Pusan Kyŏngnam Math. J. 11(1995), No. 2, pp. 143-152

ON COMPACT SASAKIAN MANIFOLDS WITH VANISHING CONSTANT C-BOCHNER CURVATURE TENSOR

Jong Joo Kim

1. Introduction

In a Sasakian manifold, many subjects for vanishing C-Bochner curvature tensor have been studied in $[2]\sim[7]$, [9] and so on. Two of those, done by Hasegawa and Nakane [6] and Choi and Ki [3], assert the followings:

THEOREM H-N. Let M be a n-dimensional Sasakian manifold with constant scalar curvature whose C-Bochner curvature tensor vanishes. If the square of the length $T_{(2)}$ of the ξ -Einstein tensor satisfies

$$T_{(2)} \leq \frac{(n-3)(n+3)^2}{2(n-1)(n+1)^2(n-5)^2}(R+n-1)^2, \ n \geq 7,$$

then M is a space of constant ϕ -holomorphic sectional curvature, and the equality is the best possible.

THEOREM C-K. Let M be an $n(\geq 5)$ -dimensional Sasakian manifold with constant scalar curvature whose C-Bochner curvature tensor vanishes. Then M is a space of constant ϕ -holomorphic sectional curvature $\frac{4R-(n-1)(3n-1)}{(n^2-1)}$ or M admits a cyclic parallel almost product structure which is not integrable.

The purposes of this paper are to prove the following two theorems.

Received August 11, 1995.

This work was supported by a grant of the Basic Science Research Institute, Dong-A University, 1994.

THEOREM 1. Let M be an $n(\geq 5)$ -dimensional compact Sasakian manifold with vanishing C-Bochner curvature tensor. If the length of the η -Einstein tensor $||T_{(2)}||$ satisfies

(*)
$$||T_{(2)}|| \leq \frac{\sqrt{n(n-1)}(n+3)}{(n^2-1)(n-2)}(1-n-R),$$

then we have the same conclusion as that of Theorem C-K.

THEOREM 2. Let M be an $n \geq 7$ -dimensional Sasakian manifold with vanishing C-Bochner curvature tensor. If it satisfies

(**)
$$T_{(2)} = a(R+n-1)^2$$

for some constant a, then we have the same conclusion as that of Theorem C-K.

2. Preliminaries

Let M be an n(>3)-dimensional Sasakian manifold covered by a system of coordinate neighborhoods $\{U; x^h\}$, where here and in the sequel the indices h, i, j, \cdots run over the range $\{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$ (The summation convention will be used with respect to these indices). If we denote by ∇ the operator of covariant differentiation with respect to the Riemannian connection of M, then there exists a unit Killing vector ξ^h satisfying

(2.1)
$$\begin{cases} \phi_{j}{}^{r}\phi_{r}{}^{h} = -\delta_{j}{}^{h} + \eta_{j}\xi^{h}, \quad \eta_{j} = g_{jr}\xi^{r}, \quad \eta_{r}\phi_{j}{}^{r} = 0, \\ \phi_{r}{}^{h}\xi^{r} = 0, \quad g_{rs}\phi_{j}{}^{r}\phi_{i}{}^{s} = g_{ji} - \eta_{j}\eta_{i}, \quad \phi_{ji} + \phi_{ij} = 0, \end{cases}$$

(2.2)
$$\phi_{ji} = \nabla_j \eta_i, \quad \nabla_k \phi_{ji} = -g_{kj} \eta_i + g_{ki} \eta_j.$$

Because of the Ricci formula for ξ^i , it is clear that

and hence

$$(2.4) R_{jr}\xi^r = (n-1)\eta_j,$$

where R_{kjih} and R_{ji} denote the components of the Riemannian curvature tensor K and of the Ricci tensor Ric respectively.

It is well known that in a Sasakian manifold the following equations hold:

(2.5)
$$H_{ji} + H_{ij} = 0,$$

(2.6)
$$R_{ji} = R_{rs} \phi_j^r \phi_i^s + (n-1)\eta_j \eta_i,$$

(2.7)
$$\nabla_k R_{ji} - \nabla_j R_{ki} = (\nabla_s R_{kr}) \phi_j^r \phi_i^s - \{H_{ki} - (n-1)\phi_{ki}\} \eta_j - 2\{H_{jk} - (n-1)\phi_{kj}\} \eta_i,$$

(2.8)
$$\nabla_k R_{ji} - (\nabla_k R_{rs}) \phi_j^r \phi_i^s \\ = -\{H_{kj} - (n-1)\phi_{kj}\}\eta_i - \{H_{ki} - (n-1)\phi_{ki}\}\eta_j,$$

(2.9)
$$\xi^r \nabla_r R_{kji}^{\ h} = 0,$$

where we put $H_{ji} = \phi_j^r R_{ri}$.

