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요 약

균일한 소자 (안테나 또는 감지기) 간격으로 계수치를 체감하는 것보다 균일한 계수치로 소자의 간격을 체감하는 것이 실용적이며, 직사각형 어레이에서는, 삼각형 격자 구조가 직사각형 격자구조 보다 소자 수를 줄여는데 더 경제적이다. 장전반
위에 설치된 삼각형 격자 구조를 가진 직사각형 위상어레이의 성능을 향상시키기 위하여 소자간격 체감 방법을 제안하였다. 소자간격 체감이 주빔(main beam)의 폭과 측면로브(sidelobe)의 높이에 미치는 영향을 논의하였다. 제안한 방법을 사용한 결과 측면로브의 성능이 향상되었으나 주빔폭은 약간 넓어지는 것이 밝혀졌다.

ABSTRACT

It is practical to taper the element (e.g., antenna or sensor) spacing with uniform weight rather than to taper the weights with uniform spacing. In a rectangular array, a triangular grid geometry of elements is more economical than a rectangular grid geometry in terms of reducing the number of elements.

A space-tapering approach is proposed to improve the performance of a rectangular phased array with a triangular grid geometry of elements above a ground plane. The effects of space tapering on the main beam width and sidelobe level are discussed. It is shown that the proposed approach improves the sidelobe performance while the main beam width becomes a little broader.

I. Introduction

To improve the transmitting and receiving performance of an antenna/sensor array, the array needs to be designed in such a way that the main beam width is narrow and the sidelobe level is low enough to satisfy prespecified performance criteria. Some factors which affect the performance of the main beam width and sidelobe level are the number of elements, array geometry, element configuration in a given geometry, type of individual elements, amplitude and phase distribution of the currents feeding the elements, and mutual coupling effects [1].

If the array is large and the cost of each element is expensive, one major concern in the array design is to achieve a desired array performance with fewer number of elements. A practical way of synthesizing such an array is to taper the element spacing with uniform element currents. Another advantage of a space-tapered array is that the mutual coupling effects are not significant due to larger distance between neighboring elements compared to a normal uniformly spaced
array. The application areas of the array include sonar [2], radar [3], and seismology [4].

In this paper, a space-tapering approach is used to improve the performance of a rectangular phased array with a triangular grid geometry of elements above a ground plane. The effects of space-tapering on the array performance in terms of main beam width and sidelobe level are discussed. It is assumed that the array is large and mutual coupling effects are negligible, and the array is above a ground plane which is sufficiently large such that there are no edge effects due to the ground plane.

II. Performance of Main Beam Width

A. Uniformly Spaced Array

Consider a rectangular array with a triangular grid geometry of isotropic elements as shown in Fig. 1. Assuming uniform current of unit magnitude at each element, the array factor steered to \((\theta_n, \phi_n)\) is given by

\[
H(\theta, \phi) = \sum_{n=-n_f/2}^{n_f/2} \sum_{m=-n_s/2}^{n_s/2} \exp[-j2\pi(2n+0.5)d_x(u-u_0)+(2m+0.5)d_y(v-v_0)]
\]

\[n = n_f/4 \quad m = m_f/2 \quad n \neq m \neq 0 \tag{1}\]

\[u-u_0 = \cos \theta \cos \phi - \cos \theta_0 \cos \phi_0 \tag{2}\]

\[v-v_0 = \cos \theta \cos \phi - \cos \theta_0 \cos \phi_0 \tag{3}\]

\[b_n = s(k) \sqrt{|k|-0.5} \tag{4}\]

\[\beta = 2\pi/\lambda_c \tag{5}\]

