

ON A CLASS OF MULTIVALENT FUNCTIONS DEFINED BY FRACTIONAL INTEGRAL

Shiv Kumar Pal and K. K. Dixit

We introduce a subclass, namely $W_{k,p}(A, B, \alpha)$ of the class $S_{k,p}$ of analytic function defined by

$$f(z) = z^p - \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} |a_{p+n}| z^{p+n} \quad (p \in N)$$

in the unit disc D . The object of the present paper is to determine sharp coefficient estimate, distortion theorems, radius of convexity and closure theorems for this class defined by fractional integral.

1. Introduction

Let $S_{k,p}$ denote the class of functions of the form

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = z^p - \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} |a_{p+n}| z^{p+n}, p \in N = (1, 2, 3, \dots),$$

which are analytic and p -valent in the unit disc $D = \{z : |z| < 1\}$. Let $W_{k,p}(A, B)$ denote the class of those functions of $S_{k,p}$ which satisfy the condition

$$(1.2) \quad \left| \frac{\frac{f'(z)}{z^{p-1}} - p}{B \frac{f'(z)}{z^{p-1}} - pA} \right| < 1, z \in D,$$

where $-1 \leq A < B \leq 1, 0 < B \leq 1$.

For the subclass $W_{k,p}(A, B)$, we obtain the following result which will be used in our further study.

Received September 15, 1990.

Lemma 1. A function $f(z) = z^p - \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} |a_{p+n}|z^{p+n}$ is in $W_{k,p}(A, B)$ if and only if

$$(1.3) \quad \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{(n+p)(1+B)}{p(B-A)} |a_{p+n}| \leq 1.$$

The proof of lemma is similar to that of Vinod Kumar [3], so we omit the proof.

The function

$$(1.4) \quad f(z) = z^p - \frac{p(B-A)}{(n+p)(1+B)} z^{p+n}, n \geq K$$

is an extremal function.

Corollary 1. If $f \in W_{k,p}(A, B)$, then

$$|a_{p+n}| \leq \frac{p(B-A)}{(n+p)(1+B)},$$

equality is only for functions of the form (1.4).

There are several definitions of fractional integral. In [4], Owa defined the fractional integral as follows:

Definition. The fractional integral of order α is defined by

$$D_z^{-\alpha} f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^z \frac{f(\xi) d\xi}{(z-\xi)^{1-\alpha}},$$

where $0 < \alpha < 1$, $f(z)$ is an analytic function in a simply connected region of the z -plane containing the origin and the multiplicity of $(z-\xi)^{\alpha-1}$ is removed by requiring $\log(z-\xi)$ to be real when $(z-\xi) > 0$.

A function F belongs to the class $W_{k,p}(A, B, \alpha)$ if it satisfies

$$(1.5) \quad F(z) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+p+1)}{\Gamma(p+1)} z^{-\alpha} D_z^{-\alpha} f(z)$$

for some f belonging to $W_{k,p}(A, B)$. After a simple computation we have

$$F(z) = z^p - \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+p+1)\Gamma(\alpha+p+1)}{\Gamma(n+p+\alpha+1)\Gamma(p+1)} |a_{p+n}| z^{p+n}.$$

The subclasses $S_{k,p}^*(A, B, \alpha)$ and $C_{k,p}(A, B, \alpha)$ of $S_{k,p}$ obtained by replacing $f'(z)/z^{p-1}$ with $z \cdot f'(z)/f(z)$ and $[1 + z \frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)}]$ respectively in (1.2) have been studied by the authors [1].

In the present paper, our aim is to obtain a necessary and sufficient condition in terms of coefficients for a function F to be in $W_{k,p}(A, B, \alpha)$ and, consequently, we show that

$$W_{k,p}(A, B, \alpha) \subset W_{k,p}(A, B).$$

Then we extend the above inclusion relationship.

