

WEAK TYPE INEQUALITY FOR CERTAIN MAXIMAL FUNCTIONS ON SPACES OF HOMOGENEOUS TYPE

YOO, YOON-JAE AND CHOI, EN-HEE

1. Introduction

Classical Fatou's theorem asserts that the non-tangential limit of the Poisson integral of an integrals function on $[-\pi, \pi]$ exists a.e. In [NS] Nagel and Stein generalized this theorem by showing that there are certain approach region $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}_+^{n+1}$, which are not contained in any non-tangential region, but for which the limit

$$\lim_{\Omega \ni (x,r) \rightarrow (x_0,0)} u(x,r)$$

exists a.e. on \mathbf{R}^n . For the complex case Korányi showed a similar result in [K].

In [Su] Sueiro studied a certain maximal operator M_Ω which generalizes the classical Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator to study Poisson-Szego integral on the unit ball in \mathbf{C}^n or on the Siegel half-space by showing that M_Ω is of weak type (1,1). In this paper we are going to characterize condition to be M_Ω of weak type (p,q) , $p, q \geq 1$. In this direction see also [HMS, MW, R, W, We].

2. Preliminaries

Let (X, d, μ) be a space of homogeneous type, i.e., X is a topological space, μ is a positive Borel measure on X , and d is a pseudo-metric on X ; more precisely, we assume that there are constants A and K so that for all $x, y, z \in X$ and all $\delta > 0$,

- i) $d(x, y) \geq 0$; $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$;

Received August 12, 1994.

AMS Classification: 42B25.

Key words and Phrases: weak type inequality, maximal function.

- ii) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$;
- iii) $d(x, z) \leq K[d(x, y) + d(y, z)]$;
- iv) $\mu(B(x, 2\delta)) \leq A\mu(B(x, \delta))$, $\delta > 0$,

where $B(x, \delta) = \{y \in X : d(x, y) < \delta\}$ form a basis for the topology of X . Property iv) is referred to the doubling property of μ . For details, see [CW1].

Suppose that there is a given set $\Omega_{x_0} \subset X \times (0, \infty) \equiv X^+$ for each $x_0 \in X$. Let Ω be the family $\{\Omega_{x_0} : x_0 \in X\}$. We define a maximal function associated with Ω as follows. For $f \in L^1_{loc}(X, d\mu)$ and $x_0 \in X$ set

$$\mathcal{M}_\Omega f(x_0) = \sup_{(x,r) \in \Omega_{x_0}} \frac{1}{|B(x,r)|} \int_{B(x,r)} |f(y)|d\mu,$$

where $|B(x, \delta)| = \mu(B(x, \delta))$ for simplicity.

For $(x, r) \in X^+$, and $\alpha > 0$, define

$$S_\alpha(x, r) = \{x_0 \in X : \Omega_{x_0}(r) \cap B(x, \alpha r) \neq \phi\},$$

where

$$\Omega_{x_0}(r) = \{x \in X : (x, r) \in \Omega_{x_0}\}$$

is the cross section of Ω_{x_0} of height $r > 0$.

Let (u, w) be a pair of non-negative measurable functions on X . Let T be an operator. If there exists a constant C so that

$$u(\{|Tf| > \lambda\}) \leq C \left\{ \frac{\|f\|_{p,w}}{\lambda} \right\}^q, \quad 1 \leq p \leq \infty, \quad 1 \leq q < \infty,$$

then T is said to be of weak type (p, q) with respect to weight (u, w) . For $q = \infty$, if $\|Tf\|_{q,u} \leq C\|f\|_{p,w}$, then T is also said to be weak type $(p, q) = (p, \infty)$ with respect to weight (u, w) . Denote

$$u(A) = \int_A u d\mu \quad \text{and} \quad \|f\|_{p,w} = \left(\int |f|^p w d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

as usual.

A pair of weights (u, w) is of the class $A_{p,q}(\Omega)$ if

$$\frac{u(S_\alpha(x, r))}{|B(x, r)|^q} \left(\int_{B(x, b(\alpha)r)} w^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} d\mu \right)^{q(1-\frac{1}{p})} \leq C(\alpha, K),$$

if $1 < p < \infty, 1 \leq q < \infty$ and

$$\frac{u(S_\alpha(x, r))}{w(B(x, b(\alpha)r))^q} \leq C(\alpha, K), \quad \text{if } p = 1, 1 \leq q < \infty,$$

for some constants $C(\alpha, K)$ and $b(\alpha) = b(\alpha, K)$.

