Pusan Kyŏngnam Math J. 9(1993), No 1, pp. 7-14

RIESZ-REPRESENTATION FORMULAR ON EXTENDED HARDY SPACES

YOUNG-CHEOL SEO

1. Introduction

S.Walters ([15]) examined properties of $H^p(0 and showed$ $that <math>H^p$ is isometric to a closed subspace of L^p . And he conjectured that the dual of H^p is empty except for the zero functional. However, this answer is wrong because $(H^p)^*(0 has sufficiently many$ $members to distinguish elements of <math>H^p$.

P.L.Duren, W.Romberg and L.Shields([3]) obtained many results on the dual spaces of H^p . In particular, this paper contains the failureof the Hahn-Banach separation theorem as well as an example of a subspace of H^p which has the separation property but does not have the Hahn-Banach extension property. They also construct the extended spaces B^p of which $H^p(0 is a dense subset, and investigate$ $the properties of <math>B^p$.

In 1982, N.J.Kalton and D.A.Trautman ([7]) gave a number of results on the closed subspace of $H^p(0 . This result shows that$ $<math>H^p$ can have no complemented locally convex subspaces; this is the answer to a question of J.H.Shapiro ([10],[11], and [12]). Moreover, they proved that H^p can not have any locally convex subspace with the Hahn-Banach extension property.

In this paper, we find out some properties of H^P and $(H^p)^*$ with $0 , introduce the extended <math>B^p$ spaces, and investigate the relation between B^p and H^p . Also, we apply the Riesz representation theorem to B^p space.

2. Riesz-representation formular on B^p spaces

In this section, we study the structure and some properties of H^p , for $0 . Also, we extend <math>H^p$ spaces to larger spaces and apply the properties which are satisfied in H^p to extension of H^p .

Received January 20,1993.

YOUNG-CHEOL SEO

Let D be an open unit disc, T be the unit circle in the complex plane C and H(D) be the set of holomorphic functions in D. For any function f in H(D), we define

$$\begin{split} M_p(f,r) &= \{\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^p d\theta\}^{\frac{1}{p}}, \ 0$$

A function holomorphic f in D is said to be of class H^p $(0 if <math>||f||_p$ is finite.

First, we consider the space H^p as a linear space. If each $f \in H^p$ is identified with its boundary function \tilde{f} , H^p can be regarded as a subspace of L^p , $0 . It is well known that <math>H^p$ is a Banach space if $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, but the space H^p is not normable if 0 .

The inequality $(a + b)^p \leq a^p + b^p$ for $a \geq 0$, $b \geq 0$ is valid for 0 . This yields the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. For any $f, g \in H^p$, define d(f, g) by

$$d(f,g) = ||f - g||_{p}^{p}$$

then d(,) is a metric on H^p .

We recall that for $0 , <math>H^p$ is the L^p closure of the set of polynomials in $e^{i\theta}$. So by Lemma 2.1, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2. H^p is a complete metric space.

We note that $H^p(0 is a F-space, in the terminology intro$ duced by Banach. For F-spaces with respect to sequence, see [8], [9],[10], [11], [12], and [13].

For any member f in H^p , we consider the modulus of f(z) $(z \in D)$ whether bounded or not. Suppose that f(z) is a nonzero function. Then by F.Riesz decomposition theorem, we may write f(z) = g(z)h(z), where h(z) is holomorphic and bounded by unity on D, and $g \in H^p$ with $||g||_p = ||f||_p$ and $g(z) \neq 0$ on D. Thus it is clear that $[g(z)]^p$ may be defined properly so that it is a member of H^1 . By Cauchy's integral formula

$$[g(z)]^p = rac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} rac{[g(re^{i\theta})]^p re^{i\theta}}{re^{i\theta} - z} d\theta, \ |z| < r < 1.$$

Thus

$$|g(z)|^p \leq \frac{r}{r-|z|} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |g(re^{i\theta})|^p d\theta\right)$$

$$\leq \frac{r}{r-|z|} ||g||_p^p = \frac{r}{r-|z|} ||f||_p^p.$$

Therefore the following fact holds.

Proposition 2.3. For each $f \in H^p$,

$$|f(z)| \leq \frac{||f||_p}{(1-|z|)^{\frac{1}{p}}}, \ z \in D.$$

It is also interesting in the case that the real part of f(z) is positive.

Proposition 2.4. Every holomorphic function f(z) with positive real part is of class H^p for 0 .

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can suppose f(0) = 1. The range of f is contained in the right half-plane, so f is subordinate to

$$\frac{1+z}{1-z} = p_r(\theta) + iQ_r(\theta)$$

where $p_r(\theta)$ is the Poisson kernel and

$$Q_r(\theta) = \frac{2rsin\theta}{1 - 2rcos\theta + r^2}$$

is the conjugate Poisson kernel. It follows by the Littlewood's subordinate theorem [16] that

$$\begin{split} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^{p} d\theta &\leq \int_{0}^{2\pi} |\frac{1+re^{i\theta}}{1-re^{i\theta}}|^{p} d\theta \\ &\leq \int_{0}^{2\pi} |Q_{1}(\theta)|^{p} d\theta < \infty, \end{split}$$

for any p < 1, since $\frac{(1+z)}{(1-z)}$ is in H^p and $P_1(\theta) = 0$ for $\theta \neq 0$.

