Theorem 4 asserts that $T \times T$ is r-fold weak-mixing.

$$((iii) \Rightarrow (ii))$$
. It is clear that (iii) implies (ii).

((ii)
$$\Rightarrow$$
 (i)). Let $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_r \in \mathcal{B}$. We shall show

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \{ m(A_0 \cap T^{-i} A_1 \cap \dots \cap T^{-ri} A_r) - m(A_0) m(A_1) \dots m(A_r) \}^2 = 0.$$

We have

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} m(A_0 \cap T^{-i}A_1 \cap \dots \cap T^{-ri}A_r)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (m \times m) \{ (A_0 \times X) \cap (T \times T)^{-i} (A_1 \times X) \cap \dots \cap (T \times T)^{-ri} (A_r \times X) \}$$

$$\rightarrow (m \times m) (A_0 \times X) (m \times m) (A_1 \times X) \dots (m \times m) (A_r \times X)$$

$$= m(A_0) m(A_1) \dots m(A_r).$$

Also

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \{ m(A_0 \cap T^{-i}A_1 \cap \dots \cap T^{-ri}A_r) \}^2
= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (m \times m) \{ (A_0 \times A_0) \cap (T \times T)^{-i} (A_1 \times A_1) \cap \dots \cap (T \times T)^{-ri} (A_r \times A_r) \}
\rightarrow (m \times m) (A_0 \times A_0) (m \times m) (A_1 \times A_1) \dots (m \times m) (A_r \times A_r)
= m(A_0)^2 m(A_1)^2 \dots m(A_r)^2.$$

Thus

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \{ m(A_0 \cap T^{-i}A_1 \cap \dots \cap T^{-ri}A_r) - m(A_0)m(A_1) \dots m(A_r) \}^2
= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \{ (m(A_0 \cap T^{-i}A_1 \cap \dots \cap T^{-ri}A_r))^2
- 2m(A_0 \cap T^{-i}A_1 \cap \dots \cap T^{-ri}A_r)m(A_0)m(A_1) \dots m(A_r)
+ m(A_0)^2 m(A_1)^2 \dots m(A_r)^2 \}
\rightarrow 2m(A_0)^2 m(A_1)^2 \dots m(A_r)^2 - 2m(A_0)^2 m(A_1)^2 \dots m(A_r)^2
= 0.$$

Therefore T is r-fold weak-mixing by Theorem 3.

References

- [1] Bedford, T., Keane, M. and Series, C., Ergodic Theory, Symbolic Dynamics and Hyperbolic Spaces, Oxford University press, 1991.
- [2] Bratteli, O. and Robinson, D. W., Operator Algebras and Quantum Statistical Mechanics I, Springer-Verlag, 1979.
- [3] Cornfeld, I. P., Fomin, S. V. and Sinai, Ya. G., Ergodic Theory, Springer-Verlag, 1982.
- [4] Inhae, N. and Hyunwoo, L., A Note on Mixing Property, J. of Basic Sci., Sung-shin Women's Univ. 12 (1994.).
- [5] Mane, R., Ergodic Theory, and Differentiable Dynamics, Springer-Verlag, 1987.

- [6] Sinai, Ya. G., Dynamical Systems II, Encyclopedia of Mathematical Sciences, vol. 2, Springer-Verlag, 1989.
- [7] Walters, P., An Introduction to Ergodic Theory, Springer-Verlag, 1982.

Department of Mathematics Sungshin Women's University Seoul, 136-742, Korea