# GLOBAL REGULARITY OF THE $\bar{\partial}$-NEUMANN PROBLEM ON PSEUDOCONVEX COMPLEX MANIFOLDS 

Jinkee Lee and Hong Rae Cho

## 1. Introduction

Let $X$ be a complex manifold of dimension $n$. Let $\Omega \in X$ be an open submanifold with smooth boundary. The $\bar{\partial}$-Neumann problem is concerned with the existence and especially with the regularity of the solution $u$ of $\bar{\partial} u=\alpha$, where $u$ is orthogonal to the kernel of $\bar{\partial}$ and $\alpha$ is a $\bar{\partial}$-closed $(p, q)$-form with $L^{2}$-coefficients and it is cohomologous to zero on $\Omega$. One of the main methods for proving regularity of the solution is the method of subelliptic estimates. The importance of subelliptic estimates lies in the fact that it yields a positive answer to the question of local regularity: If the form $\alpha$ is smooth in a neighborhood $U$ of a given boundary point $z_{0}$, is the solution $u$ also smooth in $U$ ? However, for many applications, such as the boundary regularity of biholomorphic maps, it is sufficient to study the question of global regularity: If $\alpha$ is smooth on all of $\bar{\Omega}$, is the solution $u$ also smooth on all of $\bar{\Omega}$ ? It is not yet known whether the special solution, namely the one that is orthogonal to the kernel of $\bar{\partial}$, is smooth. However, Kohn and Nirenberg [5] found that the global regularity for the special solution does hold when a certain estimate, which we shall call a compactness estimate, still holds for the domain $\Omega$. A compactness estimate is said to hold for the $\bar{\partial}$-Neumann problem on $\Omega$ if for every $\varepsilon>0$, there is a function $\zeta_{\varepsilon} \in C_{o}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\|f\|^{2} \leq \varepsilon Q(f, f)+\left\|\zeta_{\varepsilon} f\right\|_{-1}^{2}, \quad f \in \operatorname{Dom}(\bar{\partial}) \cap \operatorname{Dom}\left(\bar{\partial}^{*}\right) .
$$

Here $Q(f, f)$ refers to the form $(\bar{\partial} f, \bar{\partial} f)+\left(\bar{\partial}^{*} f, \bar{\partial}^{*} f\right)$, and $\|\cdot\|_{-1}$ refers to the Sobolev norm of order -1 for forms on $\Omega$.

And we shall require the following definition.

[^0]Definition. The boundary of $\Omega$ satisfies property (P) at $z \in b \Omega$ if for every positive number $M$ there is a plurisubharmonic function $\lambda \in C^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})$ with $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, such that

$$
\sum_{j, k=1}^{n} \lambda_{\jmath k}(z) t, \overline{t_{k}} \geq M|t|^{2}
$$

where $\lambda_{3 k}(z), j, k=1, \cdots, n$, is defined by $\partial \bar{\partial} \lambda(z)=\sum_{j, k=1}^{n} \lambda_{j k}(z) \omega^{3} \wedge$ $\bar{\omega}^{k}$ for an orthonormal basis $\omega^{1}, \cdots, \omega^{n}$ of $\Lambda_{z}^{1,0}$. We say that the boundary of $\Omega$ satisfies property ( P ) if it satisfies property ( P ) at each boundary point of $\Omega$.

Catlin [2] showed that a compactness estimate holds for the $\bar{\partial}$ Neumann problem on a smoothly bounded pseudoconvex domain $\Omega$ in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ which satisfies property ( P ). In this paper, we shall show the following case of the complex manifold.

Theorem. Let $\Omega$ be a smoothly bounded, pseudoconvex submanifold which is relatively compact in a complex manifold $X$. If $b \Omega$ satisfies property ( $P$ ), then the compactness estimate holds for the $\bar{\partial}$-Neumann problem on $\Omega$.

