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#### Abstract

The $a b$ initio calculations were performed on ClO and $\mathrm{ClO}^{-}$using the configuration interaction and $\mathrm{M} \phi l$ ler-Plesset methods of several different levels of approximation. Three different basis sets, 66 contracted Gaussian-type orbitals, $6-31 \mathrm{G}^{*}$ and $6-311 \mathrm{G}^{*}$, were employed in this calculation. The results of calculation were compared with the experimental values of C 1 O . The values from the calculation with 66 cGTO basis set gave excellent agreement with the experimental values. The spectroscopic constants of $\mathrm{ClO}^{+}$were also predicted.


## Introduction

The role of chlorine monoxide molecule is thought to be a catalyst in the chlorine cycle for the destruction of ozone in the earth's stratosphere ${ }^{1}$. The importance of its role has resulted in much recent interest in CIO spectroscopically and theoretically. The emission spectra of ClO have been first observed by Pannetier and Gaydon ${ }^{2}$ and the absorption spectrum by Poter ${ }^{3}$. Durie and Ramsay ${ }^{4}$, and Coxon Ramsay ${ }^{3}$. The molecular constants of ClO were assigned with the rotational analysis of the $\mathrm{A}^{2} I_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{X}^{2} I_{\text {, }}$, band system by Coxon and Ramsay. Recently Andrews et al. ${ }^{6}$ and Hudgens et al. ${ }^{7}$ also performed the analysis of the absorption spectrum of ClO .

The rotational spectra of $\mathrm{C} O$ radical in the millimeter and submillimeter wavelength region have been analyzed by Amano and Hirota ${ }^{8}$, and Kakar et al. ${ }^{9}$ and the precise molecular parameters have been obtained. Lately the high-resotution infrared spectra of ClO radical have been observed using a Fourier transform spectrometer and a diode laser to calculate the fundamental transition moment of $\left.\mathrm{ClO} \mathrm{X}^{3} I\right)^{10,11}$.
Because of the great interest about its catalytic role in the destruction of stratospheric ozone, numerous attempts have been made for the quantitative measurements of ClO . The techniques to measure atmospheric concentration of ClO have included balloon borne in situ method by atomic resonance fluorescence measurements ${ }^{12.13}$, millimeter wave heterodyne measurements of ClO emission lines ${ }^{14-16}$ and infrared heterodyne measurements ${ }^{17-19}$.
There are a few theoretical calculations for Cl . O 'Hare and Wah1 ${ }^{20}$ have calculated dissociation energy, ionization potential and dipole moment of $\mathrm{ClO}, \mathrm{ClO}^{-}$and $\mathrm{ClO}^{-}$. Including the electron correlation energy. Lievin and Metz ${ }^{21}$ have obtained $R_{e}, \omega_{e}$ and $D_{e}$ of ClO. Langhoff et al. ${ }^{22}$ have computed the electric dipole moment of ClO using the CI method.
Although there have been a number of works for Cl , there is only one experimental work ${ }^{2 / 2}$ for $\mathrm{ClO}^{+}$, which has observed the structure and vibrational frequencies of $\mathrm{ClO}^{+}$. Theoretical calculations of $\mathrm{ClO}^{+}$are also hardly found ${ }^{30}$.
These molecular ions and radicals are widely distributed in the interstellar medium and the information about those molecular ions have a great deal of effect to understand the chemistry and dynamics in the interstellar medium. And lately a lot of efforts have been concentrated to search those rare molecular ions ${ }^{2425}$. The detection of interstellar molecu-
les has been greatly aided by their spectroscopic constants from experiment or theory. Many of the molecular ions have been studied theoretically to obtain the spectroscopic properties and molecular geometries ${ }^{26-28}$.
In this work the molecular parameters of $\mathrm{ClO}^{+}$have been calculated with M $\phi$ ler-Plesset(MP) perturbation method ${ }^{29}$ and configuration interaction method(CI), and the spectroscopic information has been provided for the further experimental search. The calculations on ClO radical were also performed to compare the data with known experimental values and to obtain the criteria for the error in calculations.

