

COMPLETE CONVERGENCE FOR WEIGHTED SUMS OF ARRAYS OF RANDOM ELEMENTS

SOO HAK SUNG

1. Introduction

Let $(B, \| \cdot \|)$ be a real separable Banach space. Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) denote a probability space. A random element in B is a function from Ω into B which is \mathcal{F} -measurable with respect to the Borel σ -field $\mathcal{B}(B)$ in B . An array $\{X_{nk}\}$ of random elements in B is said to be uniformly bounded by a random variable X if for all n and k and for each $t > 0$,

$$P(\|X_{nk}\| > t) \leq P(|X| > t).$$

A separable Banach space B is said to be of type p , $1 \leq p \leq 2$, if there exists a constant C such that

$$E \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n X_k \right\|^p \leq C \sum_{k=1}^n E \|X_k\|^p$$

for all independent random elements X_1, \dots, X_n in B with mean zero and finite p -th moments. A sequence $\{U_n\}$ of random elements in B is said to converge completely to zero if for each $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(\|U_n\| > \epsilon) < \infty.$$

Note that complete convergence implies almost surely convergence by Borel Cantelli lemma.

Received August 26, 1994.

1991 AMS Subject Classification: 60F15, 60B12.

Key words: complete convergence, random elements, Banach space.

This paper was supported (in part) by NON DIRECTED RESEARCH FUND, Korea Research Foundation, 1993.

Pruitt[9] investigated the complete convergence of

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} X_k$$

when $\{X_n\}$ are i.i.d. random variables, and $\{a_{nk}, n \geq 1, k \geq 1\}$ is a Toeplitz array. Recall that an array $\{a_{nk}\}$ is a Toeplitz if

- (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{nk} = 0$ for all k , and
- (ii) $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| \leq C$ for all n .

Generalizing a result of Pruitt, Rohatgi[10] proved the following result.

THEOREM 1.1. (Rohatgi[10]) *Let $\{X_n\}$ be a sequence of independent random variables with $EX_n = 0$ for all n which is uniformly bounded by a random variable X with $E|X|^{1+1/r} < \infty$ for some $r > 0$. Let $\{a_{nk}\}$ be a Toeplitz array satisfying $\max_k |a_{nk}| = O(1/n^r)$. Then $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} X_k \rightarrow 0$ completely.*

Padgett and Taylor[7] considered the problem of extending of Rohatgi's theorem for real-valued random variables to Banach-valued random elements. They noted that Rohatgi's theorem can not be extended directly to separable Banach spaces. Wang and Rao[16] extended Rohatgi's result to the uniformly tight random elements. Taylor[14] obtained complete convergence for rowwise independent, uniformly bounded random elements in B-convex space. As a corollary of this result, he obtained a version of Rohatgi's result in B-convex space. The main theorem of Taylor is as follows.

THEOREM 1.2. (Taylor[14]) *Let $\{X_{nk}\}$ be an array of rowwise independent random elements in a separable B-convex space B with $EX_{nk} = 0$ for all n and k . Let $\{a_{nk}\}$ be a Toeplitz array satisfying $\max_k |a_{nk}| = O(1/n^r)$ for some $r > 0$. If $\{X_{nk}\}$ is uniformly bounded by a random variable X with $E|X|^{1+1/r} < \infty$, then $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} X_{nk} \rightarrow 0$ completely.*

The purpose of this paper is to extend Taylor's theorem to general Banach spaces.

The convergence of the form

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_{k=1}^n a_{nk} X_{nk} \rightarrow 0 \text{ completely}$$

can be founded in Bozorgnia, Patterson, and Taylor[2], Sung[12], Taylor and Hu[15], and Wang, Rao and Yang[17], where $\{X_{nk}, 1 \leq k \leq n, n \geq 1\}$ is an array of rowwise independent random elements, and $\{a_{nk}, 1 \leq k \leq n, n \geq 1\}$ is an array of constants. It should be noted that classical limit theorems for independent random variables hold for random elements under the additional condition of convergence in probability; see [1], [3], [4], [5], [6], [11], [12], and [17]. For example, Wang, Rao, and Yang[17] showed that (1.1) holds if and only if

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{k=1}^n a_{nk} X_{nk} \rightarrow 0 \text{ in probability,}$$

when $a_{nk} = 1/n^{1/p}, 1 \leq k \leq n$, for some $1 \leq p < 2$, and $\{X_{nk}\}$ is rowwise independent, uniformly bounded by a random variable X with $E|X|^{2p} < \infty$.

In this paper, we show that $\sum_{k=1}^\infty a_{nk} X_{nk} \rightarrow 0$ completely if and only if $\sum_{k=1}^\infty a_{nk} X_{nk} \rightarrow 0$ in probability under Taylor's conditions without B-convexity. We also obtain the equivalence of $\sum_{k=1}^\infty a_{nk} X_{nk} \rightarrow 0$ in probability and in L^1 under some restrictions on $\{a_{nk}\}$ and $\{X_{nk}\}$.

