## MODULES OF QUOTIENTS OVER COMMUTATIVE RINGS\*

## HEISOOK LEE

In [3] Goldman introduced the notion of modules of quotients of a ring with respect to an idempotent kernel functor, which is a generalization of the locallization of a module with respect to a multiplicative subset of a commutative ring. For an idempotent kernel functor  $\sigma$  on the category of R-modules and for an R-module M, let  $Q_{\sigma}(M)$  denote the module of quotients with respect to  $\sigma$ .

In this note we compute  $Q_{\sigma}(M)$  in terms of usual localization if  $\sigma$  is determined by a set of prime ideals. We first recall the following definitions and basic properties. For more detailed discussion we refer [2, 3, 4]. We assume all rings in this paper are commutative with identity.

DEFINITION 1. A functor  $\sigma$  on R-mod, the category of R-modules, is called an idempotent kernel functor if the following properties hold:

- (1) For every R-module M,  $\sigma(M)$  is a submodule of M.
- (2) If  $f: M' \to M$  is a homomorphism then  $f(\sigma(M')) \subset \sigma(M)$  and  $\sigma(f)$  is a restriction of f to  $\sigma(M')$ .
- (3) If M' is a submodule of M then  $\sigma(M') = \sigma(M) \cap M'$ .
- (4)  $\sigma(M/\sigma(M)) = 0$ .

We say M is a  $\sigma$ -torsion (resp.  $\sigma$ -torsion free ) R-module if  $\sigma(M) = M$  (resp.  $\sigma(M) = 0$ ). We denote by  $\mathcal{L}(\sigma)$  the set of ideals I of R with the property R/I is  $\sigma$ -torsion. The set  $\mathcal{L}(\sigma)$  is called the Gabriel topology associated to  $\sigma$ . A non-empty set  $\mathcal{L}$  of ideals of R is a Gabriel topology if it satisfies the following two conditions [2]:

- (1) (C1) If J is in  $\mathcal{L}$  and I is an ideal of R such that  $(I:b) \in \mathcal{L}$  for all  $b \in J$  then I is in  $\mathcal{L}$ .
- (2) (C2) If I is in  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $I \subset J$  then  $I \in \mathcal{L}$ .

Received June 26, 1994. Revised July 27, 1994.

<sup>\*</sup>The research is supported by Korea Research Institute for Better Living, Ewha Women's University.

Given Gabriel topology  $\mathcal{L}$ , one can define an idempotent kernel functor  $\sigma$  by

$$\sigma(M) = \{ m \in M | Im = 0 \text{ for some I in } \mathcal{L} \}$$

for each R-module M. We write  $C(\sigma)$  for the set of prime ideals of R not contained in  $\mathcal{L}$ . Then

$$\{I \triangleleft R | I_p = R_p \text{ for all } p \in C(\sigma)\} = \mathcal{L}.$$

Conversely, for each set  $\mathcal{P}$  of prime ideals, the set

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}) = \{ I \triangleleft R | \ I_p = R_p \ \text{ for all } p \in \mathcal{P} \}$$

defines a Gabriel topology, and hence an idempotent kernel functor. A Gabriel topology defined by one prime ideal is called a principal topology. The intersection of principal topologies is again a Gabriel topology and called a primal topology [2].

In [3] definition of Gabriel topology for any ring is given. By this definition, if I and J are in Gabriel topology  $\mathcal{L}$ ,  $I \cap J$  is in  $\mathcal{L}$ . For any R-module M and a prime ideal p of R, let

$$M_{(p)} = \{\frac{m}{s} | s \text{ is a regular element not in } p \text{ and } m \in M\}.$$

Then  $R_{(p)}$  is a localization of R and  $M_{(p)}$  is an  $R_{(p)}$ -module [1].

LEMMA 2. Let P be a set of prime ideals of R and let

$$\mathcal{L}((\mathcal{P})) = \{ I \triangleleft R | I_{(p)} = R_{(p)} \text{ for all } p \in \mathcal{P} \}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{L}((\mathcal{P}))$  is a Gabriel topology.

