

THE VANISHING OF THE GENERALIZED WHITEHEAD PRODUCTS AND T-SPACES

HONG-CHAN SON

Dept. of Mathematics, Chonbuk National University, Chonju 560-756, Korea.

1. Introduction

The original Whitehead product is a pairing $\pi_p(X) \times \pi_q(X) \rightarrow \pi_{p+q-1}(X)$ and the generalized Whitehead product (written GWP) is a pairing $\pi(\Sigma A, X) \times \pi(\Sigma B, X) \rightarrow \pi(\Sigma(A \wedge B), X)$ ([3],[5],[8]). In case A and B are spheres, the GWP is essentially the Whitehead product.

In [3], the author established some properties of the GWP and investigated spaces in which all GWPs vanish, and he remarked that such spaces are not necessarily H -spaces. J. Aguadé ([2]) introduced and studied a T -space, which is a space X having the property that the space of free loops on X is equivalent in some sense to the product of X by the space of based loops on X . It is known that ([2],[7]) an H -space is a T -space but the converse is not true.

In this paper, we show that all the GWPs vanish in T -space by using the notion of cyclic maps. And as a corollary to this fact we obtain that every T -space is a W -space.

Throughout this paper, space means a space of homotopy type of connected locally finite CW-complex. We also assume that spaces have non-degenerate base point. All maps shall mean continuous functions and all homotopies and maps are to respect base points (except for the case of the mapping space X^A). The base point and constant map at base point will be denoted by $*$ and 0 respectively. For simplicity, sometimes we use the same symbol for a map and its homotopy class. We denote $\pi(X, Y)$ the set of homotopy classes of pointed maps $X \rightarrow Y$. Let ΣA denote the reduced suspension of A and ΩB the loop space of B . And let $A \wedge B$ be the smash product.

Received April 27, 1994. Revised Jun 24, 1994.

Research supported by TGRC-Kosef (TGRC Post Doc. Program) in 1993.

2. The generalized Whitehead product

A map $f : A \rightarrow X$ is called cyclic, if there exists a map $m : A \times X \rightarrow X$ such that $mj \simeq \nabla(f \vee 1)$, where $j : A \vee X \rightarrow A \times X$ is the inclusion and $\nabla : X \vee X \rightarrow X$ is the folding map defined by $\nabla(x, *) = x = \nabla(*, x)$. The Gottlieb set $G(A, X)$ is the set of all homotopy classes of cyclic maps from A to X .

PROPOSITION 2.1 ([10],[11]).

- (1) If A is a co- H -group, then $G(A, X)$ is contained in the center of $\pi(A, X)$
- (2) X is an H -space if and only if $G(A, X) = \pi(A, X)$ for any space A

Let $\alpha = [f] \in \pi(\Sigma A, X)$ and $\beta = [g] \in \pi(\Sigma B, X)$ and let $f' = f \circ \Sigma p_A : \Sigma(A \times B) \rightarrow X$ and $g' = g \circ \Sigma p_B : \Sigma(A \times B) \rightarrow X$ where $p_A : A \times B \rightarrow A$ and $p_B : A \times B \rightarrow B$ are projections. We define the commutator

$$k = (f'^{-1} \cdot g'^{-1}) \cdot (f' \cdot g') : \Sigma(A \times B) \rightarrow X$$

where the products and inverses come from the suspension structure of $\Sigma(A \times B)$. Since $k|\Sigma(A \times *) \simeq 0$ and $k|\Sigma(* \times B) \simeq 0$, we have $k|\Sigma(A \vee B) \simeq 0$. Now consider a cofibration Π

$$\Sigma(A \vee B) \xrightarrow{\Sigma j} \Sigma(A \times B) \xrightarrow{\Sigma q} \Sigma(A \wedge B)$$

where $j : A \vee B \rightarrow A \times B$ is the inclusion and $q : A \times B \rightarrow A \wedge B$ is the quotient map. Then we have the Puppe exact sequence

$$\pi(\Sigma(A \wedge B), X) \xrightarrow{(\Sigma q)^*} \pi(\Sigma(A \times B), X) \xrightarrow{(\Sigma j)^*} \pi(\Sigma(A \vee B), X)$$

$k|\Sigma(A \vee B) \simeq 0$ means that $(\Sigma j)^*(k) = 0$, thus there exists $\tilde{k} \in \pi(\Sigma(A \wedge B), X)$ such that $(\Sigma q)^*(\tilde{k}) = k$, i.e., $\tilde{k}\Sigma q = k$.

And we know that the homotopy class of \tilde{k} does not depend on the choice of the map k ([3]).