We denote a tensor field $W^{(m)}$ with components $W_{ji}^{(m)}$ and a function $W_{(m)}$ for any positive integer m as follows:

(2.10)
$$W_{ji}^{(m)} = W_{ji_1} W_{i_2}^{i_1} \cdots W_{i_{m-1}}^{i_{m-1}}, \\ W_{(m)} = Tr W^{(m)} = \sum_{i_1} W_{ii}^{(m)}.$$

Also, we define the η -Einstein tensor T_{μ} by

(2.11)
$$T_{ji} = R_{ji} - (\frac{R}{n-1} - 1)g_{ji} + (\frac{R}{n-1} - n)\eta_j\eta_i.$$

If the η -Einstein tensor T vanishes, then M is called an η -Einstein manifold. From (2.4) and (2.5), we have

$$(2.12) TrT = T_r' = 0,$$

$$(2.13) T_{jr}\xi^r = 0,$$

(2.14)
$$T_{jr}^{(m)}\phi_{i}^{\ r} + T_{ir}^{(m)}\phi_{j}^{\ r} = 0$$

for any integer m.

A Sasakian manifold M is called a space of constant ϕ -holomorphic sectional curvature c if the curvature tensor of M has the form:

$$R_{kji}^{h} = \frac{c+3}{4} (g_{ji}\delta_{k}^{h} - g_{ki}\delta_{j}^{h}) + \frac{c-1}{4} (g_{ki}\eta_{j}\xi^{h} - g_{ji}\eta_{k}\xi^{h} + \eta_{k}\eta_{i}\delta_{j}^{h} - \eta_{j}\eta_{i}\delta_{k}^{h} - \phi_{ki}\phi_{j}^{h} + \phi_{ji}\phi_{k}^{h} - 2\phi_{kj}\phi_{i}^{h}).$$

Matsumoto and Chūman ([8]) introduced the C-Bochner curvature tensor B_{kji}^{h} defined by

$$B_{kji}{}^{h} = R_{kji}{}^{h} + \frac{1}{n+3} (R_{ki}\delta_{j}{}^{h} - R_{ji}\delta_{k}{}^{h} + g_{ki}R_{j}{}^{h} - g_{ji}R_{k}{}^{h} + H_{ki}\phi_{j}{}^{h} - H_{ji}\phi_{k}{}^{h} + \phi_{ki}H_{j}{}^{h} - \phi_{ji}H_{k}{}^{h} + 2H_{kj}\phi_{i}{}^{h} + 2\phi_{kj}H_{i}{}^{h} - R_{ki}\eta_{j}\xi^{h} + R_{ji}\eta_{k}\xi^{h} - \eta_{k}\eta_{i}R_{j}{}^{h} + \eta_{j}\eta_{i}R_{k}{}^{h}) (2.15) - \frac{k+n-1}{n+3} (\phi_{ki}\phi_{j}{}^{h} - \phi_{ji}\phi_{k}{}^{h} + 2\phi_{kj}\phi_{i}{}^{h}) - \frac{k-4}{n+3} (g_{ki}\delta_{j}{}^{h} - g_{ji}\delta_{k}{}^{h}) + \frac{k}{n+3} (g_{ki}\eta_{j}\xi^{h} - g_{ji}\eta_{k}\xi^{h} + \eta_{k}\eta_{i}\delta_{j}{}^{h} - \eta_{j}\eta_{i}\delta_{k}{}^{h}),$$

where $k = \frac{R+n-1}{n+1}$. It is well-known that if a Sasakian manifold with vanishing C-Bochner curvature tensor is an η -Einstein manifold, then it is a space of constant ϕ -holomorphic sectional curvature.

