

QUASI O_z -SPACES

CHANG-IL KIM

0. INTRODUCTION

It is known that the behavior of a certain family of subsets of a completely regular space characterizes its structure. We note among others that a completely regular space X is an O_z -space (a basically disconnected space, a quasi F -space, resp.) iff every open set (cozero-set, dense cozero-set, resp.) of X is Z -embedded (C^* -embedded, resp.) (see [1], [3], and [8]).

For O_z -spaces, it is also known that a completely regular space X is an O_z -space iff νX is an O_z -space and that the real line \mathbb{R} is an O_z -space but $\beta\mathbb{R}$ is not an O_z -space ([2] and [7]).

In this paper, we introduce a concept of quasi O_z -spaces which generalizes that of O_z -spaces. Indeed, a completely regular space X is a quasi O_z -space if for any regular closed set A in X , there is a zero-set Z in X with $A = \text{cl}_X(\text{int}_X(Z))$. We then show that X is a quasi O_z -space iff every open subset of X is $Z^\#$ -embedded and that X is a quasi O_z -space iff βX is a quasi O_z -space. Furthermore, it is shown that quasi O_z -spaces are left fitting with respect to covering maps.

Observing that a quasi O_z -space is an extremally disconnected iff it is a cloz-space, the minimal extremally disconnected cover, basically disconnected cover, quasi F -cover, and cloz-cover of a quasi O_z -space X are all equivalent. Finally it is shown that a compactification Y of a quasi O_z -space X is again a quasi O_z -space iff X is $Z^\#$ -embedded in Y .

For the terminology, we refer to [6].

1. QUASI O_z -SPACES

In the following, we assume that every space is a completely regular space. For a space X , let $Z(X)$ ($R(X)$, resp.) denote the set of all zero-sets (regular closed sets, resp.) on X .

The following are introduced by Henriksen, Vermeer, and Woods [4].

Received April 30, 1992.

NOTATION 1.1. For any space X , let

- (a) $Z(X)^\# = \{ cl_X(int_X(A)) : A \in Z(X) \}$.
- (b) $G(X) = \{ cl_X(C) : C \text{ is a cozero-set and there is a cozero-set } D \text{ in } X \text{ such that } C \cap D = \emptyset \text{ and } C \cup D \text{ is dense in } X \}$

Now we introduce the concept of quasi O_z -spaces.

DEFINITION 1.2. A space X is said to be a quasi O_z -space if $Z(X)^\# = R(X)$.

Since a space X is an O_z -space iff $R(X) \subseteq Z(X)$, and $Z(X)^\# \subseteq R(X)$, every O_z -space is a quasi O_z -space. We recall that every perfectly normal space is an O_z -space and that an extremally disconnected space is an O_z -space (see [1]).

PROPOSITION 1.3. A space X is a quasi O_z -space iff $R(X) = Z(X)^\# = G(X)$.

Proof. We first note that $G(X) = \{ A \in Z(X)^\# : cl_X(X - A) \in Z(X)^\# \}$ (see [4]). Thus $G(X) \subseteq Z(X)^\# \subseteq R(X)$. Suppose $R(X) = G(X)$, then X is clearly a quasi O_z -space. For the converse, take any open set U in X , then $cl_X(U), cl_X(X - cl_X(U)) \in R(X) = Z(X)^\#$; therefore $cl_X(U) \in G(X)$. Thus $R(X) = G(X)$.

DEFINITION 1.4. Let Y be a space, then a subspace X of Y is said to be $Z^\#$ -embedded in Y if for any $A \in Z(X)^\#$, there is a $B \in Z(Y)^\#$ with $A = B \cap X$. In case, the inclusion map $X \hookrightarrow Y$ is also said to be $Z^\#$ -embedded.

We recall that a space X is an O_z -space iff every open subset of X is Z -embedded.

THEOREM 1.5. For any space X , the following are equivalent:

- (a) X is a quasi O_z -space.
- (b) Every open subset U of X is $Z^\#$ -embedded in X .
- (c) Every dense open subset U of X is $Z^\#$ -embedded in X .

Proof. (a) \implies (b) Take any $Z \in Z(U)$. Then there is a closed set A in X with $Z = A \cap U$. Since U is open, $cl_U(int_U(Z)) = cl_X(int_X(A)) \cap U$ and $cl_X(int_X(A)) \in R(X) = Z(X)^\#$. Thus U is $Z^\#$ -embedded in X .

(b) \implies (c) It is trivial.

