

k_ϵ -Stability in Differential Systems

*SUNG KYU CHOI , **YOON-HOE GU AND *HYUN SOOK RYU

ABSTRACT. We investigate some properties k_ϵ -stability which is an h -stability of exponential type.

1. Exponential asymptotic stability (EAS) and uniform Lipschitz stability (ULS) are the basic notions in stability theory for differential systems. EAS and ULS were investigated in [2] and [5] for ordinary differential equations. For functional differential equations EAS and ULS were studied in [4].

Pinto [6, 7, 8] introduced h -stability (hS) which is an important extension of the notions of EAS and ULS. He introduced the concept of hS with the intention of obtaining results about stability for a weakly stable systems (at least, weaker than those given by EAS and ULS).

In this paper we investigate some properties of k_ϵ -stability which is an h -stability of exponential type.

2. We consider the nonlinear nonautonomous differential system

$$(1) \quad x' = f(t, x), \quad x(t_0) = x_0,$$

The present studies were partially supported by the Basic Science Research Institute Program, Ministry of Education, Korea, 1992, Project No. BSRI-92-110.

Received by the editors on June 30, 1994.

1980 *Mathematics subject classifications*: Primary 34D10.

where $f \in C^1(I_a \times D)$, $I_a = [a, \infty)$ and D is a region of \mathbb{R}^n containing the origin. We assume that the Jacobian matrix $f_x = \partial f / \partial x$ exists and is continuous on $I_a \times D$ and that $f(t, 0) = 0$. The symbol $|\cdot|$ denotes arbitrary vector norm in \mathbb{R}^n .

Let $x(t) = x(t, t_0, x_0)$ be denoted by the unique solution of (1) through (t_0, x_0) for all $t \geq t_0 \geq a$ and for all $x_0 \in D$.

Also, we consider the associated variational system

$$(2) \quad z' = f_x(t, x(t, t_0, x_0))z, \quad z(t_0) = z_0.$$

Let $\phi(t, t_0, x_0) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} x(t, t_0, x_0)$ be the fundamental matrix solution of (2).

Pinto[4] introduced h -system by the following statements.

The system (1) (or the trivial solution $x = 0$ of (1)) is called h -stable (hS) if there exist $c \geq 1, \delta > 0$ and a positive bounded continuous function h on I_a such that

$$(*) \quad |x(t)| \leq c|x_0|h(t)h(t_0)^{-1}$$

for $t \geq t_0 \geq a$ and $|x_0| \leq \delta$,

Also, the system (2) is h -stable if there exist $c \geq 1, \delta > 0$ and a positive bounded continuous function such that

$$(**) \quad |\phi(t, t_0, x_0)| \leq ch(t)h(t_0)^{-1}$$

for $t \geq t_0 \geq a$ and $|x_0| \leq \delta$.

If, in (*) and (**), $h(t)$ is replaced by

$$h_\varepsilon(t) = h(t)e^{c\varepsilon t}, \quad \varepsilon > 0,$$

then the system is called h_ε -stable, and $h(t)$ is replaced by

$$k_\varepsilon(t) = h(t)e^{c \int_{t_0}^t (\lambda(s) + \varepsilon) ds}, \quad \lambda \in L_1(I_a)$$

then the system is called k_ϵ -stable.

We need the Alekseev formula for a comparison between the solutions of (1) and the solutions of the perturbed nonlinear system

$$(3) \quad y' = f(t, y) + g(t, y), \quad y(t_0) = y_0,$$

where $g \in C(I_a \times D, \mathbb{R}^n)$. We let $y(t) = y(t, t_0, y_0)$ denote the solution of (3) passing through the point (t_0, y_0) in $I_a \times D$.

LEMMA 1 [1]. *If $y_0 \in D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, then for all t such that $x(t, t_0, y_0) \in D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$,*

$$y(t, t_0, y_0) = x(t, t_0, y_0) + \int_{t_0}^t \phi(t, s, y(s))g(s, y(s)) ds.$$

LEMMA 2 [5]. *Assume that $x(t, t_0, x_0)$ and $x(t, t_0, y_0)$ are solutions of (1) through (t_0, x_0) and (t_0, y_0) , respectively, which exist for $t \geq t_0$ and such that x_0 and y_0 belong to a convex subset \hat{D} of \mathbb{R}^n . Then for $t \geq t_0$,*

$$x(t, t_0, y_0) - x(t, t_0, x_0) = \int_0^1 \phi(t, t_0, x_0 + s(y_0 - x_0))ds \cdot (y_0 - x_0).$$

3. Note that if $z = 0$ of (2) is hS, then $x = 0$ of (1) is also hS because

$$x(t, t_0, x_0) = \left(\int_0^1 \phi(t, t_0, sx_0) ds \right) x_0,$$

by Lemma 2 .

