# A CHARACTERIZATION OF PROJECTIVE GEOMETRIES

## Young-Jin Yoon

# 1. Introduction

The most fundamental examples of (combinatorial) geometries are projective geometries PG(n-1,q) of dimension n-1, representable over GF(q), where q is a prime power. Every upper interval of a projective geometry is a projective geometry. The Whitney numbers of the second kind are the Gaussian coefficients. Every flat of a projective geometry is modular, so the projective geometry is supersolvable in the sense of Stanley [6].

The characteristic polynomial  $p(G, \lambda)$  of a geometry G of rank n is defined by

$$p(G,\lambda) = \sum_{a \in L(G)} \mu(\hat{0},a) \lambda^{n-r(a)}$$

where L(G) is the lattice of flats of G and  $\mu$  is the Möbius function of L(G).

In this paper, we give a characterization of projective geometries in terms of their characteristic polynomials and some other conditions.

Our notation and terminology follow those in [7,8]. To clarify our terminology, let G be a finite geometric lattice. If S is the set of points (or rank-one flats) in G, the lattice structure of G induces the structure of a (combinatorial) geometry, also denoted by G, on S. The size |G| of the geometry G is the number of points in G. Let G be a subset of G. The deletion of G from G is the geometry on the point set  $G \cap G$  obtained by restricting G to the subset  $G \cap G$ . The contraction  $G \cap G$  of G by G is the geometry induced by the geometric lattice G is clarify our terminology, let G be a finite geometry induced by the geometric lattice G of G by G is the geometry induced by the geometric lattice G is clarify our terminology, let G be a finite geometry induced by the geometric lattice G be a finite geometry induced by the geometric lattice G is the geometry induced by the geometric lattice G is the geometry induced by the geometric lattice G is the geometry induced by the geometric lattice G is the geometry induced by the geometric lattice G is the geometry induced by the geometric lattice G is the geometry induced by the geometric lattice G is the geometry induced by the geometric lattice G is the geometry induced by the geometry induced by G is the geometry induced by the geometry induced by G is the geometry induced by the geometry induced by G is the geometry induced by the geometry induced by G is the geometry induc

Received January 17, 1994.

<sup>1991</sup> AMS Subject Classification: 05B35.

Key words: characteristic polynomial, upper homogeneous, modular copoint.

#### Young-Jin Yoon

the set S' of all flats in G covering cl(T). (Here, cl(T) is the closure of T, and  $\hat{1}$  is the maximum of the lattice G.) Thus, by definition, the contraction of a geometry is always a geometry. A geometry which can be obtained from G by deletions or contractions is called a *minor* of G.

## 2. Preliminaries

A geometry G is said to be upper homogeneous if for  $k = 1, 2, ..., r(G), G/x \cong G/y$  for every pairs x, y of flats of rank k. Kahn and Kung [4] defined splitting in geometries. A geometry G splits if G is the union of two of its proper flats. And G is said to be non-splitting otherwise.

LEMMA 2.1. [9] If a geometry G is upper homogeneous, has a modular copoint, and |G| > r(G), then G is non-splitting.

LEMMA 2.2. Let G be an upper homogeneous geometry having a modular copoint. Then G is supersolvable. Let  $\emptyset < x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_{n-1} < x_n = G$  be a maximal chain of modular flats of G. Let  $a_i$  be the number of points in  $x_i$  but not in  $x_{i-1}$  for each  $i = 2, 3, \ldots, n$ . Then we have  $a_i \le a_{i+1}$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$ .

Proof. Let n be the rank of G and let  $x_{n-1}$  be a modular copoint of G. Then  $[\hat{0}, x_{n-1}] \cong G/a$  for a point a not in  $x_{n-1}$ . Since G is upper homogeneous, it follows that  $[\hat{0}, x_{n-1}] \cong G/b$  for a point b in  $x_{n-1}$ . Thus  $x_{n-1}$  is upper homogeneous and has a modular copoint  $x_{n-2}$  of  $x_{n-1}$  such that  $[\hat{0}, x_{n-2}] \cong x_{n-1}/b$ . It follows that  $x_{n-2}$  is a modular coline of G. By repeating the same arguments, we have a maximal chain  $\emptyset < x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_{n-1} < G$  of modular flats in G. Thus G is supersolvable. Let a be a point in  $x_i$  but not in  $x_{i-1}$  for some i. Since  $x_{i+1}/a \cong [\hat{0}, x_i]$  and  $x_i/a \cong [\hat{0}, x_{i-1}]$ , it implies that  $a_i = |x_i| - |x_{i-1}| \le |x_{i+1}| - |x_{i+1}/a| = |x_{i+1}| - |x_i| = a_{i+1}$ . Thus  $a_i \le a_{i+1}$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$ .

A geometry is *modular* if all of its flats are modular. The following propositions give characterizations of modular geometries.

PROPOSITION 2.3. [1] A geometry is modular if and only if it is the direct sum of projective geometries or points.

PROPOSITION 2.4. [3] A geometry G is modular if and only if the number of points in G is the same as the number of copoints in G.

