

ON PRIME DUAL IDEALS IN BCK-ALGEBRAS

EUN HWAN ROH, YOUNG BAE JUN AND YISHENG HUANG

ABSTRACT. In [1], Ahmad has given a characterization of prime dual ideals in bounded commutative BCK-algebras. The aim of this paper is to show that Theorem of [1] holds without the commutativity.

By a BCK-algebra we mean an algebra $(X; *, 0)$ of type $(2,0)$ satisfying the following axioms:

- (a₁) $(x * y) * (x * z) \leq (z * y)$,
- (a₂) $x * (x * y) \leq y$,
- (a₃) $x \leq x$,
- (a₄) $x \leq y$ and $y \leq x$ implies $x = y$,
- (a₅) $0 \leq x$,

where $x \leq y$ is defined by $x * y = 0$.

From now on, for any BCK-algebra X , \leq is called a BCK-ordering on X and we know that (X, \leq) is a partially ordered set. For any BCK-algebra X , the set $\{x \in X | x \leq a\}$ is denoted by $A(a)$, where a is a fixed element in X . A BCK-algebra X is said to be with condition (S) if there is a largest element x satisfying $x * a \leq b$ for any two fixed elements $a, b \in X$. The largest element is denoted by $a \circ b$. A nonempty subset A of a BCK-algebra X is called an ideal of X if it satisfies $0 \in A$, and $x * y, y \in A$ imply $x \in A$ for all $x, y \in X$.

A BCK-algebra X is said to be bounded if there exists $1 \in X$ such that $x \leq 1$ for all $x \in X$. In a bounded BCK-algebra, we denote $1 * x$ by Nx . A BCK-algebra X is said to be commutative if it satisfies for all $x, y \in X$, $x * (x * y) = y * (y * x)$. A BCK-algebra X is said to be positive implicative if $(x * y) * z = (x * z) * (y * z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Received January 3, 1995.

1991 AMS Subject Classification: 03G25, 06B99.

Key words and phrases: Upper semilattice, (prime) dual ideal.

Supported (in part) by the Basic Science Research Institute Program, Ministry of Education, 1994, Project No. BSRI-94-1406.

A partially ordered set (L, \leq) is called a lower semilattice if every pair of elements in L has a greatest lower bound (meet); it is called an upper semilattice if every pair of elements in L has a least upper bound (join); the operations join and meet are denoted by \vee and \wedge , respectively. If (L, \leq) is both an upper and a lower semilattice, then it is called a lattice.

DEFINITION 1. A nonempty subset D of a BCK-algebra X is said to be a dual ideal of X if

(a₆) $a \in D$ and $a \leq b$ imply $b \in D$,

(a₇) $a, b \in D$ imply there exists an element $c \in D$ such that $c \leq a$ and $c \leq b$.

Clearly, a principal dual ideal $D(a)$ generated by a is $\{x \in X \mid a \leq x\}$ (see [2]).

DEFINITION 2. A dual ideal D in a BCK-algebra X is called a prime dual ideal if for any $a, b \in X$, $a \vee b \in D$ implies $a \in D$ or $b \in D$ where $a \vee b = \text{lub}\{a, b\}$.

For any subsets A, B of an upper semilattice BCK-algebra X , we define

$$A \vee B = \{x \vee y \mid x \in A, y \in B\},$$

where $x \vee y = \text{lub}\{x, y\}$.

It is well known that if X is a bounded commutative BCK-algebra, then $x \vee y = N(Nx \wedge Ny)$.

B. Ahmad [1] proved the following theorem.

THEOREM 3. *Let X be a bounded commutative BCK-algebra. Then the following are equivalent:*

(b₁) D is a prime dual ideal of X ,

(b₂) For any dual ideals D_1, D_2 of X , $D_1 \vee D_2 \subset D$ implies $D_1 \subset D$ or $D_2 \subset D$.

Now we prove that Theorem 3 holds without the commutativity.

THEOREM 4. *Let X be an upper semilattice BCK-algebra. Then the conditions (b₁) and (b₂) are equivalent.*

PROOF. Suppose that D is a prime dual ideal such that $D_1 \vee D_2 \subset D$ where D_1 and D_2 are dual ideals of X . In order to prove that $D_1 \subset D$ or $D_2 \subset D$, let us assume the contrary that neither $D_1 \subset D$ nor $D_2 \subset D$. Then there exist $a \in D_1, b \in D_2$ such that $a \notin D$ and $b \notin D$. Since $a \vee b \in D_1 \vee D_2$ and $D_1 \vee D_2 \subset D$, we have $a \vee b \in D$. D being prime implies that $a \in D$ or $b \in D$, which is a contradiction.

Conversely, suppose that for any dual ideals D_1, D_2 of X , $D_1 \vee D_2 \subset D$ implies $D_1 \subset D$ or $D_2 \subset D$. We claim that D is a prime dual ideal. Let $a, b \in X$ be such that $a \vee b \in D$. Note that

$$D(a) = \{x \in X | a \leq x\} \text{ and } D(b) = \{x \in X | b \leq x\}$$

are principal dual ideals generated by a and b , respectively. It is sufficient to show that $D(a) \vee D(b) \subset D$. Let $x \in D(a)$ and $y \in D(b)$. Then we have $a \leq x$ and $b \leq y$ and hence $a, b \leq x \vee y$. Thus $a \vee b \leq x \vee y$. It follows from (a_6) that $x \vee y \in D$. Therefore $D(a) \vee D(b) \subset D$. Then by our assumption $D(a) \subset D$ or $D(b) \subset D$, so that in particular $a \in D$ or $b \in D$ which proves that D is a prime dual ideal. This completes the proof.

REMARK. We note that the results of Theorem 4 is capable of generalization to the case of lattices.

References

1. B. Ahmad, *A note on prime dual ideals in Tanaka Algebras*, Math. Seminar Notes **10** (1982), 239-242.
2. E. Y. Deeba, *A characterization of complete BCK-algebras*, Math. Seminar Notes **7** (1979), 343-349.
3. G. Grätzer, *Universal Algebra*, Von Nostrand, Princeton (1968).
4. K. Iséki, *On some ideals in BCK-algebras*, Math. Seminar Notes **3** (1975), 65-70.
5. K. Iséki and S. Tanaka, *An introduction to the theory of BCK-algebras*, Math. Japon. **23** (1978), 1-26.
6. Y. H. Lin, *Some results on BCK-algebras*, Math. Japon. **37** (1992), 529-534.
7. J. Meng and Y. B. Jun, *BCK-algebras*, Kyung Moon Sa Co., Seoul, Korea (1994).
8. A. B. Thaheem and J. Ahsan, *A note on prime ideals in Tanaka Algebras*, Math. Seminar Notes **5** (1977), 223-226.

Eun Hwan Roh, Young Bae Jun
Department of Mathematics Education
Gyeongsang National University
Chinju 660-701, Korea
e-mail: ybjun@nongae.gsnu.ac.kr

Yisheng Huang
Department of Mathematics
Fujian Longyan Normal College
Longyan, Fujian 364000, P. R. China