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Let $B_{n}(\alpha)$ be the class of functions of the form

$$
f(z)=\frac{a_{-p}}{z^{p}}+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k} \quad\left(a_{-p} \neq 0, p \in N=\{1,2, \ldots\}\right)
$$

which are regular in the punctured disk $E=\{z: 0<|z|<1\}$ and satisfying
$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{D^{n+1} f(z)}{D^{n} f(z)}-(p+1)\right\}<-p \alpha\left(n \in N_{0}=\{0,1,2, \ldots\},|z|<1,0 \leq \alpha<1\right)$,
where

$$
D^{n} f(z)=\frac{a_{-p}}{z^{p}}+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}(p+m)^{n} a_{m-1} z^{m-1}
$$

It is proved that $B_{n+1}(\alpha) \subset B_{n}(\alpha)$. Since $B_{0}(\alpha)$ is the class of $p$-valent meromorphically starlike functions of order $\alpha$, all functions in $B_{n}(\alpha)$ are $p$-valent starlike. Futher property preserving integrals are considered.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\sum_{p}$ denote the class of functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\frac{a_{-p}}{z^{p}}+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k} \quad\left(a_{-p} \neq 0, p \in N=\{1,2, \ldots\}\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are regular in the punctured disk $E=\{z: 0<|z|<1\}$. Define

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { (1.2) } D^{0} f(z) & =f(z)  \tag{1.2}\\
\text { (1.3) } D^{1} f(z) & =\frac{a_{-p}}{z^{p}}+(p+1) a_{0}+(p+2) a_{1} z+(p+3) a_{2} z^{2}+\cdots  \tag{1.3}\\
& =\frac{\left(z^{p+1} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{z^{p}}, \\
\text { (1.4) } D^{2} f(x) & =D\left(D^{1} f(z)\right),
\end{align*}
$$

and for $n=1,2, \ldots$,

$$
\begin{align*}
D^{n} f(z) & =D\left(D^{n-1} f(z)\right.  \tag{1.5}\\
& =\frac{a_{-p}}{z^{p}}+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}(p+m)^{n} a_{m-1} z^{m-1} \\
& =\frac{\left(z^{p+1} D^{n-1} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{z^{p}} .
\end{align*}
$$

In this paper, we shall show that a function $f(z)$ in $\sum_{p}$, which satisfies one of the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{D^{n+1} f(z)}{D^{n} f(z)}-(p+1)\right\}<-p \alpha(z \in U=\{z:|z|<1\}) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha<1)$ and $n \in N_{0}=\{0,1,2, \ldots\}$, is meromorphically $p$ valent starlike in $E$. More precisely, it is proved that, for the class $B_{n}(\alpha)$ of functions in $\sum_{p}$ satisfying (1.6),

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{n+1}(\alpha) \subset B_{n}(\alpha) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds. Since $B_{0}(\alpha)$ equals $\sum_{p}^{*}(\alpha)$ (the class of meromorphically $p$-valent starlike functions of order $\alpha[4])$, the starlikeness of members of $B_{n}(\alpha)$ is a consequence of (1.7). Further properties preserving integrals are considered and some known results of Bajpai [1],Goel and Sohi [2] and Uralegaddi and Somanatha [6] are extended.

## 2. Properties of the class $B_{n}(\alpha)$

In proving our main results(Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 below), we shall need the following lemma due to I.S. Jack [3].

Lemma. Let $w$ be non-constant regular in $U=\{z:|z|<1\}$ with $w(0)=$ 0 . If $|w|$ attains its maximum value on the circle $|z|=r<1$ at $z_{0}$, we have $z_{0} w^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=k w\left(z_{0}\right)$ where $k$ is a real number, $k \geq 1$.

Theorem 1. $B_{n+1}(\alpha) \subset B_{n}(\alpha)$ for each integer $n \in N_{0}$.
Proof. Let $f(z) \in B_{n+1}(\alpha)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{D^{n+2} f(z)}{D^{n+1} f(z)}-(p+1)\right\}<-p \alpha \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have to show that (2.1) implies the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{D^{n+1} f(z)}{D^{n} f(z)}-(p+1)\right\}<-p \alpha \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define $w(z)$ in $U=\{z:|z|<1\}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{D^{n+1} f(z)}{D^{n} f(z)}-(p+1)=-p \frac{1+(2 \alpha-1) w(z)}{1+w(z)} . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly $w(z)$ is regular and $w(0)=0$. The equation (2.3) may be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{D^{n+1} f(z)}{D^{n} f(z)}=\frac{1+(1+2 p-2 \alpha p) w(z)}{1+w(z)} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (2.4) logarithmically and using the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
z\left(D^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}=D^{n+1} f(z)-(p+1) D^{n} f(z) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\frac{D^{n+2} f(z)}{D^{n+1} f(z)}-(p+1)+p \alpha}{1-\alpha}  \tag{2.6}\\
& =-p \frac{1-w(z)}{1+w(z)}+\frac{2 p z w^{\prime}(z)}{(1+w(z))(1+(1+2 p-2 \alpha p) w(z))} .
\end{align*}
$$

