Some Remarks on Faithful Multiplication Modules

DONG-SOO LEE AND HYUN-BOK LEE

ABSTRACT. Let R be a commutative ring with identity and let M be a nonzero multiplication R-module. In this note we prove that M is finitely generated if M is a faithful multiplication R-module.

1. Introduction.

In this note all rings are commutative rings with identity and all modules are unital. Let R be a ring and M a nonzero R-module. The annihilator of M is denoted Ann(M). For any submodule N of M the annihilator of the factor module M/N will be denoted by (N:M)so that $(N:M) = \{r \in R : rM \subseteq N\}$. A module M is called faithful if Ann(M) = 0. Following [1], A module M is called a multiplication module if for any submodule N of M, there exists an ideal I of Rsuch that N = IM. It is well-known that M is a multiplication module if and only if N = (N : M)M for every submodule N of M. A proper submodule N of a module M over a ring R is said to be prime if $rm \in N$ for $r \in R$ and $m \in M$ implies that either $m \in N$ or $r \in (N:M)$. Following [7], the radical of N, denoted by radN, is defined to be the intersection of all prime submodules of M cotaining N. If I is an ideal of ring R, then the radical of I considered as a submodule of R-module R is denoted by \sqrt{I} and consists of all elements r of R such that $r^n \in I$ for some positive integer n. RadM is defined to be the intersection of all the maximal submodules of M. J(R) is defined to be the intersection of all maximal ideals of R.

Received by the editors on June 30, 1993.

¹⁹⁸⁰ Mathematics subject classifications: Primary 13C05.

A prime submodule P of a module M is called a minimal prime of A if $A \subseteq P$ and if there is no prime submodule Q of M such that $A \subset Q \subset P$. Following [4, corollay 2] or [3, corollary], it was proved that if R is an integral domain and M is a faithful multiplication R-module, then M is finitely generated. We shall show that if M is a faithful multiplication R-module, then M is finitely generated.

2. Faithful mulitplication modules.

PROPOSITION 2.1. Let R be an integral domain. If M is a faithful multiplication R-module, then every non-zero submodule of M is faithful.

PROOF. Suppose N is nonzero submodule of M. Then N = IM for some ideal of R. Suppose rN = 0 for $r \in R$. Then rN = rIM = 0. Since M is a faithful module and R is an integral domain, rI = 0 and r = 0. Hence N is faithful.

LEMMA 2.2. Let R be a commutative ring with identity, M a multiplication R-module with annihilator J and A and B ideals of R. Then $AM \subseteq BM$ if and only if $A \subseteq B + J$ or M = ((B + J) : A)M.

PROOF. See [8, theorem 9].

THEOREM 2.3. Let M be a multiplication module. If N is a prime submodule of M, then there exists a unique prime ideal P of R containing Ann(M) such that N = PM.

PROOF. Since M is a multiplication module and N is a prime submodule of M, N = (N : M)M = PM for some prime ideal P of R with $Ann(M) \subseteq P$. We show that (N : M) = (PM : M) = Pfor the uniqueness. Clearly $P \subseteq (PM : M)$. If $r \in (PM : M)$, then $(r)M \subseteq PM$. By lemma 2.2 $(r) \subseteq P$ or (P : (r))M = M. Suppose $(r) \notin P$, then (P : (r))M = M. Clearly $P \subseteq (P : (r))$. If $a \in (P:(r))$, then $a(r) \subseteq P$ and so $ar \in P$. Since $r \notin P$ and P is prime ideal of $R, a \in P$. Thus $(P:(r)) \subseteq P$. Hence (P:(r)) = Pand M = (P:(r))M = PM. It contradicts to $PM \neq M$. Thus $r \in P$ and (PM:M) = P.

COROLLARY 2.4. Let M be a faithful multiplication module and let P be a prime ideal of R. Then PM is prime submodule if and only if (PM:M) = P.

PROOF. Since M is faithful, $Ann(M) \subseteq P$. If PM is a prime submodule of M, then PM = (PM : M)M and (PM : M) is a prime ideal of R. By theorem 2.3, P = (PM : M). Conversely, if (PM : M) = P, then $PM \neq M$. By [2, corollary 2.11] it holds.

COROLLARY 2.5. Let M be a multiplication module and let P be a maximal ideal of R. Then PM is a maximal submodule of M if and only if (PM : M) = P.

PROOF. Since $PM \neq M$, $Ann(M) \subseteq P$. It is obvious by theorem 2.3 and [2, theorem 2.5]. Let R be an integral domain and let M be a faithful multiplication module. Then M is finitely generated by [4, corollary 2] or [3, 2 corollary]. We shall show that if M is a faithful multiplication module, then M is finitely generated.

THEOREM 2.6. Let M be a faithful multiplication module, then M is finitely generated.

PROOF. Suppose M is not finitely generated. By [2, theorem 3.1] there exists some maximal ideal P of R such that M = PM. Since M is a multiplication module, there exists a maximal submodule Qof M such that $Q = qM \neq M$ with a maximal ideal q of R by [2, theorem 2.5]. Since a maximal submodule is a prime submodule and Q = qM = qPM = PqM. q = Pq and $q = Pq \subseteq P$ by theorem 2.3. Since P and q are maximal ideals of R, P = q. Therefore M = PM = qM = Q. It contradicts to $M \neq Q$. Hence M is finitely generated.

COROLLARY 2.7. Let M be a faithful multiplication module. Then $M \neq AM$ for any proper ideal A of R.

PROOF. See the proof of the theorem 2.6.

THEOREM 2.8. M is a faithful multiplication R-module if and only if for each submodule N of M, there exists a unique ideal I of R such that N = IM.

