

THRESHOLD RESULTS FOR THE MCKEAN EQUATION

EUIWOO LEE

1. Introduction

In 1952, British physiologists Hodgkin and Huxley [4] derived a model that describes the conduction of the nervous impulse in the optical nerve of a squid. The mathematical analysis of the Hodgkin-Huxley equations is technically very difficult, because of the complicated nonlinear functions in the equations. In the early 1960's FitzHugh and Nagumo [2], [9] derived a simpler formulation which retains most of the qualitative features of the original system, and yet is more amenable to analytical manipulations. The equation is

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} v_t &= v_{xx} + f(v) - w \\ w_t &= \epsilon(v - \gamma w), \end{aligned}$$

where $f(v) = v(1-v)(v-a)$ ($0 < a < 1$), $\epsilon \geq 0$, and $\gamma \geq 0$. McKean [6], [7] suggested a further simplification in which $f(v) = v(1-v)(v-a)$ is replaced by $f(v) = -v + H(v-a)$, where H is the Heaviside step function.

In this paper we consider the initial value problem for the equation

$$(1.2) \quad v_t = v_{xx} + f(v),$$

the initial datum being $v(x, 0) = \varphi(x)$. We assume that $f(v) = -v + H(v-a)$, where H is the Heaviside step function and $a \in (0, 1/2)$. Note that (1.2) is obtained by setting $\epsilon = 0$ and $w \equiv 0$ at (1.1).

Our primary interest is to study the asymptotic behavior of solutions of (1.2). One expects (1.2) to exhibit a threshold phenomenon. That is, if the initial datum is sufficiently small, then one expects the solutions

Received October 4, 1994. Revised November 18, 1994.

Key words: McKean equation, threshold phenomena, initial value problem.

of (1.2) to decay exponentially fast to zero as t goes to infinity. In this case, we say $\varphi(x)$ is subthreshold. This corresponds to the biological fact that a minimum amount of stimulus is needed to trigger a nerve impulse. One expects, however, that if $\varphi(x)$ is sufficiently large, or superthreshold, then some sort of signal will propagate. Threshold results for the equation (1.2) with smooth "cubic-like" function f have been given by Aronson and Weinberger [1]. Terman [11] showed if $\varphi(x) > a$ on a sufficiently large interval, then $\varphi(x)$ is superthreshold in the equation (1.2).

It was thought [3] that if $\varphi(x)$ has a small compact support, that is, $\varphi(x) \equiv 0$ outside some interval $[-d, d]$ for small d , then the integral of $\varphi(x)$ is a crucial factor in the threshold phenomenon. In this paper we give a rigorous mathematical proof of this fact in the equation (1.2).

Throughout this paper we assume that the initial datum $\varphi(x)$ satisfies the following conditions:

- (a) $\varphi(x) \in C^1(R)$,
- (b) $\varphi(x) \in [0, \infty)$ in R ,
- (c) $\varphi(x) = \varphi(-x)$ in R ,
- (d) $\varphi'(x) \leq 0$ in R^+ ,
- (e) $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi(x) = 0$.

By a classical solution of equation (1.2) we mean the following:

DEFINITION. Let $S_T = R \times (0, T)$ and $G_T = \{(x, t) \in S_T, v(x, t) \neq a\}$. Then $v(x, t)$ is said to be a classical solution of the Cauchy problem (1.2) if

- (a) The functions v and v_x are bounded, continuous in S_T .
- (b) The functions v_{xx} and v_t are continuous in G_T , and satisfy the equation

$$v_t = v_{xx} + f(v).$$

- (c) $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} v(x, t) = \varphi(x)$ for each $x \in R$.

If $\varphi(0) < a$, then $v(x, t) < a$ in $R \times R^+$ by the maximum principle [10, pp. 159-72]. Hence v satisfies the linear partial differential equation $v_t = v_{xx} - v$. Therefore $v(x, t) \leq e^{-t}\varphi(0)$, so $v(x, t)$ decays exponentially fast to 0. This is a simple case for the initial datum to be subthreshold. Throughout this paper we assume that $\varphi(0) \geq a$. We consider the curve $s(t)$ defined by

$$(1.3) \quad s(t) = \sup\{x : v(x, t) = a\}.$$

We say that the initial datum is superthreshold if $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} s(t) = +\infty$, and subthreshold if $s(t)$ is bounded above by a constant x_0 for all $t \geq 0$.

