

FACTOR THEOREMS AND THEIR APPLICATION FOR N -GROUPS

Yong-Uk Cho

Dedicated to Professor Younki Chae on his 60th birthday

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, a near-ring N is an algebraic system $(N, +, \cdot)$, where $(N, +)$ is a group, (N, \cdot) is a semigroup and only one distributive law is postulated, we will consider the right distributive law. Sometimes, we will consider $N = N_0$ as zero-symmetric near-ring. An N -group M is a system ${}_N M$ where M is an additive group admitting scalar multiplication by the element of N with the properties: $(a + b)x = ax + bx$, $(ab)x = a(bx)$ for all a, b in N and all x in M . An N -module M is an N -group ${}_N M$ with the property that $a(x + y) = ax + ay$ for all a in N and all x, y in M . If $N = N_d$, then clearly N is an N -module. The other concepts of near-ring theory are known in G. Pilz [8].

If $f : M \rightarrow M'$ is an N -homomorphism, then Imf is an N -subgroup of M' . If Imf is an N -ideal of M' then f is call a normal N -homomorphism. Thus a normal N -homomorphism is an N -homomorphism.

2. Characterizations of Epic and Monic

We now state various characterizations of N -epimorphisms and monomorphisms analogous to those for surjections and injections in the category of sets and functions. For N -homomorphisms we have the advantage of the O -function, but we no longer can characterize, say, N -monomorphisms as we did injections by means of one-sided inverse.

Received April 3, 1992.

Proposition 2.1. *Let M and L be N -groups and let $f : M \rightarrow L$ be a normal N -homomorphism. then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) f is an N -epimorphism onto L (from time to time, epic);
- (2) $Imf = L$;
- (3) For every ${}_N K$ and every pair $g, h : L \rightarrow K$ of N -homomorphisms, $gf = hf$ implies $g = h$;
- (4) For every ${}_N K$ and every N -homomorphism $g : L \rightarrow K$, $gf = 0$ implies $g = 0$.

Proof. (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) and (1) \Rightarrow (3) are obvious.

(3) \Rightarrow (4). Let $h : L \rightarrow K$ be the zero N -homomorphism. Then $gf = 0$ means $gf = hf$; so assuming (3), we have $g = h = 0$.

(4) \Rightarrow (2). Let $I = Imf$. Then $\pi : L \rightarrow L/I = Cokerf$ clearly satisfies $\pi f = 0$. So assuming (4) this means that $\pi = 0$. But since π is onto L/I , we have $L/I = 0$ whence $I = L$.

Proposition 2.2. *Let M and L be N -groups and $f : M \rightarrow L$ be an N -homomorphism. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) f is an N -monomorphism (from time to time, monic);
- (2) $Kerf = 0$;
- (3) For every ${}_N K$ and every pair $g, h : K \rightarrow M$ of N -homomorphisms, $fg = fh$ implies $g = h$;
- (4) For every ${}_N K$ and every N -homomorphism $g : K \rightarrow M$, $fg = 0$ implies $g = 0$.

Proof. The implication (4) \Rightarrow (2) is the only one that offers any challenge. But let $K = Kerf$. Then $i : K \rightarrow M$ is an N -homomorphism and $f_i = 0$. So assuming (4) we have $i = 0$. But then $K = Imi = 0$.

Analogously, in ring and module theory we have the following result:

Remark 2.3. Let M and L be N -groups and let $f : M \rightarrow L$ be an N -homomorphism. Then f is an N -isomorphism if and only if there are functions $g, h : L \rightarrow M$ such that

$$fg = 1_L \text{ and } hf = 1_M.$$

When these last conditions are satisfied, $g = h$ is an N -isomorphism.

When $f : M \rightarrow L$ is an N -isomorphism, the unique N -homomorphism $g : L \rightarrow M$ satisfying the condition of (2.3) is inverse of f and is denoted by f^{-1} . Note that in (2.1) and (2.2) we did not claim as an equivalent

condition the existence of one-sided inverses. As we shall see, this omission was not accidental.

3. The Factor Theorems

An N -homomorphism $f : M \rightarrow L$ that is the composite of N -homomorphisms

$$f = gh,$$

is said to factor through g and h . The following result essentially says that a homomorphism f factors uniquely through every epimorphism whose kernel is contained in that of f and through every monomorphism whose image contains the image of f .