## Calculation and Results

$\mathrm{ClO}^{+}$. All calculations were performed with the GAUSSIAN 86 and 88 program. Electronic ground state of ClO is ${ }^{2} I I$ with an electronic contiguration $\cdots(6 \sigma)^{2}(7 \sigma)^{2}(2 \pi)^{4}(3 \pi)^{3}$. Therefore the electronic configuration of $\mathrm{ClO}^{+}$is likely to be $\cdots(6 \sigma)^{2}(7 \sigma)^{2}(2 \pi)^{4}(3 \pi)^{3}$, and the lowest electronic state is ${ }^{3} \Sigma$.
Several different levels of single point calculations were carried out. Basis sets of the 66 cGTO ( 66 contracted Gaus-sian-type orbitals), $6-31 \mathrm{G}^{* 30}$ (single-zeta core, double-zeta valence, polarization functions on nonhydrogen atoms) and 6$311 \mathrm{G}^{*}$ (single-zeta core, triple-zeta valence, polarization functions on nonhydrogen atoms) were used in the calculations. The basis set of 66 cGTO consisted of ( $10 s, 6 p, 2 d$ ) contracted to $[7 s, 4 p, 2 d]$ on the atom, O , and ( $13 \mathrm{~s}, 9 p, 2 d$ ) contracted to $[9 s, 6 p, 2 d]$ on the atom, Cl , where only the innermost functions of each symmetry were contracted. Huzinaga's primitives ${ }^{33}$ were used for the ( $10 s, 6 p$ ) set and the ( $12 s, 9 p$ ) set of Veillard ${ }^{34}$ was modified for the ( $1 \mathrm{~s}, 9 p$ ) set. The $d$ exponents were related to the third most diffuse $p$ exponents by successive multiplication by 1.5 and $0.5^{35}$. The ( $13 \mathrm{~s}, 9 p$ ) set was obtained from the ( $12 s, 9 p$ ) primitives of Veillard by replacing the two most diffuse $s$ primitives with three by taking the geometric mean and multiplying by $2.5,1.0$ and $0.4^{35}$. All electrons were correlated in the MP and Cl calculations.
In Figure 1 and 2 the total energies are shown for MP3, MP4SDQ and CISD. Figure 1 is obtained with the basis set of $6-311 \mathrm{G}^{*}$ and Figure 2 is obtained with the basis set of 66 cGTO . Using the basis set of the 66 cGTO , the total energies were much lower than those of $6-31 \mathrm{G}^{*}$ and $6-311 \mathrm{G}^{*}$


Figure 1. The potential curve of $\mathrm{ClO}^{+}$with $6-311 \mathrm{G}^{*}$ basis set.
for all levels of approximation.
The energy points were fit to the polynomials of sixth degree in the internal displacement coordinate $\Delta R=R-R_{\text {e }}$;

$$
V-V_{0}=\sum_{n=2}^{6} f^{n} \Delta R^{w / n}!
$$

The derived potential constants are listed in Table 1.
In addition. Cl calculations were performed with the same basis sets. The CI calculation required about twice longer CPU time than that of MP calculation, but the energies of the CI calculations are higher than those of the MP methods. After the energy points were fit to the potential function,


Figure 2. The potential curve of $\mathrm{ClO}^{+}$with 66 cGTO basis set.
the potential constants were obtained, and those are shown in Table 2.

From these potential function the various spectroscopic parameters were calculated by the method used in our previous paper ${ }^{31}$, Those values are in Table 3. The bigger basis set gave shorter bond length and smaller $\omega_{c}$ value. The CISD with 66 cGTO gave short bond length, which is different from the previous results. The potential functions were different for various method of calculations and the spectroscopic constants were affected by the shape of potential function. For $\mathrm{ClO}^{+}$case no reference was available for the comparison purpose.