(c) \implies (a) Take any open set U in X . Put $S = U \cup (X - \text{cl}_X(U))$. Then S is open dense in X . Define a map $f : S \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $f(x) = 0$ if $x \in U$ and $f(x) = 1$ if $x \in X - \text{cl}_X(U)$. Then f is continuous, $f^{-1}(0) = U$ and hence U is a zero-set in S . Since S is open dense in X , there is a zero-set Z in X such that $\text{cl}_S(\text{int}_S(U)) = \text{cl}_X(U) \cap S = \text{cl}_X(\text{int}_X(Z)) \cap S$. Since S is dense in X and $\text{cl}_X(U)$, $\text{cl}_X(\text{int}_X(Z))$ are regular closed sets in X , $\text{cl}_X(U) = \text{cl}_X(\text{int}_X(Z))$. Hence $R(X) \subseteq Z(X)^\#$, so that X is a quasi O_z -space.

LEMMA 1.6. *Let X be a space and $U \subseteq F \subseteq X$. If U is an open $Z^\#$ -embedded subset of X , then U is $Z^\#$ -embedded in F .*

Proof. Take any $A \in Z(U)^\#$. Since U is $Z^\#$ -embedded in X , there is a $B \in Z(X)$ with $A = \text{cl}_X(\text{int}_X(B)) \cap U$. Since $B \cap F$ is closed in F and U is open in F , $\text{cl}_F(\text{int}_F(B \cap F)) \cap U = \text{cl}_U(\text{int}_U(B \cap U)) = \text{cl}_X(\text{int}_X(B)) \cap U = A$. Since $B \cap F \in Z(F)$, U is $Z^\#$ -embedded in F .

The first half of the following is immediate from Lemma 1.6 and the second half also follows from the routine calculation.

PROPOSITION 1.7. *Let X be a quasi O_z -space and $U \subseteq X$. Then U is a quasi O_z -space if U satisfies one of the following:*

- (a) U is open in X
- (b) U is dense in X

Noting that for a dense subspace X of a space Y , $R(X)$ and $R(Y)$ are isomorphic Boolean lattices and that $Z(X)^\#$ is isomorphic with $Z(\beta X)^\#$, one has the following theorem and proposition by the above proposition.

THEOREM 1.8. *For any space X , the following are equivalent:*

- (a) X is a quasi O_z -space.
- (b) νX is a quasi O_z -space.
- (c) βX is a quasi O_z -space.

REMARK. *The real line \mathbb{R} is an O_z -space but $\beta\mathbb{R}$ is not an O_z -space, which is a quasi O_z -space (see [2] and [7]).*

PROPOSITION 1.9. For any quasi O_z -space X , we have:

- (a) a $Z^\#$ -embedded extension of X is a quasi O_z -space;
- (b) every regular closed subspace of X is again a quasi O_z -space.

The following is due to Dashiell (see [3] for the detail).

DEFINITION 1.10. A covering map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be $Z^\#$ -irreducible if $\{f(A) : A \in Z(X)^\#\} = Z(Y)^\#$.

It is well known that for any covering map $f : X \rightarrow Y$, the map $\phi : R(X) \rightarrow R(Y)$ ($\phi(A) = f(A)$) is a Boolean algebra isomorphism and the inverse map ϕ^{-1} of ϕ is given by $\phi^{-1}(B) = cl_X(f^{-1}(int_Y(B)))$. Using this, one has the following.

THEOREM 1.11. Suppose $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a covering map and Y is a quasi O_z -space, then f is $Z^\#$ -irreducible and X is a quasi O_z -space.

Proof. Since f is a covering map and Y is a quasi O_z -space, $Z(Y)^\# = R(Y) = \{f(A) : A \in R(X)\}$. Furthermore, for any $B \in Z(Y)^\#$, $cl_X(f^{-1}(int_Y(B))) \in Z(X)^\#$ and $B = f(cl_X(f^{-1}(int_Y(B))))$. Thus $Z(Y)^\# \subseteq \{f(A) : A \in Z(X)^\#\}$. Since $Z(Y)^\# \subseteq \{f(A) : A \in Z(X)^\#\} \subseteq \{f(A) : A \in R(X)\} = Z(Y)^\#$, $\{f(A) : A \in R(X)\} = \{f(A) : A \in Z(X)^\#\} = Z(Y)^\#$. So f is $Z^\#$ -irreducible. Let $A \in R(X)$. Then there is a $B \in Z(X)^\#$ with $f(A) = f(B)$. Note that $A = cl_X(f^{-1}(int_Y(f(A)))) = cl_X(f^{-1}(int_Y(f(B)))) = B$. Thus $R(X) \subseteq Z(X)^\#$. So X is a quasi O_z -space.

DEFINITION 1.12. A space X is said to be:

- (a) basically disconnected if every cozero-set in X is C^* -embedded in X .
- (b) quasi F if every dense cozero-set in X is C^* -embedded in X .
- (c) cloz if $B(X) = G(X)$.

