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## 1. Introduction

Let $S$ be a compact Hausdorff space, let $X, Y$ be locally convex Hausdorff spaces over real and complex field. Let $C(S, X)$ denote the continuous functions from $S$ into $X$ with the topology of uniform convergence.

The purpose of this paper is to give an integral representation for continuous linear operator $T$ on $C(S, X)$ into $Y$ by means of integrals with respect to $L(X, Y)$ and we investigate some problems of the theory of vector-valued functions for an operator-valued measure.

## 2. Preliminaries and Notations

Let $\Sigma$ be an $\sigma$-algebra of the closed subsets of $S$ and $L(X, Y)$ be the space of all continuous linear operators on $X$ into $Y$. Let $Y^{\prime}$ and $Y^{\prime \prime}$ be dual and bidual of $Y$, respectively.

For each continuous semi-norm $q$ of $Y$ there exists a continuous semi-norm $p$ on $X$ such that $\left\{q(T(x)) ; x \in B_{p}\right\}$ is bounded, where $B_{p}=\{x \in X ; p(x) \leq 1\}$. By $B_{p}^{0}$ we mean the polar set of $B_{p}$, i.e. the set $x^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$ with $\left|<x, x^{\prime}>\right| \leq 1$ for all $x \in B_{p}$ and $p(x)=$ $\sup \left\{\left|<x, x^{\prime}\right\rangle \mid ; x^{\prime} \in B_{p}^{0}\right\}$. The topology of $C(S, X)$ is generated by the seminorms $p(f)=\sup _{s \in S} p(f(s))$, and the topology for $Y^{\prime \prime}$ is generated by the seminorms $q^{\prime \prime}\left(y^{\prime \prime}\right)=\sup _{y^{\prime} \in B_{9}^{\circ}}\left|<y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}>\right|$. If $E \in \Sigma$ we denote the characteristic function of $E$ by $\chi_{E}$.

[^0]If $E \in \Sigma$ and $x \in X$ we identify the simple function $\chi_{E} \cdot x$ as an element of $C^{\prime \prime}(S, X)$ since this identification is an isometric isomorphism in [4] and [8]. The linear operator $T ; C(S, X) \rightarrow Y$ is continuous if and only if there exists a pairing $(p, q)$ such that $\|T\|_{(p, q)}=$ $\sup \{q(T(f)) ; p(f) \leq 1\}$. It is well known that $T^{\prime \prime \prime}$ (the bitranspose of $T$ ) maps $C^{\prime \prime}(S, X)$ into $Y^{\prime \prime}$, and $\|T\|_{(p, q)}=\left\|T^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{(p, q)}$.

Defintion 2.1. An operator-valued measure $\mu ; \Sigma \rightarrow L(X, Y)$ said to be of bounded $(p, q)$-variation on $E \in \Sigma$ for a continuous semi-norm $p(q)$ on $X(Y)$ if

$$
\left\{q\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu\left(E_{\mathrm{z}}\right) x_{\imath}\right) ; \quad E_{1} \cap E_{\jmath}=\phi(i \neq j), x_{i} \in B_{p}\right\}
$$

is bounded and we define the $(p, q)$-variation of $\mu$ on $E \in \Sigma$,

$$
\|\mu\|_{(p, q)}=\sup _{y^{\prime} \in B_{q}^{o}}\left\{q\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} y^{\prime} \mu\left(E_{\imath}\right) x_{i}\right) ; y^{\prime} \in Y^{\prime}, x_{i} \in B_{p}\right\} .
$$

Definition 2.2. A function $f ; S \rightarrow X$ said to be $\mu$-integrable with respect to an operator-valued measure if
(1) $f$ is $y^{\prime} \mu$-integrable (in the sense of [3]), and
(2) for each $E \in \Sigma$, there is an element $y_{E} \in Y$ such that

$$
y^{\prime}\left(y_{E}\right)=\int_{E} f y^{\prime} \mu(d s), \quad \text { for } \quad y^{\prime} \in Y^{\prime}
$$

