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#### Abstract

We complete the proofs of integral and integro-differential inequalities presented in Lakshmikantham, Leela and Raos' results.


## 1. Introduction

There are various types of differential inequalities, e.g., Gronwall type, Wendroff type, Bihari type inequalities. Theory of differential inequalities plays a prominent role in the study of qualitative and quantitative behavior of nonlinear differential systems.

In this paper we complete the proofs of integral and integrodifferential inequalities appeared without proof in [3]. Our main reference is [2].

## 2. Main Results

First, the following scalar comparison result contains the central idea of the theory of inequalities.

Theorem 1 [2, Theorem 1.5.2]. Consider the saclar differential equation

$$
\begin{cases}u^{\prime} & =g(t, u)  \tag{1}\\ u\left(t_{0}\right) & =u_{0}\end{cases}
$$
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where $g \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}, \mathbb{R}\right)$. Let $r(t)$ be the maximal solution of (1) existing on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$. Suppose that $m \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}, \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$and

$$
D m(t) \leq g(t, m(t)), \quad t \geq t_{0}
$$

where $D$ is any fixed Dini derivative. Then $m\left(t_{0}\right) \leq u_{0}$ implies

$$
m(t) \leq r(t), \quad t \geq t_{0}
$$

Theorem 2 [2, Theorem 1.8.3]. Let $m \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}, \mathbb{R}^{+}\right), f \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{+} \times\right.$ $\left.\mathbb{R}^{+}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ and $H \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}, \mathbb{R}\right)$. Suppose that $g_{0} \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{+} \times\right.$ $\left.\mathbb{R}^{+}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ satisfies $g_{0} \leq F$, where

$$
F\left(t, u ; t_{0}\right)=f(t, u)+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} K(t, s, u) d s
$$

and

$$
K(t, s, u)=H(t, s, \eta(s, t, u))
$$

where $\eta\left(s, T, v_{0}\right)$ is the left maximal solution of

$$
\begin{cases}u^{\prime} & =g_{0}(t, u)  \tag{2}\\ u(T) & =v_{0}\end{cases}
$$

existing on $\left[t_{0}, T\right]$. Let the following hold:

$$
D^{+} m(t) \leq f(t, m(t))+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} H(t, s, m(s)) d s, \quad t \geq t_{0}
$$

Then $m\left(t_{0}\right) \leq u_{0}$ implies

$$
m(t) \leq r(t), \quad t \geq t_{0}
$$

where $r(t)$ is the maximal solution of

$$
\begin{cases}u^{\prime} & =F\left(t, u, t_{0}\right)  \tag{3}\\ u\left(t_{0}\right) & =u_{0}\end{cases}
$$

existing on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$.
Now, we obtain some results using the above fundamental results.

Theorem 3. Assume that $f=g_{0}=-c(u)$ and $H \geq 0$, where $c(u)$ is a continuous, nondecreasing function in $u$ and $c(0)=0$. Then

$$
m(t) \leq r(t) \quad t \geq t_{0}
$$

where $r(t)$ is the maximal solution of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left.u^{\prime}(t)=f(t, u(t))+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} H\left(t, s, J^{-1}[J\{u(t)-(t-s)\})\right]\right) d s \\
u\left(t_{0}\right)=u_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

existing on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$, whenever $m\left(t_{0}\right) \leq u_{0}$. Here

$$
J(u)=\int_{u_{0}}^{u} \frac{1}{c(s)} d s \text { and } J^{-1} \text { is the inverse of } J .
$$

Proof. Notice that $c(u)>0$ for $u>0, J^{\prime}(u)=\frac{1}{c(u)}>0$ for $u>0$ and

$$
J(u)-J\left(u_{0}\right)=\int_{u_{0}}^{u} \frac{1}{c(s)} d s
$$

is strictly nondecreasing. Thus $J^{-1}$ exists and it is strictly nondecreasing. It is sufficient to show that

$$
\eta\left(t, T, v_{0}\right)=J^{-1}[J(u(T))-(t-T)]
$$

is the maximal solution of

$$
\begin{cases}u^{\prime} & =-c(u)  \tag{4}\\ u(T) & =v_{0} \geq 0\end{cases}
$$

First, we must find the right maximal solution of

$$
\begin{cases}u^{\prime} & =c(u)  \tag{5}\\ u\left(t_{0}\right) & =u_{0} .\end{cases}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
J(u)-J\left(u_{0}\right) & =\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \frac{u^{\prime}}{c(u)} d s=\int_{t_{0}}^{t} d s \\
& =\int_{u_{0}}^{u} \frac{1}{c(r)} d r=t-t_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $J(u)$ is strictly nondecreasing in $u$, we have

$$
u(t)=J^{-1}\left[J\left(u_{0}\right)+t-t_{0}\right], \quad t \geq t_{0} .
$$

Thus $v\left(t, t_{0}, u_{0}\right)=u(t)$ is the right maximal solution of (5).
Next, we find the left maximal solution of

$$
\begin{cases}u^{\prime} & =g_{0}(t, u)=-c(u)  \tag{2}\\ u(t) & =v_{0} \geq 0\end{cases}
$$

Note that $J(u)=J(u(T))-(t-T)$ since $J(u)-J\left(u_{0}\right)=\int_{T}^{t} d s=T-t$. Since $J(u)$ is strictly nondecreasing in $u$, we have

$$
u(t)=J^{-1}\left[J(u(T)-(t-T)], \quad t_{0} \leq t \leq T .\right.
$$

Therefore $\eta\left(t, T, v_{0}\right)=J^{-1}[J(u(T)-(t-T)]$ is the right maximal solution of (2)'.