DEFINITION 2.2. The GWP of $\alpha = [f] \in \pi(\Sigma A, X)$ and $\beta = [g] \in \pi(\Sigma B, X)$ is defined to be $[\alpha, \beta] = [\tilde{k}] \in \pi(\Sigma(A \wedge B), X)$.

Consider two maps $f : (CA, A) \rightarrow (X, *)$ and $g : (CB, B) \rightarrow (X, *)$ where $CA = A \times I/A \times 1 \cup * \times I$ is the reduced cone on A . And consider

the subspace $Q = CA \times B \cup A \times CB$ of $CA \times CB$ and define $h : Q \rightarrow X$ by

$$h((a, t), b) = f(a, t)$$

$$h(a, (b, u)) = g(b, u)$$

where $a \in A, b \in B$ and $t, u \in I$.

Now there is a homeomorphism $\nu : A * B \rightarrow Q$ defined by

$$\nu(a, b, t) = \begin{cases} (a, (b, 1 - 2t)), & \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ ((a, 2t - 1), b), & \text{for } \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

where $A * B$ is the join of A and B .

Let P be the subspace of $A * B$ consisting of all points $(a, *, t)$ and all points $(*, b, u)$. Then P is contractible and $A * B/P$ is $\Sigma(A \wedge B)$ and so the projection $\mu' : A * B \rightarrow \Sigma(A \wedge B)$ is a homotopy equivalence. Let $\mu : \Sigma(A \wedge B) \rightarrow A * B$ denote the homotopy inverse of μ' . Thus the map gives rise to a map $h\nu\mu : \Sigma(A \wedge B) \rightarrow X$ and it is easily seen that the homotopy class of $h\nu\mu$ does not depend on the representatives f and g of α and β .

PROPOSITION 2.3 ([3]). *The GWP $[\alpha, \beta]$ of $\alpha \in \pi(\Sigma A, X)$ and $\beta \in \pi(\Sigma B, X)$ is equal to $[h\nu\mu]$.*

Suppose that X is an H -space, then the group $\pi(\Sigma(A \times B), X)$ is abelian and so $k = f'^{-1} \cdot g'^{-1} \cdot f' \cdot g' \simeq 0$. Therefore, all GWPs vanish in X if X is an H -space.

Let $j_0 : \Sigma A \vee \Sigma B \rightarrow \Sigma A \times \Sigma B$ be the inclusion map and $\tilde{k}_0 : \Sigma(A \wedge B) \rightarrow \Sigma A \vee \Sigma B$ the map of Definition 2.2 which represents the GWP of the class of the inclusion maps $i_1 : \Sigma A \rightarrow \Sigma A \vee \Sigma B$ and $i_2 : \Sigma B \rightarrow \Sigma A \vee \Sigma B$. \tilde{k}_0 is called the GWP map.

PROPOSITION 2.4 ([3]). *If $p : \Sigma A \times \Sigma B \rightarrow \Sigma A \wedge \Sigma B$ is the projection, then for any space X , the following sequence of pointed set is exact*

$$\pi(\Sigma A \wedge \Sigma B, X) \xrightarrow{p^*} \pi(\Sigma A \times \Sigma B, X) \xrightarrow{j_0^*} \pi(\Sigma A \vee \Sigma B, X) \xrightarrow{\tilde{k}_0^*} \pi(\Sigma(A \wedge B), X)$$

THEOREM 2.5. *For $\alpha = [f] \in \pi(\Sigma A, X)$ and $\beta = [g] \in \pi(\Sigma B, X)$, $[\alpha, \beta] = 0$ if and only if there is a map $m : \Sigma A \times \Sigma B \rightarrow X$ such that $m j_0 \simeq \nabla(f \vee g)$.*

Proof. Define $l : \Sigma A \vee \Sigma B \rightarrow X$ by $l((a, t), *) = f(a, t)$ and $l(*, (b, u)) = g(b, u)$ for $a \in A, b \in B$ and $t, u \in I$.

Let $h_0 : Q \rightarrow \Sigma A \vee \Sigma B$ be the map given by $h_0((a, t), b) = i_1(a, t)$ and $h_0(a, (b, u)) = i_2(b, u)$, and let h, ν, μ be the maps of Proposition 2.3, where $Q = CA \times B \cup A \times CB$. Then $lh_0 = h$ and $l\tilde{k}_0 = lh_0\nu\mu = h\nu\mu$. This means that $[l\tilde{k}_0] = [\alpha, \beta]$. By Proposition 2.4 $l\tilde{k}_0 \simeq 0$ if and only if there exists $m : \Sigma A \times \Sigma B \rightarrow X$ with $j_0^*m = l$. But $l = \nabla(f \vee g)$. This gives $mj_0 \simeq \nabla(f \vee g)$.

