

## ON THE BEST APPROXIMATION BY RATIONAL FUNCTIONS WITH FIXED POLES IN $H_q^p(p \geq 1, q > 1)$ SPACES

Xing Fuchong and Jin Yuanze

If a function  $f(z)$  is analytic in the unit disc  $|z| < 1$  and satisfies the condition

$$\int \int_{|z| < 1} (1 - |z|^2)^{q-2} |f(z)|^p d\sigma_z < +\infty$$

for parameters  $p$  and  $q$ , where  $z = x + iy$ ,  $d\sigma_z = dx dy$ , we say that the function  $f(z)$  belongs to  $H_q^p$  spaces. The condition  $q > 1$  is needed, so that constant-functions  $f(z) = C$  belong to  $H_q^p$  spaces.

Suppose

$$\|f(z)\| = \left[ \int \int_{|z| < 1} (1 - |z|^2)^{q-2} |f(z)|^p d\sigma_z \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

It is easy to prove that  $H_q^p$  spaces are Banach spaces if  $p \geq 1$  and  $q > 1$ , but Frechet spaces if  $0 < p < 1$  and  $q > 1$ .

In [1], Charles K. Chui and Xie-chang Shen gave a formula expressing the function in  $H_q^p$  spaces. In [2] and [3], we proved the theorems about the estimation of the order of the best approximation by polynomials in  $H_q^p(p \geq 1, q > 1)$  spaces and their inverse theorems. In [4] and [5], we made a research on or studied the Hardy-Littlewood-type theorems and the best approximation by polynomials in  $H_q^p(0 < p < 1, q > 1)$  spaces. In this paper, we are going to make a research on the estimation of the order of the best approximation by rational functions with fixed poles in  $H_q^p$  spaces for parameters  $p \geq 1$  and  $q > 1$ .

Let  $Z = \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n\}$  be a finite sequence of (possibly repeated) points in the extended complex plane,  $|z_k| > 1 (k = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ . By  $R(z)$

---

Received January 12, 1993.

Revised August 5, 1994.

we denote the class of rational functions with poles among the points occurring in  $Z$  and of a maximal multiplicity equal to the number of repetitions. Hence  $r(z) \in R(Z)$  if and only if

$$r(z) = P(z) \prod_{\zeta \in Z} (z - \zeta)^{-1}$$

where  $P(z)$  is a polynomial of degree at most  $n$  if  $Z = \{z_k\}_{k=1}^n$ . If  $\zeta = \infty$ , then  $(z - \zeta)^{-1}$  is interpreted as 1.

Let  $\rho(f, z)$  be the best approximation error of the function  $f(z)$  by the functions of  $R(Z)$  class in  $H_q^p$  spaces:

$$\rho(f, z) = \inf_{r(z) \in R(Z)} \{\|f(z) - r(z)\|\}.$$

Denoting

$$\lambda = \sum_{k=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{1}{|z_k|}\right)$$

noting the result of [2], and using the methods of [6] and [7], we can obtain the following main result of this paper:

**Theorem.** *For any function  $f(z) \in H_q^p$  ( $p \geq 1, q > 1$ ) we have*

$$\rho(f, Z) \leq A_1 \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\omega(t, f)}{t} dt + C e^{-\frac{1}{10}\lambda},$$

where  $\omega(t, f)$  is the integral modulus of the function  $f(z)$  in the sense of  $H_q^p$  space:

$$\omega(t, f) = \sup_{|h| \leq t} \{\|f(z+h) - f(z)\|\},$$

and  $A_1$  is a constant independent of  $\lambda$  and  $f$ ,  $C$  is a constant independent of  $\lambda$ .

It is easy to see that the result of [7] is a particular condition of the result of this paper.

In order to prove the Theorem of this paper, we need introduce two lemmas first.

**Lemma 1.** *Suppose a function  $Q(z)$  is analytic in the unit disc  $|z_0| \leq \frac{1}{2}$  then we have the inequality*

$$|Q(z_0)|^p \leq \frac{4^s}{\pi} \|Q(z_0)\|^p$$

for  $p > 0$ ,  $q > 1$ , in which  $s = \max\{2, q\}$ .

*Proof.* As  $Q(z)$  is analytic in the unit disc we have

$$\int_1^{2\pi} |Q(z_0)|^p d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} |Q(z_0 + re^{i\theta})|^p d\theta \quad (1)$$

for  $p > 0$  and  $r < 1 - |z_0|$ .