Since $Y$ is a locally convex Hausedorff space, we denote $y_{E}=$ $\int_{E} f(s) \mu(d s)$ and $y_{E}$ is unique whenever it exists. It is well known that $X$-valued simple function is $\mu$-integrable and the integral of such a function is given by

$$
\int_{E} f \mu(d s)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu\left(E \cap E_{\mathrm{t}}\right) x_{\mathrm{i}}
$$

is an $Y$-valued measure on $\Sigma$.

Definition 2.3. $L\left(X, Y^{\prime \prime}\right)$-valued measure, defined on $\Sigma$ is said to be weakly regular if the set function $y^{\prime} \mu(\cdot) x$ is regular for $x \in X$ and $y^{\prime} \in Y^{\prime}$.

From the above definition we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
<\mu(E) x, y^{\prime}> & \left.=<T^{\prime \prime}\left(\chi_{E} \cdot x\right), y^{\prime}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\chi_{E} \cdot x, T^{\prime} y^{\prime}>\right. \\
& =y^{\prime} \mu(E) x
\end{aligned}
$$

which weakly operator valued regular mesure. Suppose $\mu$ is any weakly regular operator-measure of bound $\left(p, q^{\prime \prime}\right)$ such that $T(f)=\int f d u$, then

$$
<T(f \cdot x), y^{\prime}>=\int f y^{\prime} \mu(d s)=<f \cdot x, T^{\prime} y^{\prime}>=<\mu(E), y^{\prime}>, x \in X .
$$

Since $Y$ is locally convex space for $y^{\prime} \in Y^{\prime}, f \in C(S, X)$, if

$$
\int f y^{\prime} \mu(d s)=\int f y^{\prime} \lambda(d s)
$$

then we have $y^{\prime} \mu=y^{\prime} \lambda$. Hence $\mu=\lambda$.
Lemma 2.4. [5] For $E_{i} \in \Sigma, x_{\imath} \in X, E_{1} \cap E_{3}=\phi(i \neq j)$,
$i, j=1,2, \ldots, n$, we have that

$$
q^{\prime \prime}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \chi_{E_{t}} \cdot x_{\imath}\right) \leq \max p\left(x_{i}\right)
$$

For $q^{\prime \prime}$ on $Y^{\prime \prime}$ there exists a $p$ such that $T$ is ( $p, q$ )-related and so $T^{\prime \prime}$ is ( $p^{\prime \prime}, q^{\prime \prime}$ )-related such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
q^{\prime \prime}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu\left(E_{\imath}\right) x_{\imath}\right) & =q^{\prime \prime}\left(T^{\prime \prime}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \chi_{E_{t}} \cdot x_{i}\right)\right) \\
& \leq\|T\|_{\left(p^{\prime \prime}, q^{\prime \prime}\right)} p^{\prime \prime}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \chi_{E_{i}} \cdot x_{i}\right) \\
& \leq\|T\|_{(p, q)} \max p\left(x_{i}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore we see that $\mu(E) \in L\left(X, Y^{\prime \prime}\right)$, for each $E \in \Sigma$, since $q^{\prime \prime}(\mu(E) x) \leq\|T\|_{(p, q)} p(x)$.

Proposition 2.5. Let $T ; C(S, X) \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous linear operator, then the weakly operator-valued regular measure $\mu$ defined on $\Sigma$ with values in $L\left(X, Y^{\prime \prime}\right)$, given by

$$
\mu(E) x=T^{\prime \prime}\left(\chi_{E} \cdot x\right) \quad \text { for } \quad E \in \Sigma, x \in X .
$$