PROPOSITION 2.6. *If $\alpha, \beta \in \pi(\Sigma A, X)$ and $d : A \rightarrow A \wedge A$ is the composition of diagonal map $\Delta : A \rightarrow A \times A$ and projection $q : A \times A \rightarrow A \wedge A$. Then $(\Sigma d)^*[\alpha, \beta] = (\alpha, \beta)$, the commutator of α and β .*

Proof. For $\alpha = [f], \beta = [g] \in \pi(\Sigma A, X)$, let $k = f'^{-1} \cdot g'^{-1} \cdot f' \cdot g' : \Sigma(A \times A) \rightarrow X$ and \tilde{k} be such that $k = \tilde{k}\Sigma q$ as in the definition of the GWP. Note that $(\Sigma d)^*[\alpha, \beta] = [\tilde{k}\Sigma d]$ and $\Sigma d = \Sigma q\Sigma\Delta$. So $\tilde{k}\Sigma d = \tilde{k}\Sigma q\Sigma\Delta = k\Sigma\Delta$. But it can be checked that $k\Sigma\Delta(a, t) = (f^{-1} \cdot g^{-1} \cdot f \cdot g)(a, t)$. Thus we obtain that $(\Sigma d)^*[\alpha, \beta] = (\alpha, \beta)$.

3. The vanishing of the GWPs and T-spaces

In this section, we investigate the situation that the GWPs vanish.

For the natural isomorphism $\tau : \pi(\Sigma X, Y) \rightarrow \pi(X, \Omega Y)$, we denote e by

$$\tau^{-1}(1_{\Omega X}) : \Sigma\Omega X \rightarrow X.$$

PROPOSITION 3.1 ([3]). *All GWPs vanish in X if and only if $\pi(\Sigma P, X)$ is abelian for all space P .*

Proof. For any space P , and any $\alpha, \beta \in \pi(\Sigma P, X)$, $[\alpha, \beta] = 0$ implies $(\alpha, \beta) = 0$ by Proposition 2.6. Thus $\pi(\Sigma P, X)$ is abelian.

Conversely, if $\pi(\Sigma P, X)$ is abelian for all P , then, in particular, $\pi(\Sigma(A \times B), X)$ is abelian. So the commutator

$$k = f'^{-1} \cdot g'^{-1} \cdot f' \cdot g' : \Sigma(A \times B) \rightarrow X$$

is nullhomotopic. And so that $[\tilde{k}] = [\alpha, \beta] = 0$.

The notion $nil \Omega X \leq 1$ is the assertion that the commutator map $\Omega X \times \Omega X \rightarrow \Omega X$ is nullhomotopic. Then we can see $nil \Omega X \leq 1$ implies $\pi(P, \Omega X) (\approx \pi(\Sigma P, X))$ is abelian for all P and so all GWPs vanish in X by the preceding proposition. Bernstein and Ganea ([4]) showed that there is a space with $nil \Omega X \leq 1$ which is not an H -space.

Let us consider the fibration

$$\Omega X \longrightarrow X^{S^1} \xrightarrow{p} X$$

where X^{S^1} is the free loop space of X and p is the evaluation at 1.

DEFINITION 3.2. A space X is a T -space if the fibration

$$\Omega X \longrightarrow X^{S^1} \longrightarrow X$$

is fibre homotopy equivalent to the trivial fibration

$$\Omega X \longrightarrow X \times \Omega X \longrightarrow X.$$

PROPOSITION 3.3 ([2]). *If X is a T -space if and only if the map $\nabla(e \vee 1) : \Sigma\Omega X \vee X \longrightarrow X$ can be extended up to homotopy to a map $F : \Sigma\Omega X \times X \longrightarrow X$.*

Note that the fact $\nabla(e \vee 1) : \Sigma\Omega X \vee X \longrightarrow X$ has a homotopy extension to $F : \Sigma\Omega X \times X \longrightarrow X$ means that $e : \Sigma\Omega X \longrightarrow X$ is cyclic.

THEOREM 3.4. *X is a T -space if and only if $G(\Sigma A, X) = \pi(\Sigma A, X)$ for any space A .*

Proof. Let $f : \Sigma A \longrightarrow X$ be any map. If X is a T -space, then by the preceding theorem, there is a map $F : \Sigma\Omega X \times X \longrightarrow X$ such that $\nabla(e \vee 1) \simeq Fj$. Note that $f = e \circ \Sigma\tau(f)$. Define $H : \Sigma A \times X \longrightarrow X$ by $H = F \circ (\Sigma\tau(f) \times 1)$. It can be checked that $Hj \simeq \nabla(f \vee 1)$, where $j : \Sigma A \vee X \longrightarrow \Sigma A \times X$ is the inclusion. This means that $f : \Sigma A \longrightarrow X$ is cyclic, so that $[f] \in G(\Sigma A, X)$.

