

ON THE RATE FUNCTION OF CONVERGENCE IN LARGE DEVIATION PROBABILITY

DAE-SIK HWANG

In [1], Cramér introduced the first large deviation theorem at a probability symposium in 1938. Since 1938, there have been many developments in the large deviation theorems.

Donsker and Varadhan [2, 3] have developed a powerful machinery in a series of papers to deal with many old and new problems in estimates of large deviation probabilities.

Ellis [5] and Gärtner [6] obtained useful and surprising generalizations in the dependence of the random variables using the moment generating functions.

Large deviation questions have been successfully dealt with for various stochastic processes [4, 10, 11].

Let $\{X_n : n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of real-valued independent random variables with a common distribution F and mean m , and we denote $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ for each integer n . The strong law of large numbers tells us that the sample mean $\frac{S_n}{n}$ of independent random variables converges to the true mean m almost surely. The first large deviation results were those of Cramér (1938) and Chernoff (1952). Cramér studied the problem of finding the rate at which the probability of $P\left\{\frac{S_n}{n} \in (a, b)\right\}$ tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for $a < b$ and $m \notin [a, b]$. Also Chernoff's theorem says that the probability of the sample mean being away from the true mean vanishes exponentially fast in the number of samples. This is called a large deviation result because it provides an estimate for the probability that the sample mean will make a large deviation about its typical behavior.

We assume that the readers are familiar with the language of random measures. As a good reference for details, Kallenberg's book [8] may be

Received April 11, 1994.

1991 AMS Subject Classification: 60F10.

Key words: Rate of convergence, Rate function, Random measure.

consulted. Let β^d denote the collection of Borel subsets of R^d . M will denote the set of Random Borel measures on R^d , so that if $\mu \in M$, then it is finite on bounded Borel sets. Let Ξ be the σ -algebra of subsets of M generated by sets of the form $\{\mu \in M \mid \mu(B) < k\}$ for a bounded Borel set B and a non-negative real number k . If B is a Borel set, then we let $\mu(B)$ be the random amount of mass the measure μ gives to B .

DEFINITION 1. A random measure X is a measurable function from $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, P)$ to (M, Ξ) , where $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, P)$ is a fixed probability space. The induced measure $Px = P \circ X^{-1}$ on (M, Ξ) is the distribution of X .

Here, let N be a random measure on (R^d, β^d) . All random measures will be assumed to be stationary, i.e., with a translation invariant distribution. The most well known random measures are the Poisson point process with intensity $\alpha > 0$ and the Poisson center cluster process.

Given $N \in M$ and $f \in C_0(R^d)$, we define the integral $N(f)$ of f with respect to N by $N(f) = \int_R^\alpha f(x) N(dx) = \int f dN$.

Suppose that $\frac{N_\gamma(f)}{\gamma^d}$ converges to some constant $E \left[\frac{N_\gamma(f)}{\gamma^d} \right]$ a.r. as $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$ for an ergodic $N \in M$ and $f \in C_0(R^d)$.

This paper is concerned with rate of convergence of this time average. That is to say, we shall check that $I_B(\mu)$ is a rate function.

Let \tilde{N}_γ (denoted by μ_τ , later) be a random measure restricted to $M[B]$. We define f_τ and \tilde{N}_τ by $f_\tau(x) = f\left(\frac{x}{\tau}\right)$ and $\tilde{N}_\tau(f) = \tilde{N}(f_\tau)$.

We also define the cumulant generating functional of μ_r as follows:

$$\Phi_{\mu_r}(f) = \frac{1}{r^d} \cdot \log E \left[e^{\mu_r(f)} \right] \quad \text{for } f \in C[B].$$

We shall assume here that

- (a) Each function $\Phi_{\mu_r}(f)$ is finite for every $f \in C[B]$, and
- (b) $\Phi_\mu(f) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_{\mu_r}(f)$ (we denote $\Phi_\mu(f)$ by $\Phi(f)$) exists and is also finite for $f \in C[B]$.

