

Journal of the Korean
Statistical Society
Vol. 24, No. 2, 1995

On a Stopping Rule for the Random Walks with Time Stationary Random Distribution Function

Dug Hun Hong¹, Kwang Sik Oh¹, and Hee Joo Park²

ABSTRACT

Sums of independent random variables $S_n = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$ are considered, where the X_n are chosen according to a stationary process of distributions. For $c > 0$, let t_c be the smallest positive integer n such that $|S_n| > cn^{\frac{1}{2}}$. In this set up we are concerned with finiteness of expectation of t_c and we have some results of sign-invariant process as applications.

KEYWORDS: Stopping rule, Random walks, Random distribution function, Stationarity, Ergodicity.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathcal{F} be a set of distributions on \mathcal{R}^1 with the topology of weak convergence, and let \mathcal{A} be the σ -field generated by the open sets. We denote by \mathcal{F}_1^∞ the space consisting of all infinite sequence (F_1, F_2, \dots) , $F_n \in \mathcal{F}$ and \mathcal{R}_1^∞ the space consisting of all infinite sequences (x_1, x_2, \dots) of real numbers. Take the σ -field

¹Department of Statistics, Catholic University of Taegu-Hyosung, Kyungbuk, 713-702, Korea.

²Department of Computer, Kyungpook Sanup University, Taegu, 701-702, Korea.

\mathcal{A}_1^∞ to be the smallest σ -field of subsets of \mathcal{F}_1^∞ containing all finite dimensional rectangles and take \mathcal{B}_1^∞ to be the Borel σ -field of \mathcal{R}_1^∞ . Let $\omega = (F_1^\omega, F_2^\omega, \dots)$ be the coordinate process in \mathcal{F}_1^∞ and ν its distribution on \mathcal{A}_1^∞ . Let θ be the coordinate shift: $\theta^k(\omega) = \omega'$ with $F_n^{\omega'} = F_{n+k}^\omega$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$. On $(\mathcal{R}_1^\infty, \mathcal{B}_1^\infty)$ we also define the shift transformation $\sigma : \mathcal{R}_1^\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_1^\infty$ by $\sigma(x_1, x_2, \dots) = (x_2, x_3, \dots)$. ν is called stationary if for every $A \in \mathcal{A}_1^\infty$, $\nu(\theta^{-1}(A)) = \nu(A)$ and we let π be its marginal distribution.

Let \mathcal{J} be the σ -field of invariant sets in \mathcal{A}_1^∞ , that is, $\mathcal{J} = \{A | \theta^{-1}(A) = A, A \in \mathcal{A}_1^\infty\}$ and let \mathcal{I} be the σ -field of invariant sets in \mathcal{B}_1^∞ , that is, $\mathcal{I} = \{B | \sigma^{-1}(B) = B, B \in \mathcal{B}_1^\infty\}$. For each ω define a probability measure P_ω on $(\mathcal{R}_1^\infty, \mathcal{B}_1^\infty)$ so that $P_\omega = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i^\omega$. A monotone class argument shows that $P_\omega(B), B \in \mathcal{B}_1^\infty$, is \mathcal{A}_1^∞ -measurable as a function of ω . So we can define a new probability measure such that $P(B) = \int P_\omega(B) \nu(d\omega)$. Define the process $\{X_n\}$ on $(\mathcal{R}_1^\infty, \mathcal{B}_1^\infty)$ such that $X_n(x_1, x_2, \dots) = x_n$ and set $S_n = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n$. By the definition of P_ω , $\{X_n\}$ are independent with respect to P_ω and we also note that $\{X_n\}$ is a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables when \mathcal{F} has just one element. For $c > 0$, let t_c be the smallest positive integer n such that $|S_n| > cn^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ($= \infty$ if no such that n exist). Our principal aim is to prove the following :

Theorem 1. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{F | \int x dF(x) = 0\}$ and let ν be stationary and ergodic with $\int \int x^2 dF(x) \pi(dF) = 1$. Then

$$E_\omega(t_c) = \int t_c dP_\omega < \infty \quad \nu\text{-a.e. } \omega$$

if $0 \leq c < 1$.

Special case of the theorem has appeared previously. Blackwell and Freedman (1964) have treated the "coin-tossing" case in which $X_k, k \geq 1$, are symmetric and assume the values ± 1 . Many other extensions of Blackwell and Freedman's results have appeared in Chow, Robbins and Teicher(1965) and Gundy and Siegmund(1968). We also treat the case of infinite variance . Re-

sults can be applied to sign-invariant random variables.

