

## PARTIAL ALGEBRAS OVER TOPOLOGICAL CONSTRUCTS

Sung Sa Hong and Young Hee Hong

Dedicated to Professor Younki Chae and Professor Tae Ho Choe  
on their 60th birthday

### 0. Introduction

For a categorical setting for algebraic structures, algebraic functors, essentially algebraic functors and monadic functors have been introduced (see [1]) but the underlying set functor  $U$  on the category  $\underline{\text{PAlg}}_\tau$  of partial algebras of type  $\tau$  and homomorphisms into the category  $\underline{\text{Set}}$  of sets and maps is not essentially algebraic ([2]), because it does not create isomorphisms.

In this paper, we introduce the category  $\underline{\text{Rel}}_\tau$  of  $\tau$ -relational sets and relation-preserving maps, which is a topological construct and then for any topological construct  $\underline{X}$ , we have the mixed category  $\underline{\text{Rel}}_\tau(\underline{X})$  of  $\underline{\text{Rel}}_\tau$  and  $\underline{X}$ , which is also a topological construct ([5]). Furthermore, we form the category  $\underline{\text{PAlg}}_\tau(\underline{X})$  of partial algebras of type  $\tau$  in  $\underline{X}$  and show that the forgetful functor  $G : \underline{\text{PAlg}}_\tau(\underline{X}) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Rel}}_\tau(\underline{X})$  is essentially algebraic. Thus instead of  $\underline{\text{Set}}$ ,  $\underline{\text{Rel}}_\tau$  and  $\underline{\text{Rel}}_\tau(\underline{X})$  are proper base categories for the categorical setting of partial algebras and topological partial algebras, respectively.

For the categorical terminologies, we refer to [1] and for those of algebras, we refer to [3] and [6].

---

Received April 27, 1992.

This research was supported by a grant from KOSEF.

## 1. Categories $\underline{\text{Rel}}_\tau(\underline{X})$

In the following,  $\underline{X}$  will always denote a topological construct like the category Top (Unif, Conv, Qord) of topological (uniform, convergence, quasi ordered, resp.) spaces and their corresponding morphisms, and  $\tau$  will denote a type  $(\lambda_\mu)_{\mu \in M}$  of algebras, i.e., a family  $\tau = (\lambda_\mu)_{\mu \in M}$  of ordinals indexed by a set  $M$ .

Now we define  $\tau$ -relational sets as follows:

**Definition 1.1.** 1) A pair  $(X, (X_\mu)_{\mu \in M})$  is said to be a  $\tau$ -relational set if  $X$  is a set and for any  $\mu \in M$ ,  $X_\mu \subseteq X^{\lambda_\mu}$ , i.e.,  $X_\mu$  is a  $\lambda_\mu$ -ary relation on the set  $X$ .

2) For  $\tau$ -relational sets  $(X, (X_\mu)_{\mu \in M})$ ,  $(Y, (Y_\mu)_{\mu \in M})$ , a map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be a *relation preserving map* if for any  $\mu \in M$ ,  $f^{\lambda_\mu}(X_\mu) \subseteq Y_\mu$ , where  $f^{\lambda_\mu} : X^{\lambda_\mu} \rightarrow Y^{\lambda_\mu}$  denotes the  $\lambda_\mu$ -th power of  $f$ .

It is clear that the class of  $\tau$ -relational sets and relation preserving maps forms a category, which will be denoted by  $\underline{\text{Rel}}_\tau$  and that for  $\tau = \{2\}$ ,  $\underline{\text{Rel}}_\tau$  is precisely the category Rel.

