

FUZZY COMMUTATIVE IDEALS IN BCI-ALGEBRAS

YOUNG BAE JUN AND JIE MENG

In [6], the second author of this paper and X. L. Xin introduced the notion of commutative BCI-algebras and discussed the structure of it. Also the second author [4] introduced the concept of commutative ideals in BCK-algebras, and the results in [4] are generalized to BCI-algebra by him([5]). In [3] the first author of this paper introduced the notion of closed fuzzy ideals of BCI-algebras and studied their properties.

The aim of this paper is to introduce the concept of fuzzy commutative ideals of BCI-algebras, and is to study their properties.

By a BCI-algebra we mean a nonempty set X with a binary operation $*$ and a constant 0 satisfying the axioms:

$$\text{BCI-1} \quad ((x * y) * (x * z)) * (z * y) = 0,$$

$$\text{BCI-2} \quad (x * (x * y)) * y = 0,$$

$$\text{BCI-3} \quad x * x = 0,$$

$$\text{BCI-4} \quad x * y = 0 \text{ and } y * x = 0 \text{ imply } x = y,$$

$$\text{BCI-5} \quad x * 0 = 0 \text{ implies that } x = 0,$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. In a BCI-algebra X , we can define a partial ordering \leq by putting $x \leq y$ if and only if $x * y = 0$.

In any BCI-algebra X , the following hold:

$$(1) \quad (x * y) * z = (x * z) * y,$$

$$(2) \quad x * (x * (x * y)) = x * y,$$

$$(3) \quad x * 0 = x.$$

In this paper, unless otherwise specified, X will always mean a BCI-algebra.

A nonempty subset I of X is called an ideal if it satisfies

$$(I1) \quad 0 \in I,$$

$$(I2) \quad x * y \in I \text{ and } y \in I \text{ imply } x \in I.$$

We recall that a fuzzy set in X is a function μ from X into $[0, 1]$.

Definition 1 ([7]). A fuzzy set μ in X is called a fuzzy ideal if it satisfies

$$(F1) \quad \mu(0) \geq \mu(x) \text{ for all } x \in X,$$

$$(F2) \quad \mu(x) \geq \min\{\mu(x * y), \mu(y)\} \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

Definition 2 ([3]). A fuzzy ideal μ of X is said to be closed if $\mu(0 * x) \geq \mu(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

Now, for any fuzzy set μ in X , consider the following condition:

$$(4) \quad x * y \leq z \text{ implies } \mu(x) \geq \min\{\mu(y), \mu(z)\}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$.

THEOREM 1. Let μ be a fuzzy set in X . Then

(a) if μ is a fuzzy ideal of X , then μ satisfies the condition (4).

(b) if μ satisfies the conditions (F1) and (4), then μ is a fuzzy ideal of X .

Proof. (a). Let μ be a fuzzy ideal of X and let $x, y, z \in X$ be such that $x * y \leq z$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(x * y) &\geq \min\{\mu((x * y) * z), \mu(z)\} \\ &= \min\{\mu(0), \mu(z)\} \\ &= \mu(z). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\mu(x) \geq \min\{\mu(x * y), \mu(y)\} \geq \min\{\mu(y), \mu(z)\}.$$

Thus μ satisfies the condition (4).

(b). Assume that μ satisfies the conditions (F1) and (4). Combining BCI-2 and (4), we have

$$\mu(x) \geq \min\{\mu(x * y), \mu(y)\}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. This is the condition (F2) and the proof is complete.

Definition 3 ([5]). A nonempty subset I of X is called a commutative ideal if it satisfies (I1) and

(C1) $(x * y) * z \in I$ and $z \in I$ imply $x * ((y * (y * x)) * (0 * (0 * (x * y)))) \in I$ for all x, y, z in X .

Definition 4. A fuzzy set μ in X is called a fuzzy commutative ideal if it satisfies (F1) and

(FC1) $\mu(x*((y*(y*x))*(0*(0*(x*y)))))) \geq \min\{\mu((x*y)*z), \mu(z)\}$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Example. Let $X = \{0, a, 1, 2, 3\}$ in which $*$ is defined by

$*$	0	a	1	2	3
0	0	0	3	2	1
a	a	0	3	2	1
1	1	1	0	3	2
2	2	2	1	0	3
3	3	3	2	1	0

Then $(X; *, 0)$ is a BCI-algebra([1]). Let $t_0, t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$ be such that $t_0 > t_1 > t_2$. Define a function $\mu : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by $\mu(0) = t_0, \mu(a) = t_1$ and $\mu(1) = \mu(2) = \mu(3) = t_2$. By routine calculations, we know that μ is a fuzzy commutative ideal of X .

The following theorem is obvious, and omit the proof.

THEOREM 2. *Let I be any nonempty subset of X . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) I is a commutative ideal of X .
- (b) The characteristic function χ_I of I is a fuzzy commutative ideal of X .

