PSEUDO-UMBILICAL SURFACES IN A PSEUDO-RIEMANNIAN SPHERE OR A PSEUDO-HYPERBOLIC SPACE

YOUNG HO KIM AND YOUNG WOOK KIM

1. Introduction

The notion of finite type submanifold was introduced by B.-Y. Chen [1]. A lot of works were done in this field of study by many authors. B.-Y. Chen also extended this notion to pseudo-Riemannian submanifold of pseudo-Euclidean space ([2]). Let E_s^m be the m-dimensional pseudo-Euclidean space with metric tensor of the form

$$\tilde{g} = -\sum_{i=1}^{s} dx_i^2 + \sum_{i=s+1}^{m} dx_i^2$$

where (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) in a rectangular coordinate system in E_s^m . Let M be a connected n-dimensional pseudo-Riemannian submanifold of E_s^{m+1} with signature (r, n-r). We then have the Laplacian Δ of M acting on the space of smooth functions on M. M is said to be k-type if the component functions of position vector x of M in E_s^m can be expressed as a finite sum of eigenfunctions of Δ :

(1.1)
$$x = x_0 + x_{i_1} + x_{i_2} + \dots + x_{i_k},$$

$$\Delta x_{i_i} = l_{i_i} x_{ij}, l_{i_1} < l_{i_2} < \dots < l_{i_k}$$

for some natural number k where x_0 is a constant map, x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k} non constant maps. A k-type submanifold is said to be null k-type if one of l_{i_1}, \dots, l_{i_k} is zero. Let c be a point of E_s^m and r > 0. We put

$$S_s^m(c,r) = \{ x \in E_s^{m+1} | \langle x - c, x - c \rangle = r^2 \},$$

$$H_s^m(c,r) = \{ x \in E_{s+1}^{m+1} | \langle x - c, x - c \rangle = -r^2 \},$$

Received November 23, 1993.

AMS 1991 subject classification: 53B30, 53C40

Key words and phrases: pseudo-Riemannian manifolds, finite-type, pseudo-umbilical.

Partially supported by TGRC-KOSEF.

where \langle , \rangle denotes the indefinite inner product. It is known that $S^m_s(c,r)$ and H^m_s are complete pseudo-Riemannian manifolds of signature (s,m-s) with constant sectional curvatures $1/r^2$ and $-1/r^2$ respectively. $S^m_s(c,r)$ is simply connected if s < m-1 and $S^m_{m-1}(c,r)$ is connected and has infinite cyclic fundamental group. $S^m_m(c,r)$ has two simply connected components. $S^m_s(c,r)$ and $H^m_s(c,r)$ are respectively called the pseudo-Riemannian sphere with center c and the pseudo-hyperbolic space with center c. B.-Y. Chen ([2]) studied 1-type compact space like submanifolds of $S^m_s(c,r)$ and $H^m_s(c,r)$ and classified them. A vector X of E^{m+1}_s is called spacelike (timelike or lightlike, respectively) if $\langle X,X\rangle \geq 0$ ($\langle X,X\rangle < 0, \langle X,X\rangle = 0$ and $X \neq 0$). By a hyperplane section N of S^m_s (or of H^m_{s-1}) we mean the intersection of S^m_s (or of H^m_{s-1}) and the hyperplane L of E^{m+1}_{s-1} . In particular, the hyperplane section is called the null hypersection if the normal vector to the hyperplane is a null vector in E^{m+1}_s (see [6]).

