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## I. Introduction

Let $X=\left[x_{i j}\right]$ be a nonnegative matrix of order $n . X$ is called doubly stochastic if all of its row sums and column sums are equal to 1 . The set of all $n \times n$ doubly stochastic matrices is denoted by $\Omega_{n}$. It is well known that $\Omega_{n}$ is a convex polytope of dimension $n^{2}-2 n+1$ in the $n^{2}$ dimensional Euclidean space, of which the extreme points are the $n \times n$ permutation matrices [Birkhoff, 9].

The permanent per $X$ of an $n \times n$ matrix $X=\left[x_{i j}\right]$ is defined by

$$
\operatorname{per} X=\sum_{\sigma \in S_{n}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{i \sigma(i)}
$$

where $S_{n}$ stands for the symmetric group on $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$.
For an $n$-square $(0,1)$-matrix $A=\left[a_{i j}\right]$, let $\mathcal{F}(A)=\left\{X=\left[x_{i j}\right] \in\right.$ $\left.\Omega_{n} \mid X \leq A\right\}$, where $X \leq A$ means that every entry of $X$ is less than or equal to the corresponding entry of $A$. In order for $\mathcal{F}(A)$ to be nonempty it is necessary and sufficient that per $A>0$. For $A$ with positive permanent, $\mathcal{F}(A)$ is a face of the polytope $\Omega_{n}$, and every face of $\Omega_{n}$ is given in this fashion. As is a compact subset of a finite dimensional Euclidean space, $\mathcal{F}(A)$ contains a matrix $\bar{A}$ such that per $\bar{A} \leq \operatorname{per} X$ for all $X \in \mathcal{F}(A)$. Such a matrix $\bar{A}$ is called a minimizing matrix on $\mathcal{F}(A)$.

One of the most famous problems in the theory of permanent was the van der Waerden conjecture appeared in 1926, which was proved in 1981 by Egoryĉev [3] and Falikman [4] independently.

Theorem (van der Waerden-Egoryĉev-Falikman). For any $A \in \Omega_{n}$,

$$
\operatorname{per} A \geq \operatorname{per} J_{n}=\frac{n!}{n^{n}}
$$

with equality if and only if $A=J_{n}$, where $J_{n}$ is the $n \times n$ matrix all of whose entries equal $\frac{1}{n}$.

Since the affirmative resolution of the van der Waerden conjecture, there has been a lot of interest in determining the minimum permanent over various faces of $\Omega_{n}[1,5,6,7,8,10]$.

Determination of the minimum permanent and permanent-minimizing matrices over an arbitrary face $\mathcal{F}(A)$ is an extremely hard problem. However solutions to this problem have been achieved for several $(0,1)$ matrices $A$.

For example, let

$$
B_{n}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\
1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
1 & 1 & \cdots & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Knopp and Sinkhorn [7] showed that

$$
\min \left\{\operatorname{per} X \mid X \in \mathcal{F}\left(B_{n}\right)\right\}=(n-2)!\frac{(n-2)^{n-2}}{(n-1)^{2 n-4}}
$$

And Brualdi [1] proved that

$$
\min \left\{\operatorname{per} X \mid X \in \mathcal{F}\left(H_{n}\right)\right\}=\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n-1}
$$

where $H_{n}$ is the lower Hessenberg matrix of order $n$ given by

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right] .
$$

A square matrix $X$ is called partly decomposable if there exist permutation matrices $P$ and $Q$ such that

$$
P X Q=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
X_{1} & 0 \\
X_{2} & X_{3}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $X_{1}$ and $X_{3}$ are square matrices of order $\geq 1$. If $X$ is not partly decomposable, it is called fully indecomposable. Since a partly decomposable doubly stochastic matrix can always be written as a direct sum of two doubly stochastic matrices(after interchanging rows and columns, if necessary) of strictly smaller orders, it is sufficient to look at only those matrices $A$ which are fully indecomposable when considering problems concerning the permanent on $\mathcal{F}(A)$.

The face $\mathcal{F}(A)$ is barycentric provided the minimum permanent on $\mathcal{F}(A)$ is achieved at its barycenter, that is, at the matrix

$$
\frac{1}{\operatorname{per} A} \sum_{P \leq A} P
$$

where the summation extends over all permutation matrices $P$ with $P \leq$ A.