For the converse, we need a condition

$$(4) \quad |f_x(t, x) - f_x(t, 0)| \leq v(t)|x|$$

for x in a neighborhood of the origin, where $\int_{t_0}^\infty v(s) ds < \infty$.

LEMMA 3 [3]. Under the condition (4), if $x = 0$ of (1) is hS, then $z = 0$ of (2) is hS.

THEOREM 1. If $z = 0$ of (2) is hS and $g(t, y) = g_1(t, y)$ is satisfied by

$$(5) \quad |g_1(t, y)| \leq \lambda(t)|y|, \quad \lambda \in C(I_a),$$

then the perturbed system (3) is also hS when $\lambda \in L_1(I_a)$.

PROOF. See [6].

Consider the perturbation $g(t, y) = g_2(t, y)$ with the conditions

$$(6) \quad |g_2(t, y)| \leq \nu(t), \Lambda(t) = \int_t^{t+1} \nu(s) ds \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty, \quad \nu \in C(I_a).$$

LEMMA 4. Under the conditions (6), assume that the positive continuous function h defined on I_a satisfy the following conditions:

$$(7) \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} h(t) \int_a^t h(s)^{-1} ds = M \quad \text{and}$$

$$(8) \quad 0 < \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} h(t) \int_t^{t+1} h(s)^{-1} ds \leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} h(t) \int_t^{t+1} h(s)^{-1} ds < \infty.$$

Then $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} h(t) \int_T^t h(s)^{-1} \nu(s) ds = 0$ for all $T \geq a$.

PROOF. See Lemmas 1 and 2 of [6].

LEMMA 5[6]. If h satisfies the condition (7), then there is a positive constant N such that

$$h(t) \leq N e^{-\frac{t}{M}}$$

for $t \geq a$ and M as in (7).

THEOREM 2. Assume that the system (2) is hS and $g(t, y)$ satisfy (6), where h satisfies (7) and (8). Then the zero solution of (3) tends to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

PROOF. See [Theorem 6, 2].

Now, we obtain an h_ε -stability property resulting from the perturbation of an h -system.

THEOREM 3. Assume that the system (2) is hS and $g(t, y) = g_3(t, y)$ satisfy

$$(9) \quad |g_3(t, y)| \leq \varepsilon|y|, \quad \varepsilon > 0,$$

for y in a neighborhood of the origin, uniformly in t . Then the perturbed system of (3) is h_ε -stable, where $h_\varepsilon = h(t)e^{c\varepsilon t}$, and all solutions of (3) tend to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$ if h satisfy (7) and $c\varepsilon < \frac{1}{M}$.

PROOF. Using Alekseev's formula, we obtain

$$y(t) = y(t, t_0, y_0) = x(t, t_0, y_0) + \int_{t_0}^t \phi(t, s, y(s))g_3(s, y(s)) ds.$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} |y(t)| &= |y(t, t_0, y_0)| \leq |x(t, t_0, y_0)| + \int_{t_0}^t |\phi(t, s, y(s))||g_3(s, y(s))| ds \\ &\leq c|y_0|h(t)h(t_0)^{-1} + c \int_{t_0}^t h(t)h(s)^{-1}\varepsilon|y(s)| ds. \end{aligned}$$

By Gronwall's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |y(t)| &\leq c|y_0|h(t)h(t_0)^{-1} \exp\left(c \int_{t_0}^t \varepsilon ds\right) \\ &= c|y_0|h(t)h(t_0)^{-1} e^{c\varepsilon(t-t_0)} \\ &= c|y_0|h_\varepsilon(t)h_\varepsilon(t_0)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $h_\varepsilon(t) = h(t)e^{c\varepsilon t}$.

If h satisfies (7), there exists $N > 0$ such that $|h(t)| \leq Ne^{-\frac{t}{M}}$. Thus $|h_\varepsilon(t)| \leq Ne^{(c\varepsilon - \frac{1}{M})t}$. Therefore if $c\varepsilon < \frac{1}{M}$, then $y = 0$ of (3) is h_ε -stable and $h_\varepsilon(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. This completes the proof.

