Studies on Protoplast Fusion between Lentinula edodes and Ganoderma lucidum

Jin Woo Bok, Eung Chil Choi and Byong Kak Kim

Department of Microbial Chemistry, College of Pharmacy, Seoul National University, Seoul 151-742, Korea

(Received October 31, 1994)

Key words: Basidiomycetes, *Lentinula edodes, Ganoderma lucidum*, Protoplast fusion, Nuclear transfer, Isozyme pattem, Mitochondrial DNA, Karyotyping

The potential of protoplast fusion as means of establishing genetic crosses was realized about 20 years ago in *Geotricum candidum* (Frenczy et al., 1974). The list of species of yeasts and filamentous fungi to which the technique of protoplast fusion has been applied increases year by year (Bok et al., 1990; Chadegani and Ahmadjian, 1991).

Nevertheless, very few papers have been reported on inter-order protoplast fusion, especially in basidio-mycetes, though intergeneric and even more distant fusion in yeasts have been successfully archieved (Kigichi and Yanagi, 1985). The essence of protoplast fusion is to bring together of nuclear and cytoplasmic genomes of two fungal strains. The main problem in protoplast fusion as breeding tool is that it is difficult to obtain a stable hybrid since the nuclear fusion rarely occurs, especially in fusion between more distant basidiomycetes in lineage. Direct transfer of isolated nuclei may render it possible to hybridize taxonomically more distant species (Briggs and King, 1952; You et al., 1988).

To investigate a possibility of inter-order protoplast fusion and nuclear transfer between two different basi-diomycetes, *Lentinula edodes* (LE) and *Ganoderma lucidum* (GL) showing anastomotical incompatibility, the mycelia of both fungi were grown on ten different media for 15 and 10 days at 28°C, respectively. The isolation of protoplast was maximized by the treatment with a combination of Novozym 234 (Novo In-

Correspondence to: Byong-Kak Kim, College of Phormacy, Seoul National University, Seoul 51-742, Korea

dustry, Denmark) and cellulase Onozuka (Yakult Honsha, Japan). As an osmotic stabilizer for protoplast formation and regeneration, 0.6 M sucrose pH 5 were the most suitable. The regeneration frequency of the protoplasts of L. edodes was 0.50% and that of G. lucidum was 0.88%. For isolation of auxotrophic mutants containing specific markers, UV was irradiated and specific mutants were selected on minimal medium. Various amino acid-, nucleic acid-, and vitamin-requiring auxotrophs of L edodes and G, lucidum were obtained at survival rates of $0.0001 \sim 0.254\%$ and $0.9 \sim 27$. 2%, respectively (Table I). Then these mutants were used in protoplast fusion and nuclear transfer. Back mutation frequency of these auxotrophs used in fusion was 10⁻⁴. Polyethylene glycol (M.W. 6,000) in 10 mM CaCl₂-glycine solution (pH 8.0) induced protoplast fusion and nuclear transfer. The inter-order fusion frequency between the mycelial protoplasts of the various mutants was 6.7×10^{-3} % (Table II). Viable hybrids were obtained by the transfer of the nuclei of L. edodes into the protoplasts of G. lucidum. The rate of hybrid formation by nuclear transfer was higher than that of the protoplast fusion. To ascertain formation of hybrids via inter-order protoplast fusion and nuclear

Table I. Isolation of auxotrophic mutants of *L. edodes* (LE) and *G. lucidum* (GL) after UV mutagenesis

		_	
Mutant No.	Phenotype*	Exposure time (min)	Mycelial growth on solid media
LE1	Guanine, Uracil	20	Slow
LE2	Met, Thr, Trp	20	Medium
LE3	Asn, Gln	5	Medium
LE4	PABA	10	Fast
GL1	Hypoxanthine	5	Fast
GL2	Asn, Thr, Trp, Glu	5	Medium
GL3	Asp, Glu, His, Tyr, \	Val 5	Medium
GL4	Thiamine, Pyridoxine	5	Fast

