

On SF -Rings and Semisimple Rings

KYOUNG HEE LEE

ABSTRACT. In this note, we study conditions under which SF -rings are semi-simple. We prove that left SF -rings are semisimple for each of the following classes of rings: (1) left non-singular rings of finite rank; (2) rings whose maximal left ideals are finitely generated; (3) rings of pure global dimension zero and (4) rings which is pure-split. Also it is shown that left SF -rings without zero-divisors are semisimple.

Let R be an associative ring with identity. A ring R is called a (left) SF -ring if every simple left R -module is flat. It is known that R is regular if and only if every left R -module is flat. In connection with this fact, Ramamurthi [7] began the study of the relation of SF -rings and regular rings. M.B. Rege[8], Yue Chi Ming [11, 12] and J. Chen [3] proved that the SF property implies the regularity for each of the following classes of rings: (1) semi-local rings; (2) rings finitely generated as modules over their centers; (3) quasi-duo rings; (4) left p.p. rings; (5) left semi-artinian rings; (6) left non-singular rings of finite Goldie dimension.

In this note, we prove that left SF -rings are semisimple for each of the following classes of rings: (1) left non-singular rings of finite rank; (2) rings whose maximal left ideals are finitely generated; (3) rings of pure global dimension zero; (4) rings which is pure-split. Also, it is shown that left SF -rings without zero-divisors are semisimple.

Received by the editors on June 10, 1994.

1980 *Mathematics subject classifications*: Primary 16A30.

Throughout this paper, R represents an associative ring with identity and every R -module is unital. We say that R is *semisimple* whenever ${}_R R$ is a semisimple left R -module; equivalently, all left R -modules are projective. Also, it is known that R is a semisimple ring if and only if all simple left R -modules are projective. For left R -modules A and C , an epimorphism $f : A \rightarrow C$ is called *pure(finitely split)* if $\text{Hom}_R(M, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, C)$ is an epimorphism for every finitely presented (finitely generated) left R -module M . A left R -module M is *finitely projective* if every epimorphism onto M is finitely split and *pure-projective* if every pure epimorphism onto M is split. A left *annihilator ideal* in a ring R is any ideal which equals left annihilator ideal of some subset of R . As usual, $l(S)$ denotes the left annihilator ideal of S in R .

We first need the following proposition and lemma.

PROPOSITION 1 [9]. *Let R be a subring of a ring S and M a left R -module. If M is flat and the left S -module $S \otimes_R M$ is finitely projective over S , then M is finitely projective.*

LEMMA 2. *Let R be a subring of a ring S . If every flat S -module is finitely projective, then the same holds for every flat R -module.*

PROOF. Let M be a flat R -module. Then for any monomorphism of S -modules $A \rightarrow B$, the natural homomorphism $A \otimes_R M \rightarrow B \otimes_R M$ is a monomorphism. Since $A \otimes_S (S \otimes_R M) \simeq A \otimes_R M$ and $B \otimes_S (S \otimes_R M) \simeq B \otimes_R M$, $S \otimes_R M$ is flat over S . Hence $S \otimes_R M$ is finitely projective by the hypothesis. So M is a finitely projective R -module by Proposition 1.

Recall that a ring R has a *finite left rank* (equivalently, finite Goldie dimension) if there are no infinite direct sums of nonzero left ideals within R . Every left noetherian ring has a finite left rank.

THEOREM 3. *A left nonsingular SF -ring of finite left rank is semisimple.*

PROOF. If R is a left nonsingular SF -ring of finite rank, then R is a subring of the maximal left quotient ring Q of R . By Theorem 12.2.5 [10], Q is semisimple. Since every flat Q -module is finitely projective, every flat left R -module is also finitely projective by Lemma 2. Therefore, every simple left R -module is projective, and so R is semisimple.

A left R -module is called *R -Mittag Leffler (R -ML)* if the canonical homomorphism $\mu_{M,I} : R^I \otimes M \rightarrow M^I$ defined by $\mu_{M,I}(\{r_i\} \otimes m) = \{r_i m\}$ is a monomorphism for every set I . So M is finitely presented if and only if M is finitely generated and R -ML. A ring R is of *left pure global dimension zero* if every left R -module is pure-projective [2]. Also in [2], M is called *pure-split* if every pure submodule of M is a direct summand of M . In the following theorem, we can see that every simple flat R -module is projective if R is pure-split.

THEOREM 4. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) R is semisimple.
- (2) R is a left SF -ring and every simple left R -module is R -ML.
- (3) R is a left SF -ring and every simple left R -module is finitely presented.
- (4) R is a left SF -ring whose maximal left ideals are finitely generated.
- (5) R is a left SF -ring with pure global dimension zero.
- (6) R is a pure-split left SF -ring.