\(\theta\) and \(\phi\) are the elevation and azimuth angles respectively, \(d_x\) and \(d_y\) are the spacings between neighboring elements in the \(x\)-axis, \(s(k)\) denotes the sign of \(k\), and \(\lambda_c\) is the wavelength for the array center frequency. When the array is steered to \((\theta_n, \phi_n)\), the amplitudes of the array factor at two half-power angles are related to its maximum value as

\[H(\theta_n, \phi_n) = H((\theta_n, \phi_n) = 0.7071 H(\theta_n, \phi_n) \tag{6}\]

where \(\theta_0 = \theta_n - \Delta \theta_1\) and \(\theta_1 = \theta_n + \Delta \theta_2\). Note that \(F(\theta_n, \phi_n) = n_xn_y\) for unit current at each element. Assuming an array consisting of a large number of elements, which has a narrow main beam, the directional factors can be approximated as

\[u-u_0 = \Delta \theta \cos \theta_0 \cos \phi_0 \tag{7}\]

and

\[v-v_0 = \Delta \theta \cos \theta_0 \cos \phi_0 \tag{8}\]

where \(\Delta \theta_1\) and \(\Delta \theta_2\) are assumed to be approximately equal to \(\Delta \theta\). Expanding \(H(\theta_n, \phi_n)\) in a Taylor series assuming a large array with \(\Delta \theta\) small [5], we have the half-power beam width \(\theta_n\) (i.e., \(2\Delta \theta\)) in the elevation angle plane as
\[
\theta_b^2 = \frac{2.3432}{\cos^2 \theta_a [2.3432 \cos^2 \phi/\theta_{\text{hrr}} + 2.3432 \sin^2 \phi/\theta_{\text{rro}}]} \\
+ 0.25\beta^2 d_x d_y \sin \phi \cos \phi]
\]

(9)

where \( \theta_{\text{hrr}} \) and \( \theta_{\text{rro}} \) are the half-power beam widths at broadside in the \( x \) and \( y \)-axis and are given by

\[
\theta_{\text{hrr}} = \frac{0.886\lambda_c}{L_x} \quad \text{(10)}
\]

and

\[
\theta_{\text{rro}} = \frac{0.886\lambda_c}{L_y} \quad \text{(11)}
\]

where \( L_x \) and \( L_y \) are the array dimensions in wavelength along the \( x \) and \( y \)-axis respectively. Similarly, it can be shown that the half-power beam width in the plane orthogonal to the elevation angle plane is given by

\[
\theta_{ab}^2 = \frac{2.3432}{[2.3432 \sin^2 \phi/\theta_{\text{hrr}} + 2.3432 \cos^2 \phi/\theta_{\text{rro}}]} \\
- 0.25\beta^2 d_x d_y \sin \phi \cos \phi]
\]

(12)

It is observed that the half-power beam width along the elevation angle is inversely proportional to the cosine of the elevation angle from the broadside while the half-power beam width in the plane orthogonal to the elevation angle plane does not depend on the elevation angle. Also, it is shown that the variations of \( \theta_b \) and \( \theta_{ab} \) along the azimuth angle depend on the array geometry such that the beam width decreases toward the azimuth angle where the array dimension is large and increases toward the azimuth angle where the array dimension is small.

B. Space-Tapered Array

The array is space-tapered such that the inter-element spacings in the \( x \) and \( y \)-axis increase linearly and symmetrically toward the four array sides. The spacings between the \( x \) and \( y \) directions are given by

\[
d_{xm} = (1 + n_x) d_x c
\]

(13)

and

\[
d_{ym} = (1 + n_y) d_y c
\]

(14)

respectively. The ratios \( n_x \) and \( n_y \) are obtained from a given array size and center spacing \( d_x \) and \( d_y \) for each axis and expressed as

\[
x = 4(n_x - 1)(d_x / d_{xc} - 1) / [n_x(n_x - 2)]
\]

(15)

and

\[
y = 4(n_y - 1)(d_y / d_{yc} - 1) / [n_y(n_y - 2)]
\]