Let  $R : \underline{\text{Rel}}_\tau \rightarrow \underline{\text{Set}}$  denote the underlying set functor. Then for any source  $(f_i : X \rightarrow R((X_i, (X_{i\mu})_{\mu \in M})))_{i \in I}$ , let  $X_\mu = \bigcap \{ (f_i^{\lambda_\mu})^{-1}(X_{i\mu}) : i \in I \}$ , then one can easily show that the source  $(f_i : (X, (X_\mu)_{\mu \in M}) \rightarrow (X_i, (X_{i\mu})_{\mu \in M}))_{i \in I}$  is the unique  $R$ -initial lift of  $(f_i)_I$ . Thus we have:

**Theorem 1.2.** *The category  $\underline{\text{Rel}}_\tau$  is a topological construct.*

*Remark 1.3.* 1) A sink  $(f_i : (X_i, (X_{i\mu})_{\mu \in M}) \rightarrow (X, (X_\mu)_{\mu \in M}))_{i \in I}$  is an  $R$ -final sink iff for any  $\mu \in M$ ,  $X_\mu = \bigcup \{ f_i^{\lambda_\mu}(X_{i\mu}) : i \in I \}$ . In particular, a morphism  $f : (X, (X_\mu)_{\mu \in M}) \rightarrow (Y, (Y_\mu)_{\mu \in M})$  in  $\underline{\text{Rel}}_\tau$  is a quotient morphism iff it is onto and for all  $\mu \in M$ ,  $f^{\lambda_\mu}(X_\mu) = Y_\mu$ .

2) An inclusion map  $j : (X, (X_\mu)_{\mu \in M}) \rightarrow (Y, (Y_\mu)_{\mu \in M})$  is  $R$ -initial iff for all  $\mu \in M$ ,  $X_\mu = X^{\lambda_\mu} \cap Y_\mu$ .

3) For any  $X \in \underline{\text{Set}}$ ,  $(X, (\emptyset)_{\mu \in M})$  is a discrete object and  $(X, (X^{\lambda_\mu})_{\mu \in M})$  is an indiscrete object in  $\underline{\text{Rel}}_\tau$ , which will give rise to the left adjoint and the right adjoint of  $R$ , respectively.

**Definition 1.4.** A  $\tau$ -relational set  $(X, (X_\mu)_{\mu \in M})$  is said to be *reflexive* if each  $X_\mu$  contains the  $\lambda_\mu$ -diagonal relation in  $X$ .

Let  $\underline{\text{dRel}}_\tau$  denote the full subcategory of  $\underline{\text{Rel}}_\tau$  determined by the reflexive  $\tau$ -relational sets. By adding the corresponding diagonal relation to

each relation in an object  $(X, (X_\mu)_{\mu \in M})$  in  $\underline{\text{Rel}}_\tau$ , one can show that  $\underline{\text{dRel}}_\tau$  is a bireflective subcategory of  $\underline{\text{Rel}}_\tau$ ; therefore  $\underline{\text{dRel}}_\tau$  is also a topological construct. Furthermore, for any  $(X, (X_\mu)_{\mu \in M})$  and  $(Y, (Y_\mu)_{\mu \in M})$  in  $\underline{\text{dRel}}_\tau$ , let  $Y^X = \text{hom}((X, (X_\mu)_{\mu \in M}), (Y, (Y_\mu)_{\mu \in M}))$  and for any  $\mu \in M$ , let  $F_\mu = \{(f_i) \in (Y^X)^{\lambda_\mu} : (\prod f_i)(X_\mu) \subseteq Y_\mu\}$ . Then by a routine calculation, the evaluation map  $ev: X \times Y^X \rightarrow Y$  is indeed the couniversal map for the functor  $X \times \_ : \underline{\text{dRel}}_\tau \rightarrow \underline{\text{dRel}}_\tau$ . Hence one has the following:

**Theorem 1.5.** *The category  $\underline{\text{dRel}}_\tau$  is a cartesian closed topological construct.*

For a topological construct  $\underline{X}$ , let  $\underline{\text{Rel}}_\tau(\underline{X})$  denote the mixed category of  $\underline{\text{Rel}}_\tau$  and  $\underline{X}$  (see [5] for the detail), then the following is immediate from the result in [5].