Let $A$ be a $(0,1)$-matrix of order $n$ and let $k$ be an integer with $1 \leq k \leq$ $n$. Let $B$ be the ( 0,1 )-matrix of order $n+1$ obtained from $A$ by appending on the right a new column equal to the $k$-th column of $A$ and then appending on the bottom a new row whose only 1 's are in positions $k$ and $n+1$. We say that the matrix $B$ is obtained from $A$ by copying the $k$-th column. The matrix obtained from $A$ by copying the $k$-th row is defined in a similar way. If there is a sequence of matrices $A=A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{p}=$ $C$ such that $A_{i}$ can be obtained by copying a column or a row of $A_{i-1}$ $(i=2, \ldots, p)$, then we say that $C$ is obtained from $A$ by copying rows and columns. The Hessenberg matrix $H_{n}$ can be obtained from $I_{1}=[1]$ by successively copying the last row. A matrix which can be obtained from $I_{1}$ by copying rows and columns is called a generalized Hessenberg matrix [2]. It is easy to verify that each generalized Hessenberg matrix is fully indecomposable.

The generalized Hessenberg faces of $\Omega_{n}$ are the faces $\mathcal{F}(P A Q)$ where $P$ and $Q$ are permutation matrices and $A$ is a generalized Hessenberg
matrix of order $n$. In [2], Brualdi and Shader investigated the generalized Hessenberg matrices. And, for each generalized Hessenberg face $\mathcal{F}(A)$ of $\Omega_{n}$, they showed that the minimum permanent equals $\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n-1}$.

In this paper, we determine the minimum permanent on the face $\mathcal{F}(A)$ of $\Omega_{n}$ for $A$, an $n \times n$ matrix which can be obtained from $I_{1}$ by multicopying rows and columns.

Let $A$ be a $(0,1)$-matrix of order $n$ and let $l$ be an integer with $1 \leq$ $l \leq n$. Let $B$ be the ( 0,1 )-matrix of order $n+k$ obtained from $A$ by appending on the right $k$ new columns equal to the $l$-th column of $A$ and then appending on the bottom $k$ new rows whose only 1's are in positions $\{(n+j, n+r), 1 \leq j, r \leq k\}$ and $\{(n+j, l), 1 \leq j \leq k\}$.

The matrix $B$ will be called the matrix obtained from $A$ by $k$-copying the $l$-th column. The matrix obtained from $A$ by $k$-copying the $l$-th row is defined in a similar way. If there is a sequence of matrices $A=$ $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{p}=C$ such that $A_{i}$ can be obtained by $k_{i-1}$-copying a column or a row of $A_{i-1}(i=2, \ldots, p)$, then we will say that $C$ can be obtained from $A$ by multicopying rows and columns. It can be easily proved that this matrix $C$ is fully indecomposable. Notice that $C$ is a generalized Hessenberg matrix if $k_{j}=1$, for all $j$.

Example.

$$
K_{7}=\left[\begin{array}{lllllll}
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right] .
$$

$K_{7}$ is a $7 \times 7$ matrix obtained from $I_{1}$ by alternately 2-copying the last column, 1 -copying the last row and 3 -copying the last column.

## 2. Some Preliminary Lemmas and Results

For a matrix $A, A\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{s} \mid j_{1}, \ldots, j_{t}\right)$ will denote the matrix obtained from $A$ by striking out the rows numbered $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{s}$ and the columns numbered $j_{1}, \ldots, j_{t}$ and $A\left[i_{1}, \ldots, i_{s} \mid j_{1}, \ldots, j_{t}\right]$ is the $s \times t$ matrix whose ( $p, q$ )-entry is the same as the $\left(i_{p}, j_{q}\right)$-entry of $A$. If $A$ is a ( 0,1 )-matrix
of order $n$, let $\mathcal{P}(A)$ denote the minimum permanent of the matrices in $\mathcal{F}(A)$, that is, $\mathcal{P}(A)=\min \{$ per $X: X \in \mathcal{F}(A)\}$, and let $\mathcal{F}^{\min }(A)$ denote the set of matrices in $\mathcal{F}(A)$ with minimum permanent.