Throughout this paper, C will always stand for a positive constant which may be different in various places.

2. Main Results

To prove theorem 2.2, we need the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.1. (de Acosta[1]) *Let X_1, \dots, X_n be independent random elements in B with $E\|X_k\|^r < \infty$ for $k = 1, \dots, n$ and $1 \leq r \leq 2$. Then*

$$E\| \|S_n\| - E\|S_n\| \|^r \leq C_r \sum_{k=1}^n E\|X_k\|^r,$$

where $S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n X_k$, and C_r is a positive constant depending only on r .

The next theorem, our first main theorem, shows the equivalence of $\sum_{k=1}^\infty a_{nk} X_{nk} \rightarrow 0$ in probability and in L^1 under some restrictions on $\{a_{nk}\}$ and $\{X_{nk}\}$.

THEOREM 2.2. Let $\{X_{nk}\}$ be an array of rowwise independent random elements in B such that $\max_{n,k} E\|X_{nk}\|^\alpha < \infty$ for some $\alpha > 1$. Let $\{a_{nk}\}$ be a Toeplitz array satisfying $\max_k |a_{nk}| = O(1/n^r)$ for some $r > 0$. Then the following statements are equivalent.

- (i) $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} X_{nk} \rightarrow 0$ in probability as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
- (ii) $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} X_{nk} \rightarrow 0$ in L^1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Since (ii) \Rightarrow (i) is obvious, we will show (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Assume that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} X_{nk} \rightarrow 0$ in probability as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}|$ is bounded, we can choose a sequence $\{r_n\}$ of integers such that

$$\sum_{k=r_n+1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| \leq \frac{1}{n^2}, \quad \text{for each } n.$$

Then

$$(2.1) \quad E \left\| \sum_{k=r_n+1}^{\infty} a_{nk} X_{nk} \right\| \leq \sum_{k=r_n+1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| E\|X_{nk}\| \leq C \frac{1}{n^2} \rightarrow 0,$$

since $\max_{n,k} E\|X_{nk}\|$ is finite. Hence it is enough to show that

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{r_n} a_{nk} X_{nk} \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } L^1.$$

Let $\beta = \min\{2, \alpha\}$. From Lemma 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned} & E \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{r_n} a_{nk} X_{nk} \right\| - E \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{r_n} a_{nk} X_{nk} \right\|^\beta \\ & \leq C \sum_{k=1}^{r_n} E\|a_{nk} X_{nk}\|^\beta \leq C \max_{n,k} E\|X_{nk}\|^\beta \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}|^\beta \\ & \leq C \max_{n,k} E\|X_{nk}\|^\beta \left(\frac{1}{n^r}\right)^{\beta-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

since $\beta > 1$. Hence

$$(2.3) \quad \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{r_n} a_{nk} X_{nk} \right\| - E \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{r_n} a_{nk} X_{nk} \right\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ in probability.}$$

Note that

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^{r_n} a_{nk} X_{nk} \right\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ in probability,}$$

by (i) and (2.1). Combining this result and (2.3) gives the desired result (2.2).

The following lemma plays an essential role in our second main result.

LEMMA 2.3. (Sung[12]) *Let X_1, \dots, X_n be independent random elements in B such that*

$$\|X_k\| \leq b_k, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n,$$

and let $S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n X_k$. Then, for any $t > 0$

$$E[\exp(t\|S_n\|)] \leq \exp\left\{tE\|S_n\| + 2t^2 \sum_{k=1}^n e^{2tb_k} E\|X_k\|^2\right\}.$$

The next theorem extends Rohatgi's result to separable Banach space. It is also a generalization of Taylor's theorem [14].

THEOREM 2.4. *Let $\{X_{nk}\}$ be an array of rowwise independent random elements in a separable Banach space B which is uniformly bounded by a random variable X with $E|X|^{1+1/r} < \infty$ for some $r > 0$. If Toeplitz array $\{a_{nk}\}$ satisfies $\max_k |a_{nk}| = O(1/n^r)$, then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} X_{nk} \rightarrow 0$ in probability as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
- (ii) $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} X_{nk} \rightarrow 0$ completely as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

We need only to prove (i) \Rightarrow (ii). The proof is completed by the following three lemmas, since

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} X_{nk} \right\| \geq \epsilon \right\} \\ & \subset \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} X_{nk} I(\|a_{nk} X_{nk}\| < n^{-\alpha}) \right\| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right\} \\ & \cup \left\{ \|a_{nk} X_{nk}\| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2} \text{ for some } k \right\} \\ & \cup \left\{ \|a_{nk} X_{nk}\| \geq n^{-\alpha} \text{ for at least two values of } k \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The following Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.6 are in Taylor[14](or see Rohatgi[10]). The proof of Lemma 2.7 is different from that of Lemma 3 in Taylor[14]. It seems that the proof of Lemma 3 in Taylor for B-convex Banach space can not be adapted to general Banach spaces.

