# HOLOMORPHIC SECTIONAL CURVATURE OF THE TANGENT BUNDLE\*

JIN SUK PAK, YOI SOOK PAHK AND JUNG-HWAN KWON

#### 1. Introdution

In order to investigate the differential structure of a Riemannian manifold (M, g), it seems a powerful tool to study the differential structure of its tangent bundle TM. In this point of view, K. Aso [1] studied, using the Sasaki metric  $\tilde{g}$ , the relation between the curvature tensor on (M, g) and that on  $(TM, \tilde{g})$ .

On the other hand T. Nagano [2], S. Tachibana and M. Okumura [5] showed that  $(TM, \tilde{g})$  has an almost complex structure  $\phi$ , and furthermore this structure  $\phi$  is the almost Hermitian structure with respect to  $\tilde{g}$ . By virtue of these results, it is natural to consider the holomorphic sectional curvature on  $(TM, \tilde{g})$ , and to study the relation between the holomorphic sectional curvature of  $(TM, \tilde{g})$  and that of (M, g). In this paper, we study the above relation, and we obtain the following theorem as an improvement of K. Also's result [1].

THEOREM. If the holomorphic sectional curvature of  $(TM, \tilde{g})$  is bounded, then (M, g) is flat.

Manifolds, geometric objects and mappings we discuss in this paper will be assumed to be differentiable and of class  $C^{\infty}$ . Throughout this paper, the indices h, i, j run over the range  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and the indices  $\lambda, \kappa, \mu$  over the range  $\{1, 2, \dots, 2n\}$ , and the summation convention is used with respect to those systems of indices.

Received February 27, 1993.

<sup>\*</sup>This research was partially supported by TGRC-KOSEF.

### 2. Preliminaries

Let (M, g) be an n-dimensional connected Riemannian manifold and  $(TM, \tilde{g})$  be its tangent bundle, where  $\tilde{g}$  denotes the Sasaki metric. Let  $\nabla$  (resp.  $\tilde{\nabla}$ ) be the Levi-Civita connection on (M, g) (resp.  $(TM, \tilde{g})$ ) and R (resp.  $\tilde{R}$ ) the curvature tensor of  $\nabla$  (resp.  $\tilde{\nabla}$ ).

We first of all recall the almost complex structure  $\phi$  which is due to T.Nagano [2].

Let  $\{U; x^i\}$  be local coordinates of a point  $p \in M$ , then a tangent vector  $\xi$  at p, which is an element of TM, is expressible in the form  $(x^i, x^{n+i})$ , where  $x^{n+i}$  are components of  $\xi$  with respect to the natural frame  $\partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ . We may consider  $(x^i, x^{n+i})$  as local coordinates of TM.

Put  $\Gamma_i^h = \{i^h_j\} x^{n+j}$ , where  $\{i^h_j\}$  denotes the Christoffel symbols formed by the Riemannian metric  $g_{ij}$ . If we define  $\phi_{\lambda}^{\kappa}$  with respect to each local coordinates  $(x^i, x^{n+i})$  of TM by

(2.1) 
$$\phi_{i}^{h} = \Gamma_{i}^{h} \quad \phi_{i}^{n+h} = -\delta_{i}^{h} - \Gamma_{i}^{j} \Gamma_{j}^{h},$$
$$\phi_{n+i}^{h} = \delta_{i}^{h}, \quad \phi_{n+i}^{n+h} = -\Gamma_{i}^{h},$$

then we can see that  $\phi_{\lambda}{}^{\kappa}\phi_{\kappa}{}^{\mu}=-\delta_{\lambda}^{\mu}$  holds. Hence,  $\phi$  defines an almost comlex structure on TM.

From now on we denote by  $M_p$  the tangent space at  $p \in M$ . Let  $\pi: TM \longrightarrow M$  be the tangent bundle of M and  $TM_{(p,\xi)}$  the tangent space at  $(p,\xi) \in TM$ . We write simply  $\xi$  instead of  $(p,\xi)$ .

