# A Note on Derivations of Banach Algebras

#### GWANG-HUI KIM

ABSTRACT. Let A be a (complex) Banach algebra. The object of the this paper shall be remove the continuity of the derivation in the recently theorems. We prove that every derivation D on A satisfying  $[D(a), a] \in \operatorname{Prad}(A)$  for all  $a \in A$  maps into the radical of A. Also if  $\alpha D^3 + D^2$  is a derivation for some  $\alpha \in C$  and all minimal prime ideals are closed, then D maps into its radical.

## 1. Introduction

In 1955 Singer and Wermer [12] proved that every bounded derivation on a commutative Banach algebra maps into its radical. They conjectured that the continuity of the derivation in their theorem can be removed. In 1988 Thomas [13] proved their conjecture; Every derivation on a commutative Banach algebra maps into its radical. For noncommutative versions, in 1984 B.Yood [15] proved that the continuous derivations on Banach algebras satisfing  $[D(a), b] \in \text{Rad}(A)$  for all  $a, b \in A$  have the radical range, where [a, b] will be denote the commutator ab - ba. In 1990 M.Bresar and J.Vukman [1] have generalized Yood's result, that is, the continuous linear Jordan derivation on Banach algebra that satisfies  $[D(a), a] \in \text{Rad}(A)$  for all  $a \in A$  has the radical range. In next year Mathieu and Murphy [5] proved that every bounded centralizing derivation on Banach algebras has its image in the radical. In year after next Mathieu and Runde [6] removed the boundedness of that.

Received by the editors on April 30, 1994. 1980 Mathematics subject classifications: Primary 46H05. A derivation on an algebra is a linear mapping  $D:A\to A$  that satisfies D(ab)=aD(b)+D(a)b for all  $a,b\in A$ . A mapping F of a ring R is said to be commuting if [F(x),x]=0 for all  $x\in R$ , and is said to be centralizing on R if  $[F(x),x]\in Z(R)$  holds for all  $x\in R$ , where Z(R) is the center of a ring R. Throughout this paper, radical (prime radical) of A will be denoted by  $\operatorname{Rad}(A)$  ( $\operatorname{Prad}(A)$ ). Note that  $\operatorname{Rad}(A)$  ( $\operatorname{Prad}(A)$ ) is the intersection of all primitive ideals (prime ideals) of A. A is said to be semisimple or semiprime if  $\operatorname{Rad}(A)=0$  or  $\operatorname{Prad}(A)=0$  respectively.

Let X, Y be Banach spaces, and  $T: X \to Y$  a linear mapping. Then

$$S(T) = \{y | \text{ there is a sequence } \{x_n\} \text{ in } X \text{ with } x_n \to 0, \text{ and } Tx_n \to y\}$$

is said to be the *separating space* of T. It is a closed linear subspace of Y. The separating space of a derivation on a Banach algebra is a *separating ideal*, and S(T) = 0 iff T is continuous. The detail proofs and definitions will be seen in A.M. Sinclair [11] and J. Cusack [4].

The following theorem has been proved in [4], and for commutativity we can find in [3]

THEOREM A. Let A be a noncommutative Banach algebra,  $S \subset A$  a seperating ideal, and  $P \subset A$  a minimal prime ideal which does not contain S. Then P is closed.

The following theorem has been proved in [6], and for a continuous derivation we can find in Theorem 2.2[10].

THEOREM B. Let D be a derivation on a ring R. Then D fixes each minimal prime ideal P of R such that R/P is torsion free.

## 2. The Results

The proofs of the results rest heavily on the Theorem B of Mathieu and Runde[6], and they conjectured that the assumption of continuity may be removable.

REMARK. Theorem B implies that the minimal prime ideal P in a Banach algebra are invariant under derivations, and so we can 'drop'the derivation  $D: A \to A$  to the derivation  $D_P: A/P \to A/P$ , defined by

$$(1) D_P(a+P) = Da + P (a+P \in A/P).$$

Then the algebra  $A/P = A_P$  is a prime algebra, and if P is closed, then A/P is a prime Banach algebra. The method of proofs in results will follow the this proceeding.

As an immediate consequence of the Remark, we obtain that  $D(\operatorname{Prad}(A)) \subseteq \operatorname{Prad}(A)$  for every derivation D on A, which means that there is no loss of generality in assuming that A is semiprime.

THEOREM 1. Let D be a derivation on a Banach algebra A. If  $[D(a), a] \in Prad(A)$  for all  $a \in A$ , then D maps A into Rad(A).