LEMMA 2.5. *If $E|X|^{1+1/r} < \infty$ and $\max_k |a_{nk}| = O(1/n^r)$, then for every $\epsilon > 0$*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(\|a_{nk}X_{nk}\| \geq \epsilon \text{ for some } k) < \infty.$$

LEMMA 2.6. *If $E|X|^{1+1/r} < \infty$ and $\max_k |a_{nk}| = O(1/n^r)$, then for $\alpha < r/(2r + 2)$*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(\|a_{nk}X_{nk}\| \geq n^{-\alpha} \text{ for at least two values of } k) < \infty.$$

LEMMA 2.7. *If $E|X|^{1+1/r} < \infty$ and $\max_k |a_{nk}| = O(1/n^r)$, then*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}X_{nk} \rightarrow 0 \text{ in probability}$$

implies

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left(\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}X_{nk}I(\|a_{nk}X_{nk}\| < n^{-\alpha})\right\| \geq \epsilon\right) < \infty,$$

where $0 < \alpha < r$.

Proof. Define $Z_{nk} = X_{nk}I(\|a_{nk}X_{nk}\| < n^{-\alpha})$. Then $E\|Z_{nk}\| \leq E|X|$. Let $\{r_n\}$ be as in the proof of Theorem 2.2. By Markov inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left(\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}Z_{nk}\right\| \geq \epsilon\right) \\ & \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left(\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{r_n} a_{nk}Z_{nk}\right\| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left(\left\|\sum_{k=r_n+1}^{\infty} a_{nk}Z_{nk}\right\| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right) \\ & \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left(\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{r_n} a_{nk}Z_{nk}\right\| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right) + \frac{2}{\epsilon} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=r_n+1}^{\infty} E\|a_{nk}Z_{nk}\| \\ & \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left(\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{r_n} a_{nk}Z_{nk}\right\| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right) + \frac{2}{\epsilon} E|X| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence it is enough to show that

$$(2.4) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P \left(\left\| \sum_{k=1}^{r_n} a_{nk} Z_{nk} \right\| > \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right) < \infty.$$

Fix $n \geq 1$. Let $t = 4 \log n / \epsilon$. Since $\|a_{nk} Z_{nk}\| < n^{-\alpha}$, it follows by Markov inequality and Lemma 2.3 that

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & P \left(\left\| \sum_{k=1}^{r_n} a_{nk} Z_{nk} \right\| > \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right) \\ & \leq \exp \left\{ -\frac{\epsilon t}{2} \right\} E \left[\exp \left(t \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{r_n} a_{nk} Z_{nk} \right\| \right) \right] \\ & \leq \exp \left\{ -\frac{\epsilon t}{2} + t E \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{r_n} a_{nk} Z_{nk} \right\| + 2t^2 e^{2t n^{-\alpha}} \sum_{k=1}^{r_n} E \|a_{nk} Z_{nk}\|^2 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we calculate the power of exp in the last expression of (2.5). From triangular inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & E \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{r_n} a_{nk} Z_{nk} \right\| \\ & = E \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{r_n} a_{nk} (X_{nk} - X_{nk} I(\|a_{nk} X_{nk}\| \geq n^{-\alpha})) \right\| \\ & \leq E \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{r_n} a_{nk} X_{nk} \right\| + E \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{r_n} a_{nk} X_{nk} I(\|a_{nk} X_{nk}\| \geq n^{-\alpha}) \right\| \\ & \leq E \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} X_{nk} \right\| + E \left\| \sum_{k=r_n+1}^{\infty} a_{nk} X_{nk} \right\| \\ & \quad + E \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{r_n} a_{nk} X_{nk} I(\|a_{nk} X_{nk}\| \geq n^{-\alpha}) \right\| \\ & \leq E \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} X_{nk} \right\| + \frac{E|X|}{n^2} + E \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{r_n} a_{nk} X_{nk} I(\|a_{nk} X_{nk}\| \geq n^{-\alpha}) \right\| \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

since the first term in the last expression converges to 0 by Theorem 2.2, the second term converges to 0 clearly, and the third term converges

to 0 by the following fact.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & E\left\| \sum_{k=1}^{r_n} a_{nk} X_{nk} I(\|a_{nk} X_{nk} \geq n^{-\alpha}) \right\| \\
 & \leq \sum_{k=1}^{r_n} |a_{nk}| E\|X_{nk}\| I(\|X_{nk}\| \geq Cn^{r-\alpha}) \\
 & \leq E|X| I(|X| > Cn^{r-\alpha}) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| \\
 & \leq CE|X| I(|X| > Cn^{r-\alpha}) \rightarrow 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $E\|a_{nk} Z_{nk}\| < n^{-\alpha}$, we obtain

$$\sum_{k=1}^{r_n} E\|a_{nk} Z_{nk}\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{n^\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^{r_n} E\|a_{nk} Z_{nk}\| \leq \frac{E|X|}{n^\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| \leq C \frac{E|X|}{n^\alpha}.$$

