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In this short article, we study the extensions of continuous endomorphisms of a topological module that are given by scalar multiplication by a ring element. First, let us briefly check the details in the case of the circle, which gives the motivation for the generalization. Let $S^{1}$ be the unit circle $\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|=1\}$ that is identified with a topological abelian group $X=\mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z}$. It is also identified with the half-open interval $[0,1)$. The continuous group-homomorphisms of $S^{1}$ into itself are given by the formula $h: z \mapsto z^{m}$ for some integer $m$, where the integer $m$ is the winding number of $h$ and $|m|$ is the number of elements in the kernel of $h$ if $m \neq 0$. There are several ways to prove this fact and the following argument is not easily found elsewhere: We lift the $\mathbb{Z}$-module endomorphism $h: \mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z}$ to a continuous $\mathbb{Z}$-module endomorphism $\phi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by the formula $h(z)=\exp (2 \pi i \phi(x))$ for $z=e^{2 \pi i x} \in \mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z}$. Then we may assume that $\phi(0)=0$ and $\phi(x+1)=\phi(x)+m$ for some integer $m$. Now we prove that $h(z)=z^{m}$. Since the map $z \mapsto z^{m}$ is a homomorphism of the circle, we see that $g(z)=h(z) z^{-m}$ is also a continuous homomorphism. Hence it suffices to show that $g$ is the identity map. Note that $g\left(e^{2 \pi i x}\right)=\exp (2 \pi i \psi(x))$ where $\psi(x)=\phi(x)-m x$ and $\psi(x+1)=\psi(x)$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$. From the fact that $h$ is a homomorphism, we observe that $\psi(x+y)=\psi(x)+\psi(y)+d(x, y)$ where $d(x, y)$ is an integer depending on $x, y$. Since $\psi$ is a continuous function on $\mathbb{R}$, we conclude that $d$ is an integer-valued function which is continuous with respect to $x$ and $y$, thus it is constant. By substituting $x=0$ and $y=0$ we have $\psi(x+y)=\psi(x)+\psi(y)$, i.e., $\psi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an additive group homomorphism. Suppose that there exists $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$ for which $\psi\left(x_{0}\right) \neq 0$. Then $\left\{\psi\left(n x_{0}\right): n \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$ is an unbounded set in $\mathbb{R}$, which

[^0]contradicts the boundedness of the periodic function $\psi$. Therefore $\psi \equiv 0$ and $\phi(x)=m x$.

Now we look at the same fact from the point of linear algebra. If we regard $S^{1}$ as a $\mathbb{Z}$-module, the group-homomorphism $h: S^{1} \rightarrow S^{1}$ becomes a $\mathbb{Z}$-module homomorphism $h: X \rightarrow X$. Hence the problem is to show that there exists an integer $m$ satisfying $h(x)=m x, x \in X$. If $X$ were a one dimensional module over the ring $\mathbb{Z}$, then there would exist a $1 \times 1$ matrix $m$ associated with a $\mathbb{Z}$-linear module homomorphism as in the case of vector space linear transformations. But $X$ is infinitely generated and a straightforward application of linear algebraic idea would not work. We approximate $X$ by submodules which are finitely generated. Consider the submodule $X_{d}=\left\{0, \frac{1}{d}, \frac{2}{d}, \ldots, \frac{d-1}{d}\right\}$ which is generated by one element $\frac{1}{d}$. If $d$ is sufficiently large, then $X_{d}$ approximates $X$ in a sense. Note that $d \cdot h\left(\frac{1}{d}\right)=h(0)=0$ implies that $h\left(\frac{1}{d}\right)=\frac{k}{d}$ for some $k$, hence $h\left(X_{d}\right) \subset X_{d}$. Thus we may define $h_{d}: X_{d} \rightarrow X_{d}$ as the restriction of $h$. We expect that $h_{d}$ would approximate the continuous mapping $h$ if $d$ is sufficiently large. For a trivial endomorphism $h$, there is nothing to prove. So we assume that $h$ is nontrivial. Since $\frac{1}{d}$ generates $X_{d}$, the discrete mapping $h_{d}$ is determined by the formula $h_{d}\left(\frac{1}{d}\right)=n \cdot \frac{1}{d}$ for some $n, 0<n<d$. Similarly, we define $X_{2 d}$ and $h_{2 d}$. Then $h_{2 d}$ is determined by the formula $h_{2 d}\left(\frac{1}{2 d}\right)=m \cdot \frac{1}{2 d}$ for some $m, 0<m<2 d$. Note that the restriction of $h_{2 d}$ on $X_{d}$ is $h_{d}$, hence $2 \cdot h_{2 d}\left(\frac{1}{2 d}\right)=h_{2 d}\left(\frac{1}{d}\right)=h_{d}\left(\frac{1}{d}\right)$, $2 \cdot \frac{m}{2 d}=\frac{n}{d}, d \mid m-n$ and hence $m=n+k d$ for some integer $k$. Thus we may conclude that either $m=n$ or $m=n+d$. The latter corresponds to the case when the winding number of $h$ is negative. Replacing $h$ by $-h$ if necessary, we may assume that $m=n$. Continuing the argument indefinitely, we see that $h$ is nothing but the multiplication by the $1 \times 1$ matrix $n$ on the dense submodule consisting of the elements $k / 2^{j}, j \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \leq k<2^{k}$. Since $h$ is continuous, it is represented by the same matrix on the whole module $\mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z}$. This is a roundabout way of seeing things but it answers the question.

