

## ON $\wedge$ -ASSOCIATIVE BCI-ALGEBRAS

SHI MING WEI AND YOUNG BAE JUN

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we introduce the notion of  $\wedge$ -associative BCI-algebras, investigate important properties of such algebras, and discuss the structure of it.

Meng and Xin [4] introduced the notion of commutative BCI-algebras as a generalization of one of commutative BCK-algebras. Chaudhry [1] and Hoo [2] also introduced the notions called pseudo-commutative BCI-algebras and branchwise commutative BCI-algebras. In this paper, we introduce the concept of  $\wedge$ -associative BCI-algebras, which is also a generalization of the notion of commutative BCK-algebras. We investigate some properties of such algebras. We show that every  $\wedge$ -associative BCI-algebra is commutative, and give an example showing that the converse is not true. We also give a characterization of  $\wedge$ -associative BCI-algebras.

Let us recall some definitions and results which are necessary for development of this paper.

By a BCI-algebra we mean an algebra  $(X; *, 0)$  of type  $(2, 0)$  satisfying the following axioms:

$$(I) ((x * y) * (x * z)) * (z * y) = 0,$$

$$(II) (x * (x * y)) * y = 0,$$

$$(III) x * x = 0,$$

$$(IV) x * y = 0 \text{ and } y * x = 0 \text{ imply that } x = y,$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . A partial ordering  $\leq$  on  $X$  can be defined by  $x \leq y$  if and only if  $x * y = 0$ .

A BCI-algebra  $X$  satisfying (V)  $0 * x = 0$  for all  $x \in X$  is called a BCK-algebra.

In a BCI-algebra  $X$ , the following hold:

---

Received August 20, 1994. Revised March 15, 1995.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: 06F35, 03G25.

Key words and phrases:  $\wedge$ -associative BCI-algebra, atom, branch.

The second author was supported (in part) by the Basic Science Research Institute Program, Ministry of Education, 1994, Project No. BSRI-94-1406.

- (1)  $x * 0 = x$ ,
- (2)  $(x * y) * z = (x * z) * y$ ,
- (3)  $x * (x * (x * y)) = x * y$ ,
- (4)  $0 * (x * y) = (0 * x) * (0 * y)$ ,
- (5)  $((x * z) * (y * z)) * (x * y) = 0$ ,
- (6)  $x \leq y$  implies  $x * z \leq y * z$  and  $z * y \leq z * x$ .

For any BCI-algebra  $X$ , the BCK-part of  $X$  is the set

$$B(X) = \{x \in X \mid 0 \leq x\}.$$

If  $B(X) = \{0\}$ , we say that  $X$  is a p-semisimple BCI-algebra.

Let  $X$  be a BCI/BCK-algebra. Denote  $y * (y * x)$  by  $x \wedge y$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . We note that  $x \wedge y \leq x, y$  for every  $x$  and  $y$  in a BCK/BCI-algebra  $X$ .

**PROPOSITION 1.** *Let  $X$  be a BCK-algebra. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (7)  $x \wedge y = y \wedge x$ .
- (8)  $x \leq y$  implies  $x = x \wedge y$ .
- (9)  $(x \wedge y) \wedge x = x \wedge y$ .
- (10)  $(x \wedge y) \wedge z = x \wedge (y \wedge z)$ .

**PROOF.** From [4; Theorems 1 and 3] it follows immediately that (7), (8) and (9) are equivalent. Noticing that a BCK-algebra  $X$  is commutative if and only if  $(X, \wedge)$  is a semilattice, it is clear that (7) and (10) are equivalent.

A BCK-algebra  $X$  is said to be commutative if it satisfies (7). A BCI-algebra  $X$  is said to be commutative if it satisfies (8).

**DEFINITION 2.** A BCI-algebra  $X$  is said to be  $\wedge$ -associative if it satisfies (10).

**EXAMPLE 3.** (i) A commutative BCK-algebra is a  $\wedge$ -associative BCI-algebra.

(ii) A p-semisimple BCI-algebra is  $\wedge$ -associative.

(iii) Let  $X$  be the set  $\{0, 1, a\}$  with Cayley table as follows:

$*$	0	1	$a$
0	0	0	$a$
1	1	0	$a$
$a$	$a$	$a$	0

Then it is easy to verify that  $X$  is a  $\wedge$ -associative BCI-algebra. But  $X$  is neither a commutative BCK-algebra nor a p-semisimple BCI-algebra.

**THEOREM 4.** *If a BCI-algebra  $X$  is  $\wedge$ -associative then it is commutative. But the converse does not hold.*

**PROOF.** Suppose that  $X$  is a  $\wedge$ -associative BCI-algebra. Let  $x, y \in X$  be such that  $x \leq y$ . Then  $x = x \wedge x = x \wedge (x * 0) = x \wedge (x * (x * y)) = x \wedge (y \wedge x) = (x \wedge y) \wedge x \leq x \wedge y \leq x$ , hence  $x = x \wedge y$ . Therefore  $X$  is commutative. The following example shows that the converse does not hold.

**EXAMPLE 5.** Let  $X$  be the set  $\{0, 1, 2, a, b, c, d\}$  with Cayley table as follows:

$*$	0	1	2	$a$	$b$	$c$	$d$
0	0	0	0	$a$	$a$	$a$	$a$
1	1	0	0	$b$	$a$	$a$	$a$
2	2	1	0	$c$	$b$	$a$	$a$
$a$	$a$	$a$	$a$	0	0	0	0
$b$	$b$	$a$	$a$	1	0	0	0
$c$	$c$	$b$	$a$	2	1	0	1
$d$	$d$	$b$	$a$	2	1	1	0

By routine calculations we know that  $X$  is a commutative BCI-algebra. But  $X$  is not  $\wedge$ -associative because  $(2 \wedge c) \wedge d = (c * (c * 2)) \wedge d = 2 \wedge d = d * (d * 2) = 2$  and  $2 \wedge (c \wedge d) = 2 \wedge (d * (d * c)) = 2 \wedge b = b * (b * 2) = 1$ .