Thus, for any fixed $\eta > 0$, the power of exp in the last expression of (2.5) is bounded by

$$-2 \log n + \eta \log n + C \frac{\log^2 n}{n^\alpha} e^{C \log n / n^\alpha}$$

for all n sufficiently large. Choose η such that $-2 + \eta < -1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp \left\{ -2 \log n + \eta \log n + C \frac{\log^2 n}{n^\alpha} e^{C \log n / n^\alpha} \right\} \\
 & \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp\{-2 \log n + \eta \log n\} < \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the desired result (2.4) holds.

In Theorem 2.4, the convergence in probability is obtained by imposing an additional geometric condition on B .

COROLLARY 2.8. (Taylor[14]) *Let $\{X_{nk}\}$ and $\{a_{nk}\}$ be as in Theorem 2.4. If $EX_{nk} = 0$ and Banach space is B -convex, then*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} X_{nk} \rightarrow 0 \text{ completely.}$$

Proof. By Theorem 2.4, it suffices to show that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} X_{nk} \rightarrow 0 \text{ in probability.}$$

Note that B-convexity implies that B is of type p for some $p > 1$, see Pisier[8] (or Taylor[14]). Let $\beta = \min\{1 + 1/r, p\}$. Since Banach space B is of type β ,

$$\begin{aligned} E\left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} X_{nk} \right\|^{\beta} &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} E\|a_{nk} X_{nk}\|^{\beta} \\ &\leq C E|X|^{\beta} \left(\frac{1}{n^r}\right)^{\beta-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the proof is complete.

References

1. A. de Acosta, *Inequalities for B-valued random vectors with applications to the strong law of large numbers*, Ann. Probab. **9** (1981), 157-161.
2. A. Bozorgnia, R. F. Patterson and R. L. Taylor, *On strong laws of large numbers for arrays of rowwise independent random elements*, Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci. **16** (1993), 587-592.
3. B. D. Choi and S. H. Sung, *On Chung's strong law of large numbers in general Banach spaces*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **37** (1988), 93-100.
4. B. D. Choi and S. H. Sung, *On Teicher's strong law of large numbers in general Banach spaces*, Probability and Mathematical Statistics **10** (1989), 137-142.
5. A. Kuczmaszewska and D. Szynal, *On complete convergence in a Banach space*, Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci. **17** (1994), 1-14.
6. J. Kuelbs and J. Zinn, *Some stability results for vector valued random variables*, Ann. Probab. **7** (1979), 75-84.
7. W. J. Padgett and R. L. Taylor, *Convergence of weighted sums of random elements in Banach spaces and Frechet spaces*, Bull. Inst. Acad. Sinica **2** (1974), 389-400.
8. G. Pisier, *Sur les espaces qui ne contiennent pas de l_n^1 uniformement*, vol. VII. 1-VII., Sem. Maurey-Schwarz, Ecole Polytechnique, Paris, 19.
9. W. E. Pruitt, *Summability of independent random variables*, J. Math. Meth. **4** (1966), 769-776.
10. V. K. Rohatgi, *Convergence of weighted sums of independnet random variables*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **69** (1971), 305-307.

11. S. H. Sung, *Chung-Teicher type strong law of large numbers in Banach space*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **31** (1994), 279-288.
12. S. H. Sung, *Complete convergence for weighted sums of arrays of rowwise independent random variables*, to appear in Stochastic Analysis and Application.
13. R. L. Taylor, *Stochastic Convergence of Weighted Sums of Random Elements in Linear Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **672** (1978).
14. R. L. Taylor, *Convergence of weighted sums of arrays of random elements in type p spaces with application to density estimation*, Sankhya, Series A **44** (1982), 341-351.
15. R. L. Taylor and T. Hu, *Strong laws of large numbers for arrays of rowwise independent random elements*, Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci. **10** (1987), 805-814.
16. X. C. Wang and M. B. Rao, *Some results on the convergence of weighted sums of random elements in separable Banach spaces*, Studia Math. **86** (1987), 131-153.
17. X. C. Wang, M. B. Rao and X. Yang, *Convergence rates on strong laws of large numbers for arrays of rowwise independent random elements*, Stochastic Analysis and Application **11** (1993), 115-132.

Department of Applied Mathematics
Pai Chai University
Taejon 302-735, Korea