Theorem 3.1 (The factor theorems). *Let M, M', L and L' be N -groups and let $f : M \rightarrow L$ be a normal N -homomorphism.*

(1) *If $g : M \rightarrow M'$ is an N -epimorphism with $\text{Ker}g \subset \text{Ker}f$, then there exists a unique homomorphism $h : M' \rightarrow L$ such that*

$$f = hg.$$

Moreover, $\text{Ker}h = g(\text{Ker}f)$ and $\text{Im}h = \text{Im}f$, so that h is monic iff $\text{Ker}g = \text{Ker}f$ and h is epic iff f is epic.

(2) *If $g : L' \rightarrow L$ is an N -monomorphism with $\text{Im}f \subset \text{Im}g$, then there exists a unique homomorphism $h : M \rightarrow L'$ such that*

$$f = gh.$$

Moreover, $\text{Ker}h = \text{Ker}f$ and $\text{Im}h = g^{-1}(\text{Im}f)$, so that h is monic iff f is monic and h is epic iff $\text{Im}g = \text{Im}f$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{f} & L \\ g \searrow & & \nearrow h \\ & & M' \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{f} & L \\ h \searrow & & \nearrow g \\ & & L' \end{array}$$

(1)

(2)

Proof. (1) Since $g : M \rightarrow M'$ is epic, for each $x' \in M'$ there is at least one $x \in M$ with $g(x) = x'$. If also $y \in M$ with $g(y) = x'$, then clearly

$x - y \in \text{Kerg}$. But since $\text{Kerg} \subset \text{Ker}f$, we have that $f(x) = f(y)$. Thus, there is a well defined function $h : M' \rightarrow L$ such that $f = hg$. To show that h is an N -homomorphism, let $x', y' \in M'$ and let $x, y \in M$ with $g(x) = x', g(y) = y'$. Then for each $a, b \in N$,

$$g(ax + by) = ax' + by'$$

so that

$$h(ax' + by') = f(ax + by) = af(x) + bf(y) = ah(x') + bh(y').$$

The uniqueness of h with these properties is assured by (2.1.(3)), since g is an N -epimorphism. Moreover we show that $\text{Ker}h = g(\text{Ker}f)$: Let $h(x') = 0$ for $x' \in M'$. Then there exists x in M with $g(x) = x'$. Thus $hg(x) = f(x) = 0$, hence $x \in \text{Ker}f$, so that $x' = g(x) \in g(\text{Ker}f)$. Consequently $\text{Ker}h \subset g(\text{Ker}f)$. Conversely, let $g(x) \in g(\text{Ker}f)$. Then $f(x) = 0$ that is $hg(x) = 0$. It follows that $g(x) \in \text{Ker}h$. Thus $g(\text{Ker}f) \subset \text{Ker}h$. Next to prove that $\text{Im}h = \text{Im}f$; for all $x' \in M'$, $h(x') \in h(M')$ iff there exists x in M with $g(x) = x'$, $h(x') = hg(x) = f(x) \in f(M)$. The final assertion is trivial.

(2) For each $x \in M$, $f(x) \in \text{Im}f \subset \text{Im}g$. So since g is monic, there is a unique $y' \in L'$ such that $g(y') = f(x)$. Therefore we can define a function $h : M \rightarrow L'$ by $h(x) = y'$, where $g(y') = f(x)$. Then h is a well defined function. Indeed, if $x_1 = x_2$ by $h(x_1) = y_1'$, $h(x_2) = y_2'$, then $f(x_1) = f(x_2) \in \text{Im}f \subset \text{Im}g$, so that $g(y_1') = g(y_2')$. Since g is monic $y_1' = y_2'$ that is $h(x_1) = h(x_2)$. The rest of the proof is also easy.

Let M be an N -group and $X \subset M$ and $A \subset N$. Then any element M of the form

$$a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + \cdots + a_nx_n = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i$$

with x_1, \cdots, x_n in M and a_1, \cdots, a_n in A is called a linear combination of X with coefficients in A , or simply an A -linear combination of X . We shall denote the set of all such A -linear combination of X by AX .

