Comm. Korean Math. Soc. 9 (1994), No. 1, pp. 89-102

ON THE GROWTH OF MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS

KI-HO KWON AND KYU BUM HWANG

1. Introduction

Let f(z) be meromorphic in the complex plane and denote by n(r, f) the number of poles of f in $|z| \leq r$. Then the Nevanlinna characteristic is defined as T(r, f) = m(r, f) + N(r, f), where m(r, f) is the L_1 norm of $\log^+ |f(re^{i\theta})|$ and

$$N(r,f) = \int_0^r \frac{n(t,f) - n(0,f)}{t} dt + n(0,f) \log r$$

(for this and other standard terminology, see [3]).

In this paper we compare the growth of T(r, f) with that of

$$m_2(r,f) = \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (\log |f(re^{i\theta})|)^2 d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

An upper bound for $m_2(r, f)$ in terms of the Nevanlinna characteristic was obtained by J. Miles and D. Shea in

THEOREM A [6]. Let f be meromorphic in $|z| \leq R$, with f(0) = 1. Then

(1)
$$m_2(r,f) \leq \left\{ 1 + A/\sqrt{\log(R/r)} \right\} T(R,f),$$

where 0 < r < R and $A = 8\sqrt{\log 2}$.

The following two theorems improve Theorem A when T(R, f)/T(r, f) is big.

Received June 25, 1993. Revised October 8, 1993.

The first author was supported by the Wharangdae Research Institute, Korea Military Academy, 1992.

THEOREM 1. Let f(z) be meromorphic in $|z| \le \alpha r$ $(1 < \alpha \le 2, r > 0)$, with f(0) = 1. Then, for $0 < \varepsilon \le 1/2$, we have

(2)
$$m_2(r,f) \leq B(\alpha,\varepsilon)T(r,f)^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}T(\alpha r,f)^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon},$$

where

$$B(\alpha,\varepsilon) = \frac{6\sqrt{5}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}(\alpha-1)^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}}$$

We do not know if the exponent $\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon$ on $T(\alpha r, f)$ in the inequality (2) is precise. In [4, Theorem 3.2], we showed that if in (2) we consider pairs of exponents on T(r, f) and $T(\alpha r, f)$ with sum 1, then the exponent on $T(\alpha r, f)$ must be at least 1/4.

In addition, we can get a similar result as Theorem 1 which does not contain the term ε .

THEOREM 2. Under the same assumptions as in Theorem 1, we have

(3)
$$m_2(r,f) \le \frac{20}{\alpha-1} [T(r,f)T(\alpha r,f)\{2+\log T(\alpha r,f)\}]^{1/2}$$

Let S(r) be a real nonnegative function, then the order of the function S(r) is defined as

$$\overline{\lim_{r\to\infty}} \,\, \frac{\log S(r)}{\log r} \,.$$

The order of a meromorphic function f is defined as the order of T(r, f).

We now seek an upper bound for $m_2(r, f)/T(r, f)$ as a function of r.

COROLLARY. Let f(z) be meromorphic in the plane of order λ , $0 < \lambda < \infty$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a positive real number $r(\varepsilon)$ such that

(4)
$$m_2(r,f) \leq r^{\frac{\lambda}{2}+\varepsilon}T(r,f), \quad r > r(\varepsilon).$$

The inequality (4) shows that $m_2(r, f)/T(r, f)$ has order at most $\lambda/2$. We do not know if the inequality (4) is sharp. But the exponent on r in (4) must be greater than or equal to $\lambda/4$ by [4, Theorem 3.2].

