

## AUTOMORPHIC FORMS ON ORTHOGONAL GROUPS ATTACHED TO QUADRATIC EXTENSIONS

YOUNG HO PARK

### §1. NOTATIONS

Let  $F$  be a number field, and  $E/F$  be a quadratic extension of  $F$ .

We choose a  $\beta \in E$  such that  $E = F(\beta)$ , and let  $q(x) = x^2 - \beta^2$  be the irreducible polynomial of  $\beta$  over  $F$ . Then the Galois group of  $E/F$  is generated by the automorphism  $\sigma$  of order 2, where

$$(a + b\beta)^\sigma = a - b\beta, \text{ for } a, b \in F.$$

Let  $Tr(x) = x + x^\sigma$ ,  $N(x) = xx^\sigma$  be the usual trace and norm of  $E/F$ . Define a bilinear form  $(\ , \ )$  on  $E$  by

$$(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}Tr(xy^\sigma).$$

Note that  $(x, x) = N(x)$ . Let  $O$  be the isometry group of  $E, (\ , \ )$ , viewed as an algebraic group defined over  $F$ . We also let

$$E^1 = \{x \in E \mid N(x) = 1\}.$$

For each place  $v$  of  $F$ , let  $F_v$  be the completion of  $F$  at  $v$ , and let  $E_v = F_v \otimes_F E$ . Then  $E_v = F_v[\bar{\beta}]$ , where  $1, \bar{\beta}$  are linearly independent over  $F_v$  and  $q(\bar{\beta}) = 0$ . Define  $\sigma_v : E_v \rightarrow E_v$  by  $(a + b\bar{\beta})^\sigma = a - b\bar{\beta}$ . Via the usual imbedding  $E \hookrightarrow E_v$ ,  $a + b\beta \mapsto a + b\bar{\beta}$ ,  $\sigma_v$  is an automorphism of  $E_v$  of order 2 extending  $\sigma$ .

If  $q$  is irreducible over  $F_v$  (i.e.  $v$  is *inert*), then  $E_v = F_v(\beta)$  is a field. If  $q$  is reducible over  $F_v$  (i.e.  $v$  *splits*), then  $E_v \simeq F_v \oplus F_v$ , via  $a + b\bar{\beta} \mapsto (a + b\beta, a - b\beta)$ . Note that  $F_v$  is imbedded into  $F_v \oplus F_v \simeq E_v$  diagonally, and  $\sigma_v$  on  $F_v \oplus F_v$  is given by  $(a, b)^\sigma = (b, a)$ .

Let  $Tr_v$ , and  $N_v$  be the trace and norm on  $E_v/F_v$ . Then  $(x, y)_v = \frac{1}{2}Tr_v(xy^\sigma)$  and  $O_v = O(E_v)$  is the isometry group of  $E_v, (\ , \ )_v$ . Note that when  $v$  splits,  $(x, y)_v = (xy, xy) \in F_v$ , for  $(x, y) \in F_v \oplus F_v$ .

---

Received May 2, 1994.

§2. STRUCTURE OF  $O$ .

For any anisotropic  $y \in E$ , let  $\tau_y$  be the symmetry defined by

$$\tau_y(x) = x - \frac{2(x, y)}{(y, y)}y.$$

Then  $O$  is generated by  $\tau_y$ , where  $y$  runs over the anisotropic vectors  $[O]$ . We have

$$\tau_y(x) = -\frac{y}{y^\sigma}x^\sigma.$$

For  $u \in E^1$ , let  $m_u : E \rightarrow E$  be the automorphism defined by  $m_u(x) = ux$ . Then  $m_u \in O$ , and  $\sigma m_u \sigma^{-1} = m_{u^\sigma}$ . By the Hilbert theorem 90, we see that  $O$  is generated by  $m_u (u \in E^1)$ , and  $\sigma$ . In other words,

PROPOSITION 2.1.  $O(E) \simeq E^1 \rtimes \langle \sigma \rangle$   $\square$

Note that  $O_v \simeq E_v^1 \rtimes \langle \sigma \rangle$ , and that if  $v$  splits, so that  $E_v = F_v \oplus F_v$ , then  $E_v^1 = \{(x, y) \mid xy = 1\} \simeq F_v^\times$ .

