## A NOTE ON STARLIKENESS

## OF A CERTAIN INTEGRAL

## Shigeyoshi Owa

Let $A$ be the class of functions $f(z)$ which are analytic in the open unit disk $U$ with the normalizations $f(0)=0$ and $f^{\prime}(0)=1$. Denoting by $R(\alpha)$ the subclass of $A$ consisting of functions $f(z)$ which satisfy $\operatorname{Re}\left\{f^{\prime}(z)\right\}>\alpha$ for some $\alpha(\alpha<1)$ and for all $z \in U$, the starlikeness of an integral $g(z)=\int_{0}^{z}\{f(t) / t\} d t$ is shown.

## 1. Introduction

Let $A$ denote the class of functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $U=\{z:|z|<1\}$. A function $f(z)$ belonging to $A$ is said to be a member of the class $R(\alpha)$ if it satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{f^{\prime}(z)\right\}>\alpha \quad(z \in U) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\alpha(\alpha<i)$. Further, a function $f(z) \in A$ is said to be in the class $S^{*}(\beta)$ if it satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right\}>\beta \quad(z \in U) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\beta(\beta<1)$.
For $f(z)$ belonging to $A$, we define the function $g(z)$ defined by the following integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(z)=\int_{0}^{z} \frac{f(t)}{t} d t \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For such an integral, Singh and Singh [6] have shown

[^0]Theorem A. If $f(z) \in R(0)$, then $g(z) \in S^{*}(0)$.
In the present paper, we improve the above theorem by Singh and Singh [6]. Furthermore, Bulboaca [1, p. 162] has given

Problem. If $f(z) \in R(\alpha)$, find the best $Q(\alpha)$ for which $g(z) \in$ $S^{*}(Q(\alpha))$; or for a given $\alpha$, find the best $\Psi(\alpha)$ for which $f(z) \in R(\Psi(\alpha))$ implies $g(z) \in S^{*}(\alpha)$.

## 2. Starlikeness of the integral

We begin with the statement of the following lemma due to Owa, Ma and Liu [4, Corollary 1].

Lemma 1. If $f(z) \in R(\alpha)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{f(z)}{z}\right\}>2 \alpha-1+2(1-\alpha) \log 2 \quad(z \in U) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result is sharp.
Further, we have to recall here the following lemma by Jack [2] (also, by Miller and Mocanu [3]).

Lemma 2. Let $w(z)$ be regular in $U$, with $w(0)=0$. If $|w(z)|$ attains its maximum value in the circle $|z|=r<1$ at a point $z_{0} \in U$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{0} w^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=k w\left(z_{0}\right), \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k$ is real and $k \geq 1$.
An application of the above lemmas derives
Theorem 1. If $f(z) \in R(\alpha)$ with $\gamma \leq \alpha<1$, then $g(z) \in S^{*}(\beta)$, where $0 \leq \beta \leq \frac{1}{2}, t=2 \beta^{2}+\beta-1$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma=\frac{8 t \log 2-4 t(\log 2)^{2}-3 t}{8 t \log 2-4 t(\log 2)^{2}-4 t+2} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{f^{\prime}(z)\right\}=\operatorname{Re}\left\{g^{\prime}(z)+z g^{\prime \prime}(z)\right\}>\alpha, \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 1 gives that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{f(z)}{z}\right\}=\operatorname{Re}\left\{g^{\prime}(z)\right\}>2 \alpha-1+2(1-\alpha) \log 2 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{g(z)}{z}\right\}>4 \alpha-3+8(1-\alpha) \log 2-4(1-\alpha)(\log 2)^{2} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the function $w(z)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z g^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}=\beta+(1-\beta) \frac{1+w(z)}{1-w(z)} \quad(w(z) \neq 1) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $w(z)$ is regular in $U$ and $w(0)=0$. It is easy to see that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Re}\left\{f^{\prime}(z)\right\}  \tag{2.8}\\
= & \operatorname{Re}\left\{g^{\prime}(z)+z g^{\prime \prime}(z)\right\} \\
= & \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{g(z)}{z}\left(\left(\beta+(1-\beta) \frac{1+w(z)}{1-w(z)}\right)^{2}+(1-\beta) \frac{2 z w^{\prime}(z)}{(1-w(z))^{2}}\right)\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

If we suppose that there exists a point $z_{0} \in U$ such that

$$
\max _{|z| \leq\left|z_{0}\right|}|w(z)|=\left|w\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=1 \quad\left(w\left(z_{0}\right) \neq 1\right)
$$

then we can write $w\left(z_{0}\right)=e^{i \theta}(0 \leq \theta<2 \pi)$. Therefore, applying Lemma 2, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Re}\left\{f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\right\}  \tag{2.9}\\
= & \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{g\left(z_{0}\right)}{z_{0}}\left(\beta+(1-\beta) \frac{1+w\left(z_{0}\right)}{1-w\left(z_{0}\right)}+(1-\beta) \frac{2 k w\left(z_{0}\right)}{\left(1-w\left(z_{0}\right)\right)^{2}}\right)\right\} \\
= & \left(\beta^{2}+(1-\beta)^{2} \frac{\cos \theta+1}{\cos \theta-1}+\frac{k(1-\beta)}{\cos \theta-1}\right) \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{g\left(z_{0}\right)}{z_{0}}\right\} \\
\leq & \left(\beta^{2}-\frac{k(1-\beta)}{2}\right) \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{g\left(z_{0}\right)}{z_{0}}\right\} \\
\leq & \left(\beta^{2}-\frac{(1-\beta)}{2}\right) \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{g\left(z_{0}\right)}{z_{0}}\right\} \\
\leq & \left(2 \beta^{2}+\beta-1\right)\left\{2 \alpha-\frac{3}{2}+4(1-\alpha) \log 2-2(1-\alpha)(\log 2)^{2}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

because

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{g\left(z_{0}\right)}{z_{0}}\right\}>4 \alpha-3+8(1-\alpha) \log 2-4(1-\alpha)(\log 2)^{2}>0
$$

for $\gamma \leq \alpha<1$, where $\gamma$ is the root of the equation

$$
\left(2 \beta^{2}+\beta-1\right)\left\{2 \gamma-\frac{3}{2}+4(1-\gamma) \log 2-2(1-\gamma)(\log 2)^{2}\right\}=\gamma
$$

Further, noting that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{2 \beta^{2}+\beta-1}{2} \\
< & \left(2 \beta^{2}+\beta-1\right)\left\{2 \alpha-\frac{3}{2}+4(1-\alpha) \log 2-2(1-\alpha)(\log 2)^{2}\right\} \\
\leq & \gamma
\end{aligned}
$$

we know that (2.9) contradicts our condition of the theorem. Thus we conclude that $|w(z)|<1$ for all $z \in U$, that is, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z g^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}\right\}>\beta \quad(z \in U) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

This completes the assertion of the theorem.
Letting $\beta=0$ in Theorem 1, we have
Corollary 1. If $f(z) \in R(-0.26228 \cdots)$, then $g(z) \in S^{*}(0)$, and if $f(z) \in R(0)$, then $g(z) \in S^{*}(1 / 2)$.

Remark. Corollary 1 is the improvement of Theorem $A$ by Singh and Singh [6]. The first half of Corollary 1 was given by Owa [5].
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