We define

$$
\mathcal{H}^{p, q}=\left\{\alpha \in \operatorname{Dom}(\bar{\partial}) \cap \operatorname{Dom}\left(\bar{\partial}^{*}\right) ; \bar{\partial} \alpha=0 \text { and } \bar{\partial}^{*} \alpha=0\right\}
$$

By the Kohn-Nirenberg theorem [5], we get the following corollary.
Corollary. Let $m$ be a nonnegative integer and $H_{m}(\Omega)$ be a Sobolev space of order $m$ with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{m}$. Under the hypotheses of Theorem, if $\alpha$ is a $\bar{\partial}$-closed $(p, q)$-form, which is $C^{\infty}$ on $\bar{\Omega}$ and $\alpha \perp \mathcal{H}^{p, q}$, then the canonical solution $u$ of $\bar{\partial} u=\alpha$ with $u \perp \operatorname{Ker}(\bar{\partial})$ satisfies $\|u\|_{m}^{2} \leq C_{m}\left(\|\alpha\|_{m}^{2}+\|u\|^{2}\right)$. Since $C^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})=\cap_{m=0}^{\infty} H_{m}(\Omega)$, it follows that if $\alpha \in C_{(p, q)}^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})$, then $u \in C_{(p, q-1)}^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})$.

## 2. $L^{2}$-estimate for $\bar{\partial}$.

We shall use Hörmander's method of weighted estimates for $\bar{\partial}$. By the Gram-Schmidt process in a coordinate patch $U$, we can construct forms $\omega^{1}, \ldots, \omega^{n}$, which for all $z$ are an orthonormal basis of $\Lambda_{z}^{1,0}(U)$. Furthermore we can choose $\omega^{n}=\sqrt{2} \partial \rho$ on $b \Omega$, where $\rho$ is a boundarydefining function satisfying $|d \rho|=1$ on $b \Omega$. Let $\varphi \in C^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$ be a real-valued function. Define

$$
(f, f)_{\varphi}=\int_{\Omega}\langle f, f\rangle e^{-\varphi} d V, \quad f \in \Lambda^{p, q}(U)
$$

where $\langle f, f\rangle=\sum_{I, J}\left|f_{I, J}\right|^{2}$ and $\Lambda^{p, q}(U)$ is the space of smooth $(p, q)$ forms with compact support in $U$ and $\|f\|_{\varphi}^{2}=(f, f)_{\varphi}$. If

$$
f=\sum_{I, J} f_{I, J} \omega^{I} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{J}
$$

where the sum is over strictly increasing multi-indices of length $p$ and $q$, respectively, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\partial} f=\sum_{I, J} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial f_{I, J}}{\partial \bar{w}^{J}} \bar{w}^{J} \wedge \omega^{I} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{J}+\cdots \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\frac{\partial}{\partial w^{1}}, \cdots, \frac{\partial}{\partial w^{n}}$ are a basis of $T^{1,0}$ that is dual to $\omega^{1}, \cdots, \omega^{n}$, and the dots indicate terms in which no $f_{I, J}$ is differentiated; they occur because $\bar{\partial} \omega^{2}$ and $\bar{\partial} \bar{\omega}^{3}$ need not be 0 . Let $\mathcal{D}^{(p, q)}(U)$ be the space of $(p, q)$-forms $f$ on $U$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1, J}=0 \quad \text { on } b \Omega \quad \text { when } n \in J \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\bar{\partial}^{*}$ be the $L^{2}$-adjoint of $\bar{\partial}$. For forms $f \in \mathcal{D}^{(p, q)}(U)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\partial}^{*} f=(-1)^{p-1} \sum_{I, K} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial f_{I, j K}}{\partial \omega^{j}} \omega^{I} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{K}+\cdots \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the dots again indicate terms where no derivatives occur in $f$. If $A f$ denotes the sum in (2.1), then we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|A f\|_{\varphi}^{2}=\sum_{I, J} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\|\frac{\partial f_{I, J}}{\partial \bar{w}^{j}}\right\|_{\varphi}^{2}-\sum_{I, K} \sum_{j, k=1}^{n}\left(\frac{\partial f_{I, j K}}{\partial \bar{\omega}^{k}}, \frac{\partial f_{I, k K}}{\partial \bar{\omega}^{j}}\right)_{\varphi} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $B f$ denote the sum in (2.3). With the notation

$$
\delta_{j}^{\varphi} \omega:=e^{\varphi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega^{j}}\left(e^{-\varphi} \omega\right)
$$