Applying the result of coefficient estimates we obtain class preserving integral operators of the form

$$G(z) = \frac{\gamma + p}{z^\gamma} \int_0^z u^{\gamma-1} F(u) du, \gamma > -p, \text{ for } W_{k,p}(A, B, \alpha).$$

Conversely when $G(z) \in W_{k,p}(A, B, \alpha)$, radius of p -valence of $F(z)$ has been determined. Further we obtain sharp results concerning distortion theorem and radius of convexity for the class $W_{k,p}(A, B, \alpha)$. It is also shown that the class $W_{k,p}(A, B, \alpha)$ is closed under “arithmetic mean” and “convex linear combinations”. Also we obtain some distortion theorems for the fractional integral of the elements of $W_{k,p}(A, B)$.

Now we prove the following theorem which will be required in the next section.

Theorem 1. *A function $F(z) = z^p - \sum_{n=k}^\infty |b_{p+n}| z^{p+n}$ belongs to $W_{k,p}(A, B, \alpha)$ if and only if*

$$(1.6) \quad \sum_{n=k}^\infty |b_{p+n}| / T(n, \alpha) \leq 1.$$

where

$$T(n, \alpha) = \left[\frac{p(B - A)}{(n + p)(1 + B)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(n + p + 1)\Gamma(\alpha + p + 1)}{\Gamma(n + p + \alpha + 1)\Gamma(p + 1)} \right]$$

Proof. By definition, $F \in W_{k,p}(A, B, \alpha)$ if it satisfies the relation (1.5) for some $f \in W_{k,p}(A, B)$. Let

$$f(z) = z^p - \sum_{n=k}^\infty |a_{p+n}| z^{p+n}.$$

Then, from (1.5) we obtain

$$F(z) = z^p - \sum_{n=k}^\infty |b_{p+n}| z^{p+n}.$$

where

$$|b_{p+n}| = \frac{\Gamma(n+p+1)\Gamma(\alpha+p+1)}{\Gamma(n+p+\alpha+1)\Gamma(p+1)} |a_{p+n}|$$

or

$$|a_{p+n}| = \frac{\Gamma(n+p+\alpha+1)\Gamma(p+1)}{\Gamma(n+p+1)\Gamma(\alpha+p+1)} |b_{p+n}|, n \geq k.$$

With the help of (1.3), the required result follows.

The function

$$(1.7) \quad F(z) = z^p - T(n, \alpha)z^{p+n}$$

is an extremal function

Corollary 2. *If $F \in W_{k,p}(A, B, \alpha)$, then*

$$|b_{p+n}| \leq T(n, \alpha),$$

with equality only for the functions of the form (1.7).

2. Main results

Let $F \in W_{k,p}(A, B, \alpha)$. Then

$$F(z) = z^p - \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} |b_{p+n}| z^{p+n},$$

where

$$|b_{p+n}| = \frac{\Gamma(n+p+1)\Gamma(\alpha+p+1)}{\Gamma(n+p+\alpha+1)\Gamma(p+1)} |a_{p+n}|.$$

Clearly

$$\frac{\Gamma(n+p+1)\Gamma(\alpha+p+1)}{\Gamma(n+p+\alpha+1)\Gamma(p+1)} = \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{p+j}{p+\alpha+j} < 1, \text{ for all } \alpha > 0.$$

Thus $|b_{p+n}| < |a_{p+n}|$, for all $n \geq k$, and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{(n+p)(1+B)}{p(B-A)} |b_{p+n}| &< \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{(n+p)(1+B)}{p(B-A)} |a_{p+n}| \\ &\leq 1, \text{ since } f \in W_{K,p}(A, B). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $F \in W_{k,p}(A, B)$, and thus we get the inclusion relation

$$(2.1) \quad W_{k,p}(A, B, \alpha) \subset W_{k,p}(A, B).$$

Since $\lim_{\beta \rightarrow 0} W_{k,p}(A, B, \beta) = W_{k,p}(A, B)$. The relation (2.1) is equivalent to

$$W_{k,p}(A, B, \alpha) \subset \lim_{\beta \rightarrow 0} W_{k,p}(A, B, \beta).$$

The following theorem is an extension of the above relation.