**Proof.** For each prime p in  $\mathcal{P}$ ,  $I_{(p)} = R_{(p)}$  if and only if there exists a regular element in I not in p. To show  $\mathcal{L}((\mathcal{P}))$  is a Gabriel topology, it suffice to show  $\mathcal{L}((p))$  is a Gabriel topology for each p in  $\mathcal{P}$ . We note that  $I_{(p)} = R_{(p)}$  if and only if there exists a regular element s in I which is not in p. To show  $\mathcal{L}((p))$  satisfies C1, let I be in  $\mathcal{L}((p))$ . By definition, there exists a regular element s in I not in p. If I is an ideal of R such that I is a regular element I in I is in I. Clearly, I is an ideal of I is an ideal of I in I is in I. Clearly, I in I is an ideal of I in I i

REMARKS. (1) If R is an integral domain, then  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}) = \mathcal{L}((\mathcal{P}))$ .

(2) It is not clear whether the Gabriel topology  $\mathcal{L}((p))$  is a principal topology, but  $\mathcal{L}((p))$  and  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P})$  are primal topologies.

For M an arbitrary R-module, the module of quotients of M with respect to an idempotent kernel functor  $\sigma$ , denoted by  $Q_{\sigma}(M)$  is a faithfully  $\sigma$ -injective module containing  $M/\sigma(M)$  as a submodule unique up to isomorphism.

We note that if  $\sigma$  is defined by a multiplicative set S of R i.e.,  $\mathcal{L}(\sigma) = \{I | I \cap S \neq \emptyset\}$  then  $Q_{\sigma}(M) = S^{-1}M$ . We recall the construction of  $Q_{\sigma}(M)$  from [3]. Since  $Q_{\sigma}(M) = Q_{\sigma}(M/\sigma(M))$ , for  $\sigma$ -torsion free R-module M, let

$$\Omega = \{(I, f) | I \in \mathcal{L}(\sigma), f : I \to M \text{ is an } R\text{-homomorphism}\}.$$

We define (I, f) and (I', f') are equivalent and denote by  $(I, f) \sim (I', f')$  if there exists J in  $\mathcal{L}(\sigma)$  with  $J \subset I \cap I'$  such that  $f|_J = f'|_J$ . Then the set  $Q_{\sigma}(M)$  of equivalence classes [(I, f)] is an abelian group under the operation

$$[(I, f)] + [(J, g)] = [(I \cap J, f + g)].$$

In general  $Q_{\sigma}(M)$  is not easy to compute and  $Q_{\sigma}(\ )$  is not right exact functor in general [3, 4].

THEOREM. Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a set of prime ideals of R,  $\mathcal{L}((\mathcal{P}))$  be the Gabriel topology as in the lemma and let  $\sigma$  be the idempotent kernel functor determined by  $\mathcal{L}((\mathcal{P}))$ . Then for any torsion free R-module M,

$$Q_{\sigma}(M) \cong \bigcap_{p \in \mathcal{P}} M_{(p)},$$

 $M_{(p)}$  is viewed as a subset of  $T^{-1}M$ , where T is the set of all regular elements.

*Proof.* For any  $z \in \bigcap_{p \in \mathcal{P}} M_{(p)}$ ,  $z = \frac{x(p)}{s(p)}$  for some regular element s(p) of R, which is not in p and  $x(p) \in M$ . Since M is regular, x(p) is defined by z and s(p) uniquely. If both  $\frac{x(p)}{s(p)}$  and  $\frac{x(q)}{s(q)}$  become z in  $T^{-1}M$ , x(p)s(q) = s(p)x(q). For each z in  $\bigcap_{p \in \mathcal{P}} M_{(p)}$ , we define

$$I(z)$$
 to be the ideal generated by the set  $\{s(p)|\ z=\frac{x(p)}{s(p)}\in\bigcap_{p\in\mathcal{P}}M_{(p)}\ \}.$ 