Since $\varphi'(x) \leq 0$ in R^+ , we expect that $v_x(x, t) < 0$ in $R^+ \times R^+$. Therefore $s(t)$ is a well defined, continuous function for some time, say $t \in [0, T]$. It follows that $v > a$ for $|x| < s(t)$, and $v < a$ for $|x| > s(t)$. Let χ_Ω be the indicator function of the subset

$$(1.4) \quad \Omega = \{(x, t) : v(x, t) > a, 0 \leq t \leq T\}.$$

Then $v(x, t)$ satisfies the inhomogeneous equation

$$(1.5) \quad v_t = v_{xx} - v + \chi_\Omega \text{ for } |x| \neq s(t).$$

By Duhamel's principle, the solution can be expressed as

$$(1.6) \quad v(x, t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(x - \xi, t)\varphi(\xi)d\xi + \int_0^t d\tau \int_{-s(\tau)}^{s(\tau)} K(x - \xi, t - \tau)d\xi,$$

where $K(x, t) = (e^{-t}/2\sqrt{\pi t})e^{-x^2/4t}$ is the fundamental solution of the differential equation $\psi_t = \psi_{xx} - \psi$. Setting $x = s(t)$ in (1.6), we have the integral equation

$$(1.7) \quad a = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(s(t) - \xi, t)\varphi(\xi)d\xi + \int_0^t d\tau \int_{-s(\tau)}^{s(\tau)} K(s(t) - \xi, t - \tau)d\xi.$$

The following theorem shows that the solution of (1.2) is completely determined by the curve $s(t)$.

THEOREM 1.1. *Suppose that $s(t)$ is a continuously differentiable function which satisfies the integral equation (1.7) in $[0, T]$, then the function $v(x, t)$ given by (1.6) is a classical solution of the equation (1.2) in $R \times [0, T]$.*

Proof. See [11].

2. Lower and upper solutions

Let $\psi(x, t)$ be the solution of the initial value problem

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \psi_t &= \psi_{xx} - \psi, \quad (x, t) \in R \times R^+ \\ \psi(x, 0) &= \varphi(x), \quad x \in R. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\psi(x, t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(x - \xi, t)\varphi(\xi)d\xi$. Assume $\alpha(t)$ is a nonnegative, uniformly Lipschitz continuous function defined for $t \in [0, T]$. We define the functions $\Phi(\alpha)(t)$ and $\Psi(\alpha)(t)$ on the interval $[0, T]$ by

$$(2.2) \quad \Phi(\alpha)(t) = \int_0^t d\tau \int_{-\alpha(\tau)}^{\alpha(\tau)} K(\alpha(t) - \xi, t - \tau)d\xi,$$

$$(2.3) \quad \Psi(\alpha)(t) = \psi(\alpha(t), t).$$

Note that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \Psi(\alpha)(t) = \varphi(\alpha(0))$.

If $\Phi(\alpha)(t) + \Psi(\alpha)(t) \geq a$ on $[0, T]$, then we call $\alpha(t)$ a *lower solution* on $[0, T]$. If $\Phi(\alpha)(t) + \Psi(\alpha)(t) \leq a$ on $[0, T]$, then $\alpha(t)$ is called an *upper solution* on $[0, T]$.

REMARKS. 1. $\alpha(t)$ is a solution of the integral equation (1.7) if and only if $\alpha(t)$ is a lower and upper solution.

2. If $\alpha(t)$ is a lower solution, then $\varphi(\alpha(0)) \geq a$, hence $\alpha(0) \leq s(0)$. In the same way, if $\alpha(t)$ is an upper solution, then $\alpha(0) \geq s(0)$.

3. If $\alpha(t)$ and $\beta(t)$ are respectively lower and upper solution on $[0, T]$ and $\alpha(0) < \beta(0)$, then $\alpha(t) < \beta(t)$ on $[0, T]$.

In this section we show some properties of the function Φ .

LEMMA 2.1. Suppose $\alpha(t) = x_0$ be a vertical line ($x_0 > 0$). Set $\Phi(x_0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(\alpha)(t)$, then the function $\Phi(x_0)$, defined for $0 < x_0 < \infty$, satisfies the following:

- (a) $\lim_{x_0 \rightarrow 0} \Phi(x_0) = 0$,
- (b) $\lim_{x_0 \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(x_0) = 1/2$,
- (c) $\Phi'(x_0) > 0$ for $0 < x_0 < \infty$.

Proof. By the definition of Φ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\alpha)(t) &= \int_0^t d\tau \int_{-\alpha(\tau)}^{\alpha(\tau)} K(\alpha(t) - \xi, t - \tau)d\xi \\ &= \int_0^t d\tau \int_{-x_0}^{x_0} K(x_0 - \xi, t - \tau)d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Using the change of variables $\eta = t - \tau$, we have

$$\Phi(\alpha)(t) = \int_0^t d\eta \int_{-x_0}^{x_0} K(x_0 - \xi, \eta) d\xi.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(x_0) &= \int_0^\infty d\eta \int_{-x_0}^{x_0} K(x_0 - \xi, \eta) d\xi \\ (2.4) \qquad &= \int_0^\infty d\eta \int_{-2x_0}^0 K(-\xi, \eta) d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Now the proofs of (a) and (c) easily follow from (2.4). The proof of (b) follows from the computations

$$\int_0^\infty d\eta \int_{-\infty}^0 K(-\xi, \eta) d\xi = \int_0^\infty 1/2e^{-\eta} d\eta = 1/2.$$

This completes the proof the lemma.