Proof. For $y^{\prime} \in Y^{\prime}$ and $x_{i} \in X \quad(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
q^{\prime \prime}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu\left(E_{i}\right) x_{i}\right) & =\sup _{y^{\prime} \in B_{q}^{0}}\left(y^{\prime} T^{\prime \prime}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \chi_{E_{i}} \cdot x_{i}\right)\right) \\
& \leq\|T\|_{\left(p^{\prime \prime}, q^{\prime \prime}\right)} p^{\prime \prime}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \chi_{E_{i}} \cdot x_{i}\right) \\
& \leq\|T\|_{(p, q)} \max _{i} p\left(x_{\mathbf{i}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $y^{\prime} \in Y^{\prime}$ and $x \in X$, let $\lambda(E)=y^{\prime} \mu(E) x$, then

$$
y^{\prime} \mu(E) x=y^{\prime}\left(T^{\prime \prime}\left(\chi_{E} \cdot x\right)\right)=\left(\chi_{E} \cdot x\right)\left(T^{\prime} y^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { for } \quad E \in \Sigma,
$$

which is regular measure.

## 3. Representation of continuous linear operator

Every $L(X, Y)$-valued measure $\mu$ on $\Sigma$ may be considered as being $L\left(X, Y^{\prime \prime}\right)$-valued, by the canonical mapping of $X$ into $X^{\prime \prime}$. Therefore we can define $<\mu(E) x, y^{\prime}>=y^{\prime} \mu(E) x$ and we have

$$
q\left(y^{\prime} \mu(E) x\right) \leq q(\mu(E)) p(x), E \in \Sigma, x \in X .
$$

Let $\mu ; \Sigma \rightarrow L\left(X, Y^{\prime \prime}\right)$ be an operator-valued measure. By $M\left(\Sigma, X^{\prime}\right)$, the space of all regular $X^{\prime}$-valued measures of finite variations on $\Sigma, \quad y^{\prime} \mu \in M\left(\Sigma, X^{\prime}\right)$ is finitely additive.

Theorem 3.1. Let $S$ be a locally convex Hausdorff space and $T ; C(S, X)$ $Y$ be continuous linear operator. Then there exists a unique operatorvalued measure $\mu ; \Sigma \rightarrow L\left(X, Y^{\prime \prime}\right)$ such that
(1) the linear map $y^{\prime} \rightarrow y^{\prime} \mu$ on $Y^{\prime}$ into $M\left(\Sigma, X^{\prime}\right)$ for each $y^{\prime} \in Y^{\prime}$ is continuous,
(2) if $T$ is ( $p, q$ )-defined operator, then we have

$$
\|\mu\|_{(p, q)}=\|T\|_{(p, q)}
$$

(3) $y^{\prime}(T(f))=\int f y^{\prime} \mu(d s), f \in C(S, X), y^{\prime} \in Y^{\prime}$
(4) $T^{\prime} y^{\prime}=y^{\prime} \mu$ for $y^{\prime} \in Y^{\prime}$.

Conversely if $\mu ; \Sigma \rightarrow L\left(X, Y^{\prime \prime}\right)$ has properties (1) and (2), then the linear operator $T ; C(S, X) \rightarrow Y$ defined by (3) is continuous and $(p, q)$ defined operator as (2), and whose adjoint is given by (4).

Proof. For $E \in \Sigma, \mu(E) ; X \rightarrow Y^{\prime \prime}$ is linear and from Lemma 3.2 we have

$$
\mu(E) x=T^{\prime \prime}\left(\chi_{E} \cdot x\right), \quad x \in X .
$$

For each continuous seminorms $p, q$ on $X, Y$, respectively, we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
y \in Y, \quad y \rightarrow q(y) & =1<y, y^{\prime}>\mid \quad \text { and } \\
q\left(y^{\prime} \mu(E) x\right) & =q\left(y^{\prime} T^{\prime \prime}\left(\chi_{E} \cdot x\right)\right)=q\left(T^{\prime} y^{\prime}\left(\chi_{E} \cdot x\right)\right) \\
& \leq \sup _{\|f\| \leq 1} q\left(\left(T^{\prime} y^{\prime}\right)(f \cdot x)\right) \\
& \leq\|T\|_{(p, q)} p(f \cdot x) \leq\|T\|_{(p, q)}\|f\|_{C(S, X)} \cdot p(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore from (3) we have the following property