*Met: methionine, Thr: threonine, Trp: tryptophan, Asn: asparagine, Gln: glutamine, PABA: para-aminobenzoic acid, Glu: glutamic acid, His: histidine, Asp: aspartic acid, Tyr: tyrosine, Val: valine

Table II. Inter-order protoplast fusion and nuclear transfer between the two auxotrophs of *L. edodes* and *G. lucidum*

Cross (Genoty	/pe)	Symbol	Fusion frequency (%)	
Protoplast	Protoplast	- L4G1P	(7)/10-1	
LE4(PABA -) + (GL1(hypoxanthine ⁻)	· L4G1P	6.7×10 ⁻³	
Nuclei	Protoplast	LACINI		
LE4(PABA-)+	GL1(hypoxanthine)	L4GIN		

Table III. Characterization of the protoplast fusants between L edodes and G. lucidum

Parents and Fusants	Morphology ^a	Aerial mycelia ^b	Mycelial growth ^c	Pigment ^d	Clamp connection ^e
L. edodes	L	++++	M		+
G. lucidum	G	+++	F	_	_
L4	L	++++	M	_	+
G1	G	+++	F	_	+
L4G1P2S1	G	++	F	+	
2S2	G	+	M	+	+
2S3	G	+	F	No.	
3	G	+ +	F		+
5	G	++	F	_	_
6	G	++	F	_	+
15	L	+++	M	_	+
18	L	+++	M	_	_
22	L	+++	F		_
30	G	+++	F		_
33	N	+	F	_	_
38	G	++	F		_
39	L	++++	M	_	+
40	N	++++	S	_	_
42	Ν	++++	S	_	+
47	L	++++	M	_	+
49	L	++++	M	_	+
55	L	+++	M	_	+
58	L	+++	M		+
61S1	G	++	F	_	
61S2	L	+	F		+
66	L	+++	S	_	_
67	L	+++	S		N.D.*
68	C	++	F		N.D.
69	L	++++	M	www	+
70	L	++++	M	_	+
72	Ν	+++	S	_	_
80	Ν	++++	S	_	_
82	L	++++	M	_	+
89	Ĺ	++++	M		+
92	L	++	S		N.D.

^aL: L edodes, G: G. lucidum, N: non L and non G. $^b+++++:$ indicate best yields. ^cF: fast growth, M: moderate growth, S: slow growth. $^d+:$ brown pigment production. $^c+:$ present clamp, -: clampless, $^*:$ Not detected

transfer between *L. edodes* and *G. lucidum*, the mycelia of the parents and the hybrids were grown on complete solid and liquid media (MgSO₄·7H₂O 0.5 g, KH₂ PO₄ 0.46 g, K₂HPO₄ 1.0 g, Peptone 2.0 g, Yeast extract 2.0 g, glucose 50 g, agar 20 g in 1000 ml distilled water) for 7~15 days at 28°C. Those hybrids were different from their parents in growth rate, mycelial morphology, pigment production, and clamp connection (Tables III and IV), A comparison of the hybrids was made by using isozyme analysis (esterase and acid phosphatase) (Davis, 1964; El-Metainy and Omar, 19 81). In these cases, the isozyme patterns of the parents were distinct. The parental isozyme bands as well as the novel ones of the hybrids were observed in diffe-

rent quantities but the hybrids which showed two parental isozymes simultaneously were not observed. Monosaccharides ratio (Tables V and VI) and protein contents of the hot water-extracted protein-bound polysaccharide showed that completely different hybrids from the parents existed in greater numbers than those similar to one parent. When antitumor activities of these protein-bound polysaccharides against sarcoma 180 were examined, some hybrids were shown to have greater antitumor activities than their parents.