For later reference we now list some known results, most of which are due to Hardy and Littlewood.

Theorem 2.5 ([6], p.415). If $f' \in H^p$ for some $p \in (0, 1)$, then $f \in H^q$, where $q = \frac{p}{(p-1)}$.

Theorem 2.6 ([6], p.412).. If $f \in H^p(0 , then$

$$\int_{o}^{1} (1-r)^{\frac{1}{p}-2} M_{1}(f,r) dr \leq c ||f||_{p}$$

where c is a constant depending only on p.

İ

Theorem 2.7 ([6], p.408).. If $f(z) = \sum a_n z^n \varepsilon H^p (0 , then$

$$a_n \leq c_n^{\frac{1}{p}-1} ||f||_p \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots,$$

where c is a constant depending only on p. Furthermore,

$$a_n = o(n^{\frac{1}{p}-1}).$$

As the theory of functional analysis, a linear functional ψ on H^p is said to be bounded (written by $\psi \varepsilon (H^p)^*$) if

$$||\psi|| = \sup_{||f||_{\mathbb{P}} \leq 1} |\psi(f)| < \infty.$$

It follows from the definition that

$$|\psi(f)| \le ||\psi|| \ ||f||_p$$

for all $f \in H^p$. It is easily verified that a linear functional on H^p is bounded if and only if it is continuous, and that $(H^p)^*$ is a Banach space. Moreover, the principle of uniform boundedness and the closed graph theorem remain valid for 0 [1].

If $1 , it is well known that every bounded linear functional <math>\psi$ in H^p has a unique representation.

$$\psi(f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(e^{i\theta}) g(e^{-i\theta}) d\theta,$$

where $g \in H^q$, $q = \frac{p}{(p-1)}$. The following may be regarded as an extension of this result to 0 .

Theorem 2.8[2].. Let $\psi \varepsilon (H^p)^*$, 0 . Then there is unique function g such that

$$\psi(f) = \lim_{r \to 1} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(re^{i\theta}) g(e^{-i\theta}) d\theta, \ f \in H^p,$$

where g(z) is holomorphic in D and continuous on \overline{D} .

Next we introduce B^p spaces [4] and investigate some properties of this space, finally apply the Theorem 2.8 to this space.

Fix $p, 0 . Let <math>B^p$ denote the space of functions f(z) holomorphic in D for which

$$||f||_{B^p} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^1 |f(re^{i\theta})| (1-r)^{\frac{1}{p}-2} dr d\theta < \infty.$$

If we use the quantity $M_p(f,r)$, we can rewrite as following

$$||f||_{B^p} = \int_0^1 (1-r)^{\frac{1}{p}-2} M_1(f,r) dr.$$

It turns out H^p is a subspace of B^p using Theorem 2.7, especially $B^p = H^p$ for $p = \frac{1}{2}$. Thus the space B^p is in some respect "extended" than H^p space. For typographical reasons we shall frequently omit the superscript p in writing norms, $||f||_B$ denote the norm in B^p . The following lemmas are very important to prove one proposition and the last extended theorem.

Lemma 2.9. For each $f \in B^p$,

$$|f(z)| \le c_p ||f||_B (1-r)^{\frac{-1}{p}}, \ z \in D.$$

Proof. Let R < r < 1, then

$$||f||_{B} \ge \int_{R}^{1} (1-r)^{\frac{1}{p}-2} M_{1}(f,r) dr$$
$$\ge M_{1}(f,R) (\frac{1}{p}-1)^{-1} (1-R)^{\frac{1}{p}-1}$$

Hence

$$M_1(f,R) \leq (\frac{1}{p}-1)||f||_B(1-R)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}.$$

From this, the estimate follows by writing

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\zeta|=R} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta,$$

where $R = \frac{1}{2}(1 + |z|)$.

Lemma 2.10. For each $f \in B^p$, $f_\rho \to f$ in B^p norm as $\rho \to 1$, where $f_\rho(z) = f(\rho z)$.

Proof. Given $f \in B^p$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, choose r < 1 such that

$$\int_{R}^{1} (1-r)^{\frac{1}{p}-2} M_{1}(f_{+}r) dr < \varepsilon \cdots (2.1).$$

Since $M_1(f,r)$ is an increasing function of r, (2.1) remains valid when f is replaced by f_{ρ} . Now choose ρ so close to 1 that $|f_{\rho}(z) - f(z)| < \varepsilon$ on $|z| \leq R$. Then

$$\int_0^R (1-r)^{\frac{1}{p}-2} M_1(f_p - f, r) dr < \varepsilon ||1||_B$$

Combining this with (2.1), we have

$$||f_{\rho} - f||_{B} \leq \varepsilon ||1||_{B} + 2\varepsilon,$$

so $f_{\rho} \to f$ in norm as $\rho \to 1$.