For a finite place  $v$  of  $F$ , let  $\mathcal{O}_v, \mathcal{U}_v$  be the ring of integers, ring of units of  $F_v$ , respectively. Then  $L_v = \mathcal{O}_v + \beta \mathcal{O}_v$  is a maximal compact subring of  $E_v$ . Let

$$K_v = \begin{cases} (E_v^1 \cap L_v) \rtimes \langle \sigma_v \rangle & \text{if } v \text{ is inert,} \\ \mathcal{U}_v \rtimes \langle \sigma_v \rangle & \text{if } v \text{ splits.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $K_v$  is a maximal compact subgroup of  $O_v$ . Now suppose  $v$  is an archimedean place. We have

$$O_v = \begin{cases} \mathbb{R}^\times \rtimes \langle \sigma_v \rangle & \text{if } v \text{ is real and splits,} \\ \mathbb{C}^1 \rtimes \langle \sigma_v \rangle \simeq O(2) & \text{if } v \text{ is real and inert,} \\ \mathbb{C}^\times \rtimes \langle \sigma_v \rangle & \text{if } v \text{ is complex.} \end{cases}$$

So we let

$$K_v = \begin{cases} \{\pm 1\} \rtimes \langle \sigma_v \rangle & \text{if } v \text{ is real and splits} \\ O(2) & \text{if } v \text{ is real and inert} \\ \mathbb{C}^1 \rtimes \langle \sigma_v \rangle \simeq O(2) & \text{if } v \text{ is complex} \end{cases}$$

and  $K_\infty = \prod_v \text{archimedean} K_v$ ,  $K = \prod_v K_v$ .

Let  $\mathbb{A}$  denote the adèle ring of  $F$  as usual. Then  $O(\mathbb{A}) = \prod_v O_v$ , restricted direct product with respect to  $K_v$ . Since  $(, )$  is anisotropic over  $F$ , we see that  $O(F) \backslash O(\mathbb{A})$  and  $E^1(F) \backslash E^1(\mathbb{A})$  are compact.

§3. AUTOMORPHIC REPRESENTATIONS OF  $O$ .

Since  $O_v$  is a semidirect product of commutative  $E_v^1$  and finite  $\langle \sigma_v \rangle$ , we may apply a Mackey's result to obtain the following [L]

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Let  $\tau_v$  be an irreducible representation of  $O_v$ . Then there is an associated character  $\chi_v$  of  $E_v^1$  such that  $\tau_v$  is one of the following representations:*

- (1)  $\text{Ind}_{E_v^1}^{O_v} \chi_v$ , where  $\chi_v^2 \neq 1$ ,
- (2)  $\chi_v \otimes 1$ , where  $\chi_v^2 = 1$  and  $1$  is the trivial representation of  $\langle \sigma_v \rangle$ ,
- (3)  $\chi \otimes \det$ , where  $\chi_v^2 = 1$  and  $\det$  is the nontrivial representation of  $\langle \sigma_v \rangle$ .  $\square$

To be more precise, let  $f_1, f_2 \in \tau_v = \text{Ind}_{E_v^1}^{O_v} \chi_v$  be defined by

$$f_1(g) = \begin{cases} \chi(x) & \text{if } g = x \in E_v^1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$f_2(g) = \begin{cases} \chi(x) & \text{if } g = x\sigma_v \in E_v^1\sigma_v, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

Then  $\tau_v$  is generated by  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  and  $\tau_v(\sigma_v)f_1 = f_2$ . Thus  $f_1 + f_2$  is fixed by  $\sigma_v$ , while  $f_1 - f_2$  changes the sign. It is not difficult to show that  $\text{Ind}_{E_v^1}^{O_v} \chi_v$  are irreducible if and only if  $\chi_v^2 \neq 1$ , and that if  $\chi^2 = 1$ , then  $\tau_v = (\chi_v \otimes 1) \oplus (\chi_v \otimes \det)$ , with the basis  $f_1 + f_2$  and  $f_1 - f_2$ , respectively.