Theorem 2. *If $0 < \beta \leq \alpha$, then*

$$W_{k,p}(A, B, \alpha) \subset W_{k,p}(A, B, \beta).$$

Proof. Let $F(z) = z^p - \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} |b_{p+n}|z^{p+n}$ belongs to $W_{k,p}(A, B, \alpha)$. Then from (1.6), we have

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} |b_{p+n}|/T(n, \alpha) \leq 1.$$

Since $\beta < \alpha$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Gamma(n+p+\beta+1)\Gamma(p+1)}{\Gamma(n+p+1)\Gamma(\beta+p+1)} &= \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{p+\beta+j}{p+j} \\ &< \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{p+\alpha+j}{p+j} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(n+p+\alpha+1)\Gamma(p+1)}{\Gamma(n+p+1)\Gamma(\alpha+p+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} |b_{p+n}|/T(n, \beta) \leq \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} |b_{p+n}|/T(n, \alpha).$$

Using (2.2) in (2.3) we obtain

$$\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} |b_{p+n}|/T(n, \beta) \leq 1.$$

Hence $F \in W_{K,p}(A, B, \beta)$.

Now we study class preserving integral operator for $W_{k,p}(A, B, \alpha)$.

Theorem 3. *Let γ be a real number such that $\gamma > -p$. If $F \in W_{k,p}(A, B, \alpha)$, then the function G defined by*

$$(2.4) \quad G(z) = \frac{\gamma+p}{z^\gamma} \int_0^z u^{\gamma-1} F(u) du$$

is also an element of $W_{k,p}(A, B, \alpha)$.

Proof. Let $F(z) = z^p - \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} |b_{p+n}| z^{p+n}$, then $G(z) = z^p - \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} |C_{p+n}| z^{p+n}$, where

$$|C_{p+n}| = \frac{\gamma + p}{\gamma + p + n} |b_{p+n}| < |b_{p+n}|.$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{|C_{p+n}|}{T(n, \alpha)} < \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{|b_{p+n}|}{T(n, \alpha)} \leq 1.$$

Hence $G \in W_{k,p}(A, B, \alpha)$.

Following theorem is the converse problem of the above theorem.

Theorem 4. Let γ be a real number such that $\gamma > -p$. If $G(z) \in W_{k,p}(A, B, \alpha)$, then the function F defined in (2.4) is p -valent in $|z| < R^*$, where

$$R^* = \text{Inf}_{n \geq k} \left[\left(\frac{\gamma + p}{\gamma + p + n} \right) \left(\frac{p}{p + n} \right) \frac{1}{T(n, \alpha)} \right]^{1/n}.$$

The result is sharp.

Proof. Let $G(z) = z^p - \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} |b_{p+n}| z^{p+n}$. It follows from (2.4) that

$$F(z) = z^p - \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\gamma + p + n}{\gamma + p} \right) |b_{p+n}| z^{p+n}.$$

In order to establish the required result it suffices to prove that

$$\left| \frac{F'(z)}{z^{p-1}} - p \right| < p \text{ for } |z| < R^*.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{F'(z)}{z^{p-1}} - p \right| &= \left| - \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} (n+p) \left(\frac{\gamma + p + n}{\gamma + p} \right) |b_{p+n}| z^n \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} (n+p) \left(\frac{\gamma + p + n}{\gamma + p} \right) |b_{p+n}| |z|^n. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\left| \frac{F'(z)}{z^{p-1}} - p \right| < p$ if

$$(2.5) \quad \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \left(\frac{n+p}{p} \right) \left(\frac{\gamma + p + n}{\gamma + p} \right) |b_{p+n}| |z|^n < 1.$$

