NOTES ON MEDIAL BCI-ALGEBRAS

JIE MENG AND YOUNG BAE JUN

In this note, we give a simpler axiomarization of medial BCI-algebras and some interesting corollaries.

An algebra $\langle X; *, 0 \rangle$ of type (2, 0) is said to be a BCI-algebra if it satisfies:

(I)
$$((x*y)*(x*z))*(z*y) = 0$$
,

(II)
$$(x * (x * y)) * y = 0$$
,

$$(III) x * x = 0,$$

(IV)
$$x * y = 0$$
 and $y * x = 0$ imply $x = y$.

A BCI-algebra X has the following properties:

(1)
$$x * 0 = x$$
.

(2)
$$(x * y) * z = (x * z) * y$$
.

The notion of medial BCI-algebras was introduced by W. A. Dudek [3]. In [2], M. A. Chaudhary and B. Ahmad discussed such an algebra.

DEFINITION 1. A BCI-algebra $\langle X; *, 0 \rangle$ is said to be medial if it satisfies

(3)
$$(x * y) * (z * u) = (x * z) * (y * u)$$
 for all x, y, z and u of X .

In a medial BCI-algebra the following holds:

$$(4) x * (x * y) = y.$$

Now we give a simpler axiomarization of such an algebra, which is the main result of this note.

THEOREM 2. An algebra $\langle X; *, 0 \rangle$ of type (2, 0) is a medial BCI-algebra if and only if it satisfies

$$(5) (x*0)*(y*z) = z*(y*x),$$

Received March 16, 1992.

(6)
$$x * (y * y) = x$$
.

Proof. (\Leftarrow) By (6) and (5), we have

$$x * 0 = (x * 0) * (x * x) = x * (x * x) = x.$$

(1) holds. Let y = x and z = 0 in (5), and using (1) and (6) we obtain x * x = (x * 0) * (x * 0) = 0 * (x * x) = 0.

(III) holds. By (1) and (5), we have

(7)
$$x * (y * z) = z * (y * x).$$

Combining (7) and (6), we obtain

$$x * (x * y) = y * (x * x) = y.$$

(4) is true. As

$$((x*y)*(x*z))*(z*y) = (z*(x*(x*y)))*(z*y)$$
 [by (7)]
= (z*y)*(z*y) [by (4)]
= 0, [by (III)]

(I) holds. By (4) and (III),

$$(x*(x*y))*y = y*y = 0.$$

- (II) holds. Suppose x * y = y * x = 0. By (1) and (4) we have x = x * 0 = x * (x * y) = y.
- (IV) holds. Repeatedly using (7),

$$(x*y)*(z*u) = u*(z*(x*y)) = u*(y*(x*z)) = (x*z)*(y*u).$$

- (3) holds. Summarizing the above results, $\langle X; *, 0 \rangle$ is a medial BCI-algebra.
 - (\Rightarrow) It is sufficient to verify (5). Since

$$(x*0)*(y*z) = x*(y*z) [by (1)]$$

$$= (0*(0*x))*(y*z) [by (4)]$$

$$= (0*y)*((0*x)*z) [by (3)]$$

$$= (0*y)*((0*z)*x) [by (2)]$$

$$= (0*(0*z))*(y*x) [by (3)]$$

$$= z*(y*x), [by (4)]$$

(5) holds. This completes the proof.

COROLLARY 3. The class of all of medial BCI-algebras forms a variety, written V(MI).

Looking at the proof of Theorem 2 it is not difficult to find the following.

COROLLARY 4. An algebra $\langle X; *, 0 \rangle$ of type (2, 0) is a medial BCI-algebra if and only if it satisfies:

- (7) x * (y * z) = z * (y * x),
- (1) x * 0 = x,
- (III) x * x = 0.

PROPOSITION 5. [1] A variety V is congruence-permutable if and only if there is a term p(x, y, z) such that

$$V \models p(x, x, y) \approx y,$$

 $V \models p(x, y, y) \approx x.$

COROLLARY 6. The variety V(MI) is congruence-permutable.

Proof. Let p(x, y, z) = x * (y * z). Then by (4) and (6) we have p(x, x, y) = y and p(x, y, y) = x, and so the variety V(MI) is congruence-permutable.

COROLLARY 7. A BCI-algebra is medial if and only if it is p-semisimple.

Proof. It is a consequence of [5, Theorem 5].

In [4], W. A. Dudek give the following theorem.

THEOREM 8. The class of all BCI-algebras with (4) is equationally definable by (4) and (2).

But this result is incorrect (see [6]). For example, the algebra < X; *, 0 > defined by the following table satisfies conditions (4) and (2) while it is not a BCI-algebra.

It is natural to ask whether the class of all BCI-algebras with (4) can be defined by two identities. Our answer is positive.

THEOREM 9. For a BCI-algebra $\langle X; *, 0 \rangle$, conditions (4) and (3) are equivalent.

Proof. Suppose a BCI-algebra $\langle X; *, 0 \rangle$ satisfies the condition (4). By [5, Theorem 5(27)], we have

$$(x*y)*(z*u) = u*(z*(x*y))$$

= $u*(y*(x*z))$
= $(x*z)*(y*u)$.

Hence $\langle X; *, 0 \rangle$ also satisfies (3).

Conversely let $\langle X; *, 0 \rangle$ satisfies (3); then it is p-semisimple by Corollary 7. Thus it satisfies (4) by [5, Theorem 5(25)].

As an immediate consequence of Theorems 2 and 9 we have the following.

THEOREM 10. The class of all BCI-algebras with (4) is equationally definable by (5) and (6).

References

- S. Burris and H. P. Sankappanavar, A course in universal algebras, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1981.
- 2. M. A. Chaudhary and B. Ahmad, On a class of BCI-algebras, Math. Japon. 33 (1988), 827-830.
- W. A. Dudek, On medial BCI-algebra, Prace Naukowe WSP w Czestochowle, 1985.
- 4. W. A. Dudek,, On some BCI-algebras with the condition (S), Math. Japon. 31 (1986), 25-29.
- 5. J. Meng and X. L. Xin, Characterizations of atoms in BCI-algebras, Math. Japon. 37 (1992), 359-362.
- R. F. Ye, Remarks on some class of BCI-algebras, Selected papers on BCI, BCK-algebras and computer logics, 1991, Shanghai Jiaotong University Press.

Department of Mathematics Northwest University Xian, 710069, Shaanxi, P. R. China and Department of Mathematics College of Education Gyeongsang National University Chinju 660-701, Korea