The Cramér transform $I_B(\mu)$ of $\Phi(f)$ is defined as the convex conjugate of $\Phi(f)$, i.e.,

$$I_B(\mu) = \sup_{f \in C[B]} \{\mu(f) - \Phi(f)\} \quad \text{for a bounded Borel subset } B \text{ in } R^d.$$

From now on, let B be a compact set in R^d .

FACT1 $I_B(\mu)$ is non-negative on $M[B]$, i.e., $0 \leq I_B(\mu) \leq \infty$ for $\mu \in M[B]$:

We take a zero function f on B . Then $\mu(f) - \Phi(f) = 0$. So, $I_B(\mu) \geq 0$. This implies that $I_B(\mu) \in [0, \infty]$.

FACT2 $I_B(\mu)$ is lower semicontinuous and convex on $M[B]$:

Since $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu$ if and only if $\mu_n(f) \rightarrow \mu(f)$ for $f \in C[B]$, $\mu(f)$ is continuous for μ . Thus $\mu(f) - \Phi(f)$ is continuous. Sup of continuous function is lower semicontinuous. $I_B(\mu)$ is clearly convex by the Hólder's inequality. Thus $I_B(\mu)$ is a lower semicontinuous and convex function.

From FACT 1 and 2, we can get the following theorem.

THEOREM 2. A function $I_B(\cdot)$ is a rate function such that

- (i) $I_B(\mu)$ is non-negative,
- (ii) $I_B(\mu)$ is lower semicontinuous and
- (iii) $I_B(\mu)$ has compact level sets, i.e., the set $\{\mu \in M[B] : I_B(\mu) < \alpha\}$ is compact in $M[B]$ for each real $\alpha < \infty$.

Proof. From FACT 1, 2, it suffices to show that $I_B(\mu)$ has compact level sets. Let $K_\alpha = \{\mu \in M[B] : I_B(\mu) < \alpha\}$ for real $\alpha < \infty$. Since $C[B]$ is separable, let $f_0, f_1, \dots, f_m, \dots$ be countable dense subsets. Let us take $f_0 = 1_B$. We take any sequence $\{\mu_n\}$ in K_α and f_m in $C[B]$. Then, $\mu_n(f_m) \leq \alpha + \Phi(f_m)$. By the diagonal process, $\{\mu_n\}$ has a subsequence $\{\mu_{nk}\}$ such that $\mu_{nk}(f_m)$ converges for $f_0, f_1, \dots, f_m, \dots$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int f_0 d\mu_{nk}$ exists, let the value denote by $\mu_{n_\infty}(B)$. Thus, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that, for n_∞ big enough, $|\mu_{n_\infty}(B) - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int 1_B d\mu_{nk}| < \delta$. For every continuous function f_m in $C[B]$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int f_m d\mu_{nk}$ exists and $\|f_m - f\| \leq \varepsilon$.

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int f d\mu_{nk} &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int (f - f_m) d\mu_{nk} + \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int f_m d\mu_{nk} \\ &\leq \varepsilon \cdot \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int 1_B d\mu_{nk} + \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int f_m d\mu_{nk}. \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$(1) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int f d\mu_{nk} \leq \varepsilon \cdot \mu_{n_\infty}(B) + \liminf_m \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int f_m d\mu_{nk}.$$

Similarly, replacing f_n by f ,

$$(2) \quad \limsup_m \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int f_m d\mu_{n_k} \leq \varepsilon \cdot \mu_{n_\infty}(B) + \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int f d\mu_{n_k}.$$

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ from (1) and (2), we get

$$\limsup_m \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int f_m d\mu_{n_k} \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int f d\mu_{n_k} \leq \liminf_m \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int f_m d\mu_{n_k}.$$

So, the limit exists.

Define $\mu(f) := \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int f d\mu_{n_k}$.

μ is obviously linear because of the linearity of an integral, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(f + g) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int (\alpha f + g) d\mu_{n_k} \\ &= \alpha \cdot \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int f d\mu_{n_k} + \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int g d\mu_{n_k} \\ &= \alpha \mu(f) + \mu(g) \text{ for } \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } f, g \in C[B]. \end{aligned}$$

$\mu(f)$ defines a non-negative linear functional on $C[B]$. By the Markov-Riesz representaton theorem, the limiting linear function is represented as an integral and $\mu(f) = \int f d\mu$.