The corollary follows immediately from Theorem 2. In fact, without loss of generality we may assume that |f(0)| = 1. Then we have, by

(3) with $\alpha = 2$ and the fact that T(R, f) is an increasing function of R [3, p8],

$$\frac{m_2(r,f)}{T(r,f)} \le 20[T(2r,f)\{2 + \log T(2r,f)\}]^{1/2}.$$

Since

$$T(2r, f) = O(r^{\lambda + \varepsilon}), \quad r \to \infty,$$

we have

$$\frac{m_2(r,f)}{T(r,f)} \le O(r^{\frac{\lambda}{2}+\varepsilon}), \quad r \to \infty.$$

We next consider the opposite direction of the inequality (1). If f is a meromorphic function in the plane, then it is in general not true for any fixed constants A and B that for all r > 0,

$$T(r,f) \le Am_2(Br,f)$$

(consider the function f(z) = (z+1)/(z-1)).

In case f is entire, it is easy to see that

(5)
$$T(r,f) \le m_2(r,f).$$

Hence T(r, f) and $m_2(r, f)$ have the same order for entire f by (1) and (5).

Let f(z) be meromorphic of finite order λ , and let $q = [\lambda]$. Assume for convenience that f(0) = 1 and define $\{\alpha_m\}$ by

$$\log f(z) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \alpha_m z^m$$

for z near 0. Write

$$f(z) = e^{p(z)} \prod E\left(\frac{z}{z_{\nu}}, q\right) / \prod E\left(\frac{z}{w_{\nu}}, q\right),$$

where $z_{\nu} \neq w_{\nu}$, $p(z) = \alpha_q z^q + \cdots + \alpha_1 z$ and

$$E(x,q) = (1-x)\exp(x + x^2/2 + \dots + x^q/q)$$

Let $c_m(r, f)$ be the *m*-th Fourier coefficient of $\log |f(re^{i\theta})|$:

$$c_m(r,f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (\log |f(re^{i\theta})|) e^{-im\theta} d\theta.$$

Then

$$m_2(r,f)^2 = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} |c_m(r,f)|^2.$$

Edrei and Fuchs [2] had shown that

(6)
$$c_{m}(r,f) = \frac{1}{2}\alpha_{m}r^{m} + \frac{1}{2m}\sum_{|z_{\nu}| \le r} \left\{ \left(\frac{r}{z_{\nu}}\right)^{m} - \left(\frac{\overline{z_{\nu}}}{r}\right)^{m} \right\} - \frac{1}{2m}\sum_{|w_{\nu}| \le r} \left\{ \left(\frac{r}{w_{\nu}}\right)^{m} - \left(\frac{\overline{w_{\nu}}}{r}\right)^{m} \right\}$$

for $m \ge 1$ and, for $m \ge q + 1$; also

$$c_{m}(r,f) = -\frac{1}{2m} \bigg\{ \sum_{|z_{\nu}| > r} \big(\frac{r}{z_{\nu}} \big)^{m} - \sum_{|w_{\nu}| > r}^{r} \big(\frac{r}{w_{\nu}} \big)^{m} + \sum_{|z_{\nu}| \le r} \big(\frac{\overline{z_{\nu}}}{r} \big)^{m} - \sum_{|w_{\nu}| \le r} \big(\frac{\overline{w_{\nu}}}{r} \big)^{m} \bigg\}.$$

Obviously, $c_m(r, f) = \overline{c_{-m}(r, f)}$ for $m \leq -1$ and

(7)
$$c_0(r,f) = N(r,1/f) - N(r,f) \le T(r,f).$$

In particular, if p(z) = 0 and $|\arg z_{\nu}| \le \omega$, $|\pi - \arg w_{\nu}| \le \omega$ with $0 \le \omega \le (\pi - \varepsilon)/2q$, $\varepsilon > 0$, then M. Ozawa indicated in [7] for meromorphic functions of positive genus that

$$T(r,f) \leq C(q,\omega)m_2(r,f)$$

for some constant $C(q,\omega)$ depending only on q and ω . We can generalize Ozawa's result in

THEOREM 3. Let $q \ge 1$ and let

$$f(z) = \prod E\left(\frac{z}{z_{\nu}}, q\right) / \prod E\left(\frac{z}{w_{\nu}}, q\right),$$

where $|\arg z_{\nu}| \leq \omega$, $|\pi - \arg w_{\nu}| \leq \omega$ with $0 \leq \omega \leq \frac{\pi}{2} - \varepsilon$, $\varepsilon > 0$. Then we have for r > 0,

$$T(r,f) \leq \frac{1+\cos\omega}{2\cos\omega}m_2(r,f).$$

REMARKS.