Conversely, suppose $G(\Sigma A, X) = \pi(\Sigma A, X)$ for all space A . If we take $A = \Omega X$, then $G(\Sigma\Omega X, X) = \pi(\Sigma\Omega X, X)$. Thus we have $e : \Sigma\Omega X \longrightarrow X$ is cyclic, so X is a T -space.

For all space A , ΣA is a $co-H$ -group. Thus from Proposition 2.1 (1), Proposition 3.1 and Theorem 3.4, we obtain the following

THEOREM 3.5. *If X is a T -space then all GWP's vanish in X .*

It is proven ([1]) that only S^1, S^3 and S^7 are T -spaces. In fact H -spaces, T -spaces and G -spaces are equivalent in the category of spheres ([12]). Thus we have the following

COROLLARY 3.6. *All GWP's vanish in S^1, S^3 and S^7 .*

In case A is a sphere S^n , the Gottlieb set $G(A, X)$ reduced to the Gottlieb group ([6]) $G_n(X) = G(S^n, X)$. And $G_n(X)$ is an abelian subgroup of the homotopy group $\pi_n(X)$ by Proposition 2.1 (1). Let $P_n(X)$ ([6]) be the set of elements $[f]$ in $\pi_n(X)$ whose Whitehead product with all elements of all homotopy groups is zero. It turns out ([6] or Theorem 2.5 of [11]) that $P_n(X)$ form a subgroup of $\pi_n(X)$.

DEFINITION 3.7.

- (1) X is a G -space if $G_n(X) = \pi_n(X)$ for all n
- (2) X is a W -space if $P_n(X) = \pi_n(X)$ for all n

THEOREM 3.8.

- (1) An H -space is a T -space
- (2) A T -space is a G -space
- (3) A G -space is a W -space

Proof.

(1) By Proposition 2.1,(2) and Theorem 3.4. (2) Note that ΣS^n is homeomorphic to S^{n+1} and use Theorem 3.4. (3) It suffices to show that $G_n(X) \subset P_n(X)$. Suppose that $f : S^n \rightarrow X$ is cyclic. Then there exists $m : S^n \times X \rightarrow X$ such that $m_j \simeq \nabla(f \vee 1)$, where $j : S^n \vee X \rightarrow S^n \times X$ is the inclusion and $\nabla : X \vee X \rightarrow X$ is the folding map. Let $g : S^m \rightarrow X$ be any map. Then it can be shown that $m(1 \times g) : S^n \times S^m \rightarrow X$ satisfies $m(1 \times g)_j \simeq \nabla(f \vee g)$. By Theorem 2.5, the Whitehead product of the classes of f and g is zero. That is, the class of f is in $P_n(X)$.

It is known([2],[7]) that there exist T -spaces which are not H -spaces. And it is also known([6],[7]) that the converses of (2) and (3) are not true either.

References

1. J.Aguadé, *On the space of free loops of an odd sphere*, Publ.Mat.UAB **25** (1981), 87-90.
2. J.Aguadé, *Decomposable free loops spaces*, Canad. J. Math. **39** (1987), 938-955.
3. M. Arkowitz, *The generalized Whitehead product*, Pacific J.Math. **12** (1962), 7-23.
4. I.Berstein and T.Ganea, *Homotopical nilpotency*, Ill.Jour. Math. **5** (1961), 99-130.
5. D.E.Cohen, *Products and carrier theory*, Proc. Lond. Soc.(3) **7** (1957), 219-248.
6. D.H.Gottlieb, *Evaluation subgroups of homotopy groups*, Amer.J. Math. **91** (1969), 729-756.

7. H.B.Haslam, *G-spaces and H-spaces*, Ph.D. dissertation, University of California, Irvine, 1969.
8. P.J.Hilton, *Homotopy Theory and Duality*, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Inc., 1965.
9. K.L.Lim, *On cyclic maps*, J.Aust.Math. Soc.(Series A) **32** (1982), 349–357.
10. ———, *On evaluation subgroups of generalized homotopy groups*, Canad. Math.Bull. **27** (1984), 78–86.
11. N.Oda, *The homotopy set of the axes of pairings*, Canad. J. Math. **52** (1990), 856–868.
12. M.H.Woo and Y.S.Yoon, *T-spaces by Gottlieb groups and its duality*, to appear in J.Austral. Math.Soc.(Series A).