**Theorem 1.6.** 1) *The category  $\underline{\text{Rel}}_\tau(\underline{X})$  is a topological construct.*

2) *If  $\underline{X}$  is cartesian closed, then the category  $\underline{\text{dRel}}_\tau(\underline{X})$  is also cartesian closed.*

## 2. Partial Algebras over a Topological Construct $\underline{X}$

In this section, we introduce the category  $\underline{\text{PAlg}}_\tau(\underline{X})$  of partial algebras of type  $\tau$  over a topological construct  $\underline{X}$  and their  $\underline{X}$ -homomorphisms and then show that the forgetful functor  $G : \underline{\text{PAlg}}_\tau(\underline{X}) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Rel}}_\tau(\underline{X})$  is essentially algebraic.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\underline{X}$  be a topological construct and  $\tau = (\lambda_\mu)_{\mu \in M}$  a type of algebras.

1) A pair  $A = (X, (f_\mu)_{\mu \in M})$  is said to be an  $\underline{X}$ -partial algebra of type  $\tau$  or simply  $\underline{X}$ -partial algebra if  $X$  is an object of  $\underline{\text{Rel}}_\tau(\underline{X})$  and for each  $\mu \in M$ ,  $f_\mu : X_\mu \rightarrow X$  is an  $\underline{X}$ -morphism, where  $X_\mu$  is the  $\mu$ -th relation on  $X$ . In this case,  $f_\mu$  is called a  $\lambda_\mu$ -ary partial operation on  $A$ .

2) For  $\underline{X}$ -partial algebras  $A = (X, (f_\mu)_{\mu \in M})$ ,  $B = (Y, (g_\mu)_{\mu \in M})$  of type  $\tau$ , a  $\underline{\text{Rel}}_\tau(\underline{X})$ -morphism  $h : X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be a homomorphism on  $A$  to  $B$  if for any  $\mu \in M$ ,  $h \circ f_\mu = g_\mu \circ h^{\lambda_\mu}$ , where  $h^{\lambda_\mu}$  denotes the restriction and corestriction of the  $\lambda_\mu$ -th power of  $h$  to  $X_\mu$  and  $Y_\mu$ , respectively.

We form the category of  $\underline{X}$ -partial algebras of type  $\tau$  and their homomorphisms, which will be denoted by  $\underline{\text{PAlg}}_\tau(\underline{X})$ . Moreover  $\underline{\text{PAlg}}_\tau(\underline{\text{Set}})$  will be simply denoted by  $\underline{\text{PAlg}}_\tau$ .

Since  $\underline{\text{PAlg}}_\tau$  is mono-topological, the underlying set functor  $U$  on  $\underline{\text{PAlg}}_\tau$  into  $\underline{\text{Set}}$  has a left adjoint and hence the forgetful functor  $G_1 : \underline{\text{PAlg}}_\tau \rightarrow$

$\underline{\text{Rel}}_\tau$  has also a left adjoint. Here we give another proof as follows.

**Lemma 2.2.** *The forgetful functor  $G_1: \underline{\text{PALg}}_\tau \rightarrow \underline{\text{Rel}}_\tau$  has a left adjoint.*

*Proof.* Since every mono-source in  $\underline{\text{PALg}}_\tau$  is clearly  $G_1$ -initial,  $\underline{\text{PALg}}_\tau$  is a complete category and  $G_1$  preserves limits. Thus it is enough to show that every object in  $\underline{\text{Rel}}_\tau$  has a  $G_1$ -solution set. Take any object  $X = (X, (X_\mu)_{\mu \in M})$  in  $\underline{\text{Rel}}_\tau$ . We may assume that  $X$  is non-empty, for if  $X$  is empty,  $X$  endowed with empty operations will give rise to a  $G_1$ -solution set for  $X$ . Let  $(F(X), (f_\mu)_{\mu \in M})$  be the absolutely free algebra of type  $\tau$  generated by  $X$  and let  $g_\mu = f_\mu|X_\mu$ . Then the inclusion map  $j: (X, (X_\mu)_{\mu \in M}) \rightarrow G_1((F(X), (X_\mu)_{\mu \in M}, (g_\mu)_{\mu \in M}))$  is a  $G_1$ -solution set for  $X$ , because for any  $f: X \rightarrow G_1(A)$  in  $\underline{\text{Rel}}_\tau$ , each partial operation on  $A$  can be extended to a full operation on  $A$ ; hence  $f$  can be uniquely extended to a full homomorphism on  $F(X)$  to the full algebra  $A$ . The detail of the proof is left to the readers.