Mitochondrial DNA (Avise et al., 1979) was isolated from two fusants, P2S2 and P2S3, and compared with those of the parents. The patterns of mitochondrial DNA digested with restriction enzymes provided the

Table IV. Characterization of the nuclear transferants between L. edodes and G. lucidum

Parents and Fuscants	Morphology ^a	Aerial mycelia ^b	Mycelial growth ^c	Pigment ^d	Clamp connection ^e
L. edodes	L	++++	М	_	+
G. lucidum	G	+++	F	_	_
L4	L	++++	M	_	+
G1	G	+++	F		+
L4G1N2	G	+++	F	_	+
4	Ν	++++	S		_
6	G	+++	F	_	+
10	G	++	F	_	+
13	G	++++	F	-	_
15	L	++++	М	-	+
16	L	++++	M		+
24	L	+++++	M		+
25S1	G	+++	F	_	unidates
25S2	L	+++	F		→
26	G	+++	F	-	+
27	L	+++++	F	-	+
32	Ν	++	S	-	N.D.*
34	G	++	F		+
37	Ν	+	F		+
39	G	+++	F		_
45	N	+	M	+	_
46	G	+++	F		+
48	G	++++	F	-	+
50	G	+++++	F	_	+
58	G	+	F	-	+
59	Ν	+	M		+
60	N	+++++	F	_	_
62	G	+++	F	_	_
63	G	+++	F	-	+
68	Ĺ	++++	M		+
70	N	+	F		

^aL: L edodes, G: G. lucidum, N: non L and non G. ^b+++++: indicate best yields. ^cF: fast growth, M: moderate growth, S: slow growth. ^d+: brown pigment production. ^e+: present clamp, -: clampless, *: Not detected

Table V. Monosaccharide contents of the polysaccharide moiety of the hot water extracts of protoplast fusants between L. edodes and G. lucidum

Parents and Fusants	Gluose	Galactose	Mannose	Fucose	Xylose	Arabinose
L. edodes	53.3	5.6	25.3	6.0	4.7	5.1*
G. lucidum	48.2	7.7	35.7	5.2	1.4	1.8
L4G1P2S1	48.2	9.1	33.3	6.4	1.2	1.8
2S2	34.7	6.0	47.0	11.4	0.9	~~
2S 3	49.6	12.1	29.6	7.0	0.7	1.0
3	30.0	6.0	46.4	13.9	2.1	1.6
5	41.1	4.8	43.9	8.7	0.9	1.6
6	29.2	6.7	51.3	9.8	1.4	1.6
15	59.3	3.2	29.0	4.8	2.8	0.9
18	69.5	2.9	20.1	3.2	1.2	3.1
22	38.5	7.0	46.1	3.6	3.4	1.4
33	40.8	8.4	29.0	13.9	2.5	5.4
38	26.3	10.8	49.7	11.1	0.5	1.6
39	51.4	8.3	26.0	8.1	6.2	_
47	58.8	8.7	14.3	15.5	2.7	_

Table V. Continued

arents and usants	Gluose	Galactose	Mannose	Fucose	Xylose	Arabinose
49	64.4	24.6	4.3	4.6	1.2	0.9
55	56.6	4.2	25.2	6.7	5.8	1.5
6151	54.4	8.9	24.0	10.3	1.0	1.4
61S2	37.3	16.4	36.0	8.7	0.7	0.5
70	46.0	4.1	41.2	5.5	0.9	2.3
82	60.9	7.7	21.0	6.7	3.7	_
89	40.3	5.6	37.3	7.5	3.0	6.3
92	56.1	5.2	33.5	5.2	~	_

^{*:} Mole percentage

Table VI. Monosaccharide contents of the polysaccharide moiety of the hot water extracts of nuclear transferants between L. edodes and C. lucidum