Theorem 4. Consider the special case $f=g_{0}=-\alpha u, \alpha>0$ and $H(t, s, u)=H(t, s) u$ with $H(t, s) \geq 0$. Then

$$
m(t) \leq m\left(t_{0}\right) \exp \left[\int_{t_{0}}^{t} B\left(s, t_{0}\right) d s\right], \quad t \geq t_{0}
$$

where $B\left(t, t_{0}\right)=-\alpha+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} H(t, s) e^{\alpha(t-s)} d s$.
Proof. Note that the right maximal solution of
(6)

$$
\begin{cases}u^{\prime} & =\alpha u \\ u\left(t_{0}\right) & =u_{0}\end{cases}
$$

is $u(t)=u_{0} e^{\alpha\left(t-t_{0}\right)}, t \geq t_{0}$. Moreover,

$$
\eta\left(t, T, v_{0}\right)=v_{0} e^{\alpha\left(T-t_{0}\right)}=u(T) e^{\alpha(T-t)}
$$

is a left maximal solution of

$$
\begin{cases}u^{\prime} & =-\alpha u  \tag{7}\\ u(T) & =v_{0} \geq 0\end{cases}
$$

existing for $t_{0} \leq t \leq T$. Thus we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
u^{\prime} & =F\left(t, u ; t_{0}\right)=f(t, u)+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} K(t, s, u) d s \\
& =-\alpha u+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} H(t, s, \eta(s, t, u)) d s \\
& =-\alpha u+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} H(t, s) e^{\alpha(t-s)} u(t) d s, \quad t_{0} \leq s \leq t \\
& =B\left(t, t_{0}\right) u
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
B\left(t, t_{0}\right)=-\alpha+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} H(t, s) e^{\alpha(t-s)} d s
$$

Hence

$$
u(t)=r(t)=u_{0} \exp \left[\int_{t_{0}}^{t} B\left(s, t_{0}\right) d s\right], \quad t \geq t_{0}
$$

Consequently, we have

$$
m(t) \leq m\left(t_{0}\right) \exp \left[\int_{t_{0}}^{t} B\left(s, t_{0}\right) d s\right], \quad t \geq t_{0}
$$

provided that $m\left(t_{0}\right)=u_{0}$.

Theorem 5 [2, Theorem 1.8.1]. Let $f \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}, \mathbb{R}\right), H \in$ $C\left(\mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}, \mathbb{R}\right), H(t, s, u)$ be nondecreasing in $u$ for each $(t, s)$ and for $t \geq t_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{+} m(t) \leq f(t, m(t))+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} H(t, s, m(s)) d s \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}, \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$. Suppose that $r(t)$ is the maximal solution of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u^{\prime}(t)=f(t, u(t))+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} H(t, s, u(s)) d s  \tag{9}\\
v\left(t_{0}\right)=u_{0} \geq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

existing on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$. Then $m\left(t_{0}\right) \leq u_{0}$ implies $m(t) \leq r(t), t \geq t_{0}$.
For the proof of the existence of the maximal solution for (9), see [4].

Corollary 6. Assume that (8) hold with

$$
f=a(t) u, \quad H=H(t, s) u .
$$

Then $m(t) \leq R\left(t, t_{0}\right) u\left(t_{0}\right), t \geq t_{0}$, where $R(t, s)$ is the solution of

$$
\frac{\partial R(t, s)}{\partial s}+R(t, s) a(s)+\int_{s}^{t} R(t, \sigma) H(\sigma, s) d \sigma=0, R(t, t)=I
$$

on the interval $t_{0} \leq s \leq t$.
Proof. It is enough to show that $r(t)=R\left(t, t_{0}\right) u\left(t_{0}\right)$ is the solution of (9). Let $u(t)$ be any solution of (9). We prove that $u(t)=$ $R\left(t, t_{0}\right) u\left(t_{0}\right)$. Put $v(s)=R(t, s) u(s)$ for $t_{0} \leq s \leq t$. Then we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
v^{\prime}(s) & =\frac{\partial R(t, s)}{\partial s} u(s)+R(t, s) u^{\prime}(s) \\
& =\frac{\partial R(t, s)}{\partial s} u(s)+R(t, s)\left[a(s) u(s)+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} H(s, \sigma) u(\sigma) d \sigma\right] \\
& =\left[\frac{\partial R(t, s)}{\partial s}+R(t, s) a(s)\right] u(s)+R(t, s) \int_{t_{0}}^{s} H(s, \sigma) u(\sigma) d \sigma .
\end{aligned}
$$