Next, we investigate k_ε -stability for the perturbed system

$$(10) \quad y' = f(t, y) + g_1(t, y) + g_3(t, y), \quad y(t_0) = y_0.$$

THEOREM 4. *Under the conditions (5), (7) and (9), suppose that the system (2) is hS. Then*

- (i) *for all $t_0 \geq a$ and y_0 small enough, all solutions $y(t, t_0, y_0)$ of (10) are defined for all $t \geq t_0$,*
- (ii) *the system (10) is a k_ε -stable and all solutions $y(t, t_0, y_0)$ of (10) tend to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$ if $\lambda \in L_1(I_a)$ and $c\varepsilon < \frac{1}{M}$, where $k_\varepsilon(t) = h(t) \exp\{c \int_{t_0}^t (\lambda(s) + \varepsilon) ds\}$.*

PROOF. By Alekseev's formula, we have

$$y(t) = x(t, t_0, y_0) + \int_{t_0}^t \phi(t, s, y(s)) \{g_1(s, y(s)) + g_3(s, y(s))\} ds.$$

Thus

$$|y(t)| \leq c|y_0|h(t)h(t_0)^{-1} + ch(t) \int_{t_0}^t h(s)^{-1}(\lambda(s) + \varepsilon)|y(s)| ds$$

or

$$h(t)^{-1}|y(t)| \leq c|y_0|h(t_0)^{-1} + c \int_{t_0}^t h(s)^{-1}(\lambda(s) + \varepsilon)|y(s)| ds.$$

Then by Gronwall's inequality,

$$|y(t) \leq c|y_0|h(t)h(t_0)^{-1} \exp\{c \int_{t_0}^t (\lambda(s) + \varepsilon) ds\}.$$

Therefore the solutions $y(t, t_0, y_0)$ of (10) are defined for all $t \geq t_0$. Put $k_\varepsilon(t) = h(t) \exp\{c \int_a^t (\lambda(s) + \varepsilon) ds\}$. Then $|y(t)| \leq c|y_0|k_\varepsilon(t)k_\varepsilon(t_0)^{-1}$. By Lemma 5, $h(t) \leq Ne^{-\frac{t}{M}}$, $N > 0$ a constant. Then

$$\begin{aligned} k_\varepsilon(t) &= h(t) \exp\{c \int_a^t (\lambda(s) + \varepsilon) ds\} \\ &\leq Ne^{-\frac{t}{M}} \cdot Le^{c\varepsilon(t-a)} \\ &= c_1 e^{(c\varepsilon - \frac{1}{M})t}, \quad \text{where } c_1 = NLe^{-ca\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

If $c\varepsilon < \frac{1}{M}$, then $k_\varepsilon(t)$ is bounded and the system (10) is k_ε -stable. Also, $k_\varepsilon(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore $y(t)$ tend to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$. This completes the proof.

REMARK 1. In Theorem 4, we can prove the system (10) as a perturbed system of (3-1) $y' = f(t, y) + g_1(t, y)$ under the following condition:

$$(H) \quad |G_x(t, x) - G_x(t, 0)| \leq K(t)|x|, \quad K \in L_1(I_a),$$

where $G(t, x) = f(t, x) + g_1(t, x)$. For, by Theorem 1, the system (3-1) is hS. So the fundamental matrix solution of (3-1) is hS by (H) and Lemma 3. Therefore for the solution of (10) we have the same conclusion of Theorem 3.

LEMMA 6. Under the hypotheses (6), (7) and (8),

$$k_\varepsilon(t) \int_{t_0}^t k_\varepsilon(s)^{-1} \nu(s) ds$$

tend to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$, where $k_\varepsilon(t) = h(t) \exp\{c \int_a^t (\lambda(s) + \varepsilon) ds\}$, $\lambda \in L_1(I_a)$ and $c\varepsilon < \frac{1}{M}$.

PROOF. Since $\Lambda(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, for every $\delta > 0$ there exists T such that $\Lambda(t) < \delta$ for $t \geq T$. Therefore we can compute

$$\begin{aligned} & k_\varepsilon(t) \int_{t_0}^t k_\varepsilon(s)^{-1} \nu(s) ds \\ &= k_\varepsilon(t) \int_{t_0}^T k_\varepsilon(s)^{-1} \nu(s) ds + k_\varepsilon(t) \int_T^t k_\varepsilon(s)^{-1} \nu(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

The first term of the right side tends to zero by the proof of Theorem 4 and the second term also tends to zero because

$$\begin{aligned} k_\varepsilon(t) \int_T^t k_\varepsilon(s)^{-1} \nu(s) ds &= h(t) \exp\{c \int_a^t (\lambda(\tau) + \varepsilon) d\tau\} \\ &\quad \cdot \int_T^t h(s)^{-1} \exp\{c \int_s^a (\lambda(\tau) + \varepsilon) d\tau\} \nu(s) ds \\ &= h(t) \int_T^t h(s)^{-1} \nu(s) \exp\{c \int_s^t (\lambda(\tau) + \varepsilon) d\tau\} ds \\ &\leq Lh(t) \int_T^t h(s)^{-1} \nu(s) ds, \end{aligned}$$

where $L = \sup_{T \leq s \leq t} \exp\{c \int_s^t (\lambda(\tau) + \varepsilon) d\tau\}$. By Lemma 4, $h(t) \int_{t_0}^t h(s)^{-1} \nu(s) ds$ tends to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$. This completes the proof.