Parents and Fusants	Gluose	Galactose	Mannose	Fucose	Xylose	Arabinose
L. edodes	53.3	5.6	25.3	6.0	4.7	5.1*
G. lucidum	48.2	7.7	35.7	5.2	1.4	1.8
L4G1N10	60.1	3.4	21.1	11.0	4.4	
12	34.6	6.4	45.7	11.2	1.6	0.5
15	63.9	5.0	15.7	11.2	1.6	0.5
19	30.5	8.0	50.0	9.7	1.0	0.9
24	51.1	4.6	35.5	5.3	2.2	1.3
25	52.3	7.5	31.6	6.1	1.8	0.7
26	29.2	19.5	42.3	8.6	0.4	_
37	50.4	6.4	26.0	14.2	2.3	0.7
48	43.1	7.8	39.5	6.7	1.3	1.6
50	36.1	12.1	40.9	9.3	0.5	1.1
57	37.5	4.1	45.9	11.6	0.9	_
58	28.8	8.3	51.8	10.0	1.1	
59	23.3	16.5	47.0	13.2	Series Control	
62	26.5	12.9	43.1	16.6	0.9	_
63	55.0	5.1	26.8	8.7	1.2	3.2
70	34.7	8.3	40.5	14.2	2.3	_

^{*:} Mole percentage

evidence that P2S3 had the same mitochondrial DNA with that of G. lucidum but P2S2 had a new/y, recombined DNA. Electrophoretic karyotypes (Brody and Carbon, 1989; Hayes et al., 1993) of the parents and the two nuclear hybrids, L4G1N 45 and 70, were obtained by using field-inversion gel electrophoresis. By using the chromosomes of Saccharomyces cerevisiae as size marker, the band patterns of the chromosomes of the hybrids were examined. This technique permitted the separation and visualization of intact chromosomes from viable protoplasts. Five chromosome bands were visualized with L edodes and four chromosome bands with G. lucidum. But six and four bands were visualized respectively with two nuclear hybrids, L4G1N 45 and 70. The smallest chromosome of all four strains seems to be similar in size but all the

remaining chromosomes observed were dissimilar in size. In these studies, these hybrids showed that an interaction occurred between the two parental genomes.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported in part by the granst of Korean Traders Scholarship Foundation and of S.N.U. Research Fund.

REFERENCES CITED

Avise, J. C., Lansman, R. A. and Shade, R. O., The use of restriction endonuclease to measure mitochondrial DNA sequence relatedness in natural popula-

- tions. I. Population structure and evolution in the genus *Peromyscus*. *Genet.*, 92, 279-295 (1979).
- Bok, J. W., Park, S. H., Choi, E. C., Kim, B. K. and Yoo, Y. B., Studies on protoplast formation and regeneration of *Coriolus versicolor*. *Kor. J. Mycol.*, 18, 115-126 (1990).
- Briggs, R. and King, T. J., Transplantation of living nuclei from blastula cells into ennucleated frogs' eggs. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 38, 455-463 (1952).
- Brody, H. and Carbon, J., Electrophoretic karyotype of Aspergillus nidulans. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 86, 6260-6263 (1989).
- Chadegani, M and Ahmadjian, V., Protoplast fusion between mutant strains of *Dicrosporum gypseum*. *Mycologia.*, 83, 779-786 (1991).
- Davis, B. J., Discontinuous electrophoresis-II. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.*, 121, 404 (1964).
- Ferenczy, L., Kevei, F. and Isolt, Z., Fusion of fungal

- protoplasts. Nature 248, 793-794 (1974).
- El-Metainy, A. Y. and Omar, A. A., Biochemical genetics of Zea mays L. 1. Isozymes and the linkage relationship between AP-1 and AP-2 loci. *Biochem. Genet.*, 18. 635-640 (1981).
- Hayes, C. K., Harman, G. F., Woo, S. L., Gullino, M. L. and Lorito, M., Methods for electrophoretic karyotyping of filamentous fungi in the genus *Trichoderma*. *Anal. Biochem.*, 209, 176-182 (1993).
- Kigichi, T. and Yanagi, S. O., Intraspecific heterokaryon and fruit body formation in *Coprinus macrorhizus* by protoplast fusion of auxotrophic mutants. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotech.*, 22, 121-127 (1985).
- You, C. H., Yoo, Y. B., Byun, M. O. and Park, Y. H., Studies on the transfer of isolated nuclei from *Pleurotus sapidus* into protoplast of *Pleurotus ostreatus*. *Kor. J. Mycol.*, 16, 210-213 (1988).