Lemma 3.2. *Let M be an N -space (N -module) and let X be a non-empty subset of M . Then NX is an N -subspace (N -ideal) of M .*

Proof. The N -linear combinations of X are closed under the group operation of M , and the identity

$$a(r_1x_1 + \cdots + r_nx_n) = (ar_1)x_1 + \cdots + (ar_n)x_n$$

for any $a \in N$, $r_1x_1 + \cdots + r_nx_n \in NX$, finishes the job.

To avoid a special case later, we agree

$$N\phi = 0,$$

that is, 0 is the unique N -linear combination of ϕ . The following, which is an easy exercise, characterizes submodules as those non-empty subsets "closed" under all N -linear combinations.

Lemma 3.3. *Let M be a unital N -space (N -module) and let L be a non-empty subset of M . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) L is an N -subspace (N -ideal) of M ;
- (2) $NL = L$;
- (3) For all $a, b \in N$ and all $x, y \in L$, $ax + by \in L$.

Let $N = N_0$. M an N -group. Then the set $\rho(M)$ of all ideals of M is a complete modular lattice with respect to \subset . In this lattice, if \mathcal{A} is a non-empty subset of $\rho(M)$, then its join and meet are given by

$$\sum \mathcal{A} \text{ and } \cap \mathcal{A},$$

respectively. In particular, if K and L are ideals of M , then

$$K + L \text{ and } K \cap L$$

are their join and meet, respectively; if H is another ideal of M , then

$$K \subset H \text{ implies } H \cap (K + L) = K + (H \cap L).$$

Given an N -group M and a subset $X \subset M$, the set \mathcal{A} of all ideals of M that contain X contains M and so is non-empty. Its intersection $\cap \mathcal{A}$ is again an ideal of M and it is in fact, the unique smallest N -ideal of M that contain X . we call it the N -ideal of M spanned by X .

Lemma 3.4. *If M is a unital N -space and if X is a subset of M , then the subspace of M spanned by X is just NX , the set of all N -linear combinations of X .*

Proof. By (3.2) NX is a subspace of M and since $1x = x$ for all $x \in M$, we certainly have $X \subset NX$. Finally, by (3.3), any subspace that contains X must contain the linear combinations NX .

If $(M_i)_{i \in I}$ are N -ideals of M , then $\sum_{i \in I} M_i$ is the ideal spanned by $(M_i)_{i \in I}$. Thus if

$$M = \sum_{i \in I} M_i,$$

then we say that the ideals $(M_i)_{i \in I}$ span M . If X is a subset of ${}_N M$ such that

$$NX = M,$$

then X is said to span M , and X is called a spanning set for M . An N -module with a finite spanning set is said to be finitely spanned (or finitely generated). A unitary N -group with a single element spanning set is a cyclic N -group (or principal N -group). Thus a cyclic N -group is one of the form $M = N\{x\} \equiv Nx$ where x is some element of M ; and we write

$$M = Nx = \{nx \mid n \in N\}$$

of course, any near-ring with identity is cyclic. Now it is clear that every N -module is spanned by the set of its cyclic N -ideals: that is, if X is a spanning set for ${}_N M$, then

$$M = \sum_{x \in X} N_x.$$

An N -group M is called simple in case M has no non-trivial ideals and is called irreducible in case it has no N -subgroups except N_0 and M .

Remark 3.5. Let N be a zero-symmetric near-ring. Then every irreducible N -group ${}_N M$ is always simple.

Proof. Let L be an N -ideal of M . Then for any $x \in L$ and any $a \in N$, we have that $ax = a(0 + x) - a0 \in L$, that is, L is an N -subgroup of M . Consequently irreducibility implies simplicity for every N -subgroup.

Let K be an N -ideal of an N -group M . Then it is easy to see that the set

$$\rho(M)/K = \{H \in \rho(M) \mid K \leq H\}$$

is a sublattice of $\rho(M)$. Moreover, for each H in this sublattice

$$\pi(H) = H/K$$

is obviously an N -ideal of the factor N -group M/K . Since clearly $H \leq H'$ implies $\pi(H) \leq \pi(H')$, we have that π defines an ordering preserving

function from $\rho(M)/K$ into $\rho(M/K)$. On the other hand, if T is an N -ideal of M/K , then

$$\pi^{-1}(T) = \{x \in M \mid x + K \in T\}$$

is an N -ideal of M , and since $o + K = k + K \in T$ for all $k \in K$, clearly $K \leq \pi^{-1}(T)$. We see at once that $\pi\pi^{-1}(T) = T$ and $\pi^{-1}\pi(H) \geq H$ for all $T \in \rho(M/K)$ and for all $H \in \rho(M)/K$. But if $x \in \pi^{-1}\pi(H)$, then $x + K = a + K$ for some $a \in H$ and so since $K \leq H$, we have $x \in H$. Thus π and π^{-1} define inverse bijections. Finally, since π^{-1} is also order-preserving, we have the following statement:

Theorem 3.6. *Let M be an N -group and let K be an N -ideal of M . Then the lattice of ideals of the factor N -group M/K is lattice isomorphic to the lattice of ideals of M that contain K via the inverse maps*

$$\pi : H \mid \sim \sim \rightarrow H/K = \{x + K \mid x \in H\}$$

$$\pi^{-1} : T \mid \sim \sim \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(T) = \{x \in M \mid x + K \in T\}.$$

Since an N -group is simple iff its lattice of ideals is a two element chain, so we have the following fact.

Corollary 3.7. *An N -factor group M/K is simple if and only if K is a maximal ideal of M .*

As applications of the first part of the factor theorems we have the very important isomorphism theorems.

Corollary 3.8. *Let M and L be N -groups. Then we have the following facts:*

(1) *If $f : M \rightarrow L$ is an epimorphism with $\text{Ker } f = K$, then there is a unique isomorphism $\phi : M/K \rightarrow L$ such that $\phi(x + K) = f(x)$ for all $x \in M$.*

(2) *If $K \leq L \leq M$, then $M/L \cong (M/K)(L/K)$.*

(3) *If $H \leq M$ and $K \leq M$, then $(H + K)/K \cong H/(H \cap K)$.*

Proof. (1) Let $f : M \rightarrow L$ be an epic and $\text{Ker } f = K$. Put $M' = M/K$ and g is the natural epic $g = \pi : M \rightarrow M/K$. By the factor theorem (3.1.1), we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M & \xrightarrow{f} & L \\
 g \searrow & & \nearrow \phi \\
 & M/K &
 \end{array}$$

so there exists a unique N -homomorphism $\phi : M/K \rightarrow L$ such that $\phi g = f$. Moreover since $\text{Ker } f = \text{Ker } g$, ϕ is monic and since f is epic, ϕ is epic. Thus we are done.

To prove (2) and (3) apply (1) to the epimorphism $f' : M/K \rightarrow M/L$ via $f'(x + K) = x + L$ and to the epimorphism $f'' : H \rightarrow (H + K)/K$ via $f''(h) = h + K$ respectively.

Corollary 3.9. *Let M and N be N -groups and let $f : M \rightarrow L$ be an N -epimorphism with Kernel K . Then*

$$H| \sim \sim \rightarrow f(H) = \{f(x) | x \in H\}$$

$$P| \sim \sim \rightarrow f^{-1}(P) = \{x \in M | f(x) \in P\}$$

are inverse lattice isomorphisms between the lattice $\rho(M)/K$ and the lattice $\rho(L)$ of all ideals of L .

Proof. By the (3.8.1) we have an isomorphism $\phi : M/K \rightarrow L$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M & \xrightarrow{f} & L \\
 \pi \searrow & & \nearrow \phi \\
 & M/K &
 \end{array}$$

commutes. Clearly, ϕ induces a lattice isomorphism between $\rho(M/K)$ and $\rho(L)$. But by theorem (3.6) π induces one between $\rho(M)/K$ and $\rho(M/K)$.

A minimal N -ideal of a near-ring N is an N -ideal which is minimal in the set of all non-zero N -ideals.