Lemma 2.1 [Foregger, 5]. Let $A=\left[a_{i j}\right]$ be an $n$-square fully indecomposable $(0,1)$-matrix and let $X=\left[x_{i j}\right]$ be a minimizing matrix on $\mathcal{F}(A)$. Then $X$ is fully indecomposable, and moreover, for $(i, j)$ such that $a_{i j}=1$,

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\operatorname{per} X(i \mid j)=\operatorname{per} X & \text { if } & x_{i j}>0, \\
\operatorname{per} X(i \mid j) \geq \operatorname{per} X & \text { if } & x_{i j}=0 .
\end{array}
$$

Lemma 2.2 [Minc, 8]. Let $X=\left[x_{i j}\right]$ be a minimizing matrix on $\mathcal{F}(A)$, where $A=\left[a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right]$ is an $n$-square ( 0,1 )-matrix. If, for some $k \leq n, a_{j_{1}}=\cdots=a_{j_{k}}$, and if, for some $i, x_{i j_{1}}+\cdots+x_{i j_{k}} \neq 0$, then

$$
\operatorname{per} X\left(i \mid j_{t}\right)=\operatorname{per} X, \quad \text { for } t=1, \ldots, k .
$$

Lemma 2.3 [Minc, 8]. Let $X=\left[x_{i j}\right]$ be a minimizing matrix on $\mathcal{F}(A)$, where $A=\left[a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right]$ is an $n$-square ( 0,1 )-matrix. If, for some $k \leq n, a_{1}=\cdots=a_{k}$, then for any $p \leq k, X\left(J_{p} \oplus I_{n-p}\right) \in \mathcal{F}(A)$ and per $X\left(J_{p} \oplus I_{n-p}\right)=$ per $X$, where $J_{p}=\left[\frac{1}{p}\right]_{p \times p}$ and $I_{n-p}$ is the identity matrix of order $n-p$, i.e., the matrix obtained from $X$ by replacing each of its first $p$ columns by their average remains a minimizing matrix on $\mathcal{F}(A)$. A similar statement holds for rows.

For a nonnegative matrix $X=\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$, let $\tilde{X}$ denote the $n$-square matrix obtained from $X$ by replacing each of its last $k$ columns by their average, and let $X^{*}$ denote the ( $n-1$ )-square matrix obtained from $\tilde{X}(n \mid n)$ by multiplying each of the last $k-1$ columns by $\frac{k}{k-1}$, i.e., $\tilde{X}=$ $\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-k}, s, \ldots, s\right]_{n \times n}$, where

$$
s=\frac{1}{k}\left(x_{n-k+1}+\cdots+x_{n}\right) .
$$

and $X^{*}=\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-k}, \frac{k}{k-1}(s, \ldots, s)\right](n \mid n)$.

The matrix $X^{*}$ will be called the ( $n, n$ )-contraction (or just a contraction, if no ambiguity arises) of $X$. And we define, inductively,

$$
X^{[r]}=\left(X^{[r-1]}\right)^{*}, \quad X^{[1]}=X^{*}, \quad r=2, \ldots, n-1
$$

For an $n$-square matrix $X, X^{\prime}$ will denote the matrix $X(n \mid n)$, and inductively, we define

$$
X^{(r)}=\left(X^{(r-1)}\right)^{\prime}, \quad X^{(1)}=X^{\prime}, \quad r=2, \ldots, n-1
$$

Lemma 2.4. Let $A=\left[a_{i j}\right]$ be a fully indecomposable $(0,1)$-matrix of order $n$, let $B=\left[b_{i j}\right]$ be the matrix obtained by $k$-copying the last column of $A$ and let $Y \in \mathcal{F}(B)$. If the last $k+1$ columns of $Y$ are identical, then for each $j=n, \ldots, n+k$,

$$
\operatorname{per} Y(n+k \mid j)=\operatorname{per} Y
$$

and hence

$$
\operatorname{per} Y^{*}=\left(\frac{k+1}{k}\right)^{k} \operatorname{per} Y
$$

Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{per} Y & =\sum_{j=n}^{n+k} y_{n+k, j} \operatorname{per} Y(n+k \mid j) \\
& =\operatorname{per} Y(n+k \mid j), \quad j=n, \ldots, n+k
\end{aligned}
$$

And

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{per} Y^{*} & =\left(\frac{k+1}{k}\right)^{k} \operatorname{per} Y(n+k \mid n+k) \\
& =\left(\frac{k+1}{k}\right)^{k} \operatorname{per} Y .
\end{aligned}
$$