Then  $I(z) \in \mathcal{L}((\mathcal{P}))$  by definition of  $\mathcal{L}((\mathcal{P}))$ . Define a map

$$\alpha \colon \bigcap_{p \in \mathcal{P}} M_{(p)} \to Q_{\sigma}(M)$$

by  $\alpha(z) = [(I(z), f)]$ , where f(s(p)) = x(p). Then  $\alpha$  is well defined. It can be checked easily that  $\alpha$  is a homomorphism of R-modules. Conversely, to define a map from  $Q_{\sigma}(M)$  to  $\bigcap_{p \in \mathcal{P}} M_{(p)}$ , let [(I, f)] be in  $Q_{\sigma}(M)$ . Then for each p in  $\mathcal{P}$ , there exists a regular element s(p) in I not in p. If f(s(p)) = x(p) in M. We define a map

$$\beta \colon Q_{\sigma}(M) \to \bigcap_{p \in \mathcal{P}} M_{(p)}$$

by  $\beta([(I,f)]) = \frac{x(p)}{s(p)}$ , which is viewed as an element in  $T^{-1}M$ . Then  $\frac{x(p)}{s(p)}$  is in  $\bigcap_{p \in \mathcal{P}} M_{(p)}$ . To prove this, let s(p) and s(q) be regular elements in I not in p, and let f(s(p)) = x(p) and f(s(q)) = x(q). Then s(p)x(q) = s(p)f(s(q)) = s(q)f(s(p)) = s(q)x(p), since f is an R-homomorphism. It follows that  $\frac{x(p)}{s(p)} = \frac{x(q)}{s(q)}$  for all p and q in  $\mathcal{P}$  and hence  $\frac{x(p)}{s(p)}$  is in  $\bigcap_{p \in \mathcal{P}} M_{(p)}$ .

It is clear from definition that  $\beta$  is well-defined. It can be seen easily that  $\beta$  is a R-homomorphism and  $\alpha\beta$  = identity on  $Q_{\sigma}(M)$  and  $\beta\alpha$  = identity on  $\bigcap_{p\in\mathcal{P}} M_{(p)}$ . This proves the theorem.

NOTE. Let  $\sigma$  be as in the theorem. If M is a torsion free R-module then M is  $\sigma$ -torsion free. For if Im = 0 for some  $I \in \mathcal{L}(\sigma)$ , then m = 0, since I contains a regular element.

COROLLARY. Let  $\sigma$  be as in the above theorem. Then  $Q_{\sigma}$  is an exact functor on the category of torsion free R-modules, i.e., if

$$0 \to M' \to M \to M'' \to 0$$

is an exact sequence of torsion free R-modules then

$$0 \to Q_{\sigma}(M') \to Q_{\sigma}(M) \to Q_{\sigma}(M'') \to 0$$

is also an exact sequence of abelian groups.

Proof. The corollary follows easily from theorem, since

$$0 \to M'_{(p)} \to M_{(p)} \to M''_{(p)} \to 0$$

is an exact sequence if

$$0 \to M' \to M \to M'' \to 0$$

is an exact sequence.

## References

- 1. D. D. Anderson, Commutative Rings with Zero Divisors, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1982.
- M. Beattie and M. Orzech, Prime ideals and Finiteness conditions for Gabriel Topologies over commutative Rings, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 22 (1992), 423– 440.
- 3. O. Goldman, Rings and Modules of Quotients, J. Algebra 13 (1969), 10-47.
- 4. J. Hahn and H. Lee, Torsion Theory and Local Cohomology, Comm. Korean Math. Soc. 4 (1989), 279-287.
- F. Van Oystaeyan and A. Verschoren, Relative Invariants of Rings, Monographs in pure and applied Mathematics, vol.79 Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 1984.

Department of Mathematics Ewha Women's University Seoul 120-750, Korea