

STABILITY OF THE BERGMAN KERNEL FUNCTION ON PSEUDOCONVEX DOMAINS IN \mathbb{C}^n

HONG RAE CHO

ABSTRACT. Let $D \Subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a smoothly bounded pseudoconvex domain and let $\{\bar{D}_\tau\}_\tau$ be a family of smooth perturbations of \bar{D} such that $\bar{D} \subset \bar{D}_\tau$. Let $K_D(z, w)$ be the Bergman kernel function on $D \times D$. Then $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} K_{D_\tau}(z, w) = K_D(z, w)$ locally uniformly on $D \times D$.

1. Introduction

In [5], Greene and Krantz got the stability, under C^∞ perturbations of a strongly pseudoconvex domain, of the Bergman kernel function. In this paper, we investigate a stability result for the Bergman kernel function on weakly pseudoconvex domains in \mathbb{C}^n . This is a consequence of approximation theorems for square integrable holomorphic functions and extremal properties of the Bergman kernel function.

Let \bar{M} be a compact pseudoconvex complex manifold with C^∞ boundary such that the complex structure of M extends smoothly up to the boundary. Let $M' \supset \bar{M}$ be a smooth manifold. In a local coordinate chart U of a complex manifold \bar{M} , any C^∞ vector field can be written as $L = \sum_{j=1}^{2n} a_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$ where $a_j \in C^\infty(U)$ and $x_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, 2n$, are local coordinate (in a real sense) functions. Therefore we can define C^∞ -metric for a C^∞ vector field L by

$$\|L\|_{C^\infty(U)} = \max_j \{\|a_j\|_{C^\infty(U)}\}$$

where $\|a_j\|_{C^\infty(U)}$ is a C^∞ -metric for C^∞ functions.

Received November 10, 1994.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: 32H10, 32F20.

Key words and phrases: Stability, perturbation, Bergman kernel function, $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann problem, holomorphic approximation.

This work was supported by Korea Research Foundation, 1994.

DEFINITION. Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}^l$ be a domain containing 0. Then the family of complex manifolds $\{M_\tau\}_{\tau \in I}$, $M_\tau \subset M'$, with smooth defining functions r_τ for $\tau \in I$, is said to be a continuous family of diffeomorphic complex manifolds with diffeomorphisms $d_\tau : M_\tau \rightarrow M_0$, if

- (1) $d_0 : M_0 \rightarrow M_0$ is an identity,
- (2) the complex structures on M_τ are C^∞ close to the complex structure on M_0 as $\tau \rightarrow 0$,
- (3) r_τ and all of its derivatives are continuous functions with respect to τ ,
- (4) the diffeomorphisms d_τ , defined on M_τ , are continuous functions of τ .

REMARK. If $D \Subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is a smoothly bounded pseudoconvex domain of finite type in the sense of D'Angelo, then we can construct a continuous family $\{\overline{D}_\delta\}_{0 \leq \delta \leq \delta_0}$ of smoothly bounded diffeomorphic compact pseudoconvex domains in \mathbb{C}^n with $\overline{D} = \overline{D}_0 \subset D_\delta$ for all $0 < \delta \leq \delta_0$ (See [4]). Furthermore, such non-trivial continuous families $\{\overline{D}_\delta\}_\delta$ can exist with $D = D_0 \subset D_\delta$ for all δ even if bD is not finite type.

THEOREM. Let $\{\overline{D}_\tau\}_{\tau \in I}$ be a continuous family of smoothly bounded diffeomorphic compact pseudoconvex domains in \mathbb{C}^n such that $D = D_0 \subset D_\tau$ for all $\tau \in I$. Then

$$K_D(z, w) = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} K_{D_\tau}(z, w)$$

locally uniformly on $D \times D$.

2. Holomorphic approximation theorems

Let $\zeta_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, N$, be a partition of unity subordinated to a covering of \overline{M} . We define the weighted m -th Sobolev norm as follows

$$\|f\|_{m,t,M}^2 = \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \|D^\alpha(\zeta_j f)\|_{t,M}^2$$

where D^α refers to $\partial^{|\alpha|} / \partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial x_{2n}^{\alpha_{2n}}$ for the coordinate neighborhood of $\text{supp } \zeta_j$ and $\|\cdot\|_{t,M}$ is the weighted L^2 -norm with weight $e^{-t\varphi}$. Here

φ is a smooth function on \overline{M}_τ for all $\tau \in I$. Let $H^{0,1}(M_\tau)$ be the $\bar{\partial}$ -cohomology group on M_τ .

In [2], we got the following stability result of the Catlin estimate [1, Proposition 2.3.2] to the $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann problem on pseudoconvex complex manifolds. By using this result, we will prove the holomorphic approximation theorem on continuous families of diffeomorphic compact pseudoconvex complex manifolds.

