## A SHORT NOTE ON PAIRWISE NORMAL SPACES

#### C. Kelly, J. Ferrer, V. Gregori

We give sufficient conditions for a subspace A of a pairwise normal space (X, P, L) to be SC-embedded in X.

#### 1. Introduction

Let (X, P, L) be a topological space. A subspace A of X is SCembedded in X [4] if every real-valued P-usc and L-lsc function on A can be extended to a P-usc and L-lsc function on X.

Since E.P. Lane [4] gave a P-closed and L-closed subset of a pairwise normal space which is not SC-embedded in contradiction with [3] theorem 2.9, it seems interesting to find conditions under which the mentioned theorem holds.

Recently, a new sufficient condition, unfortunatly lacking symmetry, in this sense can be found in [2].

First, we will show that, although Theorem 2.9 of [3] is not valid in general, the procedure used in its proof can be slightly modified to obtain a correct result for the bounded case. Later, we will give symmetric conditions for a P-L-closed subset to be SC-embedded in the pairwise normal space (X, P, L).

The abbrevations "lsc" and "usc" for lower and upper semi-continuous, respectively, are used throught.

## 2. Extension of semi-continuous functions on pairwise normal spaces

**Theorem 2.1.** Let (X, P, L) be a pairwise normal space. Let  $A \subset X$  be P-closed and L-closed. Let f be a bounded-real function defined on A

Received October 8, 1990.

which is a P-usc and L-lsc function. Then there exists an extension F of f to the whole of X such that F is a P-usc and L-lsc function. The extension F can be chosen so that  $F: X \to [a, b]$  with

$$a = \inf\{F(t) : t \in X\} = \inf\{f(t) : t \in A\}$$

and

$$b = \sup\{F(t) : t \in X\} = \sup\{f(t) : t \in A\}.$$

*Proof.* Let n be a positive integer. For each integer  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $U_k^n = \{x : f(x) \ge k/n\}$  and  $L_k^n = \{x : f(x) \le (k-1)/n\}$ . Then, for every integer  $k, U_k^n$  and  $L_k^n$  are respectively P-closed and L-closed subsets of X. Also  $U_k^n \cap L_k^n = \emptyset, \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

By [3] Theorem 2.7 (Generalization Urysohn's Lemma), for each  $k = 1, 2, \cdots$ , if  $U_k^n \neq \emptyset$ , there is a function  $u_k$  defined on X which is a P-usc and L-lsc function on X, and such that  $u_k(L_k^n)_0 \leq u_k(x) \leq 1/n = u_k(U_k^n), \forall x \in X$ .

If  $U_k^n = \emptyset$ , choose  $u_k(x) = 0$ ,  $\forall x \in X$ . Also, for each  $k = 0, -1, -2, \cdots$ if  $L_k^n \neq \emptyset$ , there is a function  $v_k$  defined on X which is a P-usc and L-lsc function on X and such that  $v_k(L_k^n) = -1/n \leq v_k(x) \leq 0 = v_k(U_k^n)$ ,  $x \in X$ .

If  $L_k^n = \emptyset$ , choose  $v_k(x) = 0, \forall x \in X$ . Since f is bounded, there exists  $k_n \in Z^+$  such that  $U_k^n = \emptyset = L_{-k}^n, \forall k \ge k_n, k \in Z$ . Therefore,  $\forall k \ge k_n$  we have  $u_k(x) = v_{-k}(x) = 0, \forall x \in X$ , and so

$$f_n = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_k + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} v_{-k}$$

is a functional series with a finite number of non-zero terms. Now, it is obvious that

$$f_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_k(x) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} v_{-k}(x)$$

is a bounded-real function defined on X, which is a P-usc and L-lsc function for each  $n \in Z^+$ .

In [3] it is shown that the restrictions  $f_n|_A(n = 1, 2, \dots)$ , converge uniformely to f on A, and form a Cauchy sequence. By Theorem 3.6 of [3], f has an extension F to X which satisfies the theorem conditions.

#### Counterexample 2.2.

The following example (originally due to Lane [4]) clearly illustrates how the procedure used in the proof of Th.2.9 of [3] can fail when the initial function f is not bounded. As it can be easily seen, the main reason for this failure consists in the non-guaranteed convergence of the above defined series:

Let X be an uncountable set. Let P be the co-countable topology on X, and L the discrete topology. (X, P, L) is pairwise normal. Consider the countably infinite subset  $A = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$  of X. Then,  $f(x_1) = i(i = 1, 2, \dots)$  is a P-lsc and L-usc function on the P-L-closed subset A of X, without extension P-lsc and L-usc to X.

