

## CHARACTERIZATIONS OF COMMUTATIVE BCI-ALGEBRAS

YOUNG-BAE JUN AND EUN-HWAN ROH

*Dept. of Mathematics Education, Gyeongsang National University, Chinju 660-701, Korea.*

In [4], J. Meng and X. L. Xin introduced the concept of commutative BCI-algebras and discussed the structure of such algebras. The aim of this paper is to obtain a characterization of commutative BCI-algebras.

Recall that a BCI-algebra is a non-empty set  $X$  with a binary operation  $*$  and a constant  $0$  satisfying the axioms

- (1)  $(x * y) * (x * z) \leq z * y$ ,
- (2)  $x * (x * y) \leq y$ ,
- (3)  $x \leq x$ ,
- (4)  $x \leq y$  and  $y \leq x$  imply  $x = y$ ,
- (5)  $x \leq 0$  implies  $x = 0$ ,

where  $x \leq y$  is defined by  $x * y = 0$ . Further if  $x \geq 0$  for all  $x$ , then  $X$  is a BCK-algebra. Any BCK-algebra is a BCI-algebra [2]. A BCK-algebra is commutative if it satisfies the identity  $x * (x * y) = y * (y * x)$ . In this case  $y * (y * x) = x \wedge y$ , the greatest lower bound of  $x$  and  $y$ .

In a BCI-algebra the following properties hold:

- (6)  $(x * y) * z = (x * z) * y$ .
- (7)  $x * (x * (x * y)) = x * y$ .

**DEFINITION 1** ([4]). A BCI-algebra  $X$  is said to be commutative if whenever  $x \leq y$  then  $x = y * (y * x)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

**DEFINITION 2** ([1]). Let  $X$  be a BCI-algebra and let  $x \in X$ . Then the set

$$A(x) = \{y \in X \mid y \leq x\}$$

is called the initial section of  $x$ .

**DEFINITION 3** ([3]). An element  $a$  of a BCI-algebra  $X$  is called an atom if  $z * a = 0$  implies  $z = a$  for all  $z \in X$ . The set of all atoms of  $X$  is denoted by  $L(X)$ . For any atom  $a$  of  $X$ , the set  $V(a) = \{x \in X \mid a \leq x\}$  is called a branch of  $X$ .

Obviously  $0 \in L(X)$  and  $V(0) = X_+$ , the p-radical of  $X$ .

---

Received April 6, 1993.

LEMMA 1 ([2]). A BCI-algebra in which  $x * (x * y) = y * (y * x)$  holds for any  $x, y$  is a BCK-algebra.

LEMMA 2 ([4]). Let  $X$  be a commutative BCI-algebra. Then for any atom  $a$  and all  $x$  and  $y$  of  $V(a)$ , we have  $x * (x * y) = y * (y * x)$ .

THEOREM 1. Let  $X$  be a BCI-algebra satisfying  $A(x) \cap A(y) = A(x \wedge y)$  for all  $x, y \in V(a), a \in L(X)$ . Then  $X$  is a commutative BCK-algebra.

*Proof.* Note that  $A(x \wedge y) = A(y \wedge x)$  for every  $x, y \in V(a), a \in L(X)$ . Since  $A(x) = A(y)$  if and only if  $x = y$ , it follows that  $x \wedge y = y \wedge x$ , i.e.,  $x * (x * y) = y * (y * x)$ . Hence by Lemma 1,  $X$  is a commutative BCK-algebra.

THEOREM 2. If a BCI-algebra  $X$  is commutative then for all  $x, y \in V(a), a \in L(X)$ , we have  $A(x) \cap A(y) = A(x \wedge y)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $r \in A(x) \cap A(y)$ ; then  $r \leq x$  and  $r \leq y$ . Since  $X$  is commutative,  $r \leq y$  implies  $r = y * (y * r)$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} r * (x \wedge y) &= r * (y * (y * x)) \\ &= (y * (y * r)) * (y * (y * x)) \\ &\leq (y * x) * (y * r) \\ &\leq r * x \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $r * (x \wedge y) = 0$ , i.e.,  $r \leq x \wedge y$ . Thus  $r \in A(x \wedge y)$ , showing that  $A(x) \cap A(y) \subseteq A(x \wedge y)$ . To prove the reverse inclusion, let  $r \in A(x \wedge y)$ . Then  $r \leq x \wedge y$ . Since  $x \wedge y = y * (y * x) = x * (x * y)$  by Lemma 2, it follows from (2) that  $x \wedge y \leq x$  and  $x \wedge y \leq y$ . As the relation  $\leq$  is transitive, we have  $r \leq x$  and  $r \leq y$ , and so  $r \in A(x)$  and  $r \in A(y)$ . This means that  $r \in A(x) \cap A(y)$ , so that  $A(x \wedge y) \subseteq A(x) \cap A(y)$ .