LEMMA 2.5. Let $A=\left[a_{i j}\right]$ be a fully indecomposable ( 0,1 )-matrix of order $n$ and let $B=\left[b_{i j}\right]$ be the matrix obtained by $k$-copying the last column of $A$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}(B) & =\left(\frac{k}{k+1}\right)^{k}\left(\frac{k-1}{k}\right)^{k-1} \cdots\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \mathcal{P}(A) \\
& =\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(\frac{i}{i+1}\right)^{i} \mathcal{P}(A)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Let $Z$ be a matrix in $\mathcal{F}^{\min }(B)$. Then $Z^{*}$ is in $\mathcal{F}\left(B^{\prime}\right)$ and by Lemma 2.3 we may assume, without loss of generality, that the last $k+1$ columns of $Z$ are identical. Thus by Lemma 2.4,

$$
\operatorname{per} Z^{*}=\left(\frac{k+1}{k}\right)^{k} \operatorname{per} Z
$$

In particular,

$$
\mathcal{P}(B) \geq\left(\frac{k}{k+1}\right)^{k} \mathcal{P}\left(B^{\prime}\right)
$$

And inductively,

$$
\mathcal{P}\left(B^{(r)}\right) \geq\left(\frac{k-r}{k-r+1}\right)^{k-r} \mathcal{P}\left(B^{(r+1)}\right), \quad r=1, \ldots, k-1
$$

Hence

$$
\mathcal{P}(B) \geq\left(\frac{k}{k+1}\right)^{k}\left(\frac{k-1}{k}\right)^{k-1} \cdots\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \mathcal{P}(A) .
$$

Now, let $U$ be a matrix in $\mathcal{F}^{\text {min }}(A)$. Let the $n$-th column of $U$ be the vector $u$ and let $u=u^{1}+u^{2}$, where $u^{1}$ and $u^{2}$ are nonnegative vectors. Let $\hat{U}$ be a matrix obtained from $U$ by replacing the $n$-th column by $u^{1}$, appending on the right a new column equal to $u^{2}$, and appending on the bottom a new row whose only nonzero entries are $\frac{1}{2}$ 's in the $n$-th column and $(n+1)$-th column. Then $\hat{U}$ belongs to $\mathcal{F}\left(B^{(k-1)}\right)$ and

$$
\operatorname{per} \hat{U}=\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \operatorname{per} U
$$

Thus

$$
\mathcal{P}\left(B^{(k-1)}\right) \leq\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \mathcal{P}(A)
$$

Next, let $U_{1}$ be a matrix in $\mathcal{F}^{\text {min }}\left(B^{(k-1)}\right)$. And let the $n$-th column vector $u$ of $U$ be also represented by $u=v^{1}+v^{2}+v^{3}$, where $v^{1}, v^{2}$ and $v^{3}$ are nonnegative vectors. Let $\hat{U}_{1}$ be a matrix of order $n+2$ obtained from $U$ by replacing the $n$-th column by $v^{1}$, appending two new columns $n+1$ and $n+2$ equal to $v^{2}$ and $v^{3}$ respectively, and appending on the
bottom two new rows whose only nonzero entries are $\frac{1}{3}$ 's in the $n$-th, $(n+1)$-th and $(n+2)$-th columns. Then $\hat{U}_{1}$ belongs to $\mathcal{F}\left(B^{(k-2)}\right)$ and

$$
\operatorname{per} \hat{U}_{1}=\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{2} \operatorname{per} U_{1} .
$$

Hence

$$
\mathcal{P}\left(B^{(k-2)}\right) \leq\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{2} \mathcal{P}\left(B^{(k-1)}\right)
$$

Continue this process until $\hat{U}_{k-1}$ belongs to $\mathcal{F}(B)$ and

$$
\operatorname{per} \hat{U}_{k-1}=\left(\frac{k}{k+1}\right)^{k} \operatorname{per} U_{k-1}
$$

Then

$$
\mathcal{P}(B) \leq\left(\frac{k}{k+1}\right)^{k} \mathcal{P}\left(B^{(1)}\right)
$$