Now we apply the same idea for general cases. For the various definitions of algebraic structures we refer to [1]. Let $M$ be a module over a commutative ring $R$ with identity and $h$ an $R$-module endomorphism of $M$, that is, $h\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right)=h\left(x_{1}\right)+h\left(x_{2}\right)$ for $x_{1}, x_{2} \in M$ and $h(r x)=r h(x)$ for $r \in R, x \in M$. If $M$ is generated by finitely many
elements $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{d}\right\}$ in $M$, then every element $x \in M$ is expressed as a sum $x=r_{1} v_{1}+\cdots+r_{d} v_{d}=\sum_{j=1}^{d} r_{j} v_{j}, r_{j} \in R$. The coefficients $r_{i}$ 's are not unique in general if $M$ is not torsion-free, that is, $n x \neq 0$ for $n \neq 0,0 \neq x \in M$. Note that $h\left(v_{j}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{d} a_{i j} v_{i}$ for some $a_{i j} \in R$, hence $h(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{d} \sum_{i=1}^{d} a_{i j} r_{j} v_{j}$ and we say that $h$ is represented by a matrix ( $a_{i j}$ ). If $M$ is generated by one element, then $h$ is represented by $1 \times 1$ matrix $a \in R$, in other words, $h(x)=a x$ for every $x \in M$. For infinitely generated modules there is no representation of endomorphisms as above.

Recall that a ring $R$ is said to be a topological ring if it is a topological space and all the ring operations are continuous. A module $M$ is called a topological module over a topological ring $R$ if all the module operations are continuous. If a topological module $M$ is compact, then it might not be torsion-free hence it would be usually impossible to find a unique matrix representation even if $M$ is finitely generated. A metric $\rho$ on $M$ is said to be translation-invariant if $\rho(u+x, u+y)=\rho(x, y)$ for every $u, x, y \in M$. Now we have the following

Proposition. Let $M_{1}$ be a dense submodule of a topological module $M$ over a topological ring $R$ with identity and let $\rho$ be a translationinvariant metric on $M$. If a continuous $R$-module homomorphism $h$ : $M_{1} \rightarrow M_{1}$ is represented by a scalar multiplication, i.e., there exists $a \in R$ such that $h(x)=a x$ for every $x \in M_{1}$, then $h$ can be extended onto $M$ uniquely as a continuous $R$-module homomorphism and is also represented by the same $a$, that is, $h(x)=a x$ for every $x \in M$.

Proof. Note that the translation-invariance implies the uniform continuity of $h$ on the metric space $M_{1}$. Hence it has a unique continuous extension $g$ onto its closure $M$. Take an arbitrary element $x \in M$. Then there exists a sequence $x_{n} \in M_{1}$ that converges to $x$. Since the module operations are continuous, we have that $g(x)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} h\left(x_{n}\right)=$ $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} a x_{n}=a \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=a x$.

REMARK. A typical application of the above result is to approximate an infinitely generated topological module $M$ by an increasing sequence of finitely generated submodules $M_{1} \leq M_{2} \leq \cdots \leq M_{k} \leq \cdots \leq M$ satisfying the conditions that (i) $h\left(M_{k}\right) \leq M_{k}$ for every $k$, (ii) $h$ restricted on $M_{k}$ is given by the same ring element $a$ for every $k$ and (iii) $\cup_{k} M_{k}$ is
dense in $M$.

## References

1. T. W. Hungerford, Algebra, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1974.

Department of Mathematics
Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology Taejon 305-701, Korea


[^0]:    Received August 18, 1993.