LEMMA 2.1. ([3]) *Let $\{\overline{M}_\tau\}_{\tau \in I}$ be a continuous family of smoothly bounded diffeomorphic compact pseudoconvex complex manifolds in M' . Suppose there is a C^∞ plurisubharmonic function φ defined on a neighborhood of $\cup_{\tau \in I} \overline{M}_\tau$ which is strongly plurisubharmonic on a neighborhood of bM_τ for all $\tau \in I$. Let m be a nonnegative integer. Then there exists a constant $C_{m,t}$ which does not depend on τ , and there is a neighborhood I' of $\tau = 0$, such that*

$$\|f^\tau\|_{m,t,M_\tau} \leq C_{m,t} \|\square_\tau f^\tau\|_{m,t,M_\tau}$$

for all $f^\tau \in D_{\square_\tau} \cap C^\infty(\overline{M}_\tau)$ with $f^\tau \perp H^{0,1}(M_\tau)$, $\tau \in I'$. Here \square_τ denotes the usual complex Laplacian on M_τ and D_{\square_τ} denotes the domain of \square_τ .

We denote by $A^2(M)$ the set $H(M) \cap L^2(M)$, and by $A^\infty(M)$ the set $H(M) \cap C^\infty(\overline{M})$. Let $H_m(M)$ be the Sobolev space of order m .

LEMMA 2.2. ([1]) *Let \overline{M} be a smoothly bounded compact pseudoconvex complex manifold. Assume that there exists a function $\varphi \in C^\infty(\overline{M})$ such that φ is strongly plurisubharmonic in a neighborhood of the boundary bM . Let f be a function in $H(M) \cap H_m(M)$, where m is a nonnegative integer. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $g \in A^\infty(M)$ with $\|g - f\|_{m,M} < \epsilon$.*

THEOREM 2.3. *Let $\{\overline{M}_\tau\}_{\tau \in I}$ and φ be as in Lemma 2.1. Let f be a function in $A^2(M)$. Then there exist $f_\tau \in A^2(M_\tau)$ such that*

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \|f - f_\tau\|_M = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \|f_\tau\|_{M_\tau} = \|f\|_M.$$

PROOF. By Lemma 2.2, $A^\infty(M)$ is dense in $A^2(M)$. Thus we may assume that $f \in A^\infty(M)$. We define $f_\tau = P_t^\tau(d_\tau^* f)$, where $d_\tau : \overline{M}_\tau \rightarrow \overline{M}_0 = \overline{M}$ are diffeomorphisms and $P_t^\tau : L^2(M_\tau, t\varphi) \rightarrow L^2(M_\tau, t\varphi) \cap H(M_\tau)$ are Bergman projections with respect to the weight $e^{-t\varphi}$. Then f_τ is holomorphic on M_τ and

$$f_\tau = d_\tau^* f - \overline{\partial}_\tau^* N_t^\tau \overline{\partial}_\tau d_\tau^* f,$$

where N_t^τ are Neumann operators on M_τ with respect to the weight $e^{-t\varphi}$. By Lemma 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\overline{\partial}_\tau^* N_t^\tau \overline{\partial}_\tau d_\tau^* f\|_{t, M_\tau} &\lesssim \|N_t^\tau \overline{\partial}_\tau d_\tau^* f\|_{1, t, M_\tau} \\ &\lesssim \|\overline{\partial}_\tau d_\tau^* f\|_{1, t, M_\tau} \end{aligned}$$

uniformly in τ near 0. Since the complex structures on \overline{M}_τ converges to the complex structure on $\overline{M}_0 = \overline{M}$ in C^∞ -topology, we can get $\overline{\partial}_\tau d_\tau^* f \rightarrow \overline{\partial} f = 0$, also in C^∞ -topology as $\tau \rightarrow 0$. So $\|f_\tau - d_\tau^* f\|_{t, M_\tau}$ converges to zero as $\tau \rightarrow 0$. Since the diffeomorphisms d_τ are continuous functions of τ , $d_\tau^* f \rightarrow f$ in C^∞ -topology on \overline{M}_0 and $|\det \text{Jac}_{\mathbb{C}} d_\tau| \rightarrow 1$ uniformly as $\tau \rightarrow 0$. Thus there exists a neighborhood I' of $\tau = 0$ such that

$$\|d_\tau^* f - f\|_{t, M} < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\|d_\tau^* f\|_{t, M_\tau} - \|f\|_{t, M}\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

for each $\tau \in I'$. Since $\varphi \in C^\infty(\cup_{\tau \in I'} \overline{M}_\tau)$, the weighted L^2 -norms are equivalent to the usual L^2 -norms. Thus $f_\tau \in A^2(M_\tau)$ for each $\tau \in I'$ and, by shrinking I' if necessary, we get

$$\|f - f_\tau\|_M < \epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \|\|f_\tau\|_{M_\tau} - \|f\|_M\| < \epsilon.$$