THEOREM 5. Under the conditions (5), (6), (7), (8), and (9), assume that the system (2) is hS and

$$(11) \quad |F_x(t, x) - F_x(t, 0)| \leq K(t)|x|, \quad K \in L_1(I_a),$$

where $F(t, x) = f(t, x) + g_1(t, x) + g_3(t, x)$. Then for any $t_0 \geq a$ and y_0 small enough, the solutions $y(t, t_0, y_0)$ of the perturbed system

$$(12) \quad y' = f(t, y) + g_1(t, y) + g_2(t, y) + g_3(t, y)$$

are defined for $t \geq t_0$ and for all t_0 big enough, the solutions $y(t, t_0, y_0)$ tend to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$ if $\lambda \in L_1(I_a)$ and $c\varepsilon < \frac{1}{M}$.

PROOF. Let

$$\begin{aligned} y' &= f(t, y) + g_1(t, y) + g_2(t, y) + g_3(t, y) \\ &= F(t, y) + g_2(t, y). \end{aligned}$$

From Theorem 4, the system $x' = F(t, x)$ is k_ε -stable. The variational system $z' = F_x(t, x(t, t_0, x_0))z$ is k_ε -stable, that is,

$$|\Phi(t, t_0, x_0)| \leq ck_\varepsilon(t)k_\varepsilon(t_0)^{-1}$$

where $\Phi(t, t_0, x_0) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0}x(t, t_0, x_0)$ is the fundamental matrix solution of the variational system $z' = F_x(t, x(t, t_0, x_0))z$ of (10), by (11) and Lemma 3. Therefore we have

$$y(t) = y(t, t_0, y_0) = x(t, t_0, y_0) + \int_{t_0}^t \Phi(t, s, y(s))g_2(s, y(s)) ds.$$

Thus

$$|y(t)| \leq c|y_0|k_\varepsilon(t)k_\varepsilon(t_0)^{-1} + ck_\varepsilon(t) \int_{t_0}^t k_\varepsilon(s)^{-1}\nu(s) ds.$$

Hence $y(t)$ is defined on the whole interval $[t_0, \infty)$. For t_0 big enough, the first term of the above tends to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$ by Theorem 4 and the second term of the above tends to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$ by Lemma 6.

REMARK 2. In Theorems 1 ~ 5, if we assume that (4) and $x = 0$ of (1) is hS instead of the system (2) is hS, then we have the same conclusion by Lemma 3. In Theorem 5, if $\varepsilon = 0$, i.e., $g_3 = 0$ and $\lambda \in L_1(I_a)$, then the solutions of the system $y' = f(t, y) + g_1(t, y) + g_2(t, y)$ tend to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Similarly, if $\lambda = 0$, i.e., $g_1 = 0$, then the zero solution of $y' = f(t, y) + g_2(t, y) + g_3(t, y)$ tends to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

REFERENCES

1. F. Brauer, *Perturbations of nonlinear systems of differential equations, II*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **17** (1967), 418-434.
2. S.K. Choi, K.S. Koo and K.H. Lee, *Lipschitz stability and exponential asymptotic stability in perturbed systems*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **29** (1992), 175-190.
3. S.K. Choi and H.S. Ryu, *h-stability in differential systems*, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica **21** (1993), 245-262.
4. S.K. Choi, *Stabilities in differential systems*, Comm. Korean Math. Soc. **9** (1994), 579-591.
5. F.M. Dannan and S. Elaydi, *Lipschitz stability of nonlinear systems of differential equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **113** (1986), 562-577.
6. M. Pinto, *Perturbations of asymptotically stable differential systems*, Analysis **4** (1984), 161-175.
7. M. Pinto, *Asymptotic integration of a system resulting from the perturbation of an h-system*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **131** (1988), 194-216.
8. M. Pinto, *Stability of nonlinear differential systems*, preprint.

*

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
CHUNGNAM NATIONAL UNIVERSITY
TAEJON, 305-764 , KOREA.

**

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
HANSEO UNIVERSITY
SEOSAN, 382-820, KOREA.