- 1) We deduce from Theorem A and Theorem 3 that for any meromorphic function satisfying the hypotheses in theorem 3, T(r, f)and $m_2(r, f)$ have the same order.
- 2) Theorem 3 shows that if $\omega = 0$, i.e., f has its zeros and poles in the positive and negative real axis respectively, $T(r, f) \leq m_2(r, f)$.

2. Proof of Theorem 1

For $m \ge 1$ and $\beta > 1$, (6) gives

$$c_{m}(r,f) - \beta^{-m}c_{m}(\beta r,f) = -\frac{1}{2m} \sum_{r < |z_{\nu}| \le \beta r} \left\{ \left(\frac{r}{z_{\nu}}\right)^{m} - \left(\frac{\overline{z_{\nu}}}{\beta^{2}r}\right)^{m} \right\} - \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{|z_{\nu}| \le r} \left(\frac{\overline{z_{\nu}}}{r}\right)^{m} (1 - \beta^{-2m}) + \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{r < |w_{\nu}| \le \beta r} \left\{ \left(\frac{r}{w_{\nu}}\right)^{m} - \left(\frac{\overline{w_{\nu}}}{\beta^{2}r}\right)^{m} \right\} + \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{|w_{\nu}| \le r} \left(\frac{\overline{w_{\nu}}}{r}\right)^{m} (1 - \beta^{-2m}).$$

Hence we obtain, for $m \ge 1$ and $\beta > 1$,

$$|c_m(r,f)| \le \beta^{-m} |c_m(\beta r,f)| + \frac{1}{2m} (1 - \beta^{-2m}) n(\beta r),$$

where n(R) = n(R, 1/f) + n(R, f). Using $n(R) \log \beta \le N(\beta R)$ and

(8)
$$|c_m(R,f)| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |\log |f(Re^{i\theta})| |d\theta \leq 2T(R,f),$$

we get, for $m \ge 1$ and $\beta > 1$,

(9)
$$|c_m(r,f)| \le 2T(\beta^2 r,f) \left(\beta^{-m} + \frac{1}{2m\log\beta}\right).$$

For any $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1/2$, (7) gives

$$|c_0(r,f)|^2 \le T(r,f)^2 \le T(r,f)^{1-2\varepsilon}T(\beta^2 r,f)^{1+2\varepsilon}$$

and, for $m \ge 1$,

$$|c_m(r,f)|^2 \le |c_m(r,f)|^{1-2\varepsilon} \left\{ 2T(\beta^2 r,f) \left(\beta^{-m} + \frac{1}{2m\log\beta}\right) \right\}^{1+2\varepsilon} \\ \le 4T(r,f)^{1-2\varepsilon} T(\beta^2 r,f)^{1+2\varepsilon} \left(\beta^{-m} + \frac{1}{2m\log\beta}\right)^{1+2\varepsilon}.$$

Thus we have

(10)
$$m_{2}(r,f)^{2} = |c_{0}(r,f)|^{2} + 2\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |c_{m}(r,f)|^{2}$$
$$\leq \{1 + 8D(\beta,\varepsilon)\}T(r,f)^{1-2\varepsilon}T(\beta^{2}r,f)^{1+2\varepsilon},$$

where

$$D(\beta,\varepsilon) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(\beta^{-m} + \frac{1}{2m \log \beta} \right)^{1+2\varepsilon}.$$