Since any commutative BCK-algebra is a commutative BCI-algebra [4], we have a characterization of commutative BCI-algebras.

COROLLARY 1. A BCI-algebra  $X$  is commutative if and only if

$$A(x) \cap A(y) = A(x \wedge y)$$

for all  $x, y \in V(a), a \in L(X)$ .

REMARK. In a non-commutative BCI-algebra  $X$ , the following result is not, in general, true:

$$(8) \quad x \leq z \text{ and } z * y \leq z * x \text{ imply } x \leq y$$

for any  $x, y, z \in X$ , as shown in the following example.

EXAMPLE. Let  $X = \{0, a, b, c, d, e, f, g\}$  and  $*$  is defined by

*	0	a	b	c	d	e	f	g
0	0	0	0	0	d	d	d	d
a	a	0	0	0	e	d	d	d
b	b	b	0	0	f	f	d	d
c	c	b	a	0	g	f	e	d
d	d	d	d	d	0	0	0	0
e	e	d	d	d	a	0	0	0
f	f	f	d	d	b	b	0	0
g	g	f	e	d	c	b	a	0

Then  $X$  is a non-commutative BCI-algebra which does not satisfy (8), because  $e \leq f$  but  $e \neq f * (f * e)$ , and  $e \leq f$  and  $f * d \leq f * e$  but  $e * d \neq 0$ .

We now give a characterization of commutative BCI-algebras.

**THEOREM 3.** A BCI-algebra  $X$  is commutative if and only if it satisfies

$$(8) \quad x \leq z \text{ and } z * y \leq z * x \text{ imply } x \leq y$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be a commutative BCI-algebra such that  $x \leq z$  and  $z * y \leq z * x$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . Then we have  $x = z * (z * x)$ . Hence  $x * y = (z * (z * x)) * y = (z * y) * (z * x) = 0$ , which implies that  $x \leq y$ .

Conversely assume that  $x \leq z$  and  $z * y \leq z * x$  imply  $x \leq y$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . Let  $u \leq v$  for all  $u, v \in X$ . It is sufficient to show that  $v * (v * (v * u)) \leq v * u$ . But this is obvious by (7). Hence  $X$  is a commutative BCI-algebra.

L. H. Shi [5] characterized BCI-algebras as follows.

**LEMMA 3.** Let  $X$  be an abstract algebra of type  $(2, 0)$  with a binary operation  $*$  and a constant 0.  $X$  is a BCI-algebra if and only if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1)  $(x * y) * (x * z) \leq z * y$ ,
- (4)  $x \leq y$  and  $y \leq x$  imply  $x = y$ ,

$$(9) \quad x * 0 = x.$$

By Lemma 3 and Theorem 3, a commutative BCI-algebra is characterized as follows:

**THEOREM 4.** *An algebra  $X$  of type  $(2, 0)$  is a commutative BCI-algebra if and only if it satisfies:*

- (1)  $(x * y) * (x * z) \leq z * y$ ,
- (4)  $x \leq y$  and  $y \leq x$  imply  $x = y$ ,
- (9)  $x * 0 = x$ ,
- (8)  $x \leq z$  and  $z * y \leq z * x$  imply  $x \leq y$ .

### References

1. M. A. Chaudhry, *Branchwise commutative BCI-algebras*, Math. Japon. **37** (1992), 163–170.
2. K. Iséki, *On BCI-algebras*, Math. Seminar Notes (presently Kobe J. Math.) **8** (1980), 125–130.
3. J. Meng and X. L. Xin, *Characterization of atoms in BCI-algebras*, Math. Japon. **37** (1992), 359–362.
4. J. Meng and X. L. Xin, *Commutative BCI-algebras*, Math. Japon. **37** (1992), 569–572.
5. L. H. Shi, *An axiom system of BCI-algebras*, Math. Japon. **30** (1985), 351–352.