LEMMA 2.2. *Suppose $\alpha(t) = ct$ is a linear function ($c > 0$). Set $\Phi(c) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(\alpha)(t)$, then the function $\Phi(c)$, defined for $0 < c < \infty$, satisfies the following:*

- (a) $\lim_{c \rightarrow 0} \Phi(c) = 1/2$,
- (b) $\lim_{c \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(c) = 0$,
- (c) $\Phi'(c) < 0$ for $0 < c < \infty$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\alpha)(t) &= \int_0^t d\tau \int_{-\alpha(\tau)}^{\alpha(\tau)} K(\alpha(t) - \xi, t - \tau) d\xi \\ &= \int_0^t d\tau \int_{-c\tau}^{c\tau} K(ct - \xi, t - \tau) d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Using the change of variables $\eta = t - \tau$, $\zeta = \xi - ct$, we have

$$\Phi(\alpha)(t) = \int_0^t d\eta \int_{c\eta - 2ct}^{-c\eta} K(-\zeta, \eta) d\zeta.$$

Therefore

$$(2.5) \qquad \Phi(c) = \int_0^\infty d\eta \int_{-\infty}^{-c\eta} K(-\zeta, \eta) d\zeta.$$

The proof of the lemma easily follows from (2.5).

3. Existence and uniqueness

In this section we state some results of existence and uniqueness of solution $s(t)$ of (1.7).

THEOREM 3.1. *Assume that there exist linear functions $\alpha(t)$ and $\beta(t)$, which are respectively lower and upper solutions on $[0, T]$ for some positive time T , and $e^{-\alpha(T)/T} \leq 1/4$, then there exists a solution $s(t)$ of the integral equation (1.7) on $[0, T]$.*

Proof. See [11], and [8] for other existence results.

We assume in this paper that the solution $s(t)$ exists in all of R^+ . The following theorem demonstrates that the solution $s(t)$ of (1.7) is unique among uniformly Lipschitz functions.

THEOREM 3.2. *Suppose that $\alpha(t)$ and $\beta(t)$ are respectively lower and upper solutions on $[0, T]$, then $\alpha(t) \leq \beta(t)$ on $[0, T]$.*

Proof. See [11].

4. The main theorem

Let a be a fixed constant in $(0, 1/2)$. We assume the initial datum $\varphi(x)$ has a compact support. We denote the support of $\varphi(x)$ by $S(\varphi)$, and the integral $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(x)dx$ by $A(\varphi)$. First, we prove the superthreshold result.

THEOREM 4.1. *For any $d^* > 0$, there exist $M^*(d^*)$ such that if $\varphi(x)$ is a function satisfying $S(\varphi) \subset [-d^*, d^*]$ and $A(\varphi) > M^*$, then $\varphi(x)$ is superthreshold.*

Proof. We can choose c such that $\Phi(c) > a$ by Lemma 2.2. Let $\alpha(t)$ be the curve defined by

$$\alpha(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } 0 \leq t < t_1 \\ \gamma(t) & \text{for } t_1 \leq t \leq t_2 \\ ct & \text{for } t > t_2. \end{cases}$$

Here t_1 and t_2 are some numbers such that $0 < t_1 < t_2$, and $\gamma(t)$ is a curve defined on $[t_1, t_2]$ which connects the two curves smoothly. We can easily show

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(\alpha)(t) = \Phi(c).$$

Therefore we can find T such that $\Phi(\alpha)(t) \geq a$ for $t \geq T$.

First, we estimate $\Psi(\alpha)(t)$ for $t \in (0, t_1]$.

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(\alpha)(t) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(\alpha(t) - \xi, t)\varphi(\xi)d\xi \\ &= \int_{-d^*}^{d^*} K(-\xi, t)\varphi(\xi)d\xi \\ &\geq \int_{-d^*}^{d^*} K(-d^*, t)\varphi(\xi)d\xi \\ &= K(-d^*, t)A(\varphi). \end{aligned}$$

For a given time $t > 0$, the function $\psi(x, t)$ in (2.1) takes its maximum at $x = 0$. Therefore, from the maximum principle, $\Psi(\alpha)(t)$ is a decreasing function of t on $[0, t_1]$. Hence, on the interval $[0, t_1]$, we have

$$\Psi(\alpha)(t) \geq K(-d^*, t_1)A(\varphi).$$

Next, we estimate $\Psi(\alpha)(t)$ in the interval $[t_1, T]$.