We claim that $|w(z)|<1$ in $U$. For otherwise (by Jack's lemma) there exists $z_{0}$ in U such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{0} w^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=k w\left(z_{0}\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left|w\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=1$ and $k \geq 1$. From (2.6) and (2.7), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\frac{D^{n+2} f\left(z_{0}\right)}{D^{n+1} f\left(z_{0}\right)}-(p+1)+p \alpha}{1-\alpha}  \tag{2.8}\\
& =-p \frac{1-w\left(z_{0}\right)}{1+w\left(z_{0}\right)}+\frac{2 p k w\left(z_{0}\right)}{\left(1+w\left(z_{0}\right)\right)\left(1+(1+2 p-2 \alpha p) w\left(z_{0}\right)\right)} .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\frac{D^{n+2} f\left(z_{0}\right)}{D^{n+1} f\left(z_{0}\right)}-(p+1)+p \alpha}{1-\alpha}\right\} \geq \frac{1}{2(2-\alpha)}>0 \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which contradicts (2.1). Hence $|w(z)|<1$ in U and from (2.3) it follows that $f(z) \in B_{n}(\alpha)$.

Theorem 2. Let $f(z) \in \sum_{p}$ satisfy the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{D^{n+1} f(z)}{D^{n} f(z)}-(p+1)\right\}<-p \alpha+\frac{p(1-\alpha)}{2(p-\alpha p+c)}(z \in U) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a given $n \in N_{0}$ and $c>0$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z)=\frac{c}{z^{c+p}} \int_{0}^{z} t^{c+p-1} f(t) d t \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

belongs to $B_{n}(\alpha)$.
Proof. Using the identities

$$
\begin{equation*}
z\left(D^{n} F(z)\right)^{\prime}=c D^{n} f(z)-(c+p) D^{n} F(z) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
z\left(D^{n} F(z)\right)^{\prime}=D^{n+1} F(z)-(p+1) D^{n} F(z) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

the condition (2.10) may be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\frac{D^{n+2} F(z)}{D^{n+1} F(z)}+(c-1)}{1+(c-1) \frac{D^{n} F(z)}{D^{n+1} F(z)}}-(p+1)\right\}<-p \alpha+\frac{p(1-\alpha)}{2(p-\alpha p+c))} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have to prove that (2.14) implies the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{D^{n+1} F(z)}{D^{n} F(z)}-(p+1)\right\}<-p \alpha \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define $w(z)$ in $U$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{D^{n+1} F(z)}{D^{n} F(z)}-(p+1)=-p \frac{1+(2 \alpha-1) w(z)}{1+w(z)} . \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly $w(z)$ is regular and $w(0)=0$. The equation (2.16) may be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{D^{n+1} F(z)}{D^{n} F(z)}=\frac{1+(1+2 p-2 \alpha p) w(z)}{1+w(z)} . \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (2.17) logarithmically , after simple computation we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\frac{D^{n+2} F(z)}{D^{n+1} F(z)}+(c+1)}{1+(c-1) \frac{D^{n} F(z)}{D^{n+1} F(z)}}-(p+1)=-\left[p \alpha+p(1-\alpha) \frac{1-w(z)}{1+w(z)}\right] \\
& +\frac{2 p(1-\alpha) z w^{\prime}(z)}{(c+(2 p-2 \alpha p+c) w(z))(1+w(z))} . \tag{2.18}
\end{align*}
$$

The remaining part of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 1 .
Remarks. (1) A result of Bajpai [1, Theorem 1] turns out to be a particular case of the above Theorem 2 when $p=1, a_{-1}=1, n=0, \alpha=0$ and $c=1$.
(2) For $p=1, a_{-1}=1, n=0$ and $\alpha=0$, the above Theorem 2 extends a result of Goel and Sohi [2, Corollary 1].

Theorem 3. $f(z) \in B_{n}(\alpha)$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z)=\frac{1}{z^{1+p}} \int_{0}^{z} t^{p} f(t) d t \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

belongs to $B_{n+1}(\alpha)$.
Proof. From the definition of $F(z)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{n}\left(z F^{\prime}(z)\right)+(p+1) D^{n} F(z)=D^{n} f(z) \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
z\left(D^{n} F(z)\right)^{\prime}+(p+1) D^{n} F(z)=D^{n} f(z) \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using the identity(2.5), equation (2.21) reduces to $D^{n} f(z)=D^{n+1} F(z)$. Hence $D^{n+1} f(z)=D^{n+2} F(z)$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{D^{n+1} f(z)}{D^{n} f(z)}=\frac{D^{n+2} F(z)}{D^{n+1} F(z)} \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the result follows.
Remark. Taking $p=1$ in above theorems, we have the results of Uralegaddi and Somanatha[6].
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