Pusan Kyŏngnam Math. J. 9(1993), No 1, pp. 147-156

GEOMETRIC CHARACTERIZATIONS OF JOHN DISKS

KIWON KIM

1. Introduction

We say that a domain D in $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ is a K- quasidisk if it is the image of the unit disk \mathbb{B} under a K-quasiconformal self mapping of $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$. Quasidisks have been extensively studied and can be characterized in many different ways [1], [2].

We say that a domain D in \mathbb{C} is *c*-uniform if there is a constant $c \geq 1$ such that each two points z_1 and z_2 in D can be joined by an arc γ in D such that

$$\ell(\gamma) \leq c |z_1 - z_2|$$

 \mathbf{and}

(1.1)
$$\min(\ell(\gamma_1), \ell(\gamma_2)) \le c \, d(z, \partial D)$$

for all $z \in \gamma$, where γ_1 and γ_2 are the components of $\gamma \setminus \{z\}$. We say D is uniform if it is c- uniform for some $c \ge 1$. A Jordan domain D in \mathbb{C} is uniform if and only if it is a quasidisk [8].

A bounded domain D in \mathbb{C} is said to be a *c-John domain* if there exist a point $z_0 \in D$ and a constant $c \geq 1$ such that each point $z_1 \in D$ can be joined to z_0 by an arc γ in D satisfying

$$\ell(\gamma(z_1,z)) \leq c d(z,\partial D)$$

for each $z \in \gamma$. We call z_0 a John center, c a John constant and γ a c-John arc.

There are several equivalent definitions for John domains. For example, a bounded domain D in \mathbb{C} is a *c*-John domain if and only if each two points $z_1, z_2 \in D$ can be joined by an arc γ which satisfies

Received April 30, 1993.

(1.1). This definition can be used to define the unbounded John domains D in $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ as well [10, 2.26]. Therefore the class of uniform domains is properly contained in the class of John domains [4], [6], [10].

We say that a domain $D \subset \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ is a *c-John disk* if it is a simply connected *c*-John domain.

Gehring and Osgood show in [6] that a domain D in \mathbb{C} is uniform if and only if it is quasiconformally decomposable, i.e., for each $z_1, z_2 \in$ D there exists a K-quasidisk G_0 in D such that $z_1, z_2 \in \overline{G_0}$ where K = K(D). In section 2, we give a geometric characterization of John disks which is the analogue of the above property of uniform domains.

We say that a domain D in \mathbb{C} has the quasidisk property if for some fixed point $z_0 = z_0(D) \in D$ and for each $z_1 \in D$, there exists a K-quasidisk G_1 in D with $z_0, z_1 \in \overline{G_1}$, where K = K(D).

THEOREM 1.2. A bounded Jordan domain D in \mathbb{C} is a c-John disk if and only if it has the quasidisk property.

In section 3, using the above result we obtain another geometric characterization of John disks which is also the analogue of a property of uniform domains. In particular, Gehring and Martio show in [5] that a finitely connected domain D in \mathbb{C} is uniform if and only if D is a QED domain, i.e., if and only if there exists a constant M such that

$$\operatorname{mod}(\Gamma) \leq M \operatorname{mod}(\Gamma_D),$$

for the families of curves Γ and Γ_D which join any pair of continua F_1 and F_2 in \mathbb{C} and D, respectively. Here $\operatorname{mod}(\Gamma)$ is the *modulus* of Γ (see [2], [12]).

We say that a domain D in \mathbb{C} is *M*-QED with respect to $E \subset D$, $1 \leq M < \infty$, if for each pair of disjoint continua $F_1, F_2 \subset E$

(1.3)
$$\operatorname{mod}(\Gamma) \leq M \operatorname{mod}(\Gamma_D),$$

where Γ and Γ_D are the families of curves joining F_1 and F_2 in \mathbb{C} and in D, respectively.

THEOREM 1.4. Suppose that D is a bounded Jordan domain in \mathbb{C} . Then D is M-QED with respect to all hyperbolic geodesics in D with given $z_0 \in D$ as an end point if and only if D is a c-John disk.