2. PROOF AND RELATED RESULTS

We fix c and may dispense with it as a subscript in the sequel. In what follows, we deal with the sequence of the stopping rules $\tau = \tau(n) = \min(t, n), n \geq 1$.

We need the following two lemmas.

Lemma 1. Under the conditions of Theorem 1, we have for ν -a.e. ω

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\{X_k^2 > \epsilon n\}} X_k^2 dP_\omega = 0$$

for every $\epsilon > 0$.

Proof. By the ergodic theorem, we have for ν -a.e. ω

$$\begin{aligned} & n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\{X_k^2 > M\}} X_k^2 dP_\omega \\ &= n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\{x^2 > M\}} x^2 dF_k^\omega(x) \\ &\rightarrow \iint_{\{x^2 > M\}} x^2 dF(x) \pi(dF). \end{aligned}$$

Let $X_M(\omega) = \int_{\{x^2 > M\}} x^2 dF_1^\omega(x)$, then $X_M(\omega) \rightarrow 0$ ν -a.e. ω as $M \rightarrow \infty$ by the dominated convergence theorem. Since for any $M > 0$

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\{X_k^2 > \epsilon n\}} X_k^2 dP_\omega \leq \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\{X_k^2 > M\}} X_k^2 dP_\omega = EX_M,$$

by letting M go to ∞ , right hand side of above goes to 0 by the dominated convergence theorem which proves the lemma.

The following lemma is due to Chow, Robbins and Teicher(1965).

Lemma 2. Let Y_1, Y_2, \dots be independent with $EY_n = 0, EY_n^2 = \sigma_n^2 < \infty (n \geq 1)$ and set $S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n Y_k$. Then if t is a stopping rule, $E \sum_{k=1}^t \sigma_k^2 < \infty$ implies that $ES_t^2 = E \sum_{k=1}^t \sigma_k^2$.

Lemma 3. Suppose that there exists a ω such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n \int X_k^2 dP_\omega = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \int t dP_\omega = \infty.$$

Then there exist positive real numbers α, β and positive integer N such that

$$0 < \alpha E_\omega \tau \leq E_\omega X_\tau^2 \leq \beta E_\omega \tau, \quad \text{for all } n \geq N.$$

Proof. Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n \int X_k^2 dP_\omega = 1, \nu$ -a.e. ω by ergodic theorem, for given $\epsilon > 0$ there exist M such that

$$1 + \epsilon \geq n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n \int X_k^2 dP_\omega \geq 1 - \epsilon, \quad \text{for all } n \geq M.$$

Then for $m > M$,

$$\begin{aligned} E_\omega \tau(m) &= E_\omega \sum_{k=1}^{\tau(m)} 1 \\ &= E_\omega \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\tau(m)} 1 \right) (I\{t \leq M\}) + E_\omega \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\tau(m)} 1 \right) (I\{t > M\}) \\ &\leq M + \frac{1}{1 + \epsilon} E_\omega \sum_{k=1}^{\tau(m)} \int X_k^2 dP_\omega \\ &= M + \frac{1}{1 + \epsilon} E_\omega S_\tau^2, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality comes from Lemma 2. Noting that $E_\omega t = \infty$ implies

$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} E_\omega \sum_{k=1}^{\tau(m)} \int X_k^2 dP_\omega = \infty$, we can choose γ and N_1 such that for all $n \geq N_1$

$$0 < \gamma E_\omega \tau \leq E_\omega S_\tau^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma > c^2.$$

Putting $\gamma^2 = \frac{E_\omega X_\tau^2}{E_\omega \tau}$, we have $0 \leq (c^2 - \gamma) + 2c\gamma + \gamma^2$. An examination of this expression as a quadratic form in τ leads to the inequality $(\sqrt{\tau} - c)^2 \leq E_\omega X_\tau / E_\omega \tau$ for $n \geq N_1$. On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} E_\omega X_\tau^2 &\leq E_\omega \sum_{k=1}^{\tau} X_k^2 \\ &= E_\omega \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\tau} X_k^2 \right) (I\{\tau \leq M\}) + E_\omega \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\tau} X_k^2 \right) (I\{\tau > M\}) \\ &\leq K + (1 + \epsilon) E_\omega \sum_{k=1}^{\tau} 1 \\ &= K + (1 + \epsilon) E_\omega \tau \end{aligned}$$

for some $K < \infty$. Using the fact $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_\omega \tau = \infty$, we can choose β and N_2 such that $E_\omega X_\tau^2 \leq \beta E_\omega \tau$ for all $n \geq N_2$. Taking N to be $\max\{N_1, N_2\}$ completes the proof.