PROOF. Since a module is finitely presented if and only if it is finitely generated and R -ML, the implications (1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3) follows. For every maximal left ideal M , R/M is a simple left R -module,

so it is finitely presented. Hence M is finitely generated. Thus (3) \Rightarrow (4) is shown. To prove (4) \Rightarrow (1), let S be a simple left R -module. Then $S \simeq R/l(S)$ is flat and finitely presented since $l(S)$ is a maximal left ideal. By Corollary 11.5[10], S is projective. Thus R is semisimple. The implication (1) \Rightarrow (5) is obvious.

(5) \Rightarrow (2). Every simple left R -module is pure-projective, so it is finitely pure-projective. Since finitely pure-projective modules coincide with R - ML modules (see [6]), it follows that every simple left R -module is R - ML .

(1) \Rightarrow (6). Over a semisimple ring R , every R -module is pure-split since every exact sequence is split. Thus R is a pure-split SF -ring.

(6) \Rightarrow (1). Since every simple left R -module S is flat, every maximal left ideal is a pure submodule of R . Hence it is a direct summand of R . Since $l(S)$ is a maximal left ideal of R , the sequence $0 \rightarrow l(S) \rightarrow R \rightarrow S \rightarrow 0$ is split exact. Thus every simple left R -module S is projective and hence R is semisimple.

PROPOSITION 5. *Let R be a SF -ring without zero-divisors. Then R is semi-simple.*

PROOF. Let S be a simple left R -module and x a nonzero element of S . Then S is flat and so it is torsion-free in the sense that $x \neq 0$ and s not a zero-divisor implies $sx \neq 0$. Hence $Rx = S$ is isomorphic to R and so it is projective.

REMARKS. (1) As we have seen, SF -rings whose flat modules are finitely (or, singly) projective is semisimple. Thus left Noetherian SF -rings and Prüfer SF -rings are also semisimple by Proposition 15 and 18 of [1]. Semiperfect SF -rings are also semisimple since every finitely generated flat module is projective over semiperfect rings.

(2) A ring R is called a left semi-artinian ring if every nonzero left R -module has nonzero socle. Chen ([3]) shows that a semi-artinian

SF -ring is (von Neumann) regular. Therefore, from [5] we can see that the following conditions are equivalent for a left semi-artinian ring R : (i) R is a SF -ring; (ii) R is regular; (iii) R is an f - V -ring; (iv) R is fully left idempotent.

(3) A ring without non-zero nilpotent elements is called a *reduced ring*. By Rege [8], it is proved that a reduced SF -ring is strongly regular. From this fact, it follows that commutative SF -rings are regular. Moreover, commutative SF -rings are V -rings (rings over which all simple modules are injective), because a simple module is flat if and only if it is injective over a commutative ring.

(4) Azumaya[1] conjectured that every flat left R -module is finitely projective if (and only if) $l(a_1) \subset l(a_1a_2) \subset \dots$ terminates for every sequence a_1, a_2, \dots , in R . In connection with this, we suggest a question whether SF -rings satisfying the above condition on termination of ascending chains are semisimple. We also point out that if R satisfies the above condition on termination of ascending chains then R has no infinite number of orthogonal idempotents and that reduced SF -rings with no infinite number of orthogonal idempotents are semisimple (by the above remark (3) and Corollary 2.16 [4]).

REFERENCES

1. G. Azumaya, *Finite splitness and finite projectivity*, J. Alg. **106** (1987), 114-134.
2. G. Azumaya and A. Facchini, *Rings of pure global dimension zero and Mittag-Leffler Modules*, J. Pure and Applied Alg. **62** (1989), 102-109.
3. J. Chen, *On von Neumann regular rings and SF -rings*, Math. Japonica **36(6)** (1991), 1123-1127.
4. K. R. Goodearl, *Von Neumann regular rings*, Pitman, London, 1979.
5. K. H. Lee and J. M. Chung, *On f - V -rings*, Comm. Kor. Math. Soc. **5** (1990), 23-28.
6. K. H. Lee, *Finitely relative injectivity and projectivity*, Ph. D. Thesis, Seoul National University, 1991.

7. V. S. Ramamurthi, *On the injectivity and flatness of certain cyclic modules*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **48** (1975), 21-25.
8. M. B. Rege, *On von Neumann regular rings and SF-rings*, Math. Japonica **31(6)** (1986), 927-936.
9. D. Simpson, *\aleph -flat and \aleph -projective modules*, Bull. Polon. Sci. Ser. Sci. Math. Astro. Phys. **20** (1972), 109-114.
10. B. Stenström, *Rings of quotients*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1975.
11. R. Yue Chi Ming, *On von Neumann regular rings VIII*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **19(2)** (1983), 97-104.
12. R. Yue Chi Ming, *On regular rings and annihilators*, Math. Nachr. **110** (1983), 137-142.

DEPARTMENT OF LIBERAL ARTS

KOREA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND EDUCATION

BYUNGcheon, Chungnam, 333-860, Korea