Multiplying two sides of (1) by  $rdr$  and integrating them from 0 to  $\frac{1}{2}(1 - |z_0|)$ , we have

$$\pi |Q(z_0)|^p \left(\frac{1 - |z_0|}{2}\right)^2 \leq \iint_{|z - z_0| < \frac{1}{2}(1 - |z_0|)} |Q(z)|^p d\sigma. \quad (2)$$

Then, if  $q \leq 2$ , we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|Q(z)\|^p &\geq \iint_{|z - z_0| < \frac{1}{2}(1 - |z_0|)} (1 - |z|^2)^{q-2} |Q(z)|^p d\sigma \\ &\geq \iint_{|z - z_0| < \frac{1}{2}(1 - |z_0|)} \left[1 - \left(\frac{1 + |z_0|}{2}\right)^2\right]^{q-2} |Q(z)|^p d\sigma \\ &\geq \left(\frac{3 + |z_0|}{2}\right)^{q-2} \cdot \left(\frac{1 - |z_0|}{2}\right)^q \cdot \pi |Q(z_0)|^p \\ &\geq \pi \left(\frac{1 - |z_0|}{2}\right)^q |Q(z_0)|^p. \end{aligned}$$

Noticing that for  $|z_0| \leq \frac{1}{2}$  we have

$$\left(\frac{1 - |z_0|}{2}\right)^q \geq \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^q = \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^s, \quad s = \max\{q, 2\}$$

so we have

$$|Q(z_0)|^p \leq \frac{4^s}{\pi} \|Q(a)\|^p,$$

when  $p > 0$ ,  $q \geq 2$ .

If  $1 < q < 2$ , using (2) to  $|z_0| \leq \frac{1}{2}$  we have directly

$$\begin{aligned} |Q(z_0)|^p &\leq \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\frac{2}{1 - |z_0|}\right)^2 \iint_{|z - z_0| < \frac{1}{2}(1 - |z_0|)} |Q(z)|^p d\sigma \\ &\leq \frac{4^s}{\pi} \iint_{|z - z_0| < \frac{1}{2}(1 - |z_0|)} (1 - (|z|^2)^{q-2}) |Q(z)|^p d\sigma \\ &\leq \frac{4^s}{\pi} \|Q(z)\|^p. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete.

**Lemma 2.** *If a function  $g(z)$  is analytic and bounded by  $M$  in a closed disc  $|z| \leq T$ , where  $T > 1$ , we have*

$$p(g, Z) \leq \left(\frac{\pi}{q-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot \frac{MT}{T-1} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{T-1}{T+1}\lambda\right)$$

for  $p \geq 1$ , and  $q > 1$ .

*Proof.* We know that the rational function with poles  $\zeta \in Z$  and interpolating  $g(z)$  at  $\frac{1}{\zeta}$  can be expressed by (see [8])

$$r(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|w|=T>1} \left[1 - \frac{B(w)}{B(z)}\right] \frac{g(w)}{w-z} dw, \quad |z| \leq 1.$$

It is easy to see that  $r(z) \in R(Z)$  and that

$$g(z) - r(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|w|=T>1} \frac{B(w)}{B(z)} \cdot \frac{g(w)}{w-z} dw, \quad |z| \leq 1.$$

Hence, the extended Minkowski's inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(g, Z) &\leq \|g(z) - r(z)\| \\ &\leq \left\{ \int \int_{|z|<1} (1 - |z|^2)^{q-2} \left[ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{B(Te^{i\varphi})}{B(z)} \cdot \frac{g(Te^{i\varphi})}{Te^{i\varphi} - z} \right| T d\varphi \right]^p d\sigma_z \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left[ \int \int_{|z|<1} (1 - |z|^2)^{q-2} \left| \frac{B(Te^{i\varphi})}{B(z)} \cdot \frac{Tg(Te^{i\varphi})}{Te^{i\varphi} - z} \right|^p d\sigma_z \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} d\varphi \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} T |B(Te^{i\varphi})| \cdot |g(Te^{i\varphi})| \\ &\quad \cdot \left\{ \int \int_{|z|<1} (1 - |z|^2)^{q-2} [|B(z)| \cdot |Te^{i\varphi} - z|]^{-p} d\sigma_z \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} d\varphi \\ &\leq \frac{M}{2\pi} \cdot \frac{T}{T-1} \cdot \left(\frac{\pi}{q-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot \int_0^{2\pi} |B(Te^{i\varphi})| d\varphi \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

From [7] we know that

$$|B(w)| \leq \exp\left(-\frac{T-1}{T+1}\lambda\right), \quad |w| = T > 1, \quad (4)$$

Combining (3) with (4) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(g, Z) &\leq \frac{M}{2\pi} \cdot \frac{T}{T-1} \cdot \left(\frac{\pi}{q-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot \int_0^{2\pi} \exp\left(-\frac{T-1}{T+1}\lambda\right) d\varphi \\ &= \left(\frac{M}{q-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot \frac{MT}{T-1} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{T-1}{T+1}\lambda\right). \end{aligned}$$

The proof of Lemma 2 is completed.