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(\alpha)(t) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(\alpha(t) - \xi, t)\varphi(\xi)d\xi \\ &= \int_{-d^*}^{d^*} K(\alpha(t) - \xi, t)\varphi(\xi)d\xi \\ &\geq \int_{-d^*}^{d^*} K(\alpha(t) + d^*, t)\varphi(\xi)d\xi \\ &= K(\alpha(t) + d^*, t)A(\varphi). \end{aligned}$$

Put $m = \inf_{t_1 \leq t \leq T} \{K(\alpha(t) + d^*, t)\} > 0$. Now we choose M^* any number bigger than $\max\{a/K(-d^*, t_1), a/m\}$. Suppose $\varphi(x)$ be a function such that $S(\varphi) \subset [-d^*, d^*]$ and $A(\varphi) > M^*$. Then $\Psi(\alpha)(t) \geq a$ on $[0, T]$. Since $\Phi(\alpha)(t) \geq a$ for $t \geq T$, we have

$$\Phi(\alpha)(t) + \Psi(\alpha)(t) \geq a, \text{ for } t > 0.$$

Hence $\alpha(t)$ is a lower solution. We have $s(t) \geq \alpha(t)$ for $t \geq 0$ by Theorem 3.2. Therefore $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} s(t) = +\infty$. Thus $\varphi(x)$ is superthreshold. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Next, we prove the subthreshold result.

THEOREM 4.2. *There exist positive constants d^* and m^* such that if $\varphi(x)$ is a function satisfying $S(\varphi) \subset [-d^*, d^*]$ and $A(\varphi) < m^*$, then $\varphi(x)$ is subthreshold.*

Proof. We can choose $x_0 > 0$ such that $0 < \Phi(x_0) < a$ by Lemma 2.1. Choose a positive number $d^* < x_0$. Set $\alpha(t) = x_0$ be a vertical line. Then, for $t \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(\alpha)(t) &= \int_0^t d\tau \int_{-x_0}^{x_0} K(x_0 - \xi, t - \tau) d\xi \\ &\leq \Phi(x_0).\end{aligned}$$

Suppose $\varphi(x)$ be a function such that $S(\varphi) \subset [-d^*, d^*]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi(\alpha)(t) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(x_0 - \xi, t) \varphi(\xi) d\xi \\ &= \int_{-d^*}^{d^*} K(x_0 - \xi, t) \varphi(\xi) d\xi \\ &\leq K(x_0 - d^*, t) A(\varphi).\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} K(x_0 - d^*, t) = 0, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} K(x_0 - d^*, t) = 0.$$

Put $L = \sup_{0 < t < \infty} K(x_0 - d^*, t) < \infty$. We choose m^* a number less than $(a - \Phi(x_0))/L$. Now, if $A(\varphi) < m^*$, then

$$\Phi(\alpha)(t) + \Psi(\alpha)(t) \leq \Phi(x_0) + a - \Phi(x_0) = a,$$

for all $t \geq 0$. Hence $\alpha(t) = x_0$ is an upper solution. Therefore $\varphi(x)$ is subthreshold. Now the proof is complete.

References

1. D. G. Aronson and H. F. Weinberger, *Nonlinear diffusion in population genetics, combustion, and nerve propagation*, Proc. Tulane Program in Partial Differential Equations, Lecture Notes in Math. **446** (1975), 5-49, Springer-Verlag: New York.
2. R. Fitzhugh, *Impulses and physiological states in models of nerve membrane*, Biophys. J. **1** (1961), 445-466.
3. S. P. Hastings, *Some mathematical problems in neurobiology*, Amer. Math. Monthly **82** (1975), 881-895.
4. A. L. Hodgkin and A. F. Huxley, *A quantitative description of membrane current and its application to conduction and excitation in nerve*, J. Physiol. (London) **117** (1952), 500-544.
5. F. John, *Partial Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag: New York, Heidelberg, Berlin, 1983.
6. H. P. McKean, *Nagumo's equation*, Adv. in Math. **4** (1970), 209-223.
7. H. P. McKean, *Stabilization of solutions of a caricature of the Fitzhugh-Nagumo equation*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **36** (1983), 291-324.
8. H. P. McKean and V. Moll, *Stabilization to the standing wave in a simple caricature of the nerve equation*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **39** (1986), 485-529.
9. J. Nagumo, S. Arimoto, and S. Yoshizawa, *An active pulse transmission line simulating nerve axon*, Proc. IRE **50** (1964), 2061-2070.
10. M. H. Protter and H.F. Weinberger, *Maximum Principles in Differential Equations*, Prentice-Hall: Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1967.
11. D. Terman, *A free boundary problem arising from a bistable reaction-diffusion equation*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **14** (1983), 1107-1129.

Department of Mathematics
Soongsil University
Seoul, Korea