By a straightforward computation, we can prove

(2.16)

$$\frac{n+3}{n-1} \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} B_{kji}{}^{\mathbf{r}} = \nabla_{k} R_{ji} - \nabla_{j} R_{ki} - \eta_{k} \{H_{ji} - (n-1)\phi_{ji}\} \\
+ \eta_{j} \{H_{ki} - (n-1)\phi_{ki}\} + 2\eta_{i} \{H_{kj} - (n-1)\phi_{kj}\} \\
+ \frac{1}{2(n+1)} \{(g_{ki} - \eta_{k}\eta_{i})\delta_{j}{}^{\mathbf{r}} - (g_{ji} - \eta_{j}\eta_{i})\delta_{k}{}^{\mathbf{r}} \\
+ \phi_{ki}\phi_{j}{}^{\mathbf{r}} - \phi_{ji}\phi_{k}{}^{\mathbf{r}} + 2\phi_{kj}\phi_{i}{}^{\mathbf{r}}\}R_{\mathbf{r}},$$

where we put $R_j = \nabla_j R$.

146

3. Vanishing C-Bochner Curvature Tensor

Let M be an $n(\geq 5)$ -dimensional Sasakian manifold with vanishing C-Bochner curvature tensor. By (2.1), (2.4), (2.7)~(2.9) and (2.16), we then obtain

(3.1)

$$\nabla_{k}R_{ji} = \{R_{kr} - (n-1)g_{kr}\}(\phi_{j}{}^{r}\eta_{i} + \phi_{i}{}^{r}\eta_{j}) \\
+ \frac{1}{2(n+1)}\{2R_{k}(g_{ji} - \eta_{j}\eta_{i}) + R_{j}(g_{ki} - \eta_{k}\eta_{i}) \\
+ R_{i}(g_{kj} - \eta_{k}\eta_{j}) - \phi_{kj}w_{i} - \phi_{ki}w_{j}\},$$

where we put $w_j = \phi_{jr} R^r$.

Operating $g^{jl}\nabla_l$ to (3.1) and taking account of (2.1), (2.2), (2.5) and (3.1), we have

$$g^{jl} \nabla_{l} \nabla_{k} R_{ji} = R_{ki} - (n-1)g_{ki} - \{R-n+1\}\eta_{k}\eta_{i}$$

$$(3.2) + (n-1)^{2} \eta_{k} \eta_{i} - \frac{1}{2} w_{k} \eta_{j} + \frac{1}{2(n+1)} \{3(\nabla_{k} R_{i} + w_{k} \eta_{i}) + \Delta R(g_{ki} - \eta_{k} \eta_{i}) - (n-2)w_{i} \eta_{k} - (\nabla_{r} R_{s}) \phi_{k}^{r} \phi_{i}^{s}\},$$

where $\Delta R = g^{ji} \nabla_j R_i$.

On the other hand we have the Ricci identity for R_{ji}

$$\nabla^l \nabla_j R_{li} - \frac{1}{2} \nabla_j R_i = R_{ji}^{(2)} - R_{kjih} R^{kh}.$$

Thus, (3.2) is reduced to

$$(n+3)R_{ji}^{(2)} - (n+3)R_{kjih}R^{kh}$$

= $(n+3)R_{ji} - (n+3)(n-1)g_{ji}$
- $(n+3)\{R - n(n-1)\}\eta_{j}\eta_{i}$
+ $\frac{n+3}{2(n+1)}\{\Delta R(g_{ji} - \eta_{j}\eta_{i}) + \nabla_{r}R_{s}\phi_{j}r\phi_{i}s\}$
- $\frac{(n+3)(n-2)}{2(n+1)}(\nabla_{j}R_{i} + w_{j}\eta_{i} + w_{i}\eta_{j}).$

Using (2.15), we obtain (see [7])

$$(n+3)R_{kjih}R^{kh}$$

= $4R_{ji}^{(2)} - (4n-R+2k)R_{ji} + \{R_{(2)} - (k-4)R + (n-1)k\}g_{ji}$
- $\{R_{(2)} + (n-1)^2 - (n-1)k - kR\}\eta_j\eta_i.$

Combining this with (3.3), we have

(3.4)

$$R_{ji}^{(2)} - \beta R_{ji} - \gamma g_{ji} - \{(n-1)^{2} - (n-1)\beta - \gamma\}\eta_{j}\eta_{i}$$

$$= \frac{n+3}{2(n^{2}-1)} \{\Delta R(g_{ji} - \eta_{j}\eta_{i}) - \nabla_{r}R_{s}\phi_{j}{}^{r}\phi_{i}{}^{s}\} - \frac{(n+3)(n-2)}{2(n^{2}-1)} (\nabla_{j}R_{i} + w_{j}\eta_{i} + w_{i}\eta_{j}),$$

where we have defined

$$(n+1)\beta = R - 3n - 5,$$

$$(n-1)\gamma = R_{(2)} - \frac{1}{n+1}R^2 + 4R - \frac{n-1}{n+1}(n^2 + 3n + 4).$$