•

We observe that, by the Minkowski inequality,

(11)

$$D(\beta,\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{1+2\varepsilon}} \leq \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \beta^{-m(1+2\varepsilon)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{1+2\varepsilon}} + \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2m\log\beta)^{1+2\varepsilon}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{1+2\varepsilon}}$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{1}{\beta^{1+2\varepsilon} - 1} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+2\varepsilon}} + \frac{1}{2\log\beta} \left(\frac{1+2\varepsilon}{2\varepsilon} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+2\varepsilon}}.$$

Since $a^p + b^p \leq (a+b)^p \leq 2p(a^p + b^p)$ for a > 0, b > 0, and p > 1, and since $1 < \beta \leq \sqrt{2}$ implies $\log \beta^2 \geq (\beta^2 - 1)/2$, we obtain from (11) for $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1/2$ and $1 < \beta \leq \sqrt{2}$ that

(12)

$$\begin{split} D(\beta,\varepsilon) &\leq 2^{1+2\varepsilon} \left\{ \frac{1}{\beta^{1+2\varepsilon}-1} + \frac{1+2\varepsilon}{2\varepsilon} \left(\frac{1}{2\log\beta}\right)^{1+2\varepsilon} \right\} \\ &\leq 4 \left\{ \frac{\beta^{1+2\varepsilon}+1}{\beta^{2(1+2\varepsilon)}-1} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{2}{\beta^2-1}\right)^{1+2\varepsilon} \right\} \\ &\leq 4 \left\{ \frac{3}{(\beta^2-1)^{1+2\varepsilon}} + \frac{4}{\varepsilon(\beta^2-1)^{1+2\varepsilon}} \right\} = \frac{4(4+3\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon(\beta^2-1)^{1+2\varepsilon}}. \end{split}$$

Taking $\alpha = \beta^2$, we deduce from (10) and (12) that for $1 < \alpha \leq 2$, $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1/2$ and r > 0,

$$m_2(r,f) \leq B(\alpha,\varepsilon)T(r,f)^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}T(\alpha r,f)^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon},$$

where

$$B(\alpha,\varepsilon) = \left\{1 + \frac{32(4+3\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon(\alpha-1)^{1+2\varepsilon}}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{6\sqrt{5}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}(\alpha-1)^{1/2+\varepsilon}}.$$

3. Proof of Theorem 2

It follows from (9) that

(13)

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |c_m(r,f)|^2 \le 2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |c_m(r,f)| T(\beta^2 r, f) \left(\beta^{-m} + \frac{1}{2m \log \beta}\right)$$

$$= A + B + C,$$

where

$$A = 2\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |c_m(r,f)| T(\beta^2 r, f) \beta^{-m},$$

Ki-Ho Kwon and Kyu Bum Hwang

$$B = \sum_{m=1}^{N} |c_m(r,f)| T(\beta^2 r, f) \frac{1}{m \log \beta}$$

and

$$C = \sum_{m=N+1}^{\infty} |c_m(r,f)| T(\beta^2 r, f) \frac{1}{m \log \beta}$$

Since $1 < \beta \leq \sqrt{2}$ implies $\log \beta \geq (\beta - 1)/2$, we then have, by (8) and (9),

(14)

.

$$A \leq 4T(r,f)T(\beta^2 r,f) \frac{\beta^{-1}}{1-\beta^{-1}}$$

= $\frac{4}{\beta-1}T(r,f)T(\beta^2 r,f),$
$$B \leq \frac{2}{\log\beta}T(r,f)T(\beta^2 r,f) \sum_{m=1}^{N} \frac{1}{m}$$

$$\leq \frac{4}{\beta-1}T(r,f)T(\beta^2 r,f)(1+\log N)$$

and

$$\begin{split} C &\leq \frac{2}{\log \beta} T(\beta^2 r, f)^2 \sum_{m=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} \left(\beta^{-m} + \frac{1}{2m \log \beta} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{4}{\beta - 1} T(\beta^2 r, f)^2 \left\{ \frac{1}{N+1} \cdot \frac{\beta^{-N-1}}{1 - \beta^{-1}} + \frac{1}{\beta - 1} \sum_{m=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^2} \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{4}{(\beta - 1)^2} T(\beta^2 r, f)^2 \left\{ \frac{1}{N+1} + \frac{1}{N} \right\}. \end{split}$$