Let M be an n-dimensional pseudo-Riemannian submanifold of an m-dimensional pseudo-Riemannian manifold \tilde{M} . Let e_1, e_2, \cdots, e_n be an orthonormal basis for the tangent bundle TM and e_{n+1}, \cdots, e_m an orthonormal normal basis for the normal bundle $T^{\perp}M$. Since M is pseudo-Riemannian submanifold of \tilde{M} , the tangent bundle of \tilde{M} can be decomposed as $T\tilde{M} = TM \oplus T^{\perp}M$. Let ϕ be a smooth endomorphism of $T_pM, p \in M$. We then define the trace ϕ as follows:

$$tr\phi = \sum_{i} \epsilon_{i} \langle \phi e_{i}, e_{i} \rangle, \epsilon_{i} = \langle e_{i}, e_{i} \rangle = \pm 1.$$

Thus, the mean curvature vector H of M is given by

$$H = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} \epsilon_{i} h(e_{i}, e_{i}),$$

where h is the second fundamental form of M. M is said to be pseudoumbilical if $A_H = \rho I$, $\langle H, H \rangle \neq 0$ where A is the weingarten map, ρ a smooth function, and I the identity transformation.

REMARK. ([6]) Null hypersections with nonvanishing mean curvature vector are of infinite type.

In this article, we study 2-type pseudo-umbilical surface in a pseudo-Riemannian sphere or a pseudo-hyperbolic space.

2. Main results

Let \tilde{M} be an isometric immersion of a surface in a pseudo-Riemannian sphere $S_s^m(c,r)$ or a pseudo-hyperbolic space $H_{s-1}^m(c,r)$ in E_s^{m+1} and let $x:M\longrightarrow \tilde{M}$ be an isometric immersion of a surface M into \tilde{M} with respect to induced metric. We can identify x with the position vector. We may assume that c is the origin of E_s^{m+1} and r=1. We simply call M pseudo-spherical if x(M) lies in S_s^m or H_{s-1}^m . Let H,A,h,D be the mean curvature vector, the Weingarten map, the second fundamental form and the normal connection of M in E_s^{m+1} and H',A',h',D' the corresponding terms of M in \tilde{M} .

Since x is the unit vector normal to \tilde{M} , Dx = 0. We also denote by $\tilde{\nabla}$ and ∇ the Levi-Civita connections of E_s^{m+1} and M, respectively. Then we have $H = H' - \epsilon x$, where $\epsilon = 1$ if $\tilde{M} = S_s^m$ and $\epsilon = -1$ if $\tilde{M} = H_{s-1}^m$. It is easily seen that $A'_{H'} = A_{H'}$ and D'H' = DH'. Thus, one can compute

(2.1)
$$\Delta H = \Delta^{D'} H' + 2\epsilon H' + \sum_{i} \epsilon_{i} h'(A_{H'} e_{i}, e_{i}) - (\epsilon tr A_{H'} + 2)x + tr \bar{\nabla} A_{H'},$$

where $\Delta^{D'}H' = -\sum \epsilon_i (D'_{e_i}D'_{e_i}H' - D'_{\nabla_{e_i}e_i}H')$ and $tr\bar{\nabla}A_{H'}$ = $tr\nabla A_{H'} + trA_{DH'} = \sum_i \epsilon_i \{(\nabla_{e_i}A_{H'})e_i + A_{D_{e_i}H'}e_i\}$ for some orthonormal basis e_1, e_2 of TM. Suppose M is pseudo-umbilical in \tilde{M} . Then, $A'_{H'} = \epsilon'(\alpha')^2I$, where $\epsilon' = \text{sign}(H', H')$ and $|\langle H', H' \rangle|^{1/2} = \alpha'$, that is, α' is the mean curvature of M in \tilde{M} . We can easily see that M is pseudo-umbilical in \tilde{M} if and only if so is M in E_s^{m+1} . We now suppose M is of 2-type. Then, the position vector x of M in E_s^{m+1} can be written as $x = x_0 + x_p + x_q$, $\Delta x_p = \lambda_p x_p$, $\Delta x_q = \lambda_q x_q$. Since $\Delta x = -2H$, it follows that

(2.2)
$$\Delta H = bH + c(x - x_0), \quad b = \lambda_p + \lambda_q, \quad c = \frac{\lambda_p \lambda_q}{2}.$$