Transforming (2.11) by R_k and taking account of (2.4) and (3.4), we can get

$$T_{jr}R_{k}^{r} = (\beta + 1 - \frac{R}{n-1})R_{jk} + \gamma g_{jk}$$

+ {R - n + 1 - (n - 1)\beta - \gamma }\eta_{j}\eta_{k}
+ \frac{(n+3)}{2(n^{2}-1)} \{ \Delta R(g_{jk} - \eta_{j}\eta_{k}) - \nabla_{r}R_{s}\phi_{j}^{r}\phi_{k}^{s} \}
- \frac{(n+3)(n-2)}{2(n^{2}-1)} (\nabla_{j}R_{k} + w_{j}\eta_{k} + w_{k}\eta_{j}),

which together with (2.11) and (2.13) yields

$$(3.5) \qquad T_{ji}^{(2)} = -\frac{n+3}{n^2-1}(R+n-1)T_{ji} + \frac{T_{(2)}}{n-1}(g_{ji} - \eta_j\eta_i) + \frac{n+3}{2(n^2-1)}\{\Delta R(g_{ji} - \eta_j\eta_i) + \nabla_r R_s \phi_j^r \phi_i^s\} - \frac{(n+3)(n-2)}{2(n^2-1)}(\nabla_j R_i + w_j\eta_i + w_i\eta_j).$$

148

By using (2.14), we can easily, taking account of (3.5), see that

$$\phi_{jr}\nabla_{i}R^{r}+\phi_{ir}\nabla_{j}R^{r}=R_{j}\eta_{i}+R_{i}\eta_{j},$$

which implies

$$\nabla_r R_s \phi_j^r \phi_i^s = \nabla_j R_i + w_j \eta_i + w_i \eta_j$$

Thus, (3.5) turns out to be

(3.6)
$$T_{ji}^{(2)} = -\frac{n+3}{n^2-1}(R+n-1)T_{ji} + (\frac{1}{n-1}T_{(2)} + \frac{n+3}{2(n^2-1)}\Delta R)(g_{ji} - \eta_j\eta_i) - \frac{n+3}{2(n+1)}(\nabla_j R_i + w_j\eta_i + w_i\eta_j).$$

Accordingly, we see, using (2.12) and (2.13), that

$$T_{(3)} + \frac{n+3}{n^2-1}(R+n-1)T_{(2)} + \frac{n+3}{2(n+1)}\nabla_{j}R_{i}T^{j} = 0.$$

By the way, using (2.11) and the fact that $\nabla_{j}R_{i}^{j} = \frac{1}{2}R_{i}$, we have

$$\nabla^{j}(T_{ji}R^{i}) = \frac{n-3}{2(n-1)} ||R_{i}||^{2} + \nabla_{j}R_{i}T^{ji}.$$

Combining the last two equations, it follows that

(3.7)
$$\frac{\frac{n^2 - 1}{n+3} \nabla^{j}(T_{ji} R^{i})}{= \frac{n^2 - 1}{n+3} T_{(3)} + (R+n-1) T_{(2)} - \frac{n-3}{4} ||R_i||^2}$$

Proof of Theorem 1. Let c_1, \dots, c_m and k be real numbers satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^{m} c_i = 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{m} c_i^2 = k^2$. Then we have [9]

(3.8)
$$-\frac{m-2}{\sqrt{m(m-1)}}k^3 \leq \sum_{i=1}^m c_i^3 \leq \frac{m-2}{\sqrt{m(m-1)}}k^3.$$

From (2.12) and (2.13) and commutativity of R_j^h and ϕ_j^h , we see that the eigenvalues of R_j^h are $c_1, \dots, c_{n/2}, c_1, \dots, c_{n/2}$ and 0. Combining this fact with (3.8), we have

$$\frac{n^2-1}{n+3}T_{(3)}+(R+n-1)T_{(2)} \leq T_{(2)}\{\frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}}||T_{(2)}||+R+n-1\}.$$

Because of the condition (*), the right hand side of (3.7) is nonnegative. M being compact, using the Green's theorem, we see that R is constant. Owing to Theorem C-K, the conclusion of Theorem 1 is true. This completes the proof. \Box