Let $B(X)$ denote the set of clopen sets in a space X . Then it is known that a space X is basically disconnected iff $B(X) = Z(X)^\#$ (see [8]) and that a space X is a quasi F -space iff for any zero-sets Z_1, Z_2 with $int_X(Z_1) \cap int_X(Z_2) = \emptyset$, $cl_X(int_X(Z_1)) \cap cl_X(int_X(Z_2)) = \emptyset$. Moreover, X is extremally disconnected iff $R(X) = B(X)$.

Thus one has the following:

PROPOSITION 1.13. For any quasi O_z -space X , the following are equivalent:

- (a) X is a cloz-space.
- (b) X is a quasi F -space.
- (c) X is a basically disconnected space.
- (d) X is an extremally disconnected space.

2. QUASI O_z -EXTENSIONS

Let $\underline{\text{Creg}}$ denote the category of completely regular spaces and continuous maps.

DEFINITION 2.1. Let $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ be a full subcategory of $\underline{\text{Creg}}$ and $X \in \underline{\text{Creg}}$.

(a) A pair (Y, f) is said to be a cover of X if $f : Y \rightarrow X$ is a covering map.

(b) A pair (Y, f) is said to be a $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ -cover of X if (Y, f) is a cover of X and $Y \in \underline{\mathcal{C}}$.

(c) A $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ -cover (Y, f) of X is called a minimal $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ -cover of X if for any $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ -cover (Z, g) of X , there is a covering map $h : Z \rightarrow Y$ with $f \circ h = g$.

Let $\underline{\text{edc}}$, $\underline{\text{bdc}}$, $\underline{\text{QF}}$, and $\underline{\text{cloz}}$ denote the full subcategories of $\underline{\text{Creg}}$ determined by extremally disconnected spaces, basically disconnected spaces, quasi- F spaces, and cloz-spaces, respectively. For any $X \in \underline{\text{Creg}}$, $(E(X), k_X)$, $(\Lambda X, \Lambda_X)$, $(\text{QF}(X), \Phi_X)$ and $(E_{cc}(X), z_X)$ denote $\underline{\text{edc}}$ -, $\underline{\text{bdc}}$ -, $\underline{\text{QF}}$ -, and $\underline{\text{cloz}}$ -cover of X , respectively (see [3], [4], [5], and [8] for the detail).

The following is immediate from Theorem 1.11 and Proposition 1.13.

THEOREM 2.2. For any quasi O_z -space X , $(E(X), k_X)$, $(\Lambda X, \Lambda_X)$, $(\text{QF}(X), \Phi_X)$ and $(E_{cc}(X), z_X)$ are equivalent covers of X .

PROPOSITION 2.3. Consider the following commutative diagram :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 P & \xrightarrow{f} & X \\
 j_1 \downarrow & & j_2 \downarrow \\
 Y & \xrightarrow{g} & W,
 \end{array}$$

where j_1, j_2 are dense embeddings and f, g are covering maps. Then g is $Z^\#$ -irreducible and j_1 is $Z^\#$ -embedded iff f is $Z^\#$ -irreducible and j_2 is $Z^\#$ -embedded.

Proof. (\implies) Take any $A \in Z(P)^\#$, then there is a $B \in Z(Y)^\#$ with $A = B \cap P$, for j_1 is $Z^\#$ -embedded. Since $f(A) = f(B \cap P) = g(B) \cap X$ and g is $Z^\#$ -irreducible, $f(A) \in Z(X)^\#$. Thus f is $Z^\#$ -irreducible. Let $C \in Z(X)^\#$. Then $\text{cl}_P(f^{-1}(\text{int}_X(C))) \in Z(P)^\#$. Since j_1 is $Z^\#$ -embedded, there is a $D \in Z(Y)^\#$ such that $D \cap P = \text{cl}_P(f^{-1}(\text{int}_X(C)))$. Then $C = f(D \cap P) = g(D) \cap X$. Since g is $Z^\#$ -irreducible $g(D) \in Z(X)^\#$; therefore j_2 is $Z^\#$ -embedded.

(\impliedby) Take any $A \in Z(Y)^\#$. Then $A \cap P \in Z(P)^\#$ for P is dense in Y and $f(A \cap P) = g(A \cap P) = g(A) \cap X$; hence $g(A) \cap X \in Z(X)^\#$, because f is $Z^\#$ -irreducible. Since j_2 is $Z^\#$ -embedded, there is a $B \in Z(W)^\#$ with $g(A) \cap X = B \cap X$. Since j_2 is a dense embedding and $g(A), B$ are regular closed, $g(A) = B$. Thus g is $Z^\#$ -irreducible.