Since x is a unit normal vector field to M, (2.1) and (2.2) give

$$-\epsilon(\epsilon tr A_{H'} + 2) = -b + c\epsilon - \epsilon \langle x, x_0 \rangle,$$

or

(2.3)
$$c\langle x, x_0 \rangle = \epsilon(2 + \epsilon tr A_{H'} + c - \epsilon b).$$

Let X be any tangent vector field to M. From (2.1) we get

$$\langle \Delta H, X \rangle = \langle tr \bar{\nabla} A_{H'}, X \rangle.$$

On the other hand, (2.2) yields

$$\langle \Delta H, X \rangle = -c \langle x_0, X \rangle.$$

Differentiating (2.3) covariantly, we get

$$c\langle X, x_0 \rangle = X(trA_{H'}),$$

from which,

$$tr\bar{\nabla}A_{H'}=-2\mathrm{grad}\langle H',H'\rangle,$$

or,

$$(2.4) c(x_0)^T = 2\operatorname{grad}\langle H', H' \rangle,$$

where $(x_0)^T$ denotes the tangential component of x_0 . Quite a similar work to get (4.7) in pp. 270, [1] gives

(2.5)
$$\Delta H = \Delta^D H + \operatorname{grad}\langle H, H \rangle + 2trA_{DH} + \sum_r \epsilon_r tr(A_H A_r) e_r,$$

where $A_r = A_{e_r}$, $\{e_r\}$ an orthonormal normal frame and $\langle e_r, e_r \rangle = \epsilon_r$. Since Dx = 0 and DH' = D'H', the fact that M is pseudo-umbilical together with (2.5) yields

(2.6)
$$\Delta H = \Delta^{D'} H' + \operatorname{grad} \langle H', H' \rangle + 2 \operatorname{tr} A_{D'H'} + 2 \langle H, H \rangle H.$$

Thus, (2.2) and (2.6) produce

$$\Delta^{D'}H' + \operatorname{grad}\langle H', H' \rangle + 2trA_{D'H'} + 2\langle H, H \rangle (H' - \epsilon x)$$

= $b(H' - \epsilon x) + c(x - x_0),$

or,

(2.7)
$$\Delta^D H + 2\langle H, H \rangle H + \operatorname{grad}\langle H', H' \rangle + 2tr A_{DH} = bH + c(x - x_0).$$

It follows that

$$-c(x_0)^T = \operatorname{grad}\langle H', H' \rangle + 2tr A_{D'H'}.$$

Combining the last equation and (2.4), we see

(2.8)
$$3\operatorname{grad}\langle H', H'\rangle - 2\operatorname{tr} A_{D'H'} = 0.$$

LEMMA 1. Let M be a pseudo-umbilical surface in \tilde{M} . Then, we have

$$trA_{DH}=0.$$

Consequently, $tr A_{D'H'} = 0$.

Proof. Let $\{e_1, e_2\}$ be a local orthonormal frame over M. Then,

$$\begin{split} trA_{DH} &= \sum_{i} \epsilon_{i}A_{De_{i}H}e_{i} = \sum_{i,j} \epsilon_{i}\epsilon_{j}\langle A_{De_{i}H}e_{i}, e_{j}\rangle e_{j} \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \epsilon_{i}\epsilon_{j}\langle h(e_{i}, e_{j}), D_{e_{i}H}\rangle e_{j} \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \epsilon_{i}\epsilon_{j}\{e_{i}\langle H, h(e_{i}, e_{j})\rangle - \langle H, D_{e_{i}}h(e_{i}, e_{j})\rangle \} e_{j} \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \epsilon_{i}\epsilon_{j}\{e_{i}\langle A_{H}e_{i}, \epsilon_{j}\rangle - \langle H, (\bar{\nabla}_{e_{i}}h)(e_{i}, e_{j}) + h(\nabla_{e_{i}}e_{j}, e_{i}) \\ &+ h(\nabla_{e_{i}}e_{i}, e_{j})\rangle \} e_{j} \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \epsilon_{i}\epsilon_{j}\{e_{i}(\langle H, H\rangle)\langle e_{i}, e_{j}\rangle - \langle H, (\bar{\nabla}_{e_{j}}h)(e_{i}, e_{i})\rangle \\ &- \langle A_{H}e_{i}, \nabla_{e_{i}}e_{j}\rangle - \langle A_{H}e_{j}, \nabla_{e_{i}}e_{i}\rangle \} \epsilon_{j} \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \epsilon_{i}\epsilon_{j}\{e_{i}(\langle H, H\rangle)\langle e_{i}, e_{j}\rangle - \langle H, D_{e_{j}}h(e_{i}, e_{i})\rangle \\ &+ 2\langle H, h(\nabla_{e_{j}}e_{i}, e_{i})\rangle \} e_{j} \\ &= 0 \quad \text{since} \quad A_{H} = \langle H, H\rangle I. \quad ||||| \end{split}$$