Theorem 3.10. *Let N be a near-ring with identity such that N is a finite direct sum of minimal N -ideals. Then every N -ideal A in N is of the form eN where e is an idempotent in N .*

Proof. By hypothesis $N = M_1 \oplus M_2 \oplus \dots \oplus M_k$ where M_i are minimal ideals in N and assume that $A \neq 0$ and $A \neq N$. Since $A \cap M_i \subset M_i$ and M_i are also minimal ideals, either $A \cap M_i = 0$ or $A \cap M_i = M_i$. Since $A \neq N$, there exists, say, M_i (after renumbering if necessary) such that

$M_1 \subset A$. But then $M_1 \cap A = 0$. So $A_1 = A + M_1$ is a direct sum. If $A_1 \neq N$, then there exists some M_1 , say M_2 such that

$$A_1 \cap M_2 = 0 \text{ and } A \subset A_2 = A_1 \oplus M_2 = A \oplus M_1 \oplus M_2.$$

By continuing this process, we must come to an ideal, say A_s , which contains all M_i and therefore coincides with N . Thus there exists an ideal B such that

$$N = A \oplus B.$$

Since $1 \in N$, write $1 = e + f$ where $e \in A$ and $f \in B$. It follows that

$$e = e^2 + fe \text{ that is } -e^2 + e = fe \in A \cap B = 0.$$

Thus

$$e = e^2 \text{ and } fe = 0. \text{ Similarly } f = f^2, ef = 0.$$

If $a \in A$ then $a = ea + fa$. This gives $-ea + a = fa \in A \cap B = 0$. Hence $a = ea$ and so $A \subset eN$ and since $e \in A$, $eN \subset A$. This shows that $A = eN$ as desired. Moreover $B = fN = (-e + 1)N$ is easily obtained.

Note that the corresponding statement of theorem 3.10 hold for “right ideals” instead of “ideals”. As a final application here of the factor theorems, we give

Corollary 3.11. *Let M and K be N -groups and let $j : K \rightarrow M$ be an N -monomorphism with $Imj = I$. Then there is a unique isomorphism $\psi : I \rightarrow K$ such that $j\psi = i$ where $i : I \rightarrow M$ is the inclusion map.*

Proof. Let $I = M$, $M = L$, $K = L'$ and $i = f$, and $j = g$ in (3.1.2)

Remark 3.12. An exact sequence of the form

$$0 \longrightarrow K \xrightarrow{f} M \xrightarrow{g} L \longrightarrow 0$$

is called a short exact sequence. In this sequence f is monic and g is epic. Thus by (3.8.1) and (3.11) there exist unique isomorphisms ψ and ϕ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & K & \xrightarrow{f} & M & \xrightarrow{g} & L & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \searrow \psi & & \nearrow \pi & & \nearrow \phi & & \\ & & & & Imf & & M/Kerf & & \end{array}$$

commutes where i is the inclusion map and π is the natural epimorphism. But by exactness $Imf = Kerf$, so ψ and ϕ are N -isomorphisms such that

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & K & \xrightarrow{f} & M & \xrightarrow{g} & L & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \psi \downarrow & & 1_M \downarrow & & \pi \downarrow & &
 \end{array}$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Im}f \xrightarrow{i} M \xrightarrow{\pi} M/\text{Im}f \longrightarrow 0$$

commutes. That is, every short exact sequence is “isomorphic” in this latter sense to one of the form

$$0 \longrightarrow M' \xrightarrow{i} M \xrightarrow{\pi} M/M' \longrightarrow 0$$

where i is an inclusion map of an N -ideal M' of M and π is the natural N -epimorphism.

References

- [1] Anderson, F.W. and Fuller, K.R. *Rings and Categories of Modulers*, Springer-Verlag, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin, (1974).
- [2] Bhattacharya, P.B. and Jain, S.K. *First Course in Rings, Fields and Vector Spaces*, Wiley Eastern Limited, (1977).
- [3] Cho, Y.U. *Properties of Exactness and Projectivity of N -modules*, J. of Pusan Women's Univ, vol.31, (1991), 107-120.
- [4] Hu, Sze Tsen, *Homological Algebra*, Holden-day Inc. San Francisco, Cambridge, London, Amsterdam, (1968).
- [5] Lambek, J., *Lectures on Rings and Modules*, Chelsea Publishing Company, New York, (1976).
- [6] Maxson, C.J., *Dickson Near-Rings*, Journal of Algebra vol.14, (1970), 152-169.
- [7] Oswald, A., *Semisimple Near-Rings have the Maximum Condition on N -subgroups*, J. of London Math. Soc (2), Vol.11(1975), 408-412.
- [8] Pilz, G. *Near-Rings*, North-Holland Publishing Company Amsterdam, New York, Oxford, (1983).
- [9] Roth, R.J., *The Structure of Near-Rings and Near-Ring Modules*, Doctoral Dissertation, Duke Univ. (1962).