Under the notation of the theorem 2.1, let

$$f_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_k(x) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} v_{-k}(x), x \in X$$

For each integer  $k \ge 1$  we have:

$$X = \left[\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} u_k^{-1}(] - \infty, \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{j}\right] \cup u_k^{-1}(1/n)$$
$$= \left[\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} V_{-k}^{-1}(] - \infty, -1/j\right] \cup v_{-k}^{-1}(0)$$

Since  $u_k$  and  $v_{-k}$  are *P*-lsc functions on *X*, for  $k \ge 1$ , then the subsets of  $X \ u_k^{-1}(] - \infty, \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{j}]$  and  $v_k^{-1}(] - \infty, -1/j]$  are *P*-closed and therefore they are countables. Consequently,  $u_k^{-1}(1/n)$  and  $v_{-k}^{-1}(0)$  are non-countable subsets of *X* and therefore the subset of *X* 

$$B = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} [u_k^{-1}(1/n) \cap v_{-k}^{-1}(0)]$$

has countable complement in X, and for each  $x \in B$  the series

$$f_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_k(x) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} v_{-k}(x) = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/n\right) + v_0(x)$$

is not convergent.

Lane's example shows the problem of SC-embedding can be a problem relative to one topology only. Finally, it is easy to extend Lane's example as follows:

Let X be an uncountable set; suppose (X, P) is an space of Second Category and suppose all the proper P-closed subsets are nowhere dense in X. Let L be a topology on X such that (X, P, L) is pairwise normal. If A is an infinite countable P-closed  $T_1$  subsepace of X, then A is not SC-embedded in X.

### 3. SC-embedded subsets

**Theorem 3.1.** Let (X, P, L) be pairwise normal. Each P-closed and Lclosed subset A of X such that the P-boundary  $b_p(A)$  is L-countably compact and the L-boundary  $b_L(A)$  is P-countably compact, is SC-embedded in X.

*Proof.* Let f be a P-usc and L-lsc function on the P-closed and L-closed subset A of X.

At first, we suppose the boundaries  $b_p(A)$  and  $b_L(A)$  are non-empty. Then, f is a L-lsc function on  $b_p(A)$  which is L-countably compact and from [1] Prop. 3.17, f has a lower bound m on  $b_p(A)$ . In the same way fhas an upper bound M on  $b_L(A)$  and we can suppose M > m.

The function  $f_1(x) = f(x)$ ,  $x \in A$  and  $f_1(x) = m$ ,  $x \in X - A$ , is *P*-usc function on X; in fact:

If a > m, then  $f_1^{-1}([a, +\infty[) \text{ is } P|_A\text{-closed and therefore, } P\text{-closed.}$ 

If  $a \leq m$ , then  $f_1^{-1}([a, +\infty[=(X - A) \cup f^{-1}([a, \infty[)$  is *P*-closed since  $b_p(A) = b_p(X - A) \subset f^{-1}([a, \infty[))$ .

In the same way, the function  $f_2(x) = f(x)$ ,  $x \in A$  and  $f_2(x) = M$ ,  $x \in X - A$ , is L-lsc function on X.

Therefore, we have  $f_1 \leq f_2$  on X, and from [4], Th. 2.5, there exists a P-usc and L-lsc function h on X such that  $f_1 \leq h \leq f_2$ , but  $f_1(x) = f_2(x) = f(x) \ x \in A$ , and therefore f is the required extension.

Suppose now that  $b_p(A) = \emptyset$ . Then X - A is *P*-closed and the above function  $f_1$  is a *P*-usc function on *X*, for each  $m \in R$ . Also, if  $b_L(A) = \emptyset$ , then the above function  $f_2$  is a *L*-lsc function on *X*, for each  $M \in R$ . In all the possible cases, it suffices to choose  $m \leq M$ , and to repeat the argument of last paragraph.

The following characterization of pairwise countable compactness [5], is due to Singal and Singal [6]: A bitopological space (X, P, L) is pairwise countably compact if and only if every proper P(L)-closed subset of X is L(P)-countably compact.

Since countably compact property is closed-hereditary, we have as an inmediate consequence the following

**Corollary 3.2.** Every P-closed and L-closed subset of a pairwise countably compact space (X, P, L) is SC-embedded. Acknowledgement. The Spanish authors wish to express their gratitude to Professor C. Kelly for his valuable suggestions in the original ideas of this paper.

# References

- P. Fletcher, W.F. Lindgren, Quasi-uniform spaces, Marcel Dekker, Inc. New York, 1982.
- [2] A. Gutiérrez, S. Romaguera, A postscript to "C-Binary Relations and pairwise normality", An. St. Univ. Al. I. Cuza Iasi Matematica, 35 (1989).
- [3] J.C. Kelly, Bitopological spaces, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 13 (1963), 71-89.
- [4] E.P. Lane, Bitopological spaces and quasi-uniform spaces, Proc. London. Math. Soc. (3) 17, (1967) 241-256.
- [5] D.H. Pahk, B.D. Choi, Notes on pairwise compactness, Kyungpook Math.J. 11(1971), 45-52.
- [6] M.K. Singal, R. Singal, Some more separation Axioms in Bitopological spaces, Ann. Soc. Sci. Bruxelles 84 (1970), 207-230.

DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY, HULL, ENGLAND.

DPTO. ANÁLISIS MATEMÁTICO, FACULTAD DE MATEMATICAS, 46100 BURJASOT (VALENCIA), SPAIN.

E.U. DE GANDIA (DPTO. MATEMÁTICA APLICADA), UNIVERSIDAD POLITECNICA DE VALENCIA, 46700 GANDIA, SPAIN.