Therefore, (2.8) implies $\langle H', H' \rangle$ is constant on M and so is $\langle H, H \rangle$. From (2.4), we can have either c = 0 or $(x_0)^T = 0$. If c = 0, then M is of null 2-type. It follows from (2.7)

(2.9)
$$\Delta^D H = 0 \text{ and } b = 2\langle H, H \rangle.$$

However, M cannot have a parallel mean curvature vector due to Lemma 2 in [2]. If $c \neq 0$, then $(x_0)^T = 0$, that is, x_0 is a constant normal vector field. Suppose $x_0 \neq 0$. (2.3) implies that x lies in a hyperplane in E_s^m and we may regard M as a pseudo-umbilical surface of hyperplane sections. Thus, M can be considered as an open portion of S_t^2 (or H_{t-1}^2) or null hypersection, which is not of 2-type. Therefore, x_0 must be the zero vector. Hence, we have

THEOREM 2. Let M be a pseudo-umbilical 2-type surface in S_s^m or H_{s-1}^m . Then, M with non-parallel mean curvature vector has constant mean curvature and one of the following occurs:

- (1) If M is of null 2-type, then M has a harmonic mean curvature vector with respect to normal connection.
 - (2) If M is of non-null 2-type, then x_0 is the zero vector.

COROLLARY 3. If M is a compact spacelike pseudo-umbilical non-null 2-type surface in S_s^m or H_{s-1}^m , then M is mass-symmetric.

COROLLARY 4. There is no Lorentzian pseudo-umbilical 2-type surface with parallel mean curvature vector in S^m_s or H^m_{s-1} .

THEOREM 5. Let M be a pseudo-umbilical 2-type surface in S_s^m or H_{s-1}^m . Then m must be bigger than 4.

Proof. Let M be pseudo-umbilical 2-type surface of S_s^4 or H_{s-1}^4 with mean curvature vector H'. We denote by $\epsilon'\beta^2 = \langle H', H' \rangle$ where $\epsilon' = \operatorname{sign}\langle H', H' \rangle$ and $\beta = |\langle H', H' \rangle|^{\frac{1}{2}} > 0$. We choose an orthonormal basis e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 of TS_s^4 or TH_{s-1}^4 such that e_1, e_2 are tangent to M and e_3, e_4 normal to M with $A_3 = \epsilon'\beta I$ and $trA_4 = 0$. We denote by w^1, w^2, w^3, w^4 the dual 1-forms. Then the connection forms w_A^B are given by

$$de_A = \sum_B w_A^B \otimes e_B, \quad w_A^B + \epsilon_A \epsilon_B w_B^A = 0,$$

where $\epsilon_A = \langle e_A, e_A \rangle$, A = 1, 2, 3, 4. We obtain the following from the above equations

(2.10)
$$dw^{A} = \sum_{C=1}^{4} w^{C} \wedge w_{C}^{A},$$

(2.11)
$$dw_A^B = \sum_{C=1}^4 w_A^C \wedge w_C^B + \Omega_A^B,$$

where $\Omega_A^B = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{C,D} R_{ACD}^B w^C \wedge w^D$, $R_{ACD}^B = \epsilon_B \langle R(e_C, e_D) e_A, e_B \rangle$ and R is the Riemann-Christoffel curvature tensor of S_s^4 or H_{s-1}^4 .