Note that the constants need not be same at each occurrence

3. Weak type inequality of \mathcal{M}_Ω

The following lemma is given in [CW2]. See also [Su] for slightly improved one.

LEMMA 1. Let E be a bounded subset of X . Suppose that $r(x)$ is a positive number for each $x \in E$. Then there is a sequence of disjoint balls $\{B(x_i, r(x_i))\}, x_i \in E$, such that

$$E \subset \cup_i B(x_i, 4Kr_i), \quad r_i = r(x_i),$$

where K is the constant in the triangle inequality (iii). Furthermore, every $x \in E$ is contained in some ball $B(x_i, 4Kr_i)$ satisfying $r(x) \leq 2r_i$.

THEOREM 1. Suppose \mathcal{M}_Ω is of weak type (p, q) with respect to a weight (u, w) , $1 < p, q < \infty$, with respect to a pair of weights (u, w) . Then $(u, w) \in A_{p, q}(\Omega)$.

Proof. Assume that f is nonnegative without loss of generality. Let \mathcal{M}_Ω is of weak type (p, q) . Let $x_0 \in S_\alpha(x, r)$. Then there exists $y \in X$ such that

$$y \in \Omega_{x_0}(r) \cap B(x, \alpha r).$$

If $d(y, z) < r$, then

$$\begin{aligned} d(z, x) &\leq K(d(z, y) + d(y, x)) \\ &\leq K(\alpha r + r) \\ &= rK(\alpha + 1), \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$(1) \quad B(y, r) \subset B(x, K(\alpha + 1)r).$$

In the same fashion,

$$B(x, \delta) \subset B(y, K(\delta + \alpha r))$$

for all $\delta > 0$. If we choose δ so that $K(\delta + \alpha r) = r$, then

$$B(x, b(\alpha)r) \subset B(y, r)$$

for some $b(\alpha)$. In fact, $b(\alpha) = \frac{1}{K} - \alpha$. Now let χ_A be the characteristic function of a set A . Then from (1) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_\Omega(f\chi_{B(x, K(\alpha+1)r)})(x_0) &\geq \frac{1}{|B(y, r)|} \int_{B(y, r)} f\chi_{B(x, K(\alpha+1)r)} d\mu \\ &\geq \frac{1}{|B(y, r)|} \int_{B(y, r)} f d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Put

$$f_{B(y, r)} = \frac{1}{|B(y, r)|} \int_{B(y, r)} f d\mu$$

for simplicity. If

$$0 < t < f_{B(y, r)},$$

then

$$S_\alpha(x, r) \subset \{\mathcal{M}_\Omega(f\chi_{B(x, K(\alpha+1)r)}) > t\}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} u(S_\alpha(x, r)) &\leq u(\{\mathcal{M}_\Omega(f\chi_{B(x, K(\alpha+1)r)}) > t\}) \\ &\leq \frac{C}{t^q} \left(\int_{B(x, K(\alpha+1)r)} f^p w d\mu \right)^{\frac{2}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$t^q u(S_\alpha(x, r)) \leq C \left(\int_{B(x, K(\alpha+1)r)} f^p w d\mu \right)^{\frac{2}{p}}$$

and therefore

$$f_{B(y, r)}^q u(S_\alpha(x, r)) \leq C \left(\int_{B(x, K(\alpha+1)r)} f^p w d\mu \right)^{\frac{2}{p}},$$

or

$$(2) \quad \frac{u(S_\alpha(x, r))}{|B(y, r)|^q} \left(\int_{B(y, r)} f d\mu \right)^q \leq C \left(\int_{B(x, K(\alpha+1)r)} f^p w d\mu \right)^{\frac{q}{p}}.$$

Since $B(y, r) \subset B(x, K(\alpha+1)r)$ by (1), if we replace f by $f\chi_{B(y, r)}$ in (2), then

$$(3) \quad \frac{u(S_\alpha(x, r))}{|B(y, r)|^q} \left(\int_{B(y, r)} f d\mu \right)^q \leq C \left(\int_{B(y, r)} f^p w d\mu \right)^{\frac{q}{p}}.$$