Since the molecular structure and spectroscopic properties

Table 1. The Potential Energy Function ${ }^{\circ}$ of $\mathrm{ClO}^{+}$with the MP Energies

|  | basis set | MP3 | MP4DQ | MP4SDQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $V_{i}(\mathrm{a} . \mathrm{u}$. | 6-31G* | -534.13759 | -534.13927 | -534.14774 |
|  | 6-311G* | -534.19723 | -534.19855 | -534.20823 |
|  | 66 cGTO | -534.26483 | -534.26446 | -534.27445 |
| $R(\AA)$ | 6-31G* | 1.47415 | 1.47382 | 1.48752 |
|  | 6-311G* | 1.46437 | 1.46396 | 1.47756 |
|  | 66 cGTO | 1.46055 | 1.45722 | 1.46997 |
| $f^{2}$ | 6-31G* | 0.693906 | 0.658974 | 0.667586 |
|  | $6-311 \mathrm{G}^{*}$ | 0.594924 | 0.591239 | 0.616537 |
|  | 66 cGTO | 0.602948 | 0.554240 | 0.576580 |
| $f^{3}$ | 6-31G* | -2.06669 | -2.10124 | -1.76051 |
|  | 6-311G* | -1.99502 | -2.02123 | -1.74070 |
|  | 66 CGTO | -1.91855 | - 1.82761 | -1.61208 |
| $f^{4}$ | 6-31G** | 1.73136 | 1.81808 | 2.23093 |
|  | 6-311G* | 2.75814 | 2.82751 | 2.49935 |
|  | 66 GTO | 1.80786 | 2.82685 | 2.64915 |
| $f^{5}$ | 6-31G* | -18.3075 | -17.4416 | -25.1212 |
|  | $6-311 \mathrm{G}^{*}$ | -17.2389 | -16.7670 | -21.7364 |
|  | 66 CGTO | -13.9487 | -17.4900 | -20.7169 |
| $f^{6}$ | 6-316* | 157.228 | 154.342 | 140.911 |
|  | 6-311G* | 108.750 | 107.086 | 113.802 |
|  | 66 cGTO | 102.161 | 94.1592 | 97.8991 |

[^0]Table 2. The Calculated Potential Energy Function ${ }^{3}$ of $\mathrm{ClO}^{+}$ with CI Energies

|  | CISD/6-31G | CISD/6-311G | CISD/66cGTO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $V_{0}($ a.u. $)$ | -534.12750 | -534.18627 | -534.24742 |
| $R_{e}(\AA)$ | 1.48919 | 1.47771 | 1.46714 |
| $f^{2}$ | 0.597458 | 0.548994 | 0.516785 |
| $f^{3}$ | -1.88377 | -1.83571 | -1.69073 |
| $f^{4}$ | 3.81835 | 3.980622 | 3.77578 |
| $f^{5}$ | -21.2796 | -19.2645 | -18.8842 |
| $f^{6}$ | 102.111 | 80.2665 | 72.8912 |

$a$ Atomic units.
of ClO molecule are well known, the same calculations of ClO molecule were carried out and the results were compared with experimental data. From these results of ClO it is possible to predict the range of errors in the values of various methods of calculations on $\mathrm{ClO}^{+}$.

CIO. For ClO radical both the experimental ${ }^{2-11}$ and theoretical data ${ }^{21,22}$ are available. The various levels of the approximation method were also applied for the calculations, using the basis sets of $6-31 \mathrm{G}^{*}, 6-311 \mathrm{G}^{*}$ and 66 cGTO . The total energies of the MP and Cl calculations are shown in Figure 3 and 4, and their potential functions are in Table 4. The spectroscopic constants were calculated with same method used for $\mathrm{ClO}^{+}$, and are shown in Table 5.

The bond lengths are longer than the experimental value for all methods of calculation. But, the bond length predicted by the basis set of 66 cGTO is the closest to the experimental value among the various levels of calculation. In all cases the bond lengths were decreased about $0.02 \AA$ with the basis set of 66 cGTO , and consequently those values approach to the experimental value more closely. The SCF bond length shortens by $0.02 \AA$ upon correlation to MP3 and MP4DQ level.
For all methods using $6-31 \mathrm{G}^{*}$ basis set the vibration-rotation interaction constants, $\alpha_{e}$, are much smaller than the ex-


Figure 3. The potential curve of ClO with $6-311 \mathrm{G}^{*}$ basis set.


Figure 4. The potential curve of ClO with 66 cGTO basis set.