Meng and Xin [3] introduced notions of atoms and branches in BCI-algebras. An element  $a$  of a BCI-algebra  $X$  is called an atom if  $z * a = 0$  implies  $z = a$  for all  $z \in X$ . The set of all atoms of  $X$  is denoted by  $L(X)$ . For all  $a$  in  $L(X)$ ,  $V(a) = \{x \in X | a \leq x\}$  is called a branch

of  $X$ . Obviously  $L(X)$  is the set of all minimal elements of  $X$ , and  $V(0) = B(X)$ . We observe from [4; Theorem 1] that  $a$  is an atom of  $X$  if and only if  $a = x * (x * a)$  for all  $x \in X$ .

LEMMA 6. ([3]) *Let  $X$  be a BCI-algebra. If  $a$  and  $b$  are atoms of  $X$ , then  $x \in V(a)$  and  $y \in V(b)$  imply  $x * y \in V(a * b)$ , and  $V(a) \cap V(b) = \emptyset$  whenever  $a \neq b$ .*

Meng and Xin [4] showed that if  $x$  and  $y$  belong to the same branch of a commutative BCI-algebra  $X$ , then  $x \wedge y = y \wedge x$ . By using Lemma 6 we prove the converse.

THEOREM 7. *Let  $X$  be a commutative BCI-algebra. If  $x$  and  $y$  in  $X$  satisfy  $x \wedge y = y \wedge x$ , then they belong to the same branch of  $X$ .*

PROOF. Let  $x, y \in X$  be such that  $x \wedge y = y \wedge x$ . Then, by [4; Corollary 2], there exist  $a, b \in L(X)$  such that  $x \in V(a)$  and  $y \in V(b)$ . It follows from Lemma 6 that  $x \wedge y = y * (y * x) \in V(b * (b * a)) = V(a)$  and, by similar method,  $y \wedge x \in V(b)$ , so that  $x \wedge y = y \wedge x \in V(a) \cap V(b)$ . Using Lemma 6 again, we conclude that  $a = b$ , whence  $V(a) = V(b)$ . The proof is complete.

COROLLARY 8. *Let  $X$  be a  $\wedge$ -associative BCI-algebra and let  $x, y \in X$ . Then  $x \wedge y = y \wedge x$  if and only if  $x$  and  $y$  belong to the same branch of  $X$ .*

A BCI-algebra  $X$  is said to be locally bounded ([4]) if every branch of  $X$  has a greatest element. For each  $a$  in  $L(X)$ , denote by  $m_a$  the greatest element of  $V(a)$ . From [4; Theorems 6, 7, 10 and 11, and Corollary 8], we have the following:

THEOREM 9. *Let  $X$  be a  $\wedge$ -associative BCI-algebra. Then every branch of  $X$  is a lower semilattice. If  $X$  is locally bounded, then for every  $a$  in  $L(X)$ ,*

- (i)  $V(a)$  is a distributive lattice.
- (ii) if we define a mapping  $f_a : V(a) \rightarrow B(X)$  by  $f_a(x) = m_a * x$  for all  $x \in V(a)$ , then  $f_a$  is converse ordered and  $f_a(V(a)) = \{f_a(x) | x \in V(a)\}$  is a bounded commutative subalgebra of  $B(X)$  with the largest element  $m_a * a$ .
- (iii) if  $B(X)$  is a chain, then  $V(a)$  is also a chain.

(iv)  $V(a) = m_a * B(X)$ , where  $m_a * B(X) = \{m_a * x | x \in B(X)\}$ .

**THEOREM 10.** *An algebra  $(X; *, 0)$  of type  $(2, 0)$  is a  $\wedge$ -associative BCI-algebra if and only if it satisfies*

- (I)  $((x * y) * (x * z)) * (z * y) = 0$ ,
- (9)  $(x \wedge y) \wedge x = x \wedge y$ ,
- (10)  $(x \wedge y) \wedge z = x \wedge (y \wedge z)$ ,
- (1)  $x * 0 = x$ .

**PROOF.** Suppose that  $X$  satisfies the above conditions. Then  $X$  is a commutative BCI-algebra by [4; Theorem 12]. It follows from (10) that  $X$  is  $\wedge$ -associative. The converse is clear.

**COROLLARY 11.** *The class of  $\wedge$ -associative BCI-algebras is a variety. It is a proper subvariety of the variety of commutative BCI-algebras. The variety of  $p$ -semisimple BCI-algebras and the variety of commutative BCK-algebras are proper subvarieties of the variety of  $\wedge$ -associative BCI-algebras.*

## References

1. M. A. Chaudhry, *Branchwise commutative BCI-algebras*, Math. Japon. **37** (1992), 163-170.
2. C. S. Hoo, *Filters and ideals in BCI-algebras*, Math. Japon. **36** (1991), 987-997.
3. J. Meng and X. L. Xin, *Characterizations of atoms in BCI-algebras*, Math. Japon. **37** (1992), 359-362.
4. J. Meng and X. L. Xin, *Commutative BCI-algebras*, Math. Japon. **37** (1992), 569-572.

Institute of Mathematics  
Huaibei Coal Mining Teacher's College  
Huaibei 235000, P. R. China

Department of Mathematics Education  
Gyeongsang National University  
Chinju 660-701, Korea  
*e-mail* : ybjun@nongae.gsnu.ac.kr