(16)

where \( n_x \) and \( n_y \) are the number of elements in the \( x \) and \( y \)-axis respectively and assumed to be even and greater than two. The half-power beam width in the elevation angle plane can be obtained using the same procedure as for the uniform array and is given by

\[
\theta^2 = \frac{2.3432}{\cos^2 \theta_a [2.3432 \cos^2 \phi/\theta_{\text{hrr}} + 2.3432 \sin^2 \phi/\theta_{\text{rro}}]} \\
+ n_y d_x d_y d_y \sin \phi \cos \phi / n_y]
\]

(17)

where

\[
n_{sx} = \sum_{n=1}^{n_x} (-1)^n [n - 0.5 + n(n - 1)x_s / 2]
\]

(18)

and

\[
dx = 1 + (n_x / 2 - 1)x_s
\]

(19)

Also, the half-power beam width in the plane...
orthogonal to the elevation angle plane is given by

\[ \theta_\phi^2 = \frac{2.3432}{2.3432 \sin^2 \phi_\phi/\theta_{kzo} + 2.3432 \cos^2 \phi_\phi/\theta_{kzo}} \]

\[ -n_x d_x \beta^2 d_{xc} d_{yc} \sin \phi_\phi \cos \phi_\phi/n_x \]  \hspace{1cm} (20)

It can be shown that if the array is large, the \( \theta_{kzo} \) and \( \theta_{kxo} \) in the space-tapered array are approximated as

\[ \theta_{kxo} = \frac{2.3432 \, n_x}{\beta^2 d_{xc} \sum_{n=1}^{n/2} \left( 2(n-0.5+0.5(n-1)\alpha_x)^2 \right)} \]

\[ \text{and} \]

\[ \theta_{kpo} = \frac{2.3432 \, n_y}{\beta^2 d_{yc} \sum_{n=1}^{n/2} \left( 2(n-0.5+0.5(n-1)\alpha_y)^2 + 0.125 \, d_x^2 \right)} \]  \hspace{1cm} (21)

\[ \beta^2 d_{yc} \sum_{n=1}^{n/2} \left( 2(n-0.5+0.5(n-1)\alpha_y)^2 \right) + 0.125 \, d_x^2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (22)

The half-power beam widths for a 208 x 32 uniformly spaced and space-tapered arrays are evaluated to find the performance of the main beam width. Figs. 2 and 3 show the half-power beam width in terms of elevation angle for \( \phi_\phi = 0^\circ \) for the uniformly spaced \( (d_x = 0.666 \lambda_c, \, d_y = 7.572 \lambda_c) \) and two space-tapered arrays \( (d_{xc} = 0.6 \lambda_c, \, d_{yc} = 0.7 \lambda_c, \, d_{xc} = 0.55 \lambda_c, \, d_{yc} = 0.65 \lambda_c) \) in the x and y-axis. It is shown that the half-power beam width is a little broader in the space-tapered array than that in the uniformly spaced array. The half-power beam width in the elevation angle plane in terms of azimuth angle plane with \( \theta_\phi = 0^\circ \) is shown in Fig. 4. It is observed that the half-power beam

![Fig. 2 Half-power beamwidths in terms of steering angle in the x-axis (\( \phi_\phi = 0^\circ \)).](image)

![Fig. 3 Half-power beamwidths in terms of steering angle in the y-axis (\( \phi_\phi = 90^\circ \)).](image)

![Fig. 4 Half-power beamwidths in terms of azimuth angle with \( \theta_\phi = 0^\circ \).](image)
A. Uniformly Spaced Array

The array factor of a uniformly spaced array can be expressed as

\[ H(\theta, \phi) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(1 + e^{j(d_x(u-n) + d_y(v-m))} \right) \]

Changing the phase center with normalization, we have the array factor in the x-axis (e.g., \( \phi = \phi_0 = 0^\circ \)) as

\[ H(\theta, 0^\circ) = \sin x / \sin (x/n_x) : x = n_x a/4 \] (24)

where

\[ a = 2 \beta d_x (\sin \theta - \sin \theta_n). \] (25)