Let  $\xi \in TM$  with  $\pi \xi = p$ . We define the connection map  $K : TM_{\xi} \longrightarrow M_p$  as follows:

For any  $X \in TM_{\xi}$ , let  $\xi(t): (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \longrightarrow TM$  be a curve in TM such that  $\xi'(0) = X$ . Then  $\xi(t)$  can be regarded as the vector field along the curve  $\sigma(t) = \pi \circ \xi(t)$  in M. We define  $KX = (\nabla_{\dot{\sigma}})\xi(0)$ , where  $\nabla$  be the Levi-Civita connection on M.

Let  $d\pi: TTM \longrightarrow TM$  be the differential of the projection  $\pi$ . Then the kernel  $H_{\xi}$  of K and the kernel  $V_{\xi}$  of  $d\pi$  are both n-dimensional disjoint subspaces of  $TM_{\xi}$ , which are called the horizontal and vertical subspaces at  $\xi$ , respectively. For any vector  $v \in M_p$  and  $\xi \in \pi^{-1}(p)$ , there exists a unique vector  $v^* \in H_{\xi}$  (resp.  $*v \in V_{\xi}$ ) such that  $d\pi v^* = v$ 

(resp.  $K^*v = v$ ), which will be called the horizontal (resp. vertical) lift of v to  $\xi$ . We define the metric  $\tilde{g}$  on TM by

(2.2) 
$$\tilde{g}(X,Y)(\xi) = g(d\pi X, d\pi Y)(p) + g(KX, KY)(p)$$

for  $X,Y \in TM_{\xi}$  and  $\pi\xi = p$ . This metic  $\tilde{g}$  is called Sasaki metric on TM, which together with  $\phi$  defined in (2.1) determines an almost Hermitian structure on TM [5]. A vector field on TM is called an associated vector field with  $d\pi$  and K if  $d\pi X(\xi_1) = d\pi X(\xi_2)$  and  $KX(\xi_1) = KX(\xi_2)$ , whenever  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$  are points in the same fiber.

The space of associated vector fields with  $d\pi$  and K on TM is denoted by  $\mathcal{X}_{*}(TM)$ . Hereafter we put  $X_{\pi} := d\pi X$  and  $X_{K} := KX$  for the vector field  $X \in \mathcal{X}_{*}(TM)$ .

## 3. The curvature and holomorphic sectional curvature on TM

Let  $\xi(t): (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \longrightarrow TM$  be a curve in TM with  $\xi(0) = \xi$  and  $\sigma(t) = \pi \circ \xi(t)$  with  $p = \sigma(0)$ . Let X(t) be a vector field along  $\xi(t)$  in TM, and let  $\{\pi^{-1}(U); (x^1, \dots, x^n, x^{n+1}, \dots, x^{2n})\}$  a coordinate neighborhood system of TM, where  $\{U: (x^1, \dots, x^n)\}$  is a coordinate neighborhood system of M. Put  $\xi(t) = (x^1(t), \dots, x^n(t), x^{n+1}(t), \dots, x^{2n}(t))$  and  $X(t) = X^i(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + X^{n+i}(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{n+i}}$ .

By the relation between Christoffel symbols on TM and those on M (cf. [1], [3]), it follows that

(3.1) 
$$d\pi(\tilde{\nabla}X) = \nabla(X_{\pi}) + \frac{1}{2}R(\nabla\xi,\xi)X_{\pi} + \frac{1}{2}R(X_{K},\xi)\sigma',$$
$$K(\tilde{\nabla}X) = \nabla(X_{K}) + \frac{1}{2}R(\sigma',X_{\pi})\xi,$$

where the parameter t is omitted.