Proof of Theorem 2. Differentiating (2.11) covariantly, we find

(3.9)
$$\nabla_{k}T_{ji} = \nabla_{k}R_{ji} - \frac{1}{n-1}R_{k}(g_{ji} - \eta_{j}\eta_{i}) + (\frac{R}{n-1} - n)(\phi_{kj}\eta_{i} + \phi_{ki}\eta_{j}).$$

If we transvect T^{ji} to this and take account of (2.12), (2.13) and (3.1), then we obtain

$$T^{ji}\nabla_k T_{ji} = \frac{2}{n-1}T_{kr}R^r,$$

which implies

$$\nabla_j T_{(2)} = \frac{4}{n-1} T_{kr} R^r.$$

Because of the condition (**), it is seen that

(3.10)
$$T_{jr}R^{r} = \frac{n-1}{2}a(R+n-1)R_{j}.$$

Differentiating (3.10) covariantly and making use of (3.9), we find

$$(\nabla_k R_{jr})R^r + (\frac{R}{n-1} - n)w_k\eta_j = \frac{1}{n-1}R_jR_k - T_{jr}\nabla_k R^r + \frac{n-1}{2}a\{R_jR_k + (R+n-1)\nabla_kR_j\},$$

150

which together with (3.1) implies that

$$- \{R_{kr}w^{r} - (n-1)w_{k}\}\eta_{j} + (\frac{R}{n-1} - n)w_{k}\eta_{j} + \frac{1}{2(n+1)}\{3R_{j}R_{k} + ||R_{i}||^{2}(g_{jk} - \eta_{j}\eta_{k}) - w_{j}w_{k}\} = \frac{1}{n-1}R_{j}R_{k} - T_{jr}\nabla_{k}R^{r} + \frac{n-1}{2}a\{R_{j}R_{k} + (R+n-1)\nabla_{k}R_{j}\}.$$

By transvecting η^{j} , we obtain

$$- \{R_{kr}w^r - (n-1)w_k\} + (\frac{R}{n-1} - n)w_k$$

= $-\frac{n-1}{2}a(R+n-1)w_k$,

where we have used (2.1) and (2.9). From the last two equations it follows that

$$\frac{1}{2(n+1)} \{ 3R_j R_k - w_j w_k + ||R_i||^2 (g_{jk} - \eta_j \eta_k) \} - \frac{n-1}{2} a(R+n-1) w_k \eta_j = \frac{1}{n-1} R_j R_k - T_{jr} \nabla_k R^r + \frac{n-1}{2} a\{R_j R_k + (R+n-1) \nabla_k R_j \}.$$

Transvecting this with R^{j} and making use of (3.10), we see that $R_{j} = 0$, namely R is constant. Therefore we arrive at the conclusion. \Box

References

- W.M. Boothby and H.C. Wang, On contact manifolds, Annals of Math. 68 (1958), 721-734.
- 2. E.-S. Choi, U-H. Ki and K. Takano, Sasakian manifolds with vanishing C-Bochner curvature tensor, Acta Math. Hungarica 66 (1995), 327-336.
- H.R. Choi and U-H. Ki, On Sasakian manifolds with constant scalar curvature whose C-Bochner curvature tensor vanishes, Nihonkai Math. J. 5 (1994), 101-107.
- G. Chūman, On a Sasakian manifold with C-Bochner curvature tensor, TRU Math. 5 (1969), 5-8.

- 5. K. Hasegawa and T. Nakane, On Sasakian manifolds with vanishing contact Bochner curvature tensor, Hokkaido Math. J. 9 (1980), 184-189.
- 6. K. Hasegawa and T Nakane, On Sasakian manifolds with vanishing contact Bochner curvature tensor, Hokkaido Math. J. 11 (1982), 44-51.
- U-H. Ki and H.S. Kim, Sasakian manifolds whose C-Bochner curvature tensor vanishes, Tensor N S. 49 (1990), 32-39.
- 8. M Matsumoto and G. Chūman, On the C-Bochner curvature tensor, TRU Math. 5 (1969), 21-30
- 9. M Okumura, Hypersurfaces and a punching problem on the second fundamental tensor, Amer. J. Math. 96 (1974), 207-212.
- 10. J. S. Pak, A note on Sasakian manifolds with vanishing C-Bochner curvature tensor, Ködai Math. Sem. Rep. 28 (1976), 19-27.

Department of Mathematics Dong-A University Pusan 604-714, Korea