PROOF. For each minimal prime ideal P we perform as the Remark. Suppose first that P is closed. Then A/P is a prime Banach algebra. So we observe that  $[D_P(x), x] = 0$  for all  $x \in A/P$ . Hence  $D_P$  is commuting on A/P, so it is centralizing. By Mathieu and Runde Theorem[6]  $D_P(A/P) \subseteq \operatorname{Rad}(A/P) \subseteq Q/P$ , where Q is a primitive ideal of A. Hence  $D(A) \subseteq Q$ , and so  $D(A) \subseteq \operatorname{Rad}(A)$ . If P is not closed, then  $S(D) \subseteq P$  by Theorem A. We define the cannonical epimorphism  $\pi$  from A onto  $A_{\overline{P}}$  by Sinclair[11]. Then we have

$$S(\pi \circ D) = \overline{\pi(S(D))} = \{0\}$$

and so  $\pi \circ D$  is continuous. As a result,  $(\pi \circ D)\overline{P} = \{0\}$ , that is,  $D(\overline{P}) \subseteq \overline{P}$ . Performing as the Remark for each  $\overline{P}$ , we observe that  $[D_{\overline{P}}(x), x] = 0$  for all  $x \in A/\overline{P}$ , where  $D_{\overline{P}}$  is a centralizing derivation on  $A/\overline{P}$  defined by (1). Then Mathieu and Runde Theorem [6] implies that  $D_{\overline{P}}(A_{\overline{P}}) \subseteq \operatorname{Rad}(A_{\overline{P}}) \subseteq Q_{\overline{P}}$  for all primitive ideals Q of A. Therefore  $D(A) \subseteq Q$ , and so  $D(A) \subseteq \operatorname{Rad}(A)$ . The proof of the theorem is complete.

COROLLARY 2. Let D be a derivation of a semisimple Banach algebra A, and  $[D(a), a] \in Prad(A)$  for all  $a \in A$ , then D is continuous.

THEOREM 3. Let D be a derivation on a Banach algebra A. If  $[D(a), a]^2 \in Prad(A)$  for all  $a \in A$ , and all minimal prime ideals are closed, then D maps A into Rad(A).

PROOF. Let Q be a primitive ideal of A. Using Zorn's lemma, we find a minimal prime ideal  $P \subseteq Q$ , which is D-invariant as the Remark. We observe that  $[D_P(x), x]^2 = 0$  for all  $x \in A/P$ , where A/P is a prime Banach algebra. If A/P is commutative, then Thomas Theorem implies that  $D_P(A/P) \subseteq \operatorname{Rad}(A/P) \subseteq Q/P$  for all primitive ideals Q of A, and so  $D(A) \subseteq \operatorname{Rad}(A)$ . We consider the case that A/P is noncommutative. We see that there is no loss of generality in assuming that A is prime, noncommutative, and  $[D(x), x]^2 = 0$  for all  $x \in A$ . Therefore we get D = 0 throughout the same proceeding of Theorem 3[2]. Namely,  $D(A) \subseteq P \subseteq Q$  for every primitive ideal Q. The proof of the theorem is complete.

THEOREM 4. Let D and G be a derivation on a Banach algebra A such that  $[D^2(a) + G(a), a] \in Prad(A)$  for all  $a \in A$ , and all minimal prime ideals are closed. Then both D and G map A into Rad(A).

PROOF. For each D and G, as in the proof of Theorem 3 we observe that  $[D_P^2(x) + G_P(x), x] = 0$  for all  $x \in A/P$ . If A/P is a

commutative, then  $D_P(A/P) \subseteq \operatorname{Rad}(A/P) \subseteq Q/P$  and  $G_P(A/P) \subseteq \operatorname{Rad}(A/P) \subseteq Q/P$  for every primitive ideal Q of A by Thomas Theorem, and so  $D(A) \subseteq \operatorname{Rad}(A)$  and  $G(A) \subseteq \operatorname{Rad}(A)$ . If A/P is noncommutative, then we see that there is no loss of generality in assuming that A is prime, noncommutative and that  $[D^2(x) + G(x), x] = 0$  for all  $x \in A$ . Therefore we get D = G = 0 throughout the same proceeding of Theorem 1[2]. Namely,  $D(A) \subseteq P \subseteq Q$  and  $G(A) \subseteq P \subseteq Q$  for every primitive ideal Q of A. So the proof of the theorem is complete.

COROLLRAY 5. Let D and G be derivations of a semisimple Banach algebra A such that  $[D^2(a) + G(a), a] \in Prad(A)$  for all  $a \in A$ , and all minimal prime ideals are closed. Then both D and G equal zero.

THEOREM 6. Let D be a derivation on a Banach algebra A such that  $D(a)^2 \in Prad(A)$  for all  $a \in A$ . Then D maps A into  $\cap \overline{P}$ , where P runs over all minimal prime ideals of A.