DEFINITION 3.2. *Suppose  $v$  is a finite place of  $F$ , which splits. We say that a character  $\chi_v$  of  $E_v^1$  is unramified if it is trivial on  $E_v^1 \cap L_v$ .*

Recall that a representation  $\tau_v$  of  $O_v$  is unramified if it contains a  $K_v$ -fixed vector. Thus  $\tau_v$  is unramified if and only if its associated character  $\chi_v$  is unramified, and  $\tau_v \neq \chi_v \otimes \det$ .

Now let  $\tau$  be an admissible irreducible representation of  $O(\mathbb{A})$ . Then  $\tau = \otimes_v \tau_v$ , where  $\tau_v$  is irreducible representation of  $O_v$  such that almost all  $\tau_v$  are unramified [F]. We recall the Langlands characterization of automorphic representations of a reductive group  $G$  [La].

PROPOSITION 3.3. *A representation  $\tau$  of  $G(\mathbb{A})$  is an automorphic representation if and only if  $\tau$  is a constituent of  $\text{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{A})}^{O(\mathbb{A})} \lambda$  for some parabolic subgroup  $P$  of  $G$  with Levi factor  $M$  and some cuspidal representation  $\lambda = \otimes_v \lambda_v$  of  $M(\mathbb{A})$ .  $\square$*

In our case, the only nontrivial parabolic subgroup of  $O$  is  $E^1$ . Note that the Levi factor of  $E^1$  is itself. Since the constituents of  $\text{Ind} \lambda$  are the representations  $\tau = \otimes_v \tau_v$ , where  $\tau_v$  is a constituent of  $\text{Ind}_{E_v^1}^{O_v} \lambda_v$  and almost all  $\lambda_v$  are unramified, we obtain

THEOREM 3.4.  *$\tau = \otimes \tau_v$  is an irreducible automorphic representation of  $O(\mathbb{A})$  if and only if there is a character  $\chi = \otimes \chi_v$  of  $E^1(F) \backslash E^1(\mathbb{A})$  such that  $\tau_v$  is one of the 3 types given in Proposition 3.1 with associated character  $\chi_v$  and the number of  $v$ 's such that  $\tau_v = \chi_v \otimes \det$  is even.  $\square$*

## References

- [B] A. Borel, *Linear algebraic groups*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **9** (1966), 3–19.
- [B-J] A. Borel and H. Jacquet, *Automorphic forms and automorphic representations*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **33** no. Part I, (1979), 189–202.
- [Ca] P. Cartier, *Representations of  $p$ -adic groups: A survey*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **33** no. Part I, (1989), 111–155.
- [F] D. Flath, *Decompositions of representations into tensor products*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **33** no. Part I, (1979), 179–183.
- [H-PS] R. Howe and I. Piatetski-Shapiro, *Some examples of automorphic forms on  $Sp_4$* , Duke. Math. J **50**, 55–106.
- [L] R. Lipsman, *Group representations*, Lec. Note. Math. No.388, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1974.
- [La] R. Langlands, *On the notion of an automorphic representation. A supplement to the preceding paper*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **33** no. Part I, (1979), 203–207.
- [O] T. O'Meara, *Introduction to Quadratic Forms*, vol. 117, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1973.
- [We1] A. Weil, *Basic Number Theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1974.
- [We2] A. Weil, *Adeles and Algebraic Groups*, Progress in Math., Birkhäuser, 1982.

Department of Mathematics  
Yonsei University  
Seoul, 120-749, Korea