148

2. Quasidisk Property of John disks

LEMMA 2.1. [4, Theorem 4.1] If D is a c-John disk with a John center z_0 and if γ is a hyperbolic geodesic which joins z_1 to z_0 for $z_1 \in D$, then γ is a b-John arc for some constant b which depends only on c.

LEMMA 2.2. [3] and [7] Suppose that D is a Jordan domain in \mathbb{C} If γ is a hyperbolic geodesic in D and if α is any curve which joins the end points of γ in D, then

$$\ell(\gamma) \leq k\ell(\alpha),$$

where k is an absolute constant, $4.5 \le k \le 17.5$.

LEMMA 2.3. Let D be a c-John disk with a John center z_0 and let γ be a hyperbolic geodesic with z_0 as one of its endpoints. If $z_1, z_2 \in \gamma$ and if z_1 separates z_0 and z_2 , then

$$\ell(\gamma(z_1, z_2)) \le b \min(|z_1 - z_2|, d(z_1, \partial D))$$

where b is a constant which depends only on c

Proof. Fix $z_1, z_2 \in \gamma$. By Lemma 2.1,

(2.4)
$$\ell(\gamma(z_1, z_2)) \le b_1 d(z_1, \partial D)$$

for some constant b_1 which depends only on c.

If $|z_1 - z_2| \ge d(z_1, \partial D)$, then by (2.4)

(2.5)
$$\ell(\gamma(z_1, z_2)) \leq b_1 |z_1 - z_2|.$$

If $|z_1 - z_2| < d(z_1, \partial D)$, then the segment $[z_1, z_2]$ joining z_1 and z_2 lies in D and

(2.6)
$$\ell(\gamma(z_1, z_2)) \le c_2 \ell([z_1, z_2]) = c_2 |z_1 - z_2|,$$

by Lemma 2.2 for an absolute constant $c_2 > 0$. Hence (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6) complete the proof of Lemma 2.3 with $b = \max(b_1, c_2)$. \Box

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Suppose that a bounded Jordan domain D in \mathbb{C} is a c-John disk with a John center z_0 . Fix $z_1 \in D$ and let γ be the hyperbolic geodesic joining z_0 and z_1 in D. Fix $w_1, w_2 \in \gamma$ labeled so that w_1 separates z_0 and w_2 in γ . Then by Lemma 2.3,

$$\ell(\gamma(w_1,w_2)) \leq b|w_1-w_2|$$

where b is a constant which depends only on c. Next if $z \in \gamma$, then z separates z_0 and z_1 in γ and by Lemma 2.3

$$\min_{j=0,1} \ell(\gamma(z_j,z)) \leq \ell(\gamma(z,z_1)) \leq bd(z,\partial D).$$

Thus γ satisfies conditions in (4.1) of [6] with $a_1 = b_1 = b$ and the construction given on [6, pp.67-68] yields a K-quasidisk G_1 with desired properties, where $K = K(a_1, b_1) = K(c)$.

Conversely, we assume that there exist a point $z_0 \in D$ and a constant K such that for each $z_1 \in D$, there is a K-quasidisk G_1 in D with $z_0, z_1 \in \overline{G_1}$. Fix $z_1 \in D$, choose a quasidisk G_1 in D corresponding to z_1 and let γ be the hyperbolic geodesic joining z_0 and z_1 in G_1 . Then for all $z \in \gamma$ we have a constant a = a(K) such that

$$(2.7) \qquad \qquad \ell(\gamma(z,z_1)) \leq a|z-z_1|$$

and

(2.8)
$$\min_{j=0,1} \ell(\gamma(z_j,z)) \leq ad(z,\partial G_1) \leq ad(z,\partial D)$$

[6, Corollary 4]. Next let

$$b = rac{\operatorname{dia}(D)}{d(z_0,\partial D)} < \infty$$

and let $c = 2a^2b$. We will show that

$$\ell(\gamma(z,z_1)) \leq cd(z,\partial D)$$

for all $z \in \gamma$ and hence that D is a c-John disk. We consider two cases.