THEOREM 3. *Any fuzzy commutative ideal must be a fuzzy ideal.*

Proof. Let μ be a fuzzy commutative ideal of X and let $x, z \in X$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \min\{\mu(x * z), \mu(z)\} \\
 &= \min\{\mu((x * 0) * z), \mu(z)\} \\
 &\leq \mu(x * ((0 * (0 * x)) * (0 * (0 * (x * 0))))) \\
 &= \mu(x * 0) \\
 &= \mu(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

Remark. A fuzzy ideal may not be fuzzy commutative. For instance, let $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ in which the operation $*$ is defined by

$*$	0	1	2	3	4
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	1	0	0
2	2	2	0	0	0
3	3	3	3	0	0
4	4	4	4	3	0

Then $(X; *, 0)$ is a BCI-algebra([5]). Let $t_0, t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$ be such that $t_0 > t_1 > t_2$. Define $\mu : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by $\mu(0) = t_0$, $\mu(1) = t_1$ and $\mu(2) = \mu(3) = \mu(4) = t_2$. Routine calculations give that μ is a fuzzy ideal of X . But μ is not a fuzzy commutative ideal of X , because

$$\mu(2 * ((3 * (3 * 2)) * (0 * (0 * (2 * 3))))) \not\geq \min\{\mu((2 * 3) * 0), \mu(0)\}.$$

THEOREM 4. A fuzzy ideal μ of X is fuzzy commutative if and only if it satisfies

$$(FC2) \quad \mu(x * ((y * (y * x)) * (0 * (0 * (x * y))))) \geq \mu(x * y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

Proof. Assume that μ is a fuzzy commutative ideal of X . If we take $z = 0$ in (FC1), then we get (FC2).

Conversely suppose that μ satisfies (FC2). Since μ is a fuzzy ideal, therefore

$$\mu(x * y) \geq \min\{\mu((x * y) * z), \mu(z)\}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. From (FC2), we obtain (FC1). This completes the proof.

THEOREM 5. Let μ be a closed fuzzy ideal of X . Then μ is fuzzy commutative if and only if it satisfies

$$(FC3) \quad \mu(x * (y * (y * x))) \geq \mu(x * y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

Proof. Suppose that μ is a fuzzy commutative ideal of X . Let $x, y, z \in$

X . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& (x * (y * (y * x))) * (x * ((y * (y * x)) * (0 * (0 * (x * y))))) \\
& \leq ((y * (y * x)) * (0 * (0 * (x * y)))) * (y * (y * x)) \\
& = ((y * (y * x)) * (y * (y * x))) * (0 * (0 * (x * y))) \\
& = 0 * (0 * (0 * (x * y))) \\
& = 0 * (x * y).
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from Theorem 1(a) and (FC2) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mu(x * (y * (y * x))) \\
& \geq \min\{\mu(x * ((y * (y * x)) * (0 * (0 * (x * y))))), \mu(0 * (x * y))\} \\
& \geq \min\{\mu(x * y), \mu(0 * (x * y))\} \\
& = \mu(x * y).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence μ satisfies (FC3).

Conversely assume that μ satisfies the condition (FC3) and let $x, y \in X$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& (x * ((y * (y * x)) * (0 * (0 * (x * y))))) * (x * (y * (y * x))) \\
& \leq (y * (y * x)) * ((y * (y * x)) * (0 * (0 * (x * y)))) \\
& \leq 0 * (0 * (x * y)).
\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 1(a) and (FC3) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mu(x * ((y * (y * x)) * (0 * (0 * (x * y))))) \\
& \geq \min\{\mu(x * (y * (y * x))), \mu(0 * (0 * (x * y)))\} \\
& \geq \min\{\mu(x * y), \mu(0 * (0 * (x * y)))\} \\
& = \mu(x * y),
\end{aligned}$$

which proves that μ satisfies the condition (FC2). Hence μ is fuzzy commutative, and the proof is complete.

Definition 5 ([6]). A BCI-algebra X is called commutative if, for all x, y in X ,

$$(5) \quad x \leq y \text{ implies } x = y * (y * x).$$

PROPOSITION 1 ([6]). A BCI-algebra X is commutative if and only if it satisfies

$$(6) \quad x * (x * y) = y * (y * (x * (x * y))) \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

THEOREM 6. Let X be a commutative BCI-algebra. Then every closed fuzzy ideal is fuzzy commutative.

Proof. Assume that X is a commutative BCI-algebra and let μ be a closed fuzzy ideal of X . Let $x, y \in X$. Then, by means of Proposition 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (x * (y * (y * x))) * (x * y) \\ &= (x * (x * y)) * (y * (y * x)) \\ &= (y * (y * (x * (x * y)))) * (y * (y * x)) \\ &= (y * (y * (y * x))) * (y * (x * (x * y))) \\ &= (y * x) * (y * (x * (x * y))) \\ &\leq (x * (x * y)) * x \\ &= 0 * (x * y). \end{aligned}$$

Using Theorem 1(a); we get

$$\mu(x * (y * (y * x))) \geq \min\{\mu(x * y), \mu(0 * (x * y))\} = \mu(x * y).$$

Hence, by Theorem 5 we conclude that μ is a fuzzy commutative ideal of X .

References

1. S. A. Bhatti, M. A. Chaudhry and B. Ahmad, *On classification of BCI-algebras*, Math. Japon. **34** (1989), 865–876.
2. Y. B. Jun, *Characterization of fuzzy ideals by their level ideals in BCK(BCI)-algebras*, Math. Japon. **38** (1993), 67–71.
3. Y. B. Jun, *Closed fuzzy ideals in BCI-algebras*, Math. Japon. **38** (1993), 199–202.
4. J. Meng, *Commutative ideals in BCK-algebras*, Pure appl. Math. (in China) **9** (1991), 49–53.
5. J. Meng, *An ideal characterization of commutative BCI-algebras*, Pusan Kyongnam Math. J. **9** (1993), 1–6.
6. J. Meng and X. L. Xin, *Commutative BCI-algebras*, Math. Japon. **37** (1992), 569–572.

7. O. G. Xi, *Fuzzy BCK-algebra*, Math. Japon. **36** (1991), 935–942.

Department of Mathematics Education
Gyeongsang National University
Chinju 660–701, Korea

Department of Mathematics
Northwest University
Xian, 710069
P. R. China