We put

$$(2.12) h = \sum_{i,i,t} h_{ij}^t w^i \otimes w^j \otimes e_t,$$

where $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ and $t \in \{3, 4\}$. Then we have

(2.13)
$$w_i^t = \sum_j h_{ij}^t w^j, \quad \langle A_t e_i, e_j \rangle = \epsilon_t h_{ij}^t,$$

where $A_t = A_{e_t}$. Since $A_3 = \epsilon_3 \beta I$,

$$(2.14) w_i^3 = \beta \epsilon_i w^i \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

Making use of (2.10), we get

(2.15)
$$dw_i^3 = \beta \epsilon_i dw^i = \beta \epsilon_i \sum_{j=1}^2 w^j \wedge w_j^i$$

because β is constant. On the other hand, if we use (2.11) and the fact that S_S^4 or H_{S-1}^4 is of constant curvature, then (2.14) implies

$$dw_{i}^{3} = \sum_{j=1}^{2} w_{i}^{j} \wedge w_{j}^{3} + w_{i}^{4} \wedge w_{4}^{3}$$

$$= \epsilon_{j} \beta \sum_{j=1}^{2} w_{i}^{j} \wedge w^{j} + w_{i}^{4} \wedge w_{4}^{3} = \epsilon_{i} \beta \sum_{j=1}^{2} w^{j} \wedge w_{j}^{i} + w_{i}^{4} \wedge w_{4}^{3}.$$

It follows that

$$(2.17) w_i^4 \wedge w_4^3 = 0 (i = 1, 2).$$

We now split the cases:

Case (1). Suppose M is timelike or spacelike. Without loss of generality, we may assume M is spacelike. Then, (2.16) implies Then, (2.17) implies

$$w_i^4(e_j)w_4^3(e_k) - w_i^4(e_k)w_4^3(e_j) = 0.$$

Since $w_i^4(e_j) = h_{ij}^4$, we get

(2.18)
$$h_{ij}^4 w_4^3(e_k) - h_{ik}^4 w_4^3(e_j) = 0.$$

Since $trA_4 = 0$, (2.18) yields

(2.19)
$$\sum_{i} h_{ik}^{4} w_{4}^{3}(e_{i}) = 0.$$

Let $M_0 = \{ p \in M | w_4^3(p) \neq 0 \}$. Suppose $M_0 \neq \phi$. Then, (2.17) and (2.19) implies

$$w_i^4 = 0$$

on M_0 . (2.11) and the last equation give

$$0 = dw_i^4 = \sum_B w_i^B \wedge w_B^4 = w_i^3 \wedge w_3^4 = \beta w^i \wedge w_3^4,$$

from which,

$$w_3^4 \wedge w^i = 0$$
 on M_0 .

This implies $w_3^4 = 0$ on M_0 , which is a contradiction. Therefore, $M_0 = \phi$, that is, w_3^4 vanishes on M. Hence, e_3 is parallel in the normal bundle, that is, the mean curvature vector is parallel. By applying Lemma 2 and Proposition 1 in [2] again, we see that M is minimal in hyperplane sections which is a contradiction (see [6]).