150

Suppose first that

$$|z-z_0| \leq \frac{1}{2}d(z_0,\partial D)$$

Then

$$d(z,\partial D) \ge d(z_0,\partial D) - |z - z_0| \ge \frac{1}{2}d(z_0,\partial D)$$

and hence by (2.7)

$$\ell(\gamma(z,z_1)) \leq a|z-z_1| \leq a \operatorname{dia}(D) = ab d(z_0,\partial D)$$

$$\leq 2ab d(z,\partial D) \leq cd(z,\partial D).$$

Suppose next that

$$|z-z_0| \geq \frac{1}{2}d(z_0,\partial D).$$

If $\ell(\gamma(z_0, z)) \leq \ell(\gamma(z, z_1))$, then as above

$$\begin{split} \ell(\gamma(z,z_1)) &\leq a \text{dia}(D) \leq a b d(z_0,\partial D) \leq 2a b |z-z_0| \\ &\leq 2a b \ell(\gamma(z,z_0)) \leq 2a^2 b d(z,\partial D) = c d(z,\partial D). \end{split}$$

If $\ell(\gamma(z_0, z)) \ge \ell(\gamma(z, z_1))$, then by (2.8)

$$\ell(\gamma(z, z_1)) \le ad(z, \partial D) \le cd(z, \partial D).$$

3. QED property of John disks

In [5], Gehring and Martio show that a simply connected proper subdomain D in \mathbb{C} is a QED domain if and only if it is a quasidisk. Now we will consider the QED property for John disks in \mathbb{C} .

LEMMA 3.1. [5, Remark 2.23] Suppose that D is a simply connected proper subdomain in \mathbb{C} . Then D is a QED domain if and only if D is a quasidisk.

LEMMA 3.2. [12, Theorem 10.12] Suppose that 0 < a < b and that *E* and *F* are disjoint sets such that every circle $S^1(t)$, a < t < b, meets both *E* and *F*. If *G* contains the annulus $A = \mathbb{B}(0, b) \setminus \overline{\mathbb{B}}(0, a)$ and if Γ is a family of curves joining *E* and *F* in *G*, then

$$\operatorname{mod}(\Gamma) \geq \frac{2}{\pi} \log \frac{b}{a}.$$

LEMMA 3.3. Suppose that D is a Jordan domain in $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ and that γ is a hyperbolic line joining $w_1, w_2 \in \partial D$ in D. Then

$$rac{1}{b} \leq rac{d(z,lpha_1)}{d(z,lpha_2)} \leq b, \qquad b=3+2\sqrt{2}$$

for all $z \in \gamma$ where α_1 and α_2 are two components of $\partial D \setminus \{w_1, w_2\}$.

Lemma 3.3 shows that each hyperbolic line in D which joins two points on ∂D lies in the middle of D. (See [11, Exercise 1, p. 318].)

Proof of Lemma 3.3. Fix $z \in \gamma$. Then by symmetry it is sufficient to show that

$$d_1 \leq bd_2$$

where $d_j = d(z, \alpha_j)$, j = 1, 2. For this we may clearly assume that $d_2 < d_1$ and hence that $d_2 = d(z, \partial D)$. Next by performing preliminary similarity mapping we may further assume that z = 0 and $d_1 = 1$. Choose $z_2 \in \alpha_2$ such that $|z - z_2| = d_2$, let u denote the harmonic measure of α_2 in D and set

$$f(z)=\frac{z-z_2}{1-\overline{z}_2 z}.$$

Then $v = u \circ f^{-1}$ is positive and harmonic in D' = f(D). Next fix $\omega \in \partial D'$ with $|\omega| < 1$ and let $\zeta = f^{-1}(\omega)$. Then $\zeta \in \partial D$, $|\zeta| < 1$ and thus

$$|\zeta-0|<1=d(0,\alpha_1).$$

Therefore we conclude that $\zeta \in int(\alpha_2)$ and hence that

$$\lim_{w\to\omega}v(w)=\lim_{z\to\zeta}u(z)=1$$

for $w \in D'$ and $z \in D$. Finally since $0 \in \partial D'$ and $\partial D'$ contains a point which lies outside of |w| < 1, we see that each circle |w| = r meets $\partial D'$ for $0 \le r \le 1$. Hence by [9, pp. 104-107],

$$v(w) \geq rac{2}{\pi} rcsin rac{1-|w|}{1+|w|}$$

for $w \in D'$ with |w| < 1. In particular,

$$\frac{1}{2} = u(0) = v(-z_2) \ge \frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin \frac{1 - |z_2|}{1 + |z_2|} = \frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin \frac{1 - d_2}{1 + d_2}$$