Now choosing $N = [T(\beta^2 r, f)] + 1$, we have

(15)
$$B \leq \frac{4}{\beta - 1} T(r, f) T(\beta^2 r, f) \{2 + \log T(\beta^2 r, f)\},\$$
$$C \leq \frac{8}{(\beta - 1)^2} T(\beta^2 r, f).$$

96

Hence we deduce from (7), (13), (14) and (15) that

$$\begin{split} m_2(r,f)^2 &= |c_0(r,f)|^2 + 2\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |c_m(r,f)|^2 \\ &\leq T(r,f)^2 + 2\frac{16}{(\beta-1)^2}T(r,f)T(\beta^2 r,f)\{2 + \log T(\beta^2 r,f)\} \\ &\leq \frac{250}{(\beta^2-1)^2}T(r,f)T(\beta^2 r,f)\{2 + \log T(\beta^2 r,f)\}. \end{split}$$

Taking $\alpha = \beta^2$, we conclude that

$$m_2(r,f) \leq \frac{20}{\alpha-1} [T(r,f)T(\alpha r,f)\{2+\log T(\alpha r,f)\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

4. Proof of Theorem 3

Let $q \ge 1$ and let

$$f(z) = \prod E\left(\frac{z}{z_{\nu}}, q\right) / \prod E\left(\frac{z}{w_{\nu}}, q\right),$$

where $|\arg z_{\nu}| \leq \omega$, $|\pi - \arg w_{\nu}| \leq \omega$ with $0 \leq \omega \leq \frac{\pi}{2} - \varepsilon$, $\varepsilon > 0$. Then we have, by (6),

$$c_1(r,f) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{|z_\nu| \le r} \left\{ \frac{r}{z_\nu} - \frac{\overline{z_\nu}}{r} \right\} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{|w_\nu| \le r} \left\{ \frac{r}{w_\nu} - \frac{\overline{w_\nu}}{r} \right\}.$$

Hence

(16)
$$\operatorname{Re} c_{1}(r, f) \geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{|z_{\nu}| \leq r} \left\{ \frac{r}{|z_{\nu}|} - \frac{|z_{\nu}|}{r} + \frac{r}{|w_{\nu}|} - \frac{|w_{\nu}|}{r} \right\} \cos \omega$$
$$= \frac{\cos \omega}{2} \int_{0}^{r} \left(\frac{r}{t} - \frac{t}{r} \right) dn(t),$$

where n(t) = n(t, f) + n(t, 1/f). Integration by parts applied twice to (16) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\operatorname{Re} c_1(r,f)}{\cos \omega} &\geq N(r,f) + N(r,\frac{1}{f}) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^r \frac{N(r,f) + N(r,1/f)}{t} \left\{ \frac{r}{t} - \frac{t}{r} \right\} dt \\ &\geq N(r,f) + N(r,\frac{1}{f}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get

(17)
$$m_2(r,f) \ge |c_1(r,f)| \ge \operatorname{Re} c_1(r,f) \ge \left\{ N(r,f) + N(r,\frac{1}{f}) \right\} \cos \omega.$$

It is clear that

(18)
$$m_2(r,f) \ge m(r,f) + m(r,\frac{1}{f}).$$

Therefore we deduce from (17) and (18) that

$$(1 + \cos \omega)m_2(r, f) \ge \left\{T(r, f) + T(r, \frac{1}{f})\right\}\cos \omega$$
$$= 2\cos \omega T(r, f).$$

Hence we conclude that

$$T(r,f) \leq \frac{1+\cos\omega}{2\cos\omega} m_2(r,f).$$

5. An upper bound for $m_2(r, f)/T(r, f)$ on a set of r with positive lower logarithmic density

In the remaining part of the present paper, we seek upper estimates for $m_2(r, f)$ in terms of T(r, f), now however permitting exceptional sets of r.