Since $G \in W_{K,p}(A, B, \alpha)$, then

$$\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} |b_{p+n}|/T(n, \alpha) \leq 1.$$

Therefore (2.5) will be satisfied if

$$\left(\frac{n+p}{p}\right)\left(\frac{\gamma+p+n}{\gamma+p}\right)|b_{p+n}||z|^n \leq |b_{p+n}|/T(n, \alpha), \text{ for each } n \geq k,$$

or if

$$|z| \leq \left[\left(\frac{p}{n+p}\right)\left(\frac{\gamma+p}{\gamma+p+n}\right)\frac{1}{T(n, \alpha)}\right]^{1/n}, \text{ for each } n \geq K.$$

Hence F is p -valent in $|z| < R^*$.

The result is sharp with extremal function

$$G(z) = z^p - T(n, \alpha)z^{p+n}, n \geq k.$$

Theorem 5. If $F \in W_{k,p}(A, B, \alpha)$, then F is p -valently convex in the disc $|z| < R^{**}$, where

$$R^{**} = \text{Inf}_{n \geq k} \left[\left(\frac{1}{n+p}\right)^2 \frac{1}{T(n, \alpha)} \right]^{1/n}.$$

The result is sharp.

Proof. It suffices to prove that

$$|[1 + zF''(z)/F'(z)] - p| < p \text{ for } |z| < R^{**}.$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} |[1 + zF''(z)/F'(z)] - p| &= \left| \frac{-\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} n(n+p)|b_{p+n}|z^n}{p - \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} (n+p)|b_{p+n}|z^n} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} n(n+p)|b_{p+n}||z|^n}{p - \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} (n+p)|b_{p+n}||z|^n} \end{aligned}$$

Thus $|[1 + z\frac{F''(z)}{F'(z)}] - p| < p$ if

$$(2.6) \quad \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \left(\frac{n+p}{p}\right)^2 |b_{p+n}||z|^n < 1.$$

Since $F \in W_{k,p}(A, B, \alpha)$, then

$$\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} |b_{p+n}|/T(n, \alpha) \leq 1.$$

Therefore (2.6) will be satisfied if

$$\left(\frac{n+p}{p}\right)^2 |b_{p+n}| |z|^n \leq |b_{p+n}|/T(n, \alpha); \text{ for each } n \geq k.$$

or if

$$|z| \leq \left[\left(\frac{p}{p+n}\right)^2 \frac{1}{T(n, \alpha)}\right]^{1/n}, \text{ for each } n \geq k.$$

Hence F is p -Valently convex in $|z| < R^{**}$.

The result is sharp with extremal function

$$F(z) = z^p - T(n, \alpha)z^{p+n}, n \geq k.$$

Theorem 6. Let a function $f(z) = z^p - \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} |a_{p+n}|z^{p+n}$ be in the class $W_{k,p}(A, B, \alpha)$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\Gamma(p+1)}{\Gamma(p+\alpha+1)}\right) r^{p+\alpha} [1 - T(n, \alpha)r^k] &\leq |D_z^{-\alpha} f(z)| \\ &\leq \frac{\Gamma(p+1)}{\Gamma(p+\alpha+1)} r^{p+\alpha} [1 + T(n, \alpha)r^k], \end{aligned}$$

the bounds are sharp.

Proof. Let $f(z) = z^p - \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} |a_{p+n}|z^{p+n}$. Then it follows from lemma 1,

$$\frac{(k+p)(1+B)}{p(B-A)} \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} |a_{p+n}| \leq \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{(n+p)(1+B)}{p(B-A)} |a_{p+n}| \leq 1.$$

Therefore

$$(2.8) \quad \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} |a_{p+n}| \leq \frac{p(B-A)}{(k+p)(1+B)}.$$