PROOF. Suppose M is a faithful multiplication module. Then M is finitely generated by theorem 2.6. Since M is a multiplication module, for each submodule N of M, there exists an ideal I of R such that N = IM. It is sufficient to prove that I = (N : M) for the uniqueness. Clearly $I \subseteq (N : M)$. If $r \in (N : M)$, then $rM \subseteq N = IM$. By lemma 2.2 $(r) \subseteq I$ or (I : r)M = M. Suppose $(r) \notin I$. Then (I : r)M = M. By corollary 2.7, I : (r) = R. Hence $(r) \subseteq I$, a contradiction. Therefore $(r) \subseteq I$ and I = (N : M). Conversely suppose the condition holds. Then M is a multiplication module. Suppose rM = 0. Then (r)M = 0. By uniqueness (r) = 0. Thus r = 0 and M is faithful.

COROLLARY 2.9. If M is faithful multiplication R-module. Then RadM = J(R)M.

COROLLARY 2.10. Let M be a faithful multiplication R-module. and let A be an ideal of R and N a submodule of M. Then

- (1) N is a multiplication R-module if and only if (K : N)(N : M) = (K : M) for each submodule K of N.
- (2) I = (IM : M) for each ideal I of R.

134

- (3) N is finitely generated if and only if (N:M) is finitely generated.
- (4) N is faithful if and only if (N: M) has zero annihilator.

3. Radicals of submodules in modules.

THEOREM 3.1. Let M be a multiplication module and let N and L be submodules of M. Then radN + radL = M if and only if N + L = M

PROOF. Clearly, if N + L = M, then radN + radL = M. Suppose $N + L \neq M$. There is a maximal submodule P of M containing N + L by [2,theorem 2.5].

Therefore $radN \subseteq P$, and $radL \subseteq P$. It is that $radN + radL \subseteq P \neq M$.

COROLLARY 3.2. Let M be a multiplication module and let N and L be submodules of M. Then

- (1) N + L = M if and only if radN + L = M.
- (2) radN = M if and only if N = M.

PROPOSITION 3.3. Let M be a multiplication R-module and let I be an ideal of R. If $I \subseteq J(R)$, then IM is small in M.

PROOF. Suppose IM + N = M. If $N \neq M$, then there exists a maximal submodule P of M containing N and $P = (P:M)M \neq M$ where (P:M) is a maximal ideal of R, by [2,theorem 2.5]. Since $I \subseteq J(R), IM + N \subseteq P \neq M$.

PROPOSITION 3.4. Let M be a multiplication module and let N be a submodule of M. P is a minimal prime submodule of N if and only if there exisits a minimal prime ideal I of (N : M) such that $P = IM \neq M$.

PROOF. Suppose P is a minimal prime submodule of N. Then $(N : M)M \subseteq (P : M)M$ and $(N : M) \subseteq (P : M)$ with a prime ideal (P : M) of R. We show that (P : M) is a minimal prime ideal of (N : M). If $(N : M) \subseteq I \subseteq (P : M)$ with a prime ideal I, then $N \subseteq IM \subseteq P$. Since P is a minimal prime of N, IM = P or N = IM. By theorem 2.3, I = (P : M) or (N : M) = I, Therefore (P : M) is a minimal prime ideal of (N : M).

Conversely, if I is minimal prime ideal of (N:M) such that $IM \neq M$, then $N \subseteq IM$. Let IM = P. Then P is a prime submodule of M containing N. If Q is a prime submodule of M containing N such that $N \subseteq Q \subseteq P$, then $(N:M) \subseteq (Q:M) \subseteq (P:M)$. Since (P:M) = (IM:M) = I by theorem 2.3, (P:M) is a minimal prime ideal of (N:M) Therefore (Q:M) = (N:M) or (Q:M) = (P:M). Hence Q = N or Q = P. Thus P is a minimal prime submodule of N.

COROLLARY 3.5. Let A be an ideal of R containing Ann(M) and M a multiplication module.

Then P is a minimal prime ideal of A if and only if PM is a minimal prime submodule of AM.

PROOF. By corollary 2.7, $M \neq PM$. By proposition 3.4, PM is a minimal prime submodule of AM. Conversely, by proposition 3.4, there is a minimal prime ideal Q of (AM : M) such that $PM = QM \neq M$. By theorem 2.3 and proposition 3.4, P = Q.

COROLLARY 3.6. Let M be a multiplication module. Then rad $AM = \sqrt{AM}$ for every ideal A containing Ann(M).

PROOF. By corollary 3.5 and [2, corollary 1.7], it is obvious.

COROLLARY 3.7. Let M be a multiplication module and let N be a submodule of M. Then $radN = \sqrt{(N:M)}M$.

136

References

- 1. A. Barnard, Multiplication Modules,, J. Algebra 71 (1981), 174-178.
- 2. Z.A. El-Bast and P.F. Smith, Multiplication modules, Comm. in Algebra 16 (1988), 755-779.
- V.Erdogdu, Multiplication modules which are distributive, J. Pure and Applied Algebra 54 (1988), 209-213.
- E.S. Kim and C.W. Choi, On multiplication modules, Kyungpook Math.J. 32(1) (1992), 97-102.
- 5. G.M. Low and P.F. Smith, Multiplication modules and ideals, Comm. in Algebra 18(12) (1990), 4353-4375.
- 6. C.P.Lu, M-radicals of submodules in modules, Math. Japonica 34(2) (1989), 211-219.
- 7. R.L. McCasland and M.E.Moore, On radicals of submodules of finitely generated modules, Canad. Math. Bull. 29 (1986), 37-39.
- 8. P.F. Smith, Some remarks on multiplication modules, Arch. Math. 50 (1988), 223-235.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS Chungnam National University Taejon 305-764, Korea