Replace again f by $f\chi_{B(x, b(\alpha)r)}$ in (3) to obtain

$$(4) \quad \frac{u(S_\alpha(x, r))}{|B(y, r)|^q} \left(\int_{B(x, b(\alpha)r)} f d\mu \right)^q \leq C \left(\int_{B(x, b(\alpha)r)} f^p w d\mu \right)^{\frac{q}{p}}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} |B(y, r)| &\leq |B(x, K(\alpha+1)r)| \\ &\leq C|B(x, r)| \end{aligned}$$

by the doubling property, we have

$$(5) \quad \frac{u(S_\alpha(x, r))}{|B(x, r)|^q} \left(\int_{B(x, b(\alpha)r)} f d\mu \right)^q \leq C \left(\int_{B(x, b(\alpha)r)} f^p w d\mu \right)^{\frac{q}{p}}.$$

Suppose $p > 1$. Replacing f by $w^{\frac{1}{1-p}}$ so that $f = f^p w$, we obtain

$$\frac{u(S_\alpha(x, r))}{|B(x, r)|^q} \left(\int_{B(x, b(\alpha)r)} w^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} d\mu \right)^{q(1-\frac{1}{p})} \leq C.$$

Suppose $p = 1$. If $q \geq 1$, then set $f \equiv 1$ to obtain

$$\frac{u(S_\alpha(x, r))}{w(B(x, b(\alpha)r))^q} \leq C,$$

and this completes the proof. ||||

THEOREM 2. Assume that Ω satisfies the following conditions i) and ii):

- i) If $x_0 \in X$, $(x, r) \in \Omega_{x_0}$ and $s \geq r$, then $(x, s) \in \Omega_{x_0}$
- ii) A weight $(u, w) \in A_{p,q}(\Omega)$, $p, q > 1$.

Then \mathcal{M}_Ω is of weak type (p, q) .

Proof. The proof is motivated in the argument in [Su]. Assume $f \geq 0$ without loss of generality. Suppose $(u, w) \in A_{p,q}$.

Let

$$E_\lambda = \left\{ x \in X : \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{|B(x, r)|} \int_{B(x, r)} f d\mu > \lambda \right\}$$

for any $\lambda > 0$. For each $x \in E_\lambda$ let

$$r(x) = \sup \left\{ r > 0 : \frac{1}{|B(x, r)|} \int_{B(x, r)} f d\mu > \lambda \right\}$$

Then following the argument in the proof of theorem 1.5 [Su], we have

$$(1) \quad \{M_\Omega f > \lambda\} \subset \cup_i S_\alpha \left(x_i, \frac{4K}{\alpha} r_i \right).$$

Thus

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} & u(\{M_\Omega f > \lambda\}) \\ & \leq \sum_i u \left(S_\alpha \left(x_i, \frac{4K}{\alpha} r_i \right) \right) \\ & \leq C \sum_i \left| B \left(x_i, \frac{4K}{\alpha} r_i \right) \right|^q \left(\int_{B(x_i, b(\alpha) \frac{4K}{\alpha} r_i)} w^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} d\mu \right)^{-q(1-\frac{1}{p})}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$b(\alpha) \frac{4K}{\alpha} = \left(\frac{1}{K} - \alpha \right) \frac{4K}{\alpha} = 4 \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} - K \right) \geq 1,$$

we have

$$(3) \quad B \left(x_i, b(\alpha) \frac{4K}{\alpha} r_i \right) \supset B(x_i, r_i).$$

Then it follows from (3) and Hölder inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4) \quad & u(\{\mathcal{M}_\Omega f > \lambda\}) \\
 & \leq C \sum_i |B(x_i, r_i)|^q \left(\int_{B(x_i, r_i)} w^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} d\mu \right)^{-q(1-\frac{1}{p})} \\
 & \leq \frac{C}{\lambda^q} \sum_i \left(\int_{B(x_i, r_i)} f^p w d\mu \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \left(\int_{B(x_i, r_i)} w^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} d\mu \right)^{q(\frac{p-1}{p})-q(\frac{p-1}{p})} \\
 & \leq \frac{C}{\lambda^q} \left(\int_X f^p w d\mu \right)^{\frac{q}{p}}. \\
 & = \frac{C}{\lambda^q} \|f\|_{L^p(w d\mu)}^q.
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that the last inequality in (4) is used by the fact that $\{B(x_i, r_i)\}$ is a disjoint family. This proves that \mathcal{M}_Ω is of weak type (p, q) with respect to the weight (u, w) .