Proof of the Theorem 1. By the ergodic theorem we have for ν -a.e. ω that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n \int X_k^2 dP_\omega = 1$. Now suppose that $\nu\{\omega | E_\omega t < \infty\} < 1$, then by Lemma 1 and 3, we can choose ω such that $0 < \alpha E_\omega \tau \leq E_\omega X_\tau^2 \leq \beta E_\omega \tau, n = N, N + 1, \dots$, for some α, β and N and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\{X_k^2 > \epsilon n\}} X_k^2 dP_\omega = 0$. Now using the same method as in Theorem 1 of Gundy and Siegmund(1968), the theorem can be proved.

If the condition of ergodicity in Theorem 1 is dropped, then we have some restriction on \mathcal{F} .

Theorem 2. Let $\mathcal{F} = \left\{ F | \int x dF(x) = 0 \text{ and } \int x^2 dF(x) = 1 \right\}$ and let ν be stationary. Then

$$E_\omega(\tau_c) = \int t_c dP_\omega < \infty \quad \nu\text{-a.e. } \omega$$

if $0 \leq c \leq 1$.

Proof. By the same method as in Lemma 1, we also have that for ν -a.e. ω $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\{X_k^2 > \epsilon n\}} X_k^2 dP_\omega = 0$. Now the proof follows immediate from Theorem 1 of Gundy and Siegmund(1968).

Next we consider a result in the case of infinite variance. For each $a > 0$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, define

$$Y_n = Y_n(a) = X_n 1_{\{|X_n| \leq an^{\frac{1}{2}}\}}, T_n = Y_1 + \dots + Y_n$$

$$\beta_n^\omega = (E_\omega T_n)^2, B_n^\omega = \text{variance of } T_n \text{ with respect to } P_\omega.$$

Theorem 3. Let $0 < c < \infty$ and $\mathcal{F} = \{F | F \text{ is symmetric}\}$ and suppose that for some $a > 2c$. If ν is stationary and ergodic with $\int \int x^2 dF(x) \pi(dF) = \infty$, then

$$E_\omega t < \infty \quad \nu\text{-a.e. } \omega.$$

Proof. Since the X_k are symmetrically distributed and independent with respect to P_ω for all ω , $\limsup(\beta_n^\omega / B_n^\omega) = 0$. By Theorem 2 of Gundy and Siegmund(1968) it suffices to show that

$$\lim n^{-1} B_n^\omega = \infty \quad \nu\text{-a.e. } \omega.$$

Let $M(> 0)$ be given. Now choose T such that $\int \int_{\{|x| \leq T\}} x^2 dF(x) \pi(dF) \geq M$. Then we have for ν -a.e. ω

$$\begin{aligned} & n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\{|x| \leq a\sqrt{k}\}} x^2 dF_k^\omega(x) \\ & \geq n^{-1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{[T^2]-1} \int_{\{|x| \leq a\sqrt{k}\}} x^2 dF_k^\omega(x) + \sum_{k=[T^2]}^n \int_{\{|x| \leq T\}} x^2 dF_k^\omega(x) \right) \\ & = n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{[T^2]-1} \int_{\{|x| \leq a\sqrt{k}\}} x^2 dF_k^\omega(x) + \frac{n - [T^2]}{n} \frac{1}{n - [T^2]} \sum_{k=[T^2]}^n \int_{\{|x| \leq T\}} x^2 dF_k^\omega(x) \\ & \longrightarrow \int \int_{\{|x| \leq T\}} x^2 dF(x) \pi(dF) \geq M, \end{aligned}$$

where the convergence above comes from ergodic theorem and $[\cdot]$ denote the biggest integer less than \cdot . Since M is arbitrary, the proof is completed.

Definition 1 (Berman(1962,1965)). Let $(\mathcal{R}_1^\infty, \mathcal{B}_1^\infty, \hat{P})$ be a probability space. Then \hat{P} is sign invariant if $\hat{P}\{(x_1, x_2, \dots) \in B\} = \hat{P}\{(-1)^{\alpha_1}x_1, (-1)^{\alpha_2}x_2, \dots\} \in B\}$ for all $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots) \in \{1, -1\}_1^\infty$ and for all $B \in \mathcal{B}_1^\infty$.