Therefore

$$
\mathcal{P}(B) \leq\left(\frac{k}{k+1}\right)^{k}\left(\frac{k-1}{k}\right)^{k-1} \cdots\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \mathcal{P}(A)
$$

and hence the Lemma is proved.
Theorem 2.6. Let $B$ be an $n$-square matrix obtained from $I_{1}$ by $k_{j}$-copying rows and columns successively, where $j=1, \ldots, m$, and $\sum_{j=1}^{m} k_{j}+1=n$. Then

$$
\mathcal{P}(B)=\prod_{j=1}^{m} \frac{k_{j}!}{\left(k_{j}+1\right)^{k_{j}}}
$$

Proof. It follows from Lemma 2.5 by induction that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}(B) & =\prod_{j=1}^{m} \prod_{i=1}^{k_{j}}\left(\frac{i}{i+1}\right)^{i} \\
& =\prod_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{k_{j}} i}{\left(k_{j}+1\right)^{k_{j}}} \\
& =\prod_{j=1}^{m} \frac{k_{j}!}{\left(k_{j}+1\right)^{k_{j}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $k_{j}=1$ for all $j=1, \ldots, m$, in the equality in Theorem 2.6, we obtain the following result.

Corollary [Brualdi and Shader, 4]. For $H_{n}$ a generalized Hessenberg matrix of order $n \geq 2$,

$$
\mathcal{P}\left(H_{n}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n-1} .
$$

Theorem 2.7. Let $A=\left[a_{i j}\right]$ be a fully indecomposable ( 0,1 )-matrix of order $n$ and let $B=\left[b_{i j}\right]$ be the matrix obtained by $k$-copying the last column of $A$. Then
(1) "(a)" $Z=\left[z_{i j}\right]$ is a minimizing matrix on $\mathcal{F}(B)$ if and only if $Z^{[k]}$ is a minimizing matrix on $\mathcal{F}(A)$.
(2) "(b)" $\mathcal{F}(B)$ is barycentric if and only if $\mathcal{F}(A)$ is barycentric.

Proof. (a): $Z=\left[z_{i j}\right]$ is a minimizing matrix on $\mathcal{F}(B)$ if and only if $Z^{*}$ is a minimizing matrix on $\mathcal{F}\left(B^{\prime}\right)$, more generally, $Z^{[r]}$ is a minimizing matrix on $\mathcal{F}\left(B^{(r)}\right)(r=1, \ldots, k)$. In fact, let $Z \in \mathcal{F}^{\min }(B)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z^{*}=\left[z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n-k-1}, \frac{k+1}{k} s, \ldots, \frac{k+1}{k} s\right](n \mid n), \\
& \quad \text { where } s=\frac{1}{k+1}\left(z_{n-k}+\cdots+z_{n}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{per} Z^{*}=\left(\frac{k+1}{k}\right)^{k} \operatorname{per} Z .
$$

Thus $Z^{*} \in \mathcal{F}^{\text {min }}\left(B^{\prime}\right)$ because

$$
\mathcal{P}\left(B^{\prime}\right)=\left(\frac{k+1}{k}\right)^{k} \mathcal{P}(B)
$$

as was seen in the proof of Lemma 2.5. Conversely, let $Z^{*} \in \mathcal{F}^{\min }\left(B^{\prime}\right)$. Since

$$
\operatorname{per} Z^{*}=\left(\frac{k+1}{k}\right)^{k} \operatorname{per} Z
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{P}\left(B^{\prime}\right)=\left(\frac{k+1}{k}\right)^{k} \mathcal{P}(B),
$$

it follows that $Z \in \mathcal{F}^{\min }(B)$. Repeating this process, we get that the second statement follows.
(b): The barycenter of $\mathcal{F}(B)$ is obtained from the barycenter $X$ of $\mathcal{F}(A)$ by appending on the right $k$ new columns equal to the $n$-th column of $X$, by appending on the bottom $k$ rows whose only nonzero entries are 1's in the $n$-th, $(n+1)$-th, $\ldots$, and ( $n+k)$-th columns and then multiplying the $n$-th, $(n+1)$-th, $\ldots$, and $(n+k)$-th columns by $\frac{1}{k+1}$. Hence the result follows from (a).

Corollary. Let $B$ be an $n$-square matrix obtained from $I_{1}$ by $k_{j^{-}}$ copying rows and columns successively, $j=1, \ldots, m$, and $\sum_{j=1}^{m} k_{j}+1=$ n. Then $\mathcal{F}(B)$ is barycentric.
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