we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
& B f=(-1)^{p-1} \sum_{I, K} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \delta_{j}^{\varphi} f_{I, j K} \omega^{I} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{K}  \tag{2.5}\\
&+(-1)^{p-1} \sum_{I, K} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \omega^{j}} f_{I, j K} \omega^{I} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{K}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $A f$ and $B f$ differ from $\bar{\partial} f$ and $\bar{\partial}^{*} f$ by terms of order zero in $f$, it follows from (2.4) and (2.5) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{I, K} \sum_{j, k=1}^{n}\left(\delta_{j}^{\varphi} f_{I, j K}, \delta_{k}^{\varphi} f_{I, k K}\right)_{\varphi}-\left(\frac{\partial f_{I, j K}}{\partial \bar{\omega}^{k}}, \frac{\partial f_{I, k K}}{\partial \bar{\omega}^{J}}\right)_{\varphi} \\
& +\sum_{I, J} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\|\frac{\partial f_{I, J}}{\partial \bar{\omega}^{J}}\right\|_{\varphi}^{2} \\
& \leq 4\left\|\bar{\partial}^{*} f\right\|_{\varphi}^{2}+2\|\bar{\partial} f\|_{\varphi}^{2}+2 \sum_{I, K}\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \omega^{j}} f_{I, j K}\right\|_{\varphi}^{2}+C\|f\|_{\varphi}^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C$ is a constant independent of $\varphi$. Since the support of $f$ intersects the boundary $b \Omega$, there can be certain boundary integrals. Those that involve the coefficients $f_{I, J}$ for $J$ with $n \in J$ must vanish because
of $(2.2)$ or because $\frac{\partial}{\partial \omega^{i}}, \imath=1, \cdots, n-1$, is tangent to $b \Omega$. We obtain (2.6) $\int_{U \cap \Omega} \sum_{I, K} \sum_{j, k=1}^{n} \varphi_{j k} f_{I, j K} \overline{f_{I, k K}} e^{-\varphi} d V+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{I, J} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\|\frac{\partial f_{I, J}}{\partial \bar{w}^{j}}\right\|_{\varphi}^{2}$ $+\int_{U \cap b \Omega} \sum_{I, K} \sum_{j, k=1}^{n-1} \rho_{j k} f_{I, j K} \overline{f_{I, k K}} e^{-\varphi} d S$ $\leq 4\left\|\bar{\partial}^{*} f\right\|_{\varphi}^{2}+2\|\bar{\partial} f\|_{\varphi}^{2}+2 \sum_{I, K}\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \omega^{\mathrm{j}}} f_{I, j K}\right\|_{\varphi}^{2}+C^{\prime}\|f\|_{\varphi}^{2}$,
where $C^{\prime}$ is a constant independent of $\varphi$. Now suppose that $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ on $\bar{\Omega}$. Let $\chi(t)$ denote the function $\frac{1}{6} \epsilon^{t}$. Set $\varphi=\chi(\lambda)$. Then

$$
\sum_{j, k=1}^{n} \varphi_{j k} t_{j} \bar{t}_{k}=\chi^{\prime}(\lambda) \sum_{j, k=1}^{n} \lambda_{j k} t_{j} \bar{t}_{k}+\chi^{\prime \prime}(\lambda)\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial \omega^{j}} t_{j}\right|^{2}
$$

Since $\chi^{\prime \prime}(t) \geq 2\left(\chi^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2}, \chi^{\prime}(t) \geq \frac{1}{18}$, it follows from (2.6) that (2.7)

$$
\frac{1}{18} \sum_{I, K} \sum_{3, k=1}^{n} \int_{U \cap \Omega} \lambda_{\jmath k} f_{I, \jmath K} \overline{f_{I, k K}} e^{-\varphi} d V \leq 4\left\|\bar{\partial}^{*} f\right\|_{\varphi}^{2}+2\|\bar{\partial} f\|_{\varphi}^{2}+C^{\prime}\|f\|_{\varphi}^{2}
$$

## 3. Proof of Theorem

Proof of Theorem. By continuity of the second derivatives of $\lambda$, there exists a neighborhood $U$ (dependent on $M$ ) of $z_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{,, k=1}^{n} \lambda_{3 k}(z) t_{3} \overline{t_{k}} \geq M|t|^{2} \quad, z \in U \cap \bar{\Omega} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\frac{1}{2} \leq e^{-\varphi} \leq 1$, it follows from (2.7) that

$$
\frac{M}{36} \int_{U \cap \Omega}|f|^{2} d V \leq 4\left\|\bar{\partial}^{*} f\right\|^{2}+2\|\bar{\partial} f\|^{2}+C^{\prime}\|f\|^{2} .
$$