Let us consider the function

$$\begin{aligned} F(z) &= \frac{\Gamma(p+\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(p+1)} z^{-\alpha} D_z^{-\alpha} f(z) \\ &= z^p - \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(p+n+1)\Gamma(\alpha+p+1)}{\Gamma(p+n+\alpha+1)\Gamma(p+1)} |a_{p+n}|z^{p+n}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by using (2.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |F(z)| &\leq r^p + \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(p+n+1)\Gamma(\alpha+p+1)}{\Gamma(p+n+\alpha+1)\Gamma(p+1)} |a_{p+n}| r^{p+n} \\ &\leq r^p + T(k, \alpha) r^{p+k} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |F(z)| &\geq r^p - \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(p+n+1)\Gamma(\alpha+p+1)}{\Gamma(p+n+\alpha+1)\Gamma(p+1)} |a_{p+n}| r^{p+n} \\ &\geq r^p - T(k, \alpha) r^{p+k}. \end{aligned}$$

The required inequalities follows at once.

To establish the sharpness of the bounds in (2.7), we take

$$f(z) = z^p - \frac{p(B-A)}{(k+p)(1+B)} z^{p+k}.$$

In (2.7), the left hand side equality is obtained at $z = r$, whereas, the right side equality is attained at $z = re^{i\pi/k}$. Hence the bounds are sharp. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Theorem 7. If $F \in W_{k,p}(A, B, \alpha)$ and $|z| = r$, then

$$(2.9) \quad r^p [1 - T(k, \alpha) r^k] \leq |F(z)| \leq r^p [1 + T(k, \alpha) r^k]$$

and

$$(2.10) \quad r^{p-1} [p - (p+k) \cdot T(k, \alpha) r^k] \leq |F'(z)| \leq r^{p-1} [p + (p+k) \cdot T(k, \alpha) r^k].$$

All these inequalities are sharp.

Proof. Let $F(z) = z^p - \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} |b_{p+n}| z^{p+n}$. Then, in the view of theorem 1.

$$\frac{1}{T(k, \alpha)} \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} |b_{p+n}| \leq \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} |b_{p+n}| / T(n, \alpha) \leq 1,$$

we have

$$\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} |b_{p+n}| \leq T(k, \alpha).$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} |F(z)| &\leq r^p + \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} |b_{p+n}| r^{p+n} \\ &\leq r^p + T(k, \alpha) r^{p+k} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |F(z)| &\geq r^p - \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} |b_{p+n}| r^{p+n} \\ &\geq r^p - T(k, \alpha) r^{p+k}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence (2.9) follows. Further

$$\begin{aligned} (2.11) \quad |F'(z)| &\leq pr^{p-1} + \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} |b_{p+n}| (p+n) r^{p+n-1} \\ &\leq pr^{p-1} + r^{p+k-1} \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} (p+n) |b_{p+n}| \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (2.12) \quad |F'(z)| &\geq pr^{p-1} - \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} |b_{p+n}| (p+n) r^{p+n-1} \\ &\geq pr^{p-1} - r^{p+k-1} \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} (p+n) |b_{p+n}|. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\frac{1}{(p+k)T(k, \alpha)} \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} (p+n) |b_{p+n}| \leq \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} |b_{p+n}| / T(n, \alpha) \leq 1.$$

We have

$$(2.13) \quad \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} (p+n) |b_{p+n}| \leq (p+k)T(k, \alpha).$$

The inequalities in (2.10) follows by using (2.13) in (2.11) and (2.12).

Equalities are obtained in (2.9) and (2.10) by taking

$$F(z) = z^p - T(k, \alpha) z^{p+k}.$$

We note that for the above defined function F , equalities on the left hand side of (2.9) and (2.10) are obtained at $z = r$, whereas, the equality on the right hand side is attained at $z = r e^{i\pi/k}$.

Lastly we show that the class $W_{k,p}(A, B, \alpha)$ is closed under "arithmetic mean" and "convex linear combination".