The solution of (24) can be obtained iteratively using the Newton’s method [6] with its derivative. It can be shown that the iterative equation is given by

\[ x_n = x_{n-1} - \frac{n_x \tan(x_{n-1}/n_x) - \tan x_{n-1}}{1/\cos^2 x_{n-1} - 1/\cos^2 x_{n-1}}, \quad x_n \neq 0 \] (26)

and

\[ x_n = \pm (2m+1)x/2 + \Delta x, \quad 1 < m < (n_x-1)/2 \] (27)

where \( \Delta x \) is a small real number which is determined by convergence considerations. Note that \( x_n = 0 \) corresponds to the location of the main beam. A root \( x_n \) can be found by applying (26) iteratively with an initial value \( x_n \). Then the angular location of a sidelobe is given by

\[ \theta_x = \sin^{-1}(\lambda x_n d_x) + \sin \theta_n \].

\[ \theta_s = \sin^{-1}(\lambda x_n/(2n_{\text{adj}}) + \sin \theta_n) \] (28)

In (28), it is observed that the angular distance of the sidelobe from the steering direction is inversely proportional to element spacing and the number of elements.
It can be shown that the iterative equation for the locations of the array in the $y$-axis (i.e., $\phi = \phi_0 = 90^\circ$) is given by

$$x_n = x_{n-1} - \frac{2n_y \tan(x_{n-1}/(2n_y) - \tan x_{n-1}}{1/\cos^2(x_{n-1}/(2n_y)) - 1/\cos^2 x_{n-1}} $$

and the location of the side lobes is given by

$$\theta_y = \sin^{-1}\left(\frac{\lambda x_n}{\pi n_y} + \sin \theta_0 \right)$$

B. Space-Tapered Array

If the array is space-tapered as in Section 2 B, the array factor in the $x$-axis can be expressed as

$$H_x(\theta, 0^\circ) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \cos b_{xn} \hat{n}$$

where

$$b_{xn} = [n - 0.5 + n(n-1)\alpha_x/2] \beta_{xc}$$

and

$$u = \sin \theta - \sin \theta_0$$

It can be shown that the iterative equation and the location of the side lobes are given by

$$u_n = u_{n-1} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\hat{n}^2}{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \cos \theta} \cos \left(b_{xn} u_{n-1} \right) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{n}^2 \cos \left(b_{xn} u_{n-1} \right)$$

and

$$\theta_x = \sin^{-1}(u_n + \sin \theta_0)$$

Similarly, the iterative equation and the side lobe locations in the $y$-axis are given by

$$\nu_n = \nu_{n-1} - \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \cos \theta_{yn} \nu_{n-1} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{n} \sin \theta_{yn} \nu_{n-1}}{a \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{n} \sin \theta_{yn} \nu_{n-1} - 2a \tan(\nu_{n-1}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{n} \sin \theta_{yn} \nu_{n-1} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{n}^2 \cos \theta_{yn} \nu_{n-1}}$$

where

$$a = [1 + (n_x - 2)\alpha_y/2] \beta_{yc}/4$$

$$b_{ym} = [n - 0.5 + n(n-1)\alpha_y/2] \beta_{yc}$$

$$\nu = \sin \theta - \sin \theta_0$$

The initial values of $u$ or $\nu$ can be roughly determined from $x_n$ in (37).