PROOF. For each minimal prime ideal P, we perform as the Remark. In the first case assume that P is closed. Observe that  $D_P(x)^2 = 0$  for all  $x \in A/P$ . Then we see that there is no loss of generality in assuming that A is prime and  $D(x)^2 = 0$  for all  $x \in A$ . We must show that D = 0. For all  $x \in A$  we have

$$D^{2}x^{2} = D(xD(x) + D(x)x) = xD^{2}(x) + 2D(x)^{2} + D^{2}(x)x$$
$$= xD^{2}(x) + D^{2}(x)x.$$

Hence  $D^2$  is a Jordan derivation, and therefore by a result of Herstein, it is actually a derivation. Thus

$$D^{2}(xy) = xD^{2}(y) + D^{2}(x)y.$$

However we also have

$$D^{2}(xy) = xD^{2}(y) + 2D(x)D(y) + D^{2}(x)y.$$

Hence D(x)D(y) = 0 for all  $x, y \in A$ . Replacing x by xz we have

$$0 = D(xz)D(y) = xD(z)D(y) + D(x)zD(y),$$

from which D(x)AD(y)=0 follows. By the primeness of A, we conclude that D(x)=0 for all  $x\in A$ . So  $D(A)\subseteq P$ . In second case assume that P is not closed. By the Theorem B,  $S(D)\subseteq P$ . Such as the second half of Theorem 1,we observe that  $D_{\overline{P}}(x)^2=0$  for all  $x\in A/\overline{P}$  where  $D_{\overline{P}}$  is an induced derivation on  $A/\overline{P}$  defined by (1), and so  $D_{\overline{P}}=0$ . In any case  $D(A)\subseteq \overline{P}$ , so  $D(A)\subseteq \cap \overline{P}$ , where P run over all minimal prime ideal of A. The proof of the theorem is complete.

THEOREM 7. Suppose there exists a derivation D on a Banach algebra A such that  $\alpha D^3 + D^2$  is a derivation for some  $\alpha \in C$ , and all minimal prime ideals are closed. In this case, D maps A into Rad(A).

PROOF. Let Q be a primitive ideal of A. Using Zorn's lemma, we find a minimal prime ideal  $P \subseteq Q$ , which is D-invariant as the Remark. Then A/P is a prime Banach algebra. If A/P is commutative, then Thomas Theorem implies that  $D_P(A/P) \subseteq \operatorname{Rad}(A/P) \subseteq Q/P$ , and so  $D(A) \subseteq \operatorname{Rad}(A)$ . We consider the case that A/P is noncommutative. The assumption of the theorem that  $\alpha D^3 + D^2$  is a derivation gives that  $\alpha D_P^3 + D_P^2$  is a derivation. Let us first assume that  $\alpha = 0$ . In this case we have  $D_P^2$  is a derivation, and since A/P is prime, similarly in the proof of Theorem 6,  $D_P = 0$ . In case  $\alpha \neq 0$ , all the assumptions of Theorem 2[14] are fulfilled (note that  $D_P$  stands for  $D_1$  and  $D_P/\alpha$  for  $D_2$ ). Thus we have  $D_P = 0$  or  $D_P/\alpha = 0$ . In any case  $D_P = 0$ . In other words,  $D(A) \subseteq \operatorname{Rad}(A)$ . So the proof of the theorem is complete.

COROLLARY 8. Suppose there exists a derivation D on a semisimple Banach algebra A such that  $\alpha D^3 + D^2$  is a derivation for some  $\alpha \in C$ , and all minimal prime ideals are is closed. In this case, D = 0.

### REFERENCES

- 1. M.Bresar and J.Vukman, On left derivations and related mapping, Proc. Amer.Math.Soc. 110 (1990), 7-16.
- 2. M.Bresar and J.Vukman, Derivations of noncommutative Banach algebras, Arch.Math. 59 (1992), 363-370.
- P.C.Curtis, Derivations on commutative Banach algebras, Lecture Notes in Math, vol. 975, Springer, 1983, pp. 328-333.
- J.Cusack, Automatic continuity and Topollogically simple radical Banach algebras, J.London Math.Soc. 16(2) (1977), 493-500.
- M.Mathieu and G.J.Murphy, Derivations mapping into the radical, Arch. Math. 57 (1991), 469-474.
- M.Mathieu and Runde, Derivations mapping into the radical II, Bull. London Math. Soc. 24 (1992), 485-487.
- E.C.Posner, Derivations in prime rings, Proc.Amer.Math.Soc. 8 (1957), 1093-1100.
- 8. V.Ptak, Commutators in Banach Algebras, Proc.Edinburgh Math. Soc. 22 (1979), 207-211.
- 9. V.Runde, Automatic continuity of derivations and epimorphisms, Pacific of Math. 147(2) (1991), 365-374.
- 10. A.M.Sinclair, Continuous derivations on Banach algebras, Proc. Amer. Math.Soc 20 (1969), 166-170.
- 11. A.M.Sinclair, Automatic continuity of linear operators, London Math. Soc. Cambrige Univ. (1976).
- 12. I.M.Singer and J.Wermer, *Derivations on commutative normed algebras*, Math.Ann. 129 (1955), 260-264.
- 13. M.Thomas, The image of a derivation is contained in the radical, Ann of Math. 128 (1988), 435-460.
- J.Vukman, A result concerning derivations in Banach algebras, Proc.Amer. Math.Soc. 116 (4) (1992), 971-975.

15. B. Yood, Continuous homomorphisms and derivations on Banach algebras, Contemp. Math. 32 (1984), 279-284.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF KANGNAM SUWAN, 449-702, KOREA.