By integrating from $t_{0}$ to $t$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R(t, t) u(t)-R\left(t, t_{0}\right) u\left(t_{0}\right) \\
= & \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[\frac{\partial R(t, s)}{\partial s}+R(t, s) a(s)\right] u(s) d s \\
& +\int_{t_{0}}^{t} R(t, s)\left[\int_{t_{0}}^{t} H(s, \sigma) u(\sigma) d \sigma\right] d s, \quad t_{0} \leq s \leq t \\
= & \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[\frac{\partial R(t, s)}{\partial s}+R(t, s) a(s)+\int_{s}^{t} R(t, \sigma) H(\sigma, s) d \sigma\right] u(s) d s \\
= & \int_{t_{0}}^{t} 0 \cdot u(s) d s=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $R(t, t) u(t)=R\left(t, t_{0}\right) u\left(t_{0}\right), t \geq t_{0}$. Therefore $u(t)=R\left(t, t_{0}\right) u\left(t_{0}\right)$.

We consider the integral equation of Volterra type given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)=h(t)+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} K(t, s, u(s)) d s \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ and $K \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}, \mathbb{R}\right)$.
The following is the comparison result relative to the equation (10).
Theorem 7 [2, Theorem 1.6.3]. Suppose that

$$
m(t) \leq h(t)+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} K(t, s, m(s)) d s, \quad t \geq t_{0}
$$

where $m \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}, \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$and $K(t, s, u)$ is nondecreasing in $u$ for each $(t, s)$. Assume that $r(t)$ is the maximal solution of (10) existing on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$. Then $m(t) \leq r(t), t \geq t_{0}$.

Corollary 8. If, in Theorem $7, K(t, s, u)=K(t, s) u$, then $m(t) \leq$ $r(t), t \geq t_{0}$, where $r(t)$ is the solution of (10) satisfying

$$
r(t)=h(t)-\int_{t_{0}}^{t} R(t, s) h(s) d s
$$

$R(t, s)$ being the resolvent kernel given by

$$
R(t, s)=-k(t, s)+\int_{s}^{t} R(t, \sigma) K(\sigma, s) d \sigma
$$

Proof. We prove that for any solution $r(t)$ of (10).

$$
r(t)=h(t)-\int_{t_{0}}^{t} R(t, s) h(s) d s, \quad t \geq t_{0}
$$

Note that

$$
R(t, s) r(s)=\left[h(s)+\int_{t_{0}}^{s} K(s, \tau) r(\tau) d \tau\right] R(t, s), \quad t_{0} \leq s \leq t
$$

Integrating from $t_{0}$ to $t$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{t_{0}}^{t} R(t, s) r(s) d s-\int_{t_{0}}^{t} R(t, s) h(s) d s & =\int_{t_{0}}^{t} R(t, s)\left[\int_{t_{0}}^{s} K(s, \tau) r(\tau) d \tau\right] d s \\
& =\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[\int_{\tau}^{t} R(t, \sigma) K(\sigma, \tau) d \sigma\right] r(\tau) d \tau \\
& =\int_{t_{0}}^{t}[R(t, \tau)+K(t, \tau)] r(\tau) d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we have

$$
-\int_{t_{0}}^{t} R(t, s) h(s) d s=\int_{t_{0}}^{t} K(t, \tau) r(\tau) d \tau
$$

This implies that

$$
r(t)=h(t)-\int_{t_{0}}^{t} R(t, s) h(s) d s, \quad t \geq t_{0}
$$

Finally, we can prove the following [2, Theorem 1.3.3] as a corollary of Theorem 7 .

Corollary 9. Suppose that

$$
m(t) \leq h(t)+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} K(t, s) g(m(s)) d s, \quad t \geq t_{0}
$$

where $m, h \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}, \mathbb{R}^{+}\right), g \in C((0, \infty),(0, \infty)), g(u)$ is nondecreasing in $u, K \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}, \mathbb{R}^{+}\right), K_{t}(t, s)$ exists and it continuous nonnegative. Assume that $g$ is subadditive. Then

$$
m(t) \leq h(t)+G^{-1}\left[G(c)+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} v_{1}(s) d s\right], \quad t_{0} \leq t \leq T_{0}<T,
$$

where $G(u)-G\left(u_{0}\right)=\int_{u_{0}}^{u} \frac{1}{g(s)} d s, G^{-1}(u)$ is the inverse of $G(u)$,
$T=\sup \left\{t \geq t_{0}: G(u)+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} v(s) d s \in \operatorname{dom} G^{-1}\right\}, \quad c=\int_{t_{0}}^{T_{0}} v_{2}(s) d s$,
$v_{1}(t)=K(t, t)+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} K_{t}(t, s) d s, v_{2}(t)=K(t, t) g(h(t))+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} K_{t}(t, s) g(h(s)) d s$.
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