In the following, let  $G: \underline{\text{PALg}}_\tau(\underline{X}) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Rel}}_\tau(\underline{X})$ ,  $V: \underline{\text{Rel}}_\tau(\underline{X}) \rightarrow \underline{X}$ ,  $U_1: \underline{\text{PALg}}_\tau(\underline{X}) \rightarrow \underline{\text{PALg}}_\tau$ ,  $U_2: \underline{\text{Rel}}_\tau(\underline{X}) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Rel}}_\tau$ ,  $U_3: \underline{X} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Set}}$ ,  $G_1: \underline{\text{PALg}}_\tau \rightarrow \underline{\text{Rel}}_\tau$ ,  $R: \underline{\text{Rel}}_\tau \rightarrow \underline{\text{Set}}$  and  $U: \underline{\text{PALg}}_\tau(\underline{X}) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Set}}$  denote the forgetful functors, then  $U_2 \circ G = G_1 \circ U_1$ ,  $U_2 \circ R = V \circ U_3$  and  $U = U_3 \circ V \circ G$ . Moreover, for any source  $(f_i: A \rightarrow U_1(A_i))_{i \in I}$  in  $\underline{\text{PALg}}_\tau$ , the object  $A$  endowed with the  $U_3$ -initial  $\underline{X}$ -structure with respect to  $(f_i)_I$  is again an object of  $\underline{\text{PALg}}_\tau(\underline{X})$ , which gives rise to the  $U_1$ -initial lift of  $(f_i)_I$ . Using this and the above lemma, one has the following:

**Theorem 2.3.** *The functor  $G: \underline{\text{PALg}}_\tau(\underline{X}) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Rel}}_\tau(\underline{X})$  has a left adjoint.*

*Proof.* Take any  $X = (X, (X_\mu)_{\mu \in M})$  in  $\underline{\text{Rel}}_\tau(\underline{X})$ . Let  $\eta: U_2(X) \rightarrow G_1(A)$  be the  $G_1$ -universal map for  $U_2(X)$  and let  $(h_i: X \rightarrow G(A_i))_{i \in I}$  be the source of all  $\underline{\text{Rel}}_\tau(\underline{X})$ -morphisms, then for any  $i \in I$ , there is a unique  $\underline{\text{PALg}}_\tau$ -morphism  $k_i: A \rightarrow A_i$  with  $k_i \circ \eta = h_i$ . Let  $B$  be the  $\underline{\text{PALg}}_\tau(\underline{X})$ -object  $A$  endowed with the  $U_3$ -initial  $\underline{X}$ -structure with respect to  $(k_i)_I$ , then it is straightforward to show that  $\eta: X \rightarrow G(B)$  is a  $G$ -universal map for  $X$ . This completes the proof.

The following definition is due to Herrlich [4].

**Definition 2.4.** A functor  $G: A \rightarrow B$  is said to be *essentially algebraic* if it creates isomorphisms and is  $(G\text{-epi, Mono-Source})$ -factorizable.

It is known that essentially algebraic functors have rich categorical properties (see Chapter 23 in [1]).