We denote δ_x by the distribution of point mass 1 at x .

Proposition 1. Let \hat{P} be any sign invariant probability measure on $(\mathcal{R}_1^\infty, \mathcal{B}_1^\infty)$. Then one can determine ν on \mathcal{F}_1^∞ where $\mathcal{F} = \{\frac{1}{2}(\delta_y + \delta_{-y})|y \in \mathcal{R}^+ \cup \{0\}\}$ so that $\hat{P} = P$, and \hat{P}_k , the k -th marginal of \hat{P} , is given by $\hat{P}_k = \int F_k^\omega \nu(d\omega), k = 1, 2, \dots$. Furthermore, if \hat{P} is stationary (and ergodic) then ν is stationary (and ergodic).

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{\frac{1}{2}(\delta_y + \delta_{-y})|y \in \mathcal{R}^+ \cup \{0\}\}$. Define $\phi : \mathcal{R}_1^\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_1^\infty$ by $\phi(x) = \omega = (\frac{1}{2}(\delta_{x_1} + \delta_{-x_1}), \frac{1}{2}(\delta_{x_2} + \delta_{-x_2}), \dots)$ if $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$. Now let $\nu = \hat{P} \circ \phi^{-1}$ and let $B = \{x|x_1 > t_1, \dots, x_n > t_n\}, t_i \geq 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Note that

$$P_\omega(B) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2^n} & \text{if } |x_i| > t_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \\ 0 & \text{if not,} \end{cases}$$

and $\nu\{\omega = (\frac{1}{2}(\delta_{x_1} + \delta_{-x_1}), \frac{1}{2}(\delta_{x_2} + \delta_{-x_2}), \dots) || x_i > t_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\} = 2^n \hat{P}(B)$. Then

$$P(B) = \int P_\omega(B)\nu(d\omega) = \frac{1}{2^n}2^n \hat{P}(B) = \hat{P}(B).$$

This proves the proposition.

Hence we have the following results as corollaries of Theorem 1 and Theorem 3.

Corollary 1. Let $\{X_n\}$ be sign-invariant and stationary ergodic with $EX_1^2 = 1$. Then for $0 < c < 1$, we have

$$E[t_c | |X_1|, |X_2|, \dots] < \infty \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Corollary 2. Let $\{X_n\}$ be sign-invariant and stationary ergodic with $EX_1^2 = \infty$. Then for $0 < c < \infty$, we have

$$E[t_c | |X_1|, |X_2|, \dots] < \infty \quad \text{a.s.}$$

REFERENCES

- (1) Berman, S.M. (1962). An Extension of the Arc Sine Law. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, **33**, 681–684.
- (2) Berman, S.M. (1965). Sign-Invariant Random Variables and Stochastic Process with Sign-Invariant Increments. *Transactions of American Mathematical Society*, **119**, 216–243.
- (3) Blackwell, D. and Freedman, D. (1964). A Remark on the Coin-Tossing Game. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, **35**, 1345–1347.
- (4) Breiman, L. (1968). *Probability*. Addison-Wesley.
- (5) Chow, Y.S. and Teicher, H. (1966). On Second Moments of Stopping Rules. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, **37**, 388–392.
- (6) Chow, Y.S., Robbins, H., and Teicher, H. (1965). Moments of Randomly Stopped Sums. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, **36**, 789–799.
- (7) Gundy, R.F. and Siegmund, D. (1968). On a Stopping Rule and the Central Limit Theorem. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, **39**, 1915–1917.
- (8) Hong, D.H. (1990). *Random Walks with Time Stationary Random Distribution Function*. Ph.D. Thesis, Univ. of Minnesota.
- (9) Hong, D.H. and Kwon, J.S. (1993). An LIL for Random Walks with Time Stationary Random Distribution Function. *Yokohama Mathematical Journal*, **40**, 115–120.

- (10) Hong, D.H. (1994). Tail Events for Random Walks with Time Stationary Random Distribution Function. *Communications of Korean Mathematical Society*, **9**, 233–239.
- (11) Hong, D.H. (1995). Large Deviations for Random Walks with Time Stationary Random Distribution Function. *Journal of the Korean Mathematical Society*, **32**, 279–287.
- (12) Siegmund, D. (1969). The Variance of One-Sided Stopping Rules. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, **40**, 1074–1077.