So,

$$\mu(f) = \int f d\mu = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int f d\mu_{n_k}.$$

This means that μ_{n_k} converges to μ weakly. Therefore, K_α is compact.

DEFINITION 3. X is called a Poisson point process with intensity $\alpha > 0$ if

- (1) $X(A)$ is a Poisson random variable with parameter $\alpha|A|$ for every bounded Borel subset A in \mathbb{R}^d and
- (2) If A_1, \dots, A_n are disjoint bounded Borel subsets of \mathbb{R}^d , then $X(A_1), \dots, X(A_n)$ are independent Poisson random variables with respective parameters $\alpha|A_1|, \dots, \alpha|A_n|$, where $|\cdot|$ denotes Lebesgue measure.

DEFINITION 4. Let U be a stationary Poisson process on R^d with intensity $\alpha > 0$. V is a point process which has finite total expected mass, $\zeta = E[V(R^d)]$. Let x_i be the random occurrences of U and let $\{V_i\}$ be independent identically distributed (i.i.d) copies of V that are also independent of U . The resulting cluster process X is said to be a Poisson center cluster process, which is defined by superimposing i.i.d. copies of V centered at the occurrences of U .

In other words, If A is a bounded Borel subset of R^d , then X is defined by

$$X(A) = \sum_{x_i} V_i(A - x_i).$$

Note that $E[X(A)] = \alpha\zeta|A|$.

EXAMPLE 5. (a) For the case of a Poisson random measure with $\alpha > 0$, we get the rate function $I_B(\mu)$ such that

$$I_B(\mu) = \sup_{f \in C[B]} \left\{ \mu(f) - \alpha \cdot \int_B (e^f - 1) dx \right\}.$$

In particular, if $f = 1_A(x)$ for a bounded Borel set A of R^d ,

$$I_A(x) = x \cdot \log \left[\frac{x}{\alpha} |A| \right] - x + \alpha|A| \quad (\text{see [7]}).$$

(b) In the case of a Poisson center cluster random measure, we get the rate function $I_B(\mu)$ such that

$$I_B(\mu) = \sup_{f \in C[B]} \left\{ \mu(f) - \alpha \cdot \int_B \left[e^{V[B](f)} - 1 \right] dx \right\}.$$

If $f = 1_A(x)$ for a bounded Borel set A of R^d ,

$$I_A(x) = \sup_{t \in R} \{ tx + \alpha|A| - \alpha|A|M_{V(R^d)}(t) \} \quad (\text{see [7]}).$$

References

1. Cramér, H., *Sur un nouveau théorème limite de la théorie des probabilités*, Actualités Sci. Indust. 736 (1938), 5-23.
2. Donsker, M. D. and Varadhan, S. R., *Asymptotic evaluation of certain Markov process expectations for large time. III*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 29 (1976), 389-461.
3. Donsker, M. D. and Varadhan, S. R., *Asymptotic evaluation of certain Markov process expectations for large time. II*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 28 (1975a), 1-47 (1975b), 279-301.
4. Ellis, R., *Entropy, Large Deviations and Statistical Mechanics*, Berlin-Heidelberg-New-York, Springer, 1985.
5. Ellis, R., *Large deviations for a general class of dependent random vectors*, Ann. Probab 12 (1984), 1-12.
6. Gärtner, J., *On large deviations from the invariant measure*, Theor. Probab. Appl 22 (1977), 24-39.
7. Hwang, D. S., *Large deviation principles of random variables obtained by scaling random measure*, Comm. Korean Math. Soc. 8 (1993), 529-543.
8. Kallenberg, O., *Random Measures*, Academic Press, New York, 1983.
9. Rockafellar, R. T., *Convex analysis*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1970.
10. Stroock, D. W., *An introduction to the theory of large deviations*, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York-Tokyo, Springer, 1984.
11. Varadhan, S. R. S., *Large deviations and applications*, SIAM CBMS-NSF Regional Conf., 1984.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY BOARD, AIR FORCE ACADEMY, CHUNGBUK 363-840, KOREA