Theorem 8. Let $F_j(z) = z^p - \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} |a_{p+n}^j| z^{p+n}$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$. If $F_j \in W_{k,p}(A, B, \alpha)$ for each $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, then the function $H(z) = z^p - \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} |c_{p+n}| z^{p+n}$, where $|c_{p+n}| = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m |a_{p+n}^j|$, also belongs to $W_{k,p}(A, B, \alpha)$.

Proof. Since $F_j \in W_{k,p}(A, B, \alpha)$, then

$$\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} |a_{p+n}^j| / T(n, \alpha) \leq 1 \text{ for each } j = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} |c_{p+n}| / T(n, \alpha) &= \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m |a_{p+n}^j| / T(n, \alpha) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m \left[\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} |a_{p+n}^j| / T(n, \alpha) \right] \\ &\leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Which implies that $H(z) \in W_{k,p}(A, B, \alpha)$.

Theorem 9. Let $F_p(z) = z^p$ and $F_{p+n}(z) = z^p - T(n, \alpha) z^{p+n}$, ($n = k, k+1, \dots$). Then $F \in W_{k,p}(A, B, \alpha)$ if and only if it can be expressed in the form

$$(2.14) \quad F(z) = \mu_p F_p(z) + \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \mu_{p+n} F_{p+n}(z),$$

where $\mu_{p+n} \geq 0$ and $\mu_p + \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \mu_{p+n} = 1$.

Proof. Suppose that $F(z)$ can be expressed as in (2.14). Then

$$\begin{aligned} F(z) &= \mu_p F_p(z) + \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \mu_{p+n} F_{p+n}(z) \\ &= z^p - \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \mu_{p+n} \cdot T(n, \alpha) z^{p+n}. \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{T(n, \alpha)} \cdot T(n, \alpha) \cdot \mu_{p+n} = \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \mu_{p+n} \leq 1.$$

Hence by Theorem 1, $F \in W_{k,p}(A, B, \alpha)$.

Conversely, suppose that $F \in W_{k,p}(A, B, \alpha)$ and

$$(2.15) \quad F(z) = z^p - \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} |b_{p+n}| z^{p+n}$$

Setting

$$\mu_{p+n} = |b_{p+n}|/T(n, \alpha), (n = k, k+1, \dots),$$

and

$$\mu_p = 1 - \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \mu_{p+n},$$

from (2.15) we have

$$F(z) = \mu_p F_p(z) + \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \mu_{p+n} F_{p+n}(z).$$

This completes the proof of theorem.

Remarks. It is worth mentioning here that

1. If we put $\alpha = 0$ and $p = 1$ in above theorems we obtain results of Vinod Kumar [3].

2. If $\alpha = 0$ and $k = 1$ in above theorems we obtain the results of S.L. Shukla and Dashrath [5].

3. If $\alpha = 0$, $p = 1$, $k = 1$, $B = \beta$ and $A = (2\alpha - 1)\beta$ where $0 < \beta < 1$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, in above theorems we get the the results of Gupta and Jain [2].

Acknowledgement. The authors are thankful to Dr. Vinod Kumar, Christ Church College Kanpur for his valuable suggestions during the preparation of this paper.

References

- [1] K.K. Dixit, S.K. Sarashwat and S.K. Pal, *An application of fractional integral*, Communicated for publication.
- [2] V.P. Gupta and P.K. Jain, *Certain classes of univalent functions with negative coefficients II*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 15(1976), 467-473.
- [3] Vinod Kumar, *Study of certain integral operator for univalent and multivalent functions*, Ph.D. thesis Kanpur University Kanpur, India, (1983), 154-169.
- [4] S. Owa, *On the distortion theorems I*, Kyungpook Math. J. 18(1978), 53-59.

- [5] S.L. Shukla and Dashrath, *On certain classes of multivalent functions with negative coefficients*, Soochow Journal of Mathematics Vol. 8, December 1982, 179-188.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, JANTA COLLEGE, BAKEWAR 206124, ETAWAH, (U.P.), INDIA.