Thus

$$\frac{1-d_2}{1+d_2} \le \sin\frac{\pi}{4} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$$

and hence

$$d_1 = 1 \leq (3 + 2\sqrt{2})d_2. \quad \Box$$

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Suppose that a bounded Jordan domain D in \mathbb{C} is a c-John disk with a John center z_0 . Fix a point $z_1 \in D$ and let γ be the hyperbolic geodesic in D with end points $z_0, z_1 \in D$. Then by Theorem 1.2 there is a K-quasidisk G_1 in D such that $z_0, z_1 \in \overline{G_1}$. Thus by Lemma 3.1, G_1 is an M-QED domain where M is a constant which depends only on K, and hence only on c. Hence by [12, Theorem 6.2]

$$\operatorname{mod}(\Gamma) \leq M \operatorname{mod}(\Gamma_{G_1}) \leq M \operatorname{mod}(\Gamma_D),$$

where $\Gamma, \Gamma_{G_1}, \Gamma_D$ are the families of curves which join two disjoint subarcs F_1, F_2 of γ in \mathbb{C}, G_1, D , respectively. Hence D satisfies (1.3) for each pair of disjoint continua F_1, F_2 in the hyperbolic geodesics in D with given $z_0 \in D$ as an end point.

Suppose next that D is M-QED with respect to all hyperbolic geodesics in D with given $z_0 \in D$ as an end point. Fix $z_1 \in D$, $z_1 \neq z_0$ and let γ be the hyperbolic geodesic in D with end points z_0, z_1 . We show first that for each $z \in \gamma$

$$(3.4) \qquad \qquad \min(|z_0-z|,|z-z_1|) \leq ad(z,\partial D)$$

for some constant a > 1. Suppose otherwise. Then for each constant a > 1, there is a point $z \in \gamma$ such that

$$\min(|z_0-z|,|z-z_1|) > ad(z,\partial D).$$

Consider the hyperbolic line in D which contains γ with end points $w_1, w_2 \in \partial D$ and let α_1, α_2 be the two components of $\partial D \setminus \{w_1, w_2\}$. Then

$$d(z,\partial D) = \min_{j=1,2} d(z,\alpha_j)$$

for $z \in \gamma$. Thus we may assume that $d(z, \partial D) = d(z, \alpha_1)$ and by Lemma 3.3

(3.5)
$$d(z,\alpha_2) \leq bd(z,\partial D), \qquad b = 3 + 2\sqrt{2}.$$

Let $r = bd(z, \partial D)$ and consider the disks $\mathbb{B}(z, r)$, $\mathbb{B}(z, \sqrt{ar})$, $\mathbb{B}(z, ar)$. By means of a preliminary similarity mapping we may assume that z = 0. Let $A = \mathbb{B}(0, ar) \setminus \overline{\mathbb{B}}(0, \sqrt{ar})$. By hypothesis $z_0, z_1 \notin \mathbb{B}(0, ar)$. For j = 0, 1 let F_j denote a component of $A \cap \gamma(0, z_j)$ which joins the boundary circles of ∂A . Then by Lemma 3.2

(3.6)
$$\operatorname{mod}(\Gamma) \ge \operatorname{mod}(\Gamma_A) = \frac{2}{\pi} \log \sqrt{a},$$

where Γ , Γ_A are the families of curves joining F_0 and F_1 in \mathbb{C} and in A, respectively. Now let $B = \mathbb{B}(0, \sqrt{ar}) \setminus \overline{\mathbb{B}}(0, r), E = \partial \mathbb{B}(0, r)$, and $F = \partial \mathbb{B}(0, \sqrt{ar})$. Then by (3.5), $\Gamma_B < \Gamma_D$ and hence by [12, Theorem 6.4] and [12, 7.5] we have