For $E \subset [1, \infty)$, define the logarithmic measure of E by

$$m_{\ell}(E) = \int_E \frac{dt}{t}.$$

The upper and lower logarithmic density of E are defined by

$$\overline{\log dens} E = \limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{m_{\ell}(E \cap [1, r])}{\log r},$$
$$\underline{\log dens} E = \liminf_{r \to \infty} \frac{m_{\ell}(E \cap [1, r])}{\log r}.$$

We denote the Ahlfors-Shimizu characteristic by

$$T_0(r,f) = \int_0^r \frac{A(t,f)}{t} dt$$

where A(t, f) is the average number of solutions of f(z) = a in $|z| \le t$ as a varies over the Riemann sphere.

In 1969, Petrenko [8] proved Paley's conjecture:

THEOREM B. For any meromorphic function f of order $\lambda < \infty$, we have

$$\liminf_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log M(r, f)}{T(r, f)} \le \begin{cases} \frac{\pi \lambda}{\sin \pi \lambda}, & \lambda \le \frac{1}{2} \\ \pi \lambda, & \lambda > \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$

We now obtain a theorem for $m_2(r, f)$ analogous to Theorem B.

THEOREM 4. Let f(z) be meromorphic in the plane of order λ , $0 < \lambda < \infty$. Then there exists a set $E \subset [1, \infty)$ with positive lower logarithmic density such that

$$\limsup_{r\to\infty,r\in E}\frac{m_2(r,f)}{T(r,f)}\leq c_\lambda,$$

where c_{λ} is a constant depending only on λ and

$$c_{\lambda} = O(\sqrt{\lambda}), \quad \text{as} \quad \lambda \to \infty.$$

Proof. To prove the theorem, we need the following results from [5].

LEMMA C. Let f(z) be meromorphic in the plane of order λ , $0 < \lambda < \infty$. For K > 1, let

$$E_1(K) = \{r > 1 : A(r, f)/T_0(r, f) > K\lambda\}.$$

Then we have

(a)
$$\overline{\log dens} E_1(K) \leq 1/K$$

and

(b) if $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $c(\varepsilon) > 0$ and a set $E_2(\varepsilon) \subset [1, \infty)$ with

$$\log dens E_2(\varepsilon) \geq c(\varepsilon)$$

such that for all $r \in E_2(\varepsilon)$,

$$T_0(re^h, f) < h(e + \varepsilon)A(r, f),$$

where $h = T_0(r, f)/A(r, f)$.

We may first assume f(0) = 1 for convenience. By Theorem A with $R = re^{h}$, we have

(19)
$$m_2(r,f) \le \{1 + 8\sqrt{\log 2}\sqrt{A(r,f)/T_0(r,f)}\}T(re^h,f)$$

By Lemma C (b), there exist a number c(e) > 0 and a set $E_2(e) \subset [1, \infty)$ with

(20)
$$\underline{\log dens} E_2(e) \ge c(e) > 0$$

such that if $r \in E_2(e)$,

(21)
$$T_0(re^h, f) < 2eT_0(r, f).$$

If we choose a number K_0 so large that

(22)
$$1/K_0 < c(e),$$

then by Lemma C (a) there exists a set $E_1(K_0)$ with

(23)
$$\overline{\log dens} E_1(K_0) \le 1/K_0$$

such that for $r \in [1, \infty) - E_1(K_0)$,

(24)
$$\frac{A(r,f)}{T_0(r,f)} \le K_0 \lambda$$

Setting $E = E_2(e) - E_1(K_0)$, we then have by (20), (22), and (23) that

$$\underline{\log dens} E \ge c(e) - 1/K_0 > 0,$$

and we conclude from (19), (21), and (24) that for sufficiently large $r \in E$,

$$m_2(r,f) \leq (1 + 8\sqrt{\log 2}\sqrt{K_0\lambda})(2eT(r,f)),$$

since $T(R, f) = T_0(R, f) + O(1)$ as $R \to \infty$. Hence

$$\limsup_{r\to\infty,r\in E}\frac{m_2(r,f)}{T(r,f)}\leq 2e\left(1+8\sqrt{\log 2}\sqrt{K_0\lambda}\right)=O(\sqrt{\lambda}),\quad\lambda\to\infty.$$

This proves Theorem 4.

