Journal of the Korean Statistical Society Vol. 23, No. 2, 1994

## A Note on the Interchangeable Process

## DugHun Hong<sup>1</sup>

## ABSTRACT

Let  $\{X_n\}$  be conditionally i.i.d. given  $\mathcal{G} \subset \sigma(X_n, n \geq 1)$ . We will prove that  $\mathcal{G}$  is degenerate if and only if  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  are i.i.d. random variables(r.v.s). As a corollary the Hewitt-Savage zero-one law is obtained using the fact that interchangeable process is conditionally i.i.d. given the  $\sigma$ -algebra of permutable events.

**KEYWORDS:** Interchangeability, Ergodic theorem, Degenerate  $\sigma$ -algebra.

Let  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a stochastic process. The random variables comprising it or the process itself will be said to be interchangeable if, for any choice of distinct positive integers  $i_1, i_2, i_3, \ldots, i_k$ , the joint distribution of

$$X_{i1}, X_{i2}, \ldots, X_{ik}$$

depends merely on k and is independent of the integer  $i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k$ . From this definition we can easily check that it is a stationary process (Breiman(1968),p118). Then by the Birkhoff's ergodic theorem  $\lim_{n\to\infty} n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n I_{[X_i < x]} = P[X_1 < x | \mathcal{I}]$  almost certainly(a.c.), where  $\mathcal{I}$  is the  $\sigma$ -algebra of invariant events. If we

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Statistics, Hyosung Women's University, Kyungbuk, 713-702, Korea.

follow the proof of theorem 7.3.2(Chow and Teicher(1988)) using the above fact and noting that the tail  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{T} \supset \mathcal{I}$ , we have the following result.

Theorem 1. Random variables  $X_n$ , n = 1, 2, ..., on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  are interchangeable if and only if they are conditionally independent and identically distributed given either the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{P}$  of permutable events or the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{I}$  of invariant events.

**Definition.** A  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{G}$  of events is called degenerate if P(G) = 0 or 1, for all  $G \in \mathcal{G}$ .

It is noted that the conditional independence of  $\{X_n\}$  given  $\mathcal{G}$  does not imply that  $\mathcal{G}$  is degenerate. A good example for this is sign-invariant random variables (see Berman(1965)). Now we consider the main part of this paper.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  be conditionally i.i.d. given a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{G} \subset \sigma(X_n, n \geq 1)$ . Then  $\mathcal{G}$  is degenerate if and only if  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  are i.i.d. r.v.s.

**Proof.** Suppose  $\mathcal{G}$  is trivial. Then for any event A, a version of  $P(A|\mathcal{G})$  is P(A). Thus, any two conditionally independent events given  $\mathcal{G}$  are independent. The independence of the sequence  $\{X_n\}$  depends only on countably many events, so the sequence is independent if it is conditionally independent given  $\mathcal{G}$ . The distribution of the sequence  $\{X_n\}$  also is determined by countably many events, so the conditional distribution is the same as the distribution.

For the other half, let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a non-trivial sub- $\sigma$ -algebra of  $\sigma(X_1, X_2, \ldots)$  and let  $X_1, X_2, \ldots$  be i.i.d. Let A be an event in  $\mathcal{G}$  such that  $\delta < P(A) < 1 - \delta$ , where  $\delta$  is some positive quantity. It is enough to show that the sequence  $\{X_n\}$  is not identically distributed, conditioned on the occurrence of A. Choose an integer N and a Borel set  $B \in \mathbb{R}^N$  such that A is closely approximated by the event  $C = \{(X_1, \ldots, X_N) \in B\}$ . In other words,  $P(A \Delta C)$  is small in comparison with  $\delta$ . Note that C is independent of  $C' = \{(X_{N+1}, \ldots, X_{2N}) \in B\}$ . Thus,  $P(C'|A) \approx P(C'|C) = P(C') = P(C) \approx P(A) < 1 - \delta$ . But

- $P(C|A) \approx P(C|C) = 1$ . The desired result follows.
- **Remark 1.** The second half of the proof of above theorem can be obtained easily from Theorem 7.3.4(Chow and Teicher(1988)), but we give simple direct proof here.
- Corollary 1. If  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  are conditionally i.i.d. given a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{G} \subset \sigma(X_n, n \geq 1)$  and is ergodic, then  $\mathcal{G}$  is degenerate.
- Corollary 2. If an interchangeable process  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  is ergodic, then the  $\sigma$ -algebra of permutable events is degenerate.
- Corollary 3. (Hewitt-Savage Zero-One Law) If  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  are i.i.d. r.v.s, then the  $\sigma$ -algebra of permutable events is degenerate.
- Remark 2. In Corollary 7.3.8 (Chow and Teicher(1988)), Theorem 7.3.4 (Chow and Teicher(1988)) and, additionally, Kolmogorov zero-one law are used.

## REFERENCES

- (1) Berman, S.M. (1965). Sign-invariant random variables and stochastic process with sign-invariant increments. *Transactions American Mathematical Society*, **119**, **216–243**.
- (2) Breiman, L. (1968). Probability, Addison-Wesley.
- (3) Chow, Y.S. and Teicher, H. (1988). Probability theory: independence, interchangeability, martingale, second edition, Springer-Verlag.