Table 3. The Calculated Spectroscopic Constants of $\mathrm{ClO}^{+}$

| Method | Basis set | $V_{0}($ a.u. $)$ | $R_{( }(\AA)$ | $a_{( }(\mathrm{MHz})$ | $D_{t}(\mathrm{KHz})$ | $B_{c}(\mathrm{GHz})$ | $\omega_{r}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SCF | $6-31 \mathrm{G}^{*}$ | -533.84676 | 1.4841 | 295 | 38 | 20.90725 | 1031.5 |
|  | $6-311 G^{*}$ | -533.88580 | 1.4784 | 314 | 42 | 21.06710 | 993.2 |
|  | 66 cGTO | -533.91657 | 1.4661 | 321 | 47 | 21.42283 | 960.3 |
| MP3 | $6-31 \mathrm{G}^{*}$ | -534.13759 | 1.4742 | 134 | 26 | 21.18996 | 1264.3 |
|  | $6-311 G^{*}$ | -534.19723 | 1.4644 | 161 | 31 | 21.47416 | 1196.8 |
|  | 66 cGTO | -534.26483 | 1.4606 | 149 | 31 | 21.58651 | 1204.9 |
| MP4DQ | $6-31 G^{*}$ | -534.13927 | 1.4738 | 140 | 27 | 21.19960 | 1259.6 |
|  | $6-311 G^{*}$ | -534.19855 | 1.4640 | 167 | 31 | 21.48596 | 1193.1 |
|  | 66 cGTO | -534.26446 | 1.4572 | 165 | 34 | 21.68541 | 1155.2 |
| MP4SDQ | $6-31 G^{*}$ | -534.14774 | 1.4875 | 101 | 25 | 20.81072 | 1267.8 |
|  | $6-311 G^{*}$ | -534.20823 | 1.4776 | 119 | 28 | 21.09223 | 1218.4 |
|  | 66 cGTO | -534.27445 | 1.4700 | 123 | 31 | 21.31074 | 1178.2 |
| CISD | $6-31 G^{*}$ | -534.12750 | 1.4892 | 141 | 28 | 20.76416 | 1199.4 |
|  | $6-311 G^{*}$ | -534.18627 | 1.4777 | 164 | 32 | 21.08819 | 1149.7 |
|  | 66 cGTO | -534.24742 | 1.4671 | 166 | 35 | 21.39299 | 1115.5 |

Table 4. The Potential Energy Function of ClO

|  | Basis set | MP3 | MP4DQ | MP4SDQ | CISD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $V_{0}$ (a.u.) | 6-31G* | -534.52477 | -534.52616 | -534.53072 | -534.51083 |
|  | 6-311G* | -534.58470 | -534.58594 | -534.59107 | -534.57078 |
|  | 66 cGTO | -534.65515 | -534.65528 | -534.66119 | -534.63360 |
| Re $(\AA)$ | 6-31G* | 1.60842 | 1.60882 | 1.61215 | 1.61479 |
|  | 6-311G** | 1.60725 | 1.60782 | 1.61056 | 1.61204 |
|  | 66 cGTO | 1.58623 | 1.58506 | 1.58698 | 1.59015 |
| $f^{2}$ | 6-31G* | 0.347779 | 0.338737 | 0.334696 | 0.292768 |
|  | 6-311G* | 0.246195 | 0.242699 | 0.242827 | 0.263489 |
|  | 66 cGTO | 0.290437 | 0.287197 | 0.290226 | 0.291553 |
| $f^{3}$ | 6-31G* | -0.643851 | -0.654212 | -0.607065 | -0.712936 |
|  | 6-311G** | -0.743493 | -0.735135 | -0.743564 | -0.768710 |
|  | 66 cGTO | -0.883791 | -0.881686 | -0.909525 | -0.813813 |
| ${ }^{4}$ | 6-31G* | 0.066199 | 0.069009 | 0.472128 | 0.840000 |
|  | 6-311G* | 1.20219 | 1.27600 | 1.35496 | 0.621298 |
|  | 66 cGTO | 2.65744 | 2.71932 | 2.77999 | 2.74234 |
| $f^{5}$ | 6-31G* | -13.1466 | -12.7572 | -13.8427 | -10.4063 |
|  | 6-311G** | -8.55671 | -8.65944 | -8.58039 | -6.84741 |
|  | 66 cGTO | -11.0389 | -11.0847 | -10.6135 | -11.6658 |
| $f^{6}$ | 6-31G* | 46.4210 | 46.0008 | 35.3628 | 42.4243 |
|  | 6-311G* | 39.2387 | 38.1906 | 36.5317 | 55.9422 |
|  | 66 cGTO | 26.2488 | 25.6750 | 23.5201 | 25.7362 |

$a$ Atomic units.