The Whitney numbers of a geometry G of rank n are defined by

$$w(n,s) = \sum_{r(x)=n-s} \mu(\hat{0},x),$$

the coefficient of  $\lambda^s$  in the characteristic polynomial; and

$$W(n,s) = \sum_{r(x)=n-s} 1,$$

the number of flats of rank n-s. The most well-known examples are the following (See Dowling[2, p.75]):

(1) If  $G = B_n$ , the Boolean algebra of rank n, then

$$w(n,s) = (-1)^{n-s} \binom{n}{s}$$
 and  $W(n,s) = \binom{n}{s}$ .

(2) If G = PG(n - 1, q), then

$$w(n,s) = (-1)^{n-s} q^{\binom{n-s}{2}} \binom{n}{s}_q \quad and \quad W(n,s) = \binom{n}{s}_q,$$

where  $\binom{n}{s}_q$  is the Gaussian coefficient,

$$\binom{n}{s}_{q} = \frac{(q^{n}-1)\dots(q^{n-s+1}-1)}{(q^{s}-1)\dots(q-1)}.$$

Each of these examples are classes of geometries which satisfy the hypotheses of the following theorem due to Dowling.

THEOREM 2.5. [2] Let  $\{G_n : n = 1, 2, ...\}$  be a class of geometries such that  $G_n$  is of rank n, and, for all flats x in  $G_n$  of rank n - s

 $(0 \le s \le n)$ , the interval  $[x, \hat{1}]$  is isomorphic to  $G_s$ . Let w(n, s), W(n, s) be the Whitney numbers of  $G_n$ . Then

$$\sum_{s} W(n,s)w(s,t) = \delta(n,t),$$

$$\sum w(n,s)W(s,t)=\delta(n,t),$$

and the numbers w(n,s), W(n,s) satisfy the inverse relations

$$a_n = \sum_s W(n,s)b_s, \quad b_n = \sum_s w(n,s)a_s.$$

# 3. Main Theorem

THEOREM 3.1. Let q be a power of prime. If a geometry G is upper homogeneous, has a modular copoint, and  $p(G; \lambda) = (\lambda - 1) (\lambda - q)(\lambda - q^2) \dots (\lambda - q^{n-1})$ , then  $G \cong PG(n-1,q)$ .

**Proof.** By Lemma 2.2, G is supersolvable. Let  $\emptyset < x_1 < x_2 < \ldots < x_{n-1} < G$  be a maximal chain of modular flats of G. Let  $a_i$  be the number of points in  $x_i$  but not in  $x_{i-1}$  for  $i = 2, 3, \ldots, n$ . Then the modular factorization theorem [5] implies that  $p(G; \lambda) = (\lambda - 1)(\lambda - a_2)(\lambda - a_3) \ldots (\lambda - a_n)$ . By Lemma 2.2, we have  $a_i \leq a_{i+1}$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$ . Thus we can conclude that  $a_i = q^{i-1}$  for  $i = 2, 3, \ldots, n$ .

We prove this theorem by induction on n. For n=1 and n=2, the theorem is true. Assume it holds for a geometry of rank less than n. Let a be a point in G. Then G/a is upper homogeneous and has a modular copoint and  $p(G/a;\lambda) = p(x_{n-1};\lambda) = (\lambda-1) (\lambda-q)(\lambda-q^2) \dots (\lambda-q^{n-2})$ . By the induction hypothesis,  $G/a \cong PG(n-2,q)$  for every point a in G.

Since projective geometries are modular, Proposition 2.4 implies that W(s,1) is the same as the number of points in PG(s-1,q). Thus  $W(s,1)=\frac{q^s-1}{q-1}=\binom{s}{1}_q$  for  $s=1,2,\ldots,n-1$ . By Theorem 2.5, we have

$$\sum_{s} w(n,s)W(s,t) = \delta(n,t).$$

Let t = 1 and n > 1. Then we have

$$\begin{split} W(n,1) &= -\sum_{s=1}^{n-1} w(n,s) W(s,1) \\ &= -\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-s} q^{\binom{n-s}{2}} \binom{n}{s}_q \binom{s}{1}_q \\ &= -\sum_{s=0}^{n} (-1)^{n-s} q^{\binom{n-s}{2}} \binom{n}{s}_q \binom{s}{1}_q + \binom{n}{1}_q = \binom{n}{1}_q \\ &= W(n,n-1). \end{split}$$

Thus Proposition 2.4 implies that G is modular. Also Lemma 2.1 implies that G is non-splitting and so G is connected. Since G is a connected modular geometry, by Proposition 2.3, we can conclude that G is isomorphic to a projective geometry. Therefore  $G \cong PG(n-1,q)$ .

### References

- G. Birkhoff, Lattice Theory, 3rd. ed., Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. XXV, Amer Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1967.
- T. A. Dowling, A class of geometric lattices based on finite groups, J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B 14 (1973), 61-86.
- C. Greene, A rank inequality for finite geometric lattices, J. Combin. Theory 9 (1970), 357-364.
- J. Kahn and J. P. S. Kung, Varieties of combinatorial geometries, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 271 (1982), 485-499.
- R. P. Stanley, Modular elements of geometric lattices, Algebra Universalis 1 (1971), 214-217.
- 6. \_\_\_\_\_, Supersolvable lattices, Algebra Universalis 2 (1972), 197-217.
- 7. D. J. A. Welsh, Matroid Theory, Academic Press, London, 1976.
- 8. N. L. White, Theory of Matroids, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1986.
- 9. Young-jin Yoon, Characterizations of partition lattices, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 31 (1994), 237-242.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KUNSAN NATIONAL UNIVERSITY