Let  $\xi(t): (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \longrightarrow TM$  be an integral curve of  $X \in \mathcal{X}_*(TM)$ . Then, from (2.2), we have

(3.2) 
$$d\pi(\tilde{\nabla}_X Y)(\xi)$$

$$= \nabla_{X_{\pi}} Y_{\pi}(p) + \frac{1}{2} R(X_K, \xi) Y_{\pi}(p) + \frac{1}{2} R(Y_K, \xi) X_{\pi}(p),$$

$$K(\tilde{\nabla}_X Y)(\xi) = \nabla_{X_{\pi}} Y_K(p) \frac{1}{2} R(X_{\pi}, Y_{\pi}) \xi(p)$$

for any  $Y \in \mathcal{X}_*(TM)$  (cf. [1]). Since  $[X,Y] = \tilde{\nabla}_X Y - \tilde{\nabla}_Y X$ , it is clear that

(3.3) 
$$d\pi[X,Y](\xi) = [X_{\pi}, Y_{\pi}](p),$$

$$K[X,Y](\xi) = \nabla_{X_{\pi}} Y_{K}(p) - \nabla_{Y_{\pi}} X_{K}(p) + R(X_{\pi}, Y_{\pi})\xi(p)$$

(cf. [1]). The restriction of the vector field  $\tilde{\nabla}_Y Z$  to the image of  $\xi(t)$  is also denoted by the same notation. Then we have

$$(3.4) d\pi(\tilde{\nabla}_{X}(\tilde{\nabla}_{Y}Z))$$

$$= \nabla_{X_{\pi}}\nabla_{Y_{\pi}}Z_{\pi} + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_{X_{\pi}}R)(Y_{K},\xi)Z_{\pi} + \frac{1}{2}R(\nabla_{X_{\pi}}Y_{K},\xi)Z_{\pi}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2}R(Y_{K},X_{K})Z_{\pi} + \frac{1}{2}R(Y_{K},\xi)\nabla_{X_{\pi}}Z_{\pi} + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_{X_{\pi}}R)(Z_{K},\xi)Y_{\pi}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2}R(\nabla_{X_{\pi}}Z_{K},\xi)Y_{\pi} + \frac{1}{2}R(Z_{K},X_{K})Y_{\pi} + \frac{1}{2}R(Z_{K},\xi)\nabla_{X_{\pi}}Y_{\pi}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2}R(X_{K},\xi)\nabla_{Y_{\pi}}Z_{\pi} + \frac{1}{4}R(X_{K},\xi)R(Y_{K},\xi)Z_{\pi}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{4}R(X_{K},\xi)R(Z_{K},\xi)X_{\pi} + \frac{1}{2}R(\nabla_{Y_{\pi}}Z_{K},\xi)X_{\pi}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{4}R(R(Y_{\pi},Z_{\pi})\xi,\xi)X_{\pi},$$

$$K(\tilde{\nabla}_{X}(\tilde{\nabla}_{Y}Z))$$

$$= \nabla_{X_{\pi}}\nabla_{Y_{\pi}}Z_{K} + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_{X_{\pi}}R)(Y_{\pi},Z_{\pi})\xi + \frac{1}{2}R(\nabla_{X_{\pi}}Y_{\pi},Z_{\pi})\xi$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2}R(Y_{\pi},\nabla_{X_{\pi}}Z_{\pi})\xi + \frac{1}{2}R(Y_{\pi},Z_{\pi})X_{K} + \frac{1}{2}R(X_{\pi},\nabla_{Y_{\pi}}Z_{\pi})\xi$$

$$+ \frac{1}{4}R(X_{\pi},R(Y_{K},\xi)Z_{\pi})\xi + \frac{1}{4}.$$

By using the equations above, K. Aso proved the following theorems;

THEOREM A.  $(TM, \tilde{g})$  is flat if and only if (M, q) is flat.

THEOREM B. If the sectional curvature of  $(TM, \tilde{g})$  is bounded, then  $(TM, \tilde{g})$  is flat.

We first prepare the following lemma to prove the main theorem.