Table 5. The Calculated Spectroscopic Constants of ClO

| Method | Basis set | $V_{0}$ (a.u.) | $R_{t}(\AA)$ | $a_{4}(\mathrm{MHz})$ | $D_{e}(\mathrm{KHz})$ | B. (GHz) | $\omega_{r}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SCF | 6-31G* | -534.23176 | 1.6220 | 307 | 44 | 17.50277 | 738 |
|  | $6-311 \mathrm{G}^{*}$ | -534.27301 | 1.6310 | 48 | 34 | 17.31070 | 826 |
|  | 66 cGTO | -534.29668 | 1.6083 | 67 | 33 | 17.80199 | 863 |
| MP3 | 6-31G* | -534.52477 | 1.6084 | 61 | 30 | 17.79988 | 915 |
|  | 6-311G* | -534.58470 | 1.6072 | 170 | 43 | 17.82581 | 770 |
|  | 66 cGTO | -534.65515 | 1.5862 | 164 | 39 | 18.30143 | 836 |
| MP4DQ | 6-31G* | -534.52616 | 1.6088 | 67 | 31 | 17.79096 | 903 |
|  | 6-311G* | -534.58594 | 1.6078 | 172 | 43 | 17.81323 | 764 |
|  | 66 cGTO | -534.65528 | 1.5851 | 167 | 40 | 18.32841 | 832 |
| MP4SDQ | 6-31G* | -534.53072 | 1.6121 | 59 | 31 | 17.71767 | 898 |
|  | 6-311G* | -534.59107 | 1.6106 | 174 | 43 | 17.75263 | 765 |
|  | 66cGT0 | $-534.66119$ | 1.5869 | 171 | 39 | 18.28407 | 836 |
| CISD | 6-31G* | -534.51083 | 1.6148 | 110 | 35 | 17.65973 | 840 |
|  | 6-311G* | -534.57078 | 1.6120 | 155 | 39 | 17.72001 | 796 |
|  | 66 cGTO | $-534.66360$ | 1.5901 | 142 | 38 | 18.21125 | 838 |
| exp. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | 1.570 | 174 | 39 | 18.60216 | 854 |

${ }^{\circ}$ References 9 and 32.
perimental value while the MP3, MP4DQ and MP4SDQ values using 6-311G* and 66cGTO basis sets are close to the experimental value. The centrifugal distortion constants, $D_{e}$, are all in reasonable agreement with the experimental values. The harmonic frequencies of the MP3, MP4DQ, and MP4SDQ with $6-31 G^{*}$ are overestimated by about $5 \%$ and underestimated by the same amount with $6-311 \mathrm{G}^{*}$ basis set, but estimated correctly by all methods with 66 cGTO . The CI harmonic frequencies approach closely to experimental
values.

## Discussion

Various potential energy functions have been calculated by different orders of Mpller-Plesset perturbation theory and by the CI method for ClO and $\mathrm{ClO}^{+}$. From the molecular parameters of ClO , the reasonable agreement with experimental value was found in the calculations with 66 cGTO
basis set. In bond lengths the deviation of less than $1 \%$ is good agreement. Specially the MP3 and MP4DQ calculations with the 66 cGTO basis set gave good agreements over all spectroscopic parameters. The values from CI calculations are no better than the MP values, which is different result from our previous calculations. But the MP3 calculation gave reasonably good results as usual. The previous theoretical values ${ }^{2!}$ also showed longer bond lengths. But the values of $\alpha_{e}$, and $D_{e}$ gave good agreement with experimental values.

In ali MP levels of calculation the bigger basis set gave better results, which was expected from the previous calculations:

Therefore the spectroscopic parameters of $\mathrm{ClO}^{+}$calculated nom the method including electron correlation with large basis set will give the least discrepancies from the experimental values.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Atomic units.