**Lemma 2.5.** *The category  $\underline{PAlg}_\tau(\underline{X})$  is (Epi, Mono-Source)-factorizable.*

*Proof.* Let  $(f_i : A \rightarrow A_i)_{i \in I}$  be a source in  $\underline{PAlg}_\tau(\underline{X})$ . Considering the intersection of the family  $\{\ker(f_i) : i \in I\}$ , one can easily show that  $\underline{PAlg}_\tau$  is (Epi, Mono-Source)-factorizable. Indeed, let  $\theta = \cap\{\ker(f_i) : i \in I\}$ , then  $\theta$  is a congruence of  $A$  and let  $q : A \rightarrow A/\theta$  be the quotient homomorphism, which is  $G_1$ -final. Thus there is a unique morphism  $m_i : A/\theta \rightarrow A_i$  in  $\underline{PAlg}_\tau$  with  $m_i \circ q = f_i$ , and  $(m_i)_I$  is a mono-source, which is also  $G_1$ -initial. Let  $E$  denote the  $\underline{PAlg}_\tau(\underline{X})$ -object  $A/\theta$  endowed with the  $U_3$ -initial  $\underline{X}$ -structure with respect to  $(m_i)_I$ . Then it is clear that  $q : A \rightarrow E$  and each  $m_i : E \rightarrow A_i$  are  $\underline{PAlg}_\tau(\underline{X})$ -morphisms and that  $f_i = m_i \circ q$  ( $i \in I$ ) is an (Epi, Mono-Source)-factorization.

**Theorem 2.6** *The functor  $G : \underline{PAlg}_\tau(\underline{X}) \rightarrow \underline{Rel}_\tau(\underline{X})$  is essentially algebraic.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.3 and Lemma 2.5, it is enough to show that  $G$  creates isomorphisms (see Theorem 23.8 in [1]). Take any isomorphism  $h : X \rightarrow G(A)$  in  $\underline{Rel}_\tau(\underline{X})$ , i.e.,  $h : X \rightarrow G(A)$  is both an  $\underline{X}$ -isomorphism and a  $\underline{Rel}_\tau(\underline{X})$ -isomorphism, where  $X = (X, (X_\mu)_{\mu \in M})$  and  $A = (A, (A_\mu)_{\mu \in M}, (f_\mu)_{\mu \in M})$ . For any  $\mu \in M$ , let  $g_\mu = h^{-1} \circ f_\mu \circ h^{\lambda_\mu} : X_\mu \rightarrow X$ , where  $h^{\lambda_\mu}$  denotes the restriction and corestriction of the  $\lambda_\mu$ -th power of  $h$  to  $X_\mu$  and  $A_\mu$ , respectively as before. Then it is clear that each  $g_\mu$  is an  $\underline{X}$ -morphism; hence  $(X, (X_\mu)_{\mu \in M}, (g_\mu)_{\mu \in M})$  is a  $\underline{PAlg}_\tau(\underline{X})$ -object and that  $h : (X, (X_\mu)_{\mu \in M}, (g_\mu)_{\mu \in M}) \rightarrow (A, (A_\mu)_{\mu \in M}, (f_\mu)_{\mu \in M})$  is an isomorphism in  $\underline{PAlg}_\tau(\underline{X})$  with  $G(h) = h$ . This completes the proof.

The following is now immediate from the above theorem and results in [1].

- Corollary 2.7.** 1)  *$G$  detects colimits and preserves and creates limits.*  
 2)  *$\underline{PAlg}_\tau(\underline{X})$  is complete and cocomplete.*  
 3) *The functor  $G_1 : \underline{PAlg}_\tau \rightarrow \underline{Rel}_\tau$  is essentially algebraic.*

## References

[1] J. Adámek, H. Herrlich and G.E. Strecker, *Abstract and Concrete Categories*, John Wiley and Sons, Inc. New York, 1990.  
 [2] H.L. Bentley and N. Murthy, *Essentially algebraic categories*, Quaest. Math. 13(1990), 361-384.  
 [3] G. Grätzer, *Universal Algebra*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1979.

- [4] H. Herrlich, *Essentially algebraic categories*, Quaest. Math. 9(1986), 245-262.
- [5] S.S. Hong and L.D. Nel, *Spectral dualities involving mixed structures*, Lecture Notes in Math. 915(1982), 198-204, Springer-Verlag.
- [6] S.S. Hong and Y.H. Hong, *Abstract Algebra*, Towers, Seoul, 1976.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SOGANG UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 121-742, KOREA.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SOOKMYUNG WOMEN'S UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 140-742, KOREA.