To prove the Theorem of this paper, we will use the following simple fact: If  $P_N(z)$  is a polynomial of degree at most  $N$  and  $P_N(z)$  satisfies the inequality

$$|P_N(z)| \leq L, \quad \text{if } |z| = r,$$

then it satisfies the inequality

$$|P_N(z)| \leq R^N L, \quad \text{if } |z| = Rr > r.$$

$$B(z) = \prod_{\zeta \in Z} \frac{z - \zeta}{1 - \zeta z}.$$

Proof of the Theorem of this paper: In [2] we have already proved that for any function  $f(z) \in H_q^p$  ( $p \geq 1, q > 1$ ) and any natural number  $N$  there exists a polynomial  $P_N(z)$  of degree at most  $N$  satisfying the inequality

$$\|f(z) - P_N(z)\| \leq A_2 \int_0^{\frac{1}{N}} \frac{\omega(t, f)}{t} dt,$$

where  $A_2$  is a constant independent of  $N$  and  $f$ . Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(f, Z) &\leq \|f(z) - P_N(z)\| + \rho(P_N, Z) \\ &\leq A_2 \int_0^{\frac{1}{N}} \frac{\omega(t, f)}{t} dt + \rho(P_N, Z). \end{aligned}$$

It is evident that we can suppose  $P_N(z)$  satisfies the condition

$$\|P_N(z)\| - \|f(z)\| \leq \|f(z) - P_N(z)\| \leq \|f(z) - 0\| = \|f(z)\|.$$

Hence we have

$$\|P_N(z)\| \leq 2\|f(z)\|.$$

From Lemma 1 for  $|z| \leq \frac{1}{2}$  we can obtain

$$|P_N(z)| \leq \left(\frac{4^s}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot \|P_N(z)\| \leq \left(\frac{4^s}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot 2\|f(z)\|.$$

in which  $s = \max\{2, q\}$ . Furthermore, we can obtain

$$|P_N(z)| \leq (2T)^N \cdot \left(\frac{4^s}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot 2\|f(z)\|$$

for  $|z| = 2T \cdot \frac{1}{2} = T > 1$ . Using Lemma 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(P_N, Z) &\leq \left(\frac{\pi}{q-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot (2T)^N \cdot \left(\frac{4^s}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot 2\|f(z)\| \\ &\quad \cdot \frac{T}{T-1} \exp\left(-\frac{T-1}{T+1}\lambda\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{4^s}{q-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot 2\|f(z)\| \cdot \frac{T}{T-1} \exp\left(-\frac{T-1}{T+1}\lambda\right) \\ &\quad + N \log 2T). \end{aligned}$$

Particularly, taking  $T = 3, N = \lceil \frac{\lambda}{5} \rceil + 1$ , we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(P_N, Z) &\leq \left(\frac{4^s}{q-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot \|f(z)\| \cdot \frac{3}{2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\lambda + \frac{\lambda}{5} \log 6 + \log 6\right) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{4^s}{q-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot 18\|f(z)\| \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda}{10}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Noting  $\frac{1}{N} \leq \frac{10}{\lambda}$  in this moment, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_2 \int_0^{\frac{1}{N}} \frac{\omega(t, f)}{t} dt &\leq A_2 \int_0^{\frac{10}{\lambda}} \frac{\omega(t, f)}{t} dt \\ &= A_2 \int_0^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} \frac{\omega(10t, f)}{t} dt \\ &\leq 10A_2 \int_0^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} \frac{\omega(t, f)}{t} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, taking  $A_1 = 10A_2, C = \left(\frac{4^s}{q-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot 18\|f(z)\|$  in which  $s = \max\{2, q\}$ , we can obtain the desired inequality

$$\rho(f, z) \leq A_1 \int_0^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} \frac{\omega(t, f)}{t} dt + Ce^{-\frac{\lambda}{10}},$$

where  $A_1$  is a constant independent of  $\lambda$  and  $f$ ,  $C$  is a constant independent of  $\lambda$ .

The proof is complete.

## References

- [1] Charles K. Chui and Xie-chang Shen, *Order of approximation by electrostatic fields due to electrons*, Constr. Approx. (1985) 1: 121-135.

- [2] Xing Fuchong and Su Chaolung, *On some properties of  $H_q^p$  spaces*, Proceedings of the 4-th National Conference on Approx. Theory, 1986.
- [3] Xing Fuchong and Su Chaolung, *Inverse theorems to the best approximation by polynomials in  $H_q^p(p \geq 1, q > 1)$  spaces*, Journal of Xingjiang University, No.4(1989), p.36-46.
- [4] Shen Xiechang and Xing Fuchong and Zhang Youguang, *On the Hardy-Littlewood-type theorems in Bers spaces*, Chinese Quarterly Journal of Mathematics, No.4(1987), p.1-18.
- [5] Shen Xiechang and Xing Fuchong, *The best approximation by polynomials in  $H_q^p(0 < p < 1, q > 1)$  spaces*, Journal of Mathematical Research and Exposition, No.1(1989), p.107-114.
- [6] Erik Andersson and Tord Ganelius, *The degree of approximation by rational functions with fixed poles*, Mathematische Zeitschrift by Springer-Verlag, z153, 161-166(1977).
- [7] Xing Fuchong, Jin Yuanze and Su Chaolung, *On the degree of the best approximation by rational functions with fixed poles in  $H_p^1 H_w$  class*, Journal of Xinjiang University, No.1(1985), p.51-55.
- [8] Walsh J. L., *Interpolation and approximation by rational functions in the complex domain*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquim pub. vol.XX, 1969.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CENTRAL INSTITUTE FOR NATURAL MINORITIES,  
CHINA.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, YANBIAN UNIVERSITY, JILIN PROV., CHINA.