Lemma 2.11. H^p is a dense subset of B^p .

Lemma 2.12. For each $f \in H^p$, $||f||_B \leq c_p ||f||_p$.

Proof of Lemma 2.11, Lemma 2.12. Theorem 2.6 says that $H^p \subset B^p$, and gives the norm inequality. Also, H^p contains all functions holomorphic in a bigger disc, and such functions are dense in B^p by Lemma 2.10.

If we use above statements, the following fact is easily satisfied.

12

Proposition 2.13. The space B^p with the given norm is a Banach space.

Proof. Let $\{f_n\}$ be a Cauchy sequence. Since B^p lies in the L^1 -space formed with respect to the measure

$$\iint \frac{1}{2\pi} (1-r)^{-2+\frac{1}{p}} dr d\theta,$$

the sequence $\{f_n\}$ convergences in the mean to a function $f \in L^1$. But this implies that some subsequences convergence pointwise almost everywhere to f. On the other hand, from Lemma 2.9 we see that $\{f_n\}$ converges uniformly on compact subsets, hence the limit function f is holomorphic in D. Thus $f \in B^p$.

Using all of the preceding properties, we can extend Theorem 2.8 as following.

Theorem 2.14. B^p and H^p have the same continuous linear functionals; more precisely, Theorem 2.8 remains true if in its statements H^p is everywhere replaced by B^p .

Proof. Let $\psi \varepsilon(B^p)^*$ be given and define the associated function $g(z) = \sum b_k z^k$ as in the proof of Theorem 2.8. By Lemma 2.12, ψ is also a bounded linear functional on H^p and hence g has the desired smoothness. Furthermore, if $f(z) = \sum a_k z^k \varepsilon B^p$, then by Theorem 2.8 we have

$$\psi(f) = \lim_{\rho \to 1} \sum a_k z^k \rho^k$$
$$= \lim_{\rho \to 1} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(\rho e^{i\theta}) g(e^{-i\theta}) d\theta \cdots (2.2)$$

where $f_{\rho} \rightarrow f$ in norm, by Lemma 2.10.

Conversely let g (holomorphic and continuous) be given and suppose that g has the smoothness described in Theorem 2.8. We must show that the first limit in (2.2) exists for every $f \in B^p$ and is bounded by c||f||. The proof is identical to the proof of Theorem 2.8, using Theorem 2.5, Theorem 2.6, and Theorem 2.7.

YOUNG-CHEOL SEO

References

- 1. N Dunford and J.T.Schwartz, Linear Operators I, Interscience, New York, (1958).
- 2. P.L.Duren, Theory of H^p space, Ac Press, (1972).
- 3. _____, W.Romberg and L. Shield, Lin ear funct ions on H^p space with 0 , Reine Angew. Math, 283 (1991), 32 60.
- 4. E.M.Dynkin, On the classes B_p^s for 0 , Soviet Math. Doki, 29 (1984), 357 360
- 5. P.Fatou, New proofs of some theorem of Hardy space methods, Math. Maz, 23 (1950), 115-124.
- 6 G.H.Hardy and J.E Littlewood, Some properties of fractional integral II, Math Z, 34 (1931), 403-439.
- 7. N J.Kalton and D A. Trautman, Remarks on subspaces of H^p with 0 < P < 1, Michigan Math, J. 29 (1982), 163-170
- 8. A.L.Koldobskii, Isometric operator in vector valued L^p spaces, J. Soviet Math, 36 (1987), 402-423
- 9. P.F.Renaud, A reversed Hardy inequality, Bull Aust, Math soc, 34 (1986), 225-232.
- J.H.Shapiro and N.J.Kalton, Bases and basic sequences in F-spaces, Studia Math, LVI (1976), 47 - 61
- ———, An F-spaces with trivial dual and nontrivial compact endomorphism, Israel J Math, 20 (1975), 282-291
- Extension of linear functional of F-spaces with bases, Duke Math J, 37 (1970), 639-645.
- 13. P.Sjolin and J O.Stromberg, Basic properties of Hardy Spaces, Univ of Stockholm, Sweden, 19 (1981)
- 14. A.E.Taylor, Banach spaces of functions analytic in the unit circle I, II, Studio Math, 11,12 (1950, 1951), 145-170, 25-50.
- 15. S.Walters, Remarks on the space H^p, pacific J Math, 1 (1951), 455-471.
- 16. A.Zugmund, Trigonometric Series, Warsawa, 1 (1935.)

Dept. of Math Education Kyungnam University Masan, Korea.