100

6. Examples

In Theorem 4 our estimate for c_{λ} is certainly not best possible, but at least we need

$$c_{\lambda} \geq rac{\pi \sqrt{\lambda}}{2},$$

as is shown by the following example. For $0 < \alpha < 1$, we set

$$E_{\alpha}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{\Gamma(1+\alpha n)}.$$

Then $E_{\alpha}(z)$, called Mittag-Leffler's function, is an entire function of order $1/\alpha$ and has the following property [1, p50]: If

$$0 < \alpha < 1, \ z = re^{i\theta}, \quad r \ge 2,$$

we have that, as $r \to \infty$,

$$E_{lpha}(z) = \left\{ egin{array}{c} rac{1}{lpha} \exp(z^{1/lpha}) + o(1), & | heta| \leq rac{3}{4} lpha \pi \ o(1), & ext{otherwise.} \end{array}
ight.$$

Hence we get

(25)
$$T(r, E_{\alpha}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\frac{3}{4}\alpha\pi}^{\frac{3}{4}\alpha\pi} \log^{+} \left| \exp\{r^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}e^{i(\frac{\theta}{\alpha})}\} \right| d\theta + O(1)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}} r^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \cos\frac{\theta}{\alpha} d\theta + O(1)$$
$$= \frac{\alpha}{\pi} r^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} + O(1), \quad r \to \infty,$$

and if $m_2^+(r, f)$ is the L_2 norm of $\log^+ |f(re^{i\theta})|$ then

$$(26) \quad m_2^+(r, E_\alpha)^2 \ge (1 - o(1)) \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}} \left[\log^+ |\exp\{r^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} e^{i(\frac{\theta}{\alpha})}\}| \right]^2 d\theta$$
$$= (1 - o(1)) \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}} (r^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \cos\frac{\theta}{\alpha})^2 d\theta$$
$$= (1 - o(1)) \frac{\alpha}{4} r^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}, \quad r \to \infty.$$

Setting $\lambda = 1/\alpha$, we conclude from (25) and (26) for the entire function $E_{\alpha}(z)$ of order λ that

$$\liminf_{r\to\infty}\frac{m_2^+(r,E_\alpha)}{T(r,E_\alpha)}\geq\frac{\pi\sqrt{\lambda}}{2}.$$

This proves our assertion since $m_2(r, f) = m_2^+(r, f) + m_2^+(r, 1/f)$.

References

- M. L. Cartwright, Integral functions, Cambridge University Press, New York, 1956.
- 2. A. Edrei and W. H. J. Fuchs, On the growth of meromorphic functions with several deficient values, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 93 (1959), 292-328.
- 3. W. K. Hayman, *Meromorphic functions*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1964.
- 4. K. Kwon, On the growth of entire functions, Israel J. Math., (to appear).
- 5. S. Hellerstein, J. Miles and J. Rossi, On the growth of solutions of f'' + gf' + hf = 0, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **324** (1991), 693-706.
- 6. J. Miles and D. F. Shea, On the growth of meromorphic functions having at least one deficient value, Duke Math. J. 43 (1976), 171-185.
- 7. M. Ozawa, On the growth of meromorphic functions, Kodai Math. J. 6 (1983), 250-260.
- 8. V. P. Petrenko, The growth of meromorphic functions of finite lower order, Izv. Ak. Nauk U.S.S.R. 33 (1969), 414-454.

Department of Mathematics Korea Military Academy P O Box 77, Gongneung, Nowon Seoul 139–799, Korea