

A REMARK ON MULTIPLICATION MODULES

CHANG WOO CHOI AND EUN SUP KIM

Modules which satisfy the converse of Schur's lemma have been studied by many authors. In [6], R. Ware proved that a projective module P over a semiprime ring R is irreducible if and only if $End_R(P)$ is a division ring. Also, Y. Hirano and J.K. Park proved that a torsionless module M over a semiprime ring R is irreducible if and only if $End_R(M)$ is a division ring. In case R is a commutative ring, we obtain the following: An R -module M is irreducible if and only if $End_R(M)$ is a division ring and M is a multiplication R -module.

Throughout this paper, R is a commutative ring with identity and all modules are unital left R -modules.

Let R be a commutative ring with identity and let M be an R -module. Then M is called a multiplication module if for each submodule N of M , there exists an ideal I of R such that $N = IM$.

Cyclic R -modules are multiplication modules. In particular, irreducible R -modules are multiplication modules.

An endomorphism f of an R -module M is called trivial if there exists $a \in R$ such that $f(m) = am$, for all $m \in M$. We set

$$Tri(M) = \{f \in End_R(M) | f \text{ is trivial} \}.$$

Clearly, $Tri(M) \cong R/ann_R(M)$ and $Tri(M)$ is a subring of $End_R(M)$.

THEOREM 1. *Let M be a finitely generated faithful multiplication R -module. If $End_R(M)$ is a division ring, then R is a field and M is an 1-dimensional vector space over R .*

Proof. Let M be a finitely generated faithful multiplication R -module. Then $R \cong Tri(M)$, and R can be embedded in $End_R(M)$. Since M

Received January 9, 1993. Revised April 14, 1993.

This paper was partially supported by TGRC-KOSEF and NON DIRECTED RESEARCH FUND, Korea Research Foundation, 1991.

is finitely generated multiplication R -module, $End_R(M) = Tri(M)$ [5, Theorem 3]. Hence $R \cong End_R(M)$. Thus R is a field. In view of Corollary in [3], M is an 1-dimensional vector space over R .

LEMMA 2. *Let M be a faithful R -module. Then M is irreducible if and only if $End_R(M)$ is a division ring and M is a multiplication R -module.*

Proof. It remains to show the “if” part. Assume that M is a faithful multiplication R -module and let $End_R(M)$ be a division ring. Since M be a faithful R -module, R can be embedded in $End_R(M)$. Since $End_R(M)$ is a division ring, R is an integral domain. Thus R is a semiprime ring. Now M is a faithful multiplication R -module, M is torsionless [4, Theorem 1.3]. By [2, Corollary 3], M is irreducible.

THEOREM 3. *Let M be an R -module. Then M is irreducible if and only if $End_R(M)$ is a division ring and M is a multiplication R -module.*

Proof. Let $I = ann_R(M)$. Then M is a faithful R/I -module and M is a multiplication R/I -module. Since $End_{R/I}(M) = End_R(M)$ and $End_R(M)$ is a division ring, $End_{R/I}(M)$ is a division ring. By Lemma 2, M is a irreducible R/I -module. Thus M is a irreducible R -module.

COROLLARY. *Let M be a projective R -module. Then M is irreducible if and only if $End_R(M)$ is a division ring.*

Proof. If M is a projective R -module and $End_R(M)$ is a division ring, then M is a cyclic module [6, Proposition 4.3]. Thus M is a multiplication R -module. By Theorem 3, M is irreducible.

References

1. F. W. Anderson and K. R. Fuller, *Rings and categories of modules*, GTM 13, Springer-Verlag, 1974.
2. Y. Hirano and J. K. Park, *Rings for which the converse of Schur's lemma holds*, Math. J. Okayama Univ. **33** (1991), 121-131.
3. E. S. Kim and C. W. Choi, *On multiplication modules*, Kyungpook Math. J. **32** (1992), 92-102.
4. G. M. Low and P. F. Smith, *Multiplication modules and ideals*, Comm. in Algebra **18** (1990), 4353-4375.
5. P. F. Smith, *Endomorphisms of multiplication modules*, (preprint).

A remark on multiplication modules

6. R. Ware, *Endomorphism rings of projective modules*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **155** (1971), 233-256.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KYUNGPOOK NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, TAEGU
702-701, KOREA