Take any $C \in Z(P)^\#$, then $f(C) \in Z(X)^\#$. Thus there is a $D \in Z(W)^\#$ such that $f(C) = D \cap X$. Since g is a covering map, $\text{cl}_Y(g^{-1}(\text{int}_W(D))) \in Z(Y)^\#$. Then $f(\text{cl}_Y(g^{-1}(\text{int}_W(D)))) \cap P = g(\text{cl}_Y(g^{-1}(\text{int}_W(D)))) \cap X = D \cap X = f(C)$. Hence $\text{cl}_Y(g^{-1}(\text{int}_W(D))) \cap P = C$. Thus j_1 is $Z^\#$ -embedded.

We recall that a space X is $Z^\#$ -embedded in βX . The following theorem characterizes quasi O_z -compactifications via $Z^\#$ -embedding.

THEOREM 2.4. *For any quasi O_z -space X and any compactification Y of X , the following are equivalent:*

- (a) $j_1 : X \hookrightarrow Y$ is $Z^\#$ -embedded.
- (b) Y is a quasi O_z -space.
- (c) $E(Y) = E_{cc}(Y)$.

Proof. It is known that $\beta E(X) = E(\beta X)$ and the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E(X) & \xrightarrow{k_X} & X \\ \beta_{E(X)} \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta \\ E(\beta X) & \xrightarrow{k_{\beta X}} & \beta X \end{array}$$

is a pullback.

Consider the pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} z_Y^{-1}(X) & \xrightarrow{z_{YX}} & X \\ j_2 \downarrow & & \downarrow j_1 \\ E_{cc}(Y) & \xrightarrow{z_Y} & Y. \end{array}$$

Since Y is compact, there is a unique continuous map $f : \beta X \rightarrow Y$ with $f \circ \beta_X = j_1$. It is easy to show that f is a covering map; hence there is a covering map $g : E(\beta X) \rightarrow E_{cc}(Y)$ with $z_Y \circ g = f \circ k_{\beta X}$. Thus one has $j_1 \circ k_X = f \circ \beta_X \circ k_X = f \circ k_{\beta X} \circ \beta_{E(X)} = z_Y \circ g \circ \beta_{E(X)}$; therefore there is a unique continuous map $h : E(X) \rightarrow z_Y^{-1}(X)$ such that $z_{YX} \circ h = k_X$ and $j_2 \circ h = g \circ \beta_{E(X)}$.

(a) \implies (b) It is immediate from Proposition 1.9.

(b) \implies (c) Since X is a quasi O_z -space and k_X is a covering map, k_X is $Z^\#$ -irreducible by Theorem 1.11. Thus h and z_{YX} are $Z^\#$ -irreducible. Since j_1 is dense, one has a lattice isomorphism between $R(Y)$ and $R(X) = Z(Y)^\#$ via $A \mapsto A \cap X$ ($A \in R(Y)$), so that j_1 is $Z^\#$ -embedded; therefore j_2 is also $Z^\#$ -embedded, because of the above proposition. Thus $z_Y^{-1}(X)$ is a cloz-space; hence an extremally disconnected space and $\beta z_Y^{-1}(X) = E_{cc}(Y)$. Since h is a covering map, h is a homeomorphism. Hence $\beta E(X) = E(\beta X) = \beta z_Y^{-1}(X) = E_{cc}(Y)$. Since $E(Y) = E(\beta X)$, $E(Y) = E_{cc}(Y)$.

(c) \implies (a) Since $E_{cc}(Y)$ is an extremally disconnected space, g is a homeomorphism. Hence $z_Y \circ g = f \circ k_{\beta X}$ is $Z^\#$ -irreducible. Since $\beta_{E(X)}$ is $Z^\#$ -embedded, by Proposition 2.3, j_1 is $Z^\#$ -embedded.

References

1. R. L. Blair, *Spaces in which special sets are Z-embedded*, *Canad. J. Math.* **28** (1976), 673-690.
2. J. L. Blasco, *A note on spaces in which every open set is Z-embedded*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **85** (1982), 444-446.
3. M. Henriksen, J. Vermeer, and R. G. Woods, *Quasi F-covers of Tychonoff spaces*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **303** (1987), 779-804.
4. M. Henriksen, J. Vermeer, and R. G. Woods, *Wallman covers of compact spaces*, *Dissertationes Mathematicae*, **283** (1989), 5-31.

Chang-II Kim

5. S. Iliadis, *Absolutes of Hausdorff spaces*, Sov. Math. Dokl. **4** (1963), 295-298.
6. J. Porter and R. G. Woods, *Extensions and Absolutes of Hausdorff Spaces*, Springer, Berlin, (1988).
7. T. Tereda, *On spaces whose Stone-Čech compactification is O_x* , Pacific J. Math. **85** (1979), 231-237.
8. J. Vermeer, *The smallest basically disconnected preimage of a space*, Topol. Appl. **17** (1984), 217-232.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SOGANG UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 121-742, KOREA