$$
\begin{aligned}
y^{\prime}(T(f)) & =y^{\prime}\left(T\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \chi_{E_{i}} \cdot x_{\imath}\right)\right) \\
& =y^{\prime}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu\left(E_{\mathfrak{i}}\right) x_{\mathfrak{i}}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} y^{\prime} \mu\left(E_{\mathfrak{i}}\right) x_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus it follows that

$$
y^{\prime}(T(f))=\int f y^{\prime} \mu(d s) \quad \text { for } \quad f \in C(S, X)
$$

which complete the proof of (3). Let us prove relation (2).

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|T\|_{(p, q)} & =\sup \{q(T(f)) ; p(f) \leq 1\} \\
& =\sup _{p(f) \leq 1} \sup _{y^{\prime} \in B_{q}^{0}}\left(y^{\prime}(T(f))\right) \\
& =\sup _{y^{\prime} \in B_{q}^{0}} \sup _{p(f) \leq 1}\left(y^{\prime}(T(f))\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{y^{\prime} \in B_{q}^{0}} \sup _{p(f) \leq 1}\left(y^{\prime}(T(f))\right)=\sup _{y^{\prime} \in B_{q}^{0}} \sup \left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} y^{\prime} \mu\left(E_{\imath}\right) x_{\mathfrak{z}}\right| \\
& =\sup _{y^{\prime} \in B_{q}^{0}}\left|y^{\prime}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu\left(E_{\mathfrak{z}}\right) x_{i}\right)\right|=\sup q\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu\left(E_{\mathfrak{z}}\right) x_{\imath}\right)=\|\mu\|_{(p, q)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the supremum is taken over all $\Sigma$-partition of $S$ into $E_{:} \in \Sigma$ and all possible collections $x_{i} \in X$ with $p\left(x_{i}\right) \leq 1$, which proves (2) and (4). Conversely let $\mu ; \Sigma \rightarrow L\left(X, Y^{\prime \prime}\right)$ satisfy (1) and (2), then for $f \in C(S, X), T(f) \in Y$, where $T$ is defined by (3), the linear mapping $y^{\prime} \rightarrow y^{\prime} \mu$ of $Y^{\prime}$ into $M\left(\Sigma, X^{\prime}\right)$ is continuous with respect to the $Y_{-}$ topology in $Y^{\prime}$ and $C(S, X)$-topology in $C^{\prime}(S, X)$. Thus the linear operator $T(f)=\int f \mu(d s)$ of $C(S, X)$ into $Y$ is continuous and (2) holds.

Corollary 3.2. Let $Y$ be semi-reflexive and $T ; C(S, X) \rightarrow Y$ be continuous linear operator. Then there exists a unique operator-valued measure $\mu ; \Sigma \rightarrow L(X, Y)$ such that
(1) the mapping $y^{\prime} \rightarrow y^{\prime} \mu$ on $Y^{\prime}$ into $M\left(\Sigma, X^{\prime}\right)$ is continuous,
(2) if $T$ is ( $p, q$ )-defined operator, then $\|\mu\|(p, q)=\|T\|_{(p, q)}$.
(3) $T(f)=\int f \mu(d s), f \in C(S, X)$
(4) $T^{\prime} y^{\prime}=y^{\prime} \mu$

Conversely, if $L(X, Y)$-valued measure which satisfies that (1), then the linear operator $T ; C(S, X) \rightarrow Y$ is defined by (3) and (4) is continuous with the condition (2).

Proof. Since $Y^{\prime \prime}=Y$, the proof can be obtained by a slight modification of the proof of the above theorem.
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