Case (2). Let M be Lorentzian. Then, the Weingarten map A_4 has one of the following forms ([4]):

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 1 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix}$$

for some functions λ, μ, a and b, where the first and the last representations are induced by an orthonormal frame and the second one is obtained by an pseudo-orthonormal frame $\{e_1, e_2\}$ on M satisfying $\langle e_1, e_1 \rangle = \langle e_2, e_2 \rangle = 0$, $\langle e_1, e_2 \rangle = 1$. Since M is pseudo-umbilical, we can choose an orthonormal frame $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ such that e_1 and e_2 are tangent to M, $A_3 = \epsilon_3 \beta I$ and A_4 has one of the following:

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} -1/2 & -1/2 \\ 1/2 & 1/2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ -b & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Suppose $A_4 = \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$. If we assume $\epsilon_1 = \langle e_1, e_1 \rangle = -1$ and $\epsilon_2 = \langle e_2, e_2 \rangle = 1$, then we get $(2.20) \qquad \qquad w_i^4 = (-1)^i \epsilon_i \epsilon_4 \lambda w_i^4.$

which together with (2.17) gives

$$\lambda w^i \wedge w_4^3 = 0 \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

Since e_3 cannot be parallel, λ vanishes on M. Therefore, M is totally umbilical in S_3^4 or H_{s-1}^4 , which is not of 2-type.

Suppose $A_4 = \begin{pmatrix} -1/2 & -1/2 \\ 1/2 & 1/2 \end{pmatrix}$. Then, $w_1^4 = w_2^4 = \frac{\epsilon_4}{2}(w^1 + w^2)$. It follows that

$$(2.21) w_3^4(e_1) = w_3^4(e_2)$$

because of (2.17). The structure equation combined with (2.21) implies $dw_3^4 = 0$.

Then, the distribution

$$\mathcal{D} = \{ X \in TM | w_3^4(X) = 0 \}$$

is integrable and parallel because of (2.21), where TM is the set of vector fields on M. The integral submanifold of \mathcal{D} is a null curve γ . It is easy to see that γ is a geodesic in M. Let $\dot{\gamma} = X$ and let $\{X,Y\}$ be a pseudo-orthonormal frame such that $\langle X,X\rangle = \langle Y,Y\rangle = 0$ and $\langle X,Y\rangle = 1$. Then, Y generates the complementary distribution \mathcal{D}^{\perp} such that $TM = \mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{\perp}$. \mathcal{D}^{\perp} is also integrable and parallel. The integral submanifold of \mathcal{D}^{\perp} is also a null curve $\tilde{\gamma}$.

On the other hand, we can see that γ and $\tilde{\gamma}$ are totally geodesic in S_s^4 or H_{s-1}^4 and they are null straight lines in E_s^5 (see [7], pp 112-113). Thus, M is an open portion of Lorentz plane E_1^2 that is not pseudo-umbilical in S_s^4 or H_{s-1}^4 .

If
$$A_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ -b & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
, then
$$w_1^4 = -\epsilon_4 b w^2, \quad w_2^4 = -\epsilon_4 b w^1.$$

The relationships above and (2.17) yield $w_4^3 = 0$, which is contrary to our assumption. Thus the theorem is proved. |||||

References

- 1. Chen, B. -Y., Total mean curvature and submanifolds of finite type, World Science, 1984.
- 2. Chen, B. -Y., Finite-type submanifolds in pseudo-Euclidean spaces and applications, Kodai Math. J. 8 (1985), 358-374.
- 3. Garay, O., Pseudo-umbilical 2-type surfaces in spheres, Canad. Math. Bull. 33 (1990), 65-68.
- Magid, M., Lorentzian isoparametric hypersurfaces, Pacific J. Math. 118 (1985), 165-190.
- 5. Kim, Y. H., 2-type pseudo-umbilical pseudo-Riemannian submanifolds, to appear.
- 6. Kim, D.-S. and Kim, Y. H., Biharmonic submanifolds of the pseudo-Riemann ian space forms, to appear.
- O'Neill, B., Semi-Riemannian geometry with applications to relativity, Academic Press,, 1983.

Young Ho Kim Department of Mathematics Teachers College Kyungpook National University Taegu 702-701, Korea

Young Wook Kim Department of Mathematics Korea University Seoul 136-731, Korea.