Let $S_{\delta}:=\{z \in X:-\delta<\rho(z) \leq 0\}$. Since $b \Omega$ is compact, we can cover $b \Omega$ by a finite number of such neighborhoods $U_{1}, \ldots, U_{l}$ such that $S_{\delta} \in \cup_{\nu=1}^{l} U_{\nu=1}$ for some positive number $\delta$ (dependent on $M$ ). Thus it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M \int_{S_{6}}|f|^{2} d V \leq C\left(\left\|\bar{\partial}^{*} f\right\|^{2}+\|\bar{\partial} f\|^{2}+\|f\|^{2}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is a constant independent of $f$. Choose $\gamma_{\delta} \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ so that $0 \leq \gamma_{\delta} \leq 1$ and $\gamma_{\delta}(z)=1$ whenever $\rho(z) \leq-\delta$. For a constant $a$ still to be determined, we have the inequality $\left\|\gamma_{\delta} f\right\|^{2} \leq a\left\|\gamma_{\delta} f\right\|_{1}^{2}+a^{-1}\left\|\gamma_{\delta} f\right\|_{-1}^{2}$. By Garding's inequality, thēre is a constant $C_{1}$ depending only on the diameter of the domain $\Omega$ such that $\left\|\gamma_{\delta} f\right\|_{1}^{2} \leq C_{1}\left(Q\left(\gamma_{\delta} f, \gamma_{\delta} f\right)+\right.$ $\|\left\{\gamma_{\delta} f \|^{2}\right.$ ). Now $\left\|\gamma_{\sigma} f\right\|^{2}$ can be estimated by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\gamma_{\delta} f\right\|_{1}^{2} \leq & 2 C_{1}\left(\left\|\gamma_{6}\left(\bar{\partial}^{*} f\right)\right\|^{2}+\left\|\gamma_{\delta}(\bar{\partial} f)\right\|^{2}+\left\|\gamma_{\delta} f\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& +2 C_{1}\left(\left\|\left[\gamma_{\delta}, \bar{\partial}^{*}\right] f\right\|^{2}+\left\|\left[\gamma_{\delta}, \bar{\partial}\right] f\right\|^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the sum of the commutator terms is bounded by $C_{2}\|f\|^{2}$ for some constant $C_{2}$ dependent on $\delta$, we obtain the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\gamma_{\delta} f\right\|^{2} \leq 2 a C_{1} Q(f, f)+2 a C_{1} C_{2}\|f\|^{2}+a^{-1}\left\|\gamma_{\delta} f\right\|_{-1}^{2} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now choose $a$ so that $2 a C_{1}<\frac{1}{M}$ and so that $2 a C_{1} C_{2}<\frac{1}{2}$. By combining (3.2) and (3.3) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
M\|f\|^{2} \leq & M \int_{S_{\delta}}|f|^{2} d V+M\left\|\gamma_{\delta} f\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & C\left(Q(f, f)+\|f\|^{2}\right)+2 a C_{1} M Q(f, f) \\
& +2 a C_{1} C_{2} M\|f\|^{2}+a^{-1} M\left\|\gamma_{\delta} f\right\|_{-1}^{2} \\
\leq & (C+1) Q(f, f)+\left(C+\frac{M}{2}\right)\|f\|^{2}+\frac{M}{a}\left\|\gamma_{\delta} f\right\|_{-1}^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

which gives

$$
\|f\|^{2} \leq \frac{2(C+1)}{M-2 C} Q(f, f)+\frac{2 M}{a(M-2 C)}\left\|\gamma_{\delta} f\right\|_{-1}^{2} .
$$

Now if we choose $M$ so $\frac{2(C+1)}{M-2 C}<\varepsilon$ and set

$$
\zeta_{\varepsilon}(z):=\left(\frac{2 M}{a(M-2 C)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \gamma_{\delta}(z)
$$

then we obtain the compactness estimate $\|f\|^{2} \leq \varepsilon Q(f, f)+\left\|\zeta_{\varepsilon} f\right\|_{-1}^{2}$.
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