(3.7)
$$\operatorname{mod}(\Gamma_D) \leq \operatorname{mod}(\Gamma_B) = 2\pi (\log \frac{\sqrt{ar}}{r})^{-1} = \frac{2\pi}{\log \sqrt{a}},$$

where Γ_B is the family of curves joining E and F in B and Γ_D is the family of curves joining F_0 and F_1 in D. Then, since D is M-QED with respect to γ , (3.6) and (3.7) imply that

$$rac{2}{\pi} \mathrm{log}\,\sqrt{a} \leq \mathrm{mod}(\Gamma) \leq M \mathrm{mod}(\Gamma_D) \leq rac{2\pi M}{\log\sqrt{a}}$$

and hence that

$$M \geq \big(\frac{\log \sqrt{a}}{\pi}\big)^2$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore for each $z\in\gamma$

$$\min(|z_1-z|,|z_0-z|) \leq ad(z,\partial D)$$

for some constant a > 1.

Next to show that D is a c-John disk we must prove that for each $z \in \gamma$,

$$|z_1 - z| < cd(z, \partial D)$$

for some constant c. For this let $d = d(z_0, \partial D)$, let $L = \max\{|z_0 - z| : z \in \partial D\}$ and let $c_1 = \max(\frac{L}{d}, a)$.

If
$$|z - z_1| < |z - z_0|$$
, then by (3.4)
(3.8) $|z - z_1| < ad(z, \partial D)$.
If $|z - z_1| > |z - z_0|$, then $|z_0 - z_1| \le L$ and (3.4) give

$$\frac{|z - z_1|}{c_1} \le \frac{|z - z_0|}{c_1} + \frac{|z_0 - z_1|}{c_1}$$

$$< \frac{|z - z_0|}{a} + \frac{|z_0 - z_1|}{\frac{L}{d}}$$

$$< d(z, \partial D) + d.$$

Now by (3.4)

$$d = d(z_0, \partial D) \le |z - z_0| + d(z, \partial D)$$
$$\le ad(z, \partial D) + d(z, \partial D)$$
$$= (a + 1)d(z, \partial D).$$

Thus

$$\frac{|z-z_1|}{c_1} < d(z,\partial D) + (a+1)d(z,\partial D).$$

Hence we get

(3.9)
$$|z-z_1| < c_1(a+2)d(z,\partial D).$$

Therefore by (3.8) and (3.9)

$$|z-z_1| < cd(z,\partial D),$$

where $c = c_1(a+2)$. This completes the proof. \Box

REMARK 3.10. In [5, Theorem 2.22], Gehring and Martio show that if D is a simply connected domain in \mathbb{C} , then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) D is a QED domain.
- (2) D is a uniform domain.

Now by using an argument similar to that in the proof of Theorem 1.4 we can replace (1) by the following condition:

(1') D is M-QED with respect to all hyperbolic geodesics in D.

References

- F.W.Gehring, Uniform domains and the Ubiquitous Quasidisk, Jber. Deut sche Dt Math-Verein 89 (1987), 88-103.
- [2] F.W Gehring, Characteristic Properties of Quasidisks, Les Presses De L'Uni versité de Montréal, 1982
- [3] F.W.Gehring and W.K. Hayman, An inequality in the theory of conformal mapping, J. Math. Pure Appl. 9, 353-361.
- [4] F.W.Gehring, K Hag and O Martio, The quasihyperbolic metric in John domains, Math. Scand. 65 (1989), 75-92.
- [5] F.W.Gehring and O Martio, Quasiextremal distance domains and extension of quasiconformal mapping, J. Analyse Math. 45 (1985), 181-206.
- [6] F.W.Gehring and B.G.Osgood, Uniform domains and the quasihyperbolic metric, J. Analyse Math. 36 (1979), 50-74.
- S.Jaenisch, Length Distortion of Curves under Conformal Mapping, Michigan Math. J 15 (1968), 121-128.
- [8] O.Martio and J.Sarvas, Injectivity theorems in plane and space, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. 4 (1978/1979), 383-401.
- [9] R.Nevanlinna, Analytic Functions, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1970.
- [10] R.Nakki and J.Vaisala, John disks Expo Math, (to appear)
- [11] C.Pommerenke, Univalent functions, Vandenhoeck and Ruprecht, Göttingen, 1975.
- [12] J.Vaisala, Lectures on n-dimensional quasiconformal mappings. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 229, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1971.

Department of Mathematics Pusan Women's University Pusan, Korea

156