LEMMA. For any  $X \in H_{\xi}$ , (resp.  $X \in V_{\xi}$ ),  $\phi X \in V_{\xi}$  (resp.  $\phi X \in H_{\xi}$ ). In particular,  $d\pi(\phi X) = X_K$  and  $K(\phi X) = -X_{\pi}$ , which imply

$$d\pi(\tilde{R}(X,\phi X)X) = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2}(\nabla_{X_{\tau}}R)(X_{\pi},\xi)X_{\tau} & \text{if } X \in H_{\xi}, \\ -\frac{1}{4}R(X_{k},\xi)R(X_{K},\xi)X_{K} & \text{if } X \in V_{\xi}, \end{cases}$$

$$K(\tilde{R}(X,\phi X)X) = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{4}R(X_{\pi},R(X_{\pi},\xi)X_{\tau})\xi & \text{if } X \in H_{\xi}, \\ 0 & \text{if } X \in V_{\xi}. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Let  $X = X^{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}} + X^{n+i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{n+i}}$  be a vector field in  $\mathcal{X}_{*}(TM)$ . Then

$$\begin{split} \phi X &= X^i \phi_i{}^\kappa \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\kappa} + X^{n+i} \phi_{n+i}{}^\kappa \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\kappa} \\ &= (X^i \Gamma_i{}^j + X^{n+j}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} + (-X^j + X^i \Gamma_i{}^r \Gamma_r{}^j + X^{n+i} \Gamma_i{}^j) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{n+j}}. \end{split}$$

It follows that for  $\forall X \in H_{\xi}$ ,

$$d\pi(\phi X) = (X^{n+j} + \{i^j{}_k\}x^{n+k}X^i)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} = X_K = 0.$$

Similarly, for  $\forall X \in V_{\xi}$ ,

$$K(\phi X) = -X^{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j}} = -X_{\pi} = 0.$$

The last two equations follow directly from (3.3). The proof is completed.

By using the lemma above, we can prove the main theorem stated in section 1 as follows:

Proof of main theorem. If  $X \in H_{\xi}$  for  $\xi \in TM$  with  $\pi \xi = p$ , then by Lemma the holomorphic sectional curvature is given by

$$G(X) = \tilde{g}(\tilde{R}(X, \phi X)X, \phi X)(\xi)$$

$$= \langle d\pi \tilde{R}(X, \phi X)X, d\pi(\phi X)\rangle(p) + \langle K\tilde{R}(X, \phi X)X, K(\phi X)\rangle(p)$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \langle R(X_{\pi}, \xi)X_{\pi}, R(X_{\pi}, \xi)X_{\pi}\rangle(p)$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \parallel R(X_{\pi}, \xi)X_{\pi} \parallel_{p}^{2},$$

where  $\| \ \|$  denotes the norm given by g. If  $X \in V_{\xi}$ , then

$$G(X) = \frac{1}{4} \parallel R(X_K, \xi) X_K \parallel_p^2$$

is similarly computed.

Assume that  $(TM, \tilde{g})$  is not flat. Since M is not flat by Theorem A, there exist a point  $p \in M$  and a vector  $v \in M_p$  such that  $R(v, \xi)v \neq 0$  for a unit vector  $\xi$  orthogonal to v. Since

$$G(v^*) = \frac{1}{4} \parallel R(v, \xi)v \parallel^2,$$

and the set of  $\xi$ 's satisfying such condition is unbounded,  $G(v^*)$  is unbounded. By the same way we can vertify that  $G(^*v)$  is unbounded. This is a contradiction, and consequently  $(TM, \tilde{g})$  is flat. Thus we complete the proof of our main theorem.

#### References

- 1. K. Aso, Notes on some properties of the sectional curvature of the tangent bundle, Yokohama Math. J. 29 (1981), 1-5.
- 2. T. Nagano, Isometries on complex-product spaces, Tensor N.S. 9 (1959), 47-61.
- S. Sasaki, On the differential geometry of tangent bundles of Riemannian manifolds, Tôhoku Math. J. 10 (1958), 338-354.
- S. Tachibana, Analytic tensor and its generalization, Tôhoku Math. J. 12 (1960), 208-221.
- 5. S. Tachibana and M. Okumura, On the almost complex structures of tangent bundles of Riemannian spaces, Tôhoku Math. J. 13 (1961), 156-161.

JIN SUK PAK AND YOI SOOK PAHK

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KYUNGPOOK NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, TAEGU 702-701, KOREA

JUNG-HWAN KWON

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION, TAEGU UNIVERSITY, TAEGU 705-714, KOREA