The location and level of the first and second side lobes for the beam pattern of the $208 \times 32$ array are evaluated with respect to three element conditions: isotropic element in free space, isotropic element $\lambda_c/4$ above ground plane, and dipole $\lambda_c/4$ above ground plane. It is observed that for center spacing of $d_{xc} = 0.55\lambda_c$ and $d_{yc} = 0.72\lambda_c$, the location of the first second side lobes decrease by about $1.3$ and $0.5$ decibels respectively in the $x$-axis and $1.2$ and $0.4$ decibels respectively in the $y$-axis compared with those of the uniformly spaced array and the amount of decrease is insensitive to the steering angle. For the case of $d_{xc} = 0.55\lambda_c$ and $d_{yc} = 0.65\lambda_c$, the location and second side lobes decrease by about $2.7$ and $0.7$ decibels respectively in the $x$-axis and $2.3$ and $0.7$ decibels respectively in the $y$-axis. It is shown that the first second side lobes decreases about three times more than the second side lobe for all cases. From these results, it is found that the space-tapered array is more efficient in counteracting the interferences incident at the angular region of the first side lobe than the uniformly spaced array. It is observed that the effect of
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Table 1. First sidelobe level: uniformly spaced: x-axis:
\( d_x = 0.656 \lambda_c, \ d_y = 0.7572 \lambda_c \): \( (\theta_0, \phi_0) = (0^\circ, 0^\circ) \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>location</th>
<th>left</th>
<th>right</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>isotropic element in free space (decibel)</td>
<td>-13.2608</td>
<td>-13.2608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>isotropic element ( \lambda_c/4 ) above ground plane (decibel)</td>
<td>-13.2608</td>
<td>-13.2608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dipole ( \lambda_c/4 ) above ground plane (decibel)</td>
<td>-13.2615</td>
<td>-13.2615</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. First sidelobe level: space-tapered: x-axis:
\( d_x = 0.6 \lambda_c, \ d_y = 0.7572 \lambda_c \): \( (\theta_0, \phi_0) = (0^\circ, 0^\circ) \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>location</th>
<th>left</th>
<th>right</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>isotropic element in free space (decibel)</td>
<td>-14.5349</td>
<td>-14.5349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>isotropic element ( \lambda_c/4 ) above ground plane (decibel)</td>
<td>-14.5349</td>
<td>-14.5349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dipole ( \lambda_c/4 ) above ground plane (decibel)</td>
<td>-14.5356</td>
<td>-14.5356</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. First sidelobe level: space-tapered: x-axis:
\( d_x = 0.55 \lambda_c, \ d_y = 0.65 \lambda_c \): \( (\theta_0, \phi_0) = (0^\circ, 0^\circ) \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>location</th>
<th>left</th>
<th>right</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>isotropic element in free space (decibel)</td>
<td>-15.9148</td>
<td>-15.9148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>isotropic element ( \lambda_c/4 ) above ground plane (decibel)</td>
<td>-15.9148</td>
<td>-15.9148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dipole ( \lambda_c/4 ) above ground plane (decibel)</td>
<td>-15.9155</td>
<td>-15.9155</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Second sidelobe level: space-tapered: x-axis:
\( d_x = 0.55 \lambda_c, \ d_y = 0.65 \lambda_c \): \( (\theta_0, \phi_0) = (10^\circ, 0^\circ) \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>location</th>
<th>left</th>
<th>right</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>isotropic element in free space (decibel)</td>
<td>-18.5196</td>
<td>-18.5196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>isotropic element ( \lambda_c ) above ground plane (decibel)</td>
<td>-18.5206</td>
<td>-18.5187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dipole ( \lambda_c ) above ground plane (decibel)</td>
<td>-18.5638</td>
<td>-18.4801</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

dipole pattern on the sidelobes is negligible. Some results for relevant sidelobe locations and levels are shown in Tables 1-4.

IV. Conclusions

The effects of space-tapering on the main beam width and the sidelobe levels are discussed with respect to uniformly spaced and space-tapered rectangular phased arrays of a triangular grid geometry. It is shown that the first sidelobe of the space-tapered array is noticeably reduced compared with the uniformly spaced array while yielding a little broader main beam. It is found that the space-tapering approach is more effective in a large array in terms of achieving a better
performance of the sidelobe with a less increase of the main beam width. It is to be noted that since the mutual coupling effects get reduced as element spacing increases, the space-tapered array will yield a better sidelobe performance than the uniformly spaced array in the presence of mutual coupling effects.
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