Hence we get the theorem 2.3. \square

3. Proof of Theorem

For every $z \in D$ there is an extremal function $f \in A^2(D)$ such that $\|f\|_D = 1$ and $|f(z)|^2 = K_D(z, z)$. By Theorem 2.3, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there

exists a neighborhood I' of $\tau = 0$ such that for each $\tau \in I'$ there is $f_\tau \in A^2(D_\tau)$ satisfying

$$(3.1) \quad \|f - f_\tau\|_D < \epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \|f_\tau\|_{D_\tau} < 1 + \epsilon.$$

For all $z \in D$ one has

$$K_D(z, z) = \sup \left\{ \frac{|f(z)|^2}{\|f\|_D^2} : f \in A^2(D), f \neq 0 \right\}.$$

Thus, from (3.1), it follows that

$$|f(z) - f_\tau(z)|^2 < \epsilon^2 K_D(z, z) \quad \text{and} \quad K_{D_\tau}(z, z) > (1 + \epsilon)^{-2} |f_\tau(z)|^2.$$

Hence we get

$$K_{D_\tau}(z, z) \geq (1 + \epsilon)^{-2} (1 - \epsilon)^2 K_D(z, z).$$

Since $K_{D_\tau}(z, z) \leq K_D(z, z)$, we have

$$(3.2) \quad K_D(z, z) = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} K_{D_\tau}(z, z).$$

Let C be a compact subset of D . Then for $z, w \in C$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |K_{D_\tau}(z, w)| &\leq \sqrt{K_{D_\tau}(z, z)} \sqrt{K_{D_\tau}(w, w)} \\ &\leq \sup \{ K_D(z, z) : z \in C \} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the sequence $\{K_{D_\tau}\}_{\tau \in I'}$ is locally bounded on $D \times D$ which provides a subsequence $\{K_{D_{\tau_j}}\}_j$ with

$$(3.3) \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} K_{D_{\tau_j}}(z, w) =: k(z, w).$$

Here the convergence is locally uniform: so the function $D \times \overline{D} \ni (z, w) \mapsto k(z, \overline{w})$ is holomorphic, where $D \times \overline{D} = \{(z, \overline{w}) | z, w \in D\}$.

Thus it is enough to show that $k(\cdot, w) = K_D(\cdot, w)$. From (3.2) and (3.3) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|k(\cdot, w)\|_D^2 &= \int_D |k(z, w)|^2 dV(z) \\ &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_D |K_{D_{\tau_j}}(z, w)|^2 dV(z) \\ &\leq \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{D_{\tau_j}} |K_{D_{\tau_j}}(z, w)|^2 dV(z) \\ &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} K_{D_{\tau_j}}(w, w) \\ &= k(w, w) = K_D(w, w), \quad w \in D. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $k(\cdot, w) \in A^2(D)$ for every $w \in D$ and $k(w, w) = 0$ implies $k(\cdot, w) = 0$. Since $|K_D(z, w)|^2 \leq K_D(z, z)K_D(w, w)$, $k(w, w) = K_D(w, w) = 0$ implies $K_D(\cdot, w) = 0$. Therefore, if $k(w, w) = 0$, then we get $k(\cdot, w) = K_D(\cdot, w) = 0$. But if $k(w, w) \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (3.4) \quad \left\| \frac{k(\cdot, w)}{k(w, w)} \right\|_D &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{k(w, w)}} \frac{\|k(\cdot, w)\|_D}{\sqrt{k(w, w)}} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{k(w, w)}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{K_D(w, w)}} = \left\| \frac{K_D(\cdot, w)}{K_D(w, w)} \right\|_D. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that the standard relationship between the Bergman kernel function and the extremizing functions: For any $w \in D$, there is a unique function $g \in A^2(D)$ such that $g(w) = 1$ and $\|g\|_D \leq \|f\|_D$ for any $f \in A^2(D)$ with $f(w) = 1$. In fact, $g := \frac{K_D(\cdot, w)}{K_D(w, w)}$ is the only extremizing function in $A^2(D)$. Therefore, by (3.4), it follows that $k(\cdot, w) = K_D(\cdot, w)$. \square

References

1. D. W. Catlin, *Boundary behavior of holomorphic functions on pseudoconvex domains*, Dissertation, Princeton University, 1978.
2. H. R. Cho and S. Cho, *Holomorphic approximation on compact pseudoconvex complex manifolds*, The Journal of Geometric Analysis (to appear).

3. H. R. Cho, S. Cho, and K. H. Shon, *Stability of the estimates for $\bar{\partial}$ -equation on compact pseudoconvex complex manifolds*, Kyushu J. of Math. **48-1** (1994), 19-34.
4. S. Cho, *Extension of complex structures on weakly pseudoconvex compact complex manifolds with boundary*, Math. Z. **211** (1992), 105-120.
5. R. E. Greene and S. G. Krantz, *Deformation of complex structures, estimates for the $\bar{\partial}$ -equation, and stability of the Bergman kernel*, Adv. in Math. **43** (1982), 1-86.
6. ———, *Stability properties of the Bergman kernel and curvature properties of bounded domains*, Recent Progress in Several Complex Variables, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1982.

Department of Mathematics
Pusan National University
Pusan 609-735, Korea