For the unbounded case a similar argument is valid and this completes the proof. ||||

For $x_0 \in X$, set

$$\hat{\Omega}_{x_0} = \{(x, r) \in X^+ : (x, s) \in \Omega_{x_0} \text{ for some } s \leq r\}$$

and set

$$\hat{S}_\alpha(x, r) = \{x_0 \in X : \hat{\Omega}_{x_0}(r) \cap B(x, \alpha r) \neq \emptyset\}.$$

In this context we define a maximal function $\mathcal{M}_{\hat{\Omega}} f$ by

$$\mathcal{M}_{\hat{\Omega}} f(x_0) = \sup_{(x, r) \in \Omega_{x_0}} \frac{1}{|B(x, r)|} \int_{B(x, r)} |f| d\mu.$$

Also we can define $\hat{A}_{p, q}$ condition as follows. A weight (u, w) is of the class $A_{p, q}(\hat{\Omega})$ if

$$\frac{u(\hat{S}_\alpha(x, r))}{|B(x, r)|^q} \left(\int_{B(x, b(\alpha)r)} w^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} d\mu \right)^{q(1-\frac{1}{p})} \leq C(\alpha, K),$$

if $1 < p < \infty$, $1 \leq q < \infty$, and

$$\frac{u(\hat{S}_\alpha(x, r))}{(w(B(x, b(\alpha)r)))^q} \leq C(\alpha, K),$$

if $p = 1$, $1 \leq q < \infty$ for some constant $C(\alpha, K)$ and constant $b(\alpha) = b(\alpha, K)$.

THEOREM 3. *If \mathcal{M}_Ω is of weak type (p, q) with respect to weight (u, w) then $(u, w) \in A_{p, q}(\hat{\Omega})$.*

Proof. As in the proof of theorem 1, if $x_0 \in \hat{S}_\alpha(x, r)$, then $B(y, r) \subset B(x, K(\alpha + 1)r)$ for some $(y, r) \in \hat{\Omega}_{x_0}$. But then $(y, s) \in \Omega_{x_0}$ for $s \leq r$. Hence $B(y, s) \subset B(x, K(\alpha + 1)r)$. Also $B(x, b(\alpha)r) \subset B(y, r)$ for some $b(\alpha)$. The remaining part of the proof follows those arguments in the proof of theorem 1. ||||

THEOREM 4. *If $(u, w) \in A_{p, q}(\hat{\Omega})$, then \mathcal{M}_Ω is of weak type (p, q) with respect to (u, w) .*

Proof. Suppose $(u, w) \in A_{p, q}(\hat{\Omega})$. Since $S_\alpha(x, r) \subset \hat{S}_\alpha(x, r)$, (u, w) satisfies the condition (ii) of theorem 2. Hence $\mathcal{M}_{\hat{\Omega}}$ is of weak type (p, q) . Since $\mathcal{M}_\Omega f \leq \mathcal{M}_{\hat{\Omega}} f$ for all f , \mathcal{M}_Ω is of weak type (p, q) . This completes the proof. ||||

References

- [CW1] R. Coifman and G. Weiss, *Analyse harmonique non-commutative sur certains espaces homogène*, Lecture Notes in Math. **242** (1971), Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- [CW2] R. Coifman and G. Weiss, *Extensions of Hardy spaces and their use in analysis*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **4** (1977), 569-645.
- [HMS] Harboure, E., Marcias, R. A., and Segovia, C, *Boundedness of fractional operators on L^p spaces with different weights*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **285** (1984), 629-647.
- [K] A. Korányi, *Harmonic functions on hermitian hyperbolic spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **135** (1969), 507-516.
- [MW] Mukenhought, B., and Wheeden, R. L., *Weighted norm inequalities for fractional integral*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **192** (1974), 261-274.
- [NS] A. Nagel and E. M. Stein, *On certain maximal functions and approach regions*, Adv. in Math. **54** (1984), 83-106.
- [R] Rubio de Francia, J. L., *Boundedness of maximal functions and singular integral in weighted L^p spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **83** (1981), 673-679.

- [Su] J. Sueiro, *On maximal functions and Poisson-Szegö integrals*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **298** (1986), 653-669.
- [W] Welland, G. V., *Weighted norm inequalities for fractional integrals*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **51** (1975), 143-148.
- [We] *Weighted norm inequalities for certain maximal operators with approach region*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1494** (1988), 169-175.

Department of Mathematics
Teachers College
Kyungpook University
Taegu 702-701, Korea