# EFFECT OF DIETARY PROTEIN AND ENERGY LEVELS ON GROWTH AND CARCASS YIELD PERFORMANCES OF SPENT STARCROSS HENS

M. Sałah Uddin<sup>1</sup>, A. M. M. Tareque, M. A. Rahman<sup>2</sup>
 M. A. R. Howlider<sup>2</sup> and M. Jasimuddin Khan

## Department of Animal Nutrition, Bangladesh Agricultural University Mymensingh, Bangladesh

#### Summary

The effect of 16 different dietary rations, computed by the combinations of 13, 16, 19 or 22% CP and 2600, 2800, 3000 or 3100 kcal ME/kg, on growth performances and carcass yield of Starcross layers were assessed in two similar experiments.

In both experiments, the body weight, eviscerated carcass yield, edible carcass yield, length of digestive tract and shank length increased but the feed intake decreased linearly with the increase of dietary CP and ME levels. The liver and gizzard weights as percentages of live weight tended to be increased with the increase of dietary CP and ME levels. The carcass dry matter, crude protein, fat, ash and energy content were not influenced by the dietary CP and ME levels.

Dietary CP levels had positive correlations with all the parameters (except feed and energy intake and carcass dry matter). However, the dictary ME levels were positively correlated with all the parameters (except feed and energy intake; carcass dry matter and ash) in both experiments. The higher values were noted for all the parameters (except gizzard and carcass fat percentages) studied in Experiment 1 compared to those observed in Experiment 2.

(Key Words: Protein, Energy, Carcass, Performance)

#### Introduction

The eviscerating and dressing losses were greater with the smaller birds which in turn decreased the percentages of the eviscerated and edible carcass weight (Card and Nesheim, 1978). Summers et al. (1965) and Kubena et al. (1972) reported that the eviscerated and edible carcass weight percentages increased due to the increased deposition of subcutaneous and intramuscular fat in the birds fed on high energy diets. However, limited informations are available on the carcass yields of spent hens slaughtered at the termination of lay. Having this idea in view, the present study was undertaken to assess the effects of different distray protein and energy levels on the growth and carcass yield performances of spent Starcross hens.

Received August 5, 1991

#### Materials and Methods

Two similar experiments were conducted with Starcross replacement pullets.

In each experiment, at 25 days of age, 640 chicks were randomly allocated on either of 16 diets (table 1) computed by the combination of 4 crude portein (CP) levels (13, 16, 19 or 22%) and 4 metabolizable energy (ME) levels (2600, 2800, 3000 or 3100 kcal/kg). There were two replications in each for all allocated treatment of both experiments. The individual ingredients and computed rations were analysed (A.O.A.C., 1980) for proximate components. The amino acid percentages were estimated using the values of Snyder et al., (1958) and Bolton and Blair, (1977) and the calcium and phosporus contents were estimated by using the values of NRC, (1977) and Bolton and Blair (1977) of individual ingredient.

The birds were reared in opensided tinshed building in individual cages made of iron wire having a dimension of  $37.5 \times 47.5 \times 37.5$  cm attached side by side in rows. Each row of 10 cages situated face to face. The cages were hung 60 cm above the floor. A common water trough

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Address reprint requests to Dr. M. Salah Uddin P. S. O., Poultry Production Research Division, BLR1 Savar, Dhaka, Bangladesh.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Department of Poultry Science, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh, Bangladesh.

Accepted January 15, 1992

1227 - Friday - Friday								Tre	atments							
Ingreations (26)	-	2	~	4	5:	9	1	~	6	0	-	12	12	14	15	16
Wheat crushed	4.00	5,00	17,00	29.00	29.00	40.00	S3 00	53.00	75.00	15.00	75.00	70.00	90.00	84,00	-8 00	00 <sup>1</sup> £
Wheat bean	00	1,00	1.00	001	00	00 1	1 00	00 1	1 00	4.00	1.00	001	00	1,00	001	1,00
Rite polish	85.00	70.00	46.0N	21.00	60.09	36,00	00.0	00 1	[4,00	1.00	1,00	1.00	00 1	1.00	1.00	1.00
Fish most	1 00	00	00	1.00	1,00	00	1.00	6.00	00	00 1	11,00	23,00	2.00	00.6	1 = 00	22.00
Sesame oil cake	5.00	19.00	31.00	44.00	5.00	18.00	11.00	35.00	5.00	13.00	6.00	1.00	2.00	1 00	1.00	0.1
Bone meal (Steamed)	UU C	2.00	2.00	00.6	00.6	00.0	2.00	00 Z	2.00	00 2	00.0	00.5	00.0	טט כ	00.5	00 C
Oyster sheli	1.50	1.50	1.50	1.10	0.1	1.50	071	0-1	50	1.50	£.50	1.50	1.50	1.50	0-1	1.50
Salt	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	05.0	0.50	050	0.50	0.50	0.50	070
Vitamineral premix <sup>1</sup>	0.25	0.25	0.25	0 25	0.25	0_5	0.25	0 25	0 25	0.2	0.5	0 25	0 25	0.25	0.25	0 25
Vutriant content .																
Dry matter (%)	86.91	88.42	89.71	87 50	90.00	87,50	90.08	89.17	90.74	88.73	90.69	86.95	86.84	85.76	88.00	88   6
Crude protein (%)	13.12	16 16	6 6	31,98	13.18	6 07	10.61	27.11	13,47	(6 20	8 93	22.17	CU E	15 96	52.61	21 87
Crude fiber (%)	3.49	5.01	4.76	4.42	3.5	4.30	4,48	5.00	3.68	4.37	3,55	2.76	3 62	3.21	3.08	2.74
Ethir extract (S)	3 02	12.07	9.84	7 56	9 87	12.4	5,30	4 89	4,06	3.21	3 59	4 8	2.31	281	17 E	4.09
Ash (%)	10.71	10.66	10.88	10 22	9.81	9.59	60.6	972	1 34	7.10	7.97	8 98	7,15	7.12	8 57	9.81
Nitrogen free extract (%	46.57	44.52	44.02	43.32	53.61	49.83	52.20	47.5	62.49	57.25	56.55	48.86	60.71	56.66	53 56	49.65
Tettmated -																
Metabolizable energy	26.10	2600	2600	2600	2800	2810	2810	2800	3000	3000	3000	3000	3100	3100	3100	3100
Methionine (%)	0.29	0.46	0.59	0.62	0.72	0 42	0.56	0.10	0.25	0.37	0.46	0.58	0.22	0 33	0.44	0.56
Lysins (70)	0.71	0 65	0.11	EL O	0 64	0.55	$0^{-8}$	0.83	0.92	0.57	117	1 68	150	06-0	1.23	1.65
Cystine (2)	0.35	0.39	0+0	0.41	0.32	0 34	0.35	0,40	9_0	0 28	036	0 44	0 23	0 30	036	643

SALAH UDDIN ET AL.

was attached to backside in each cage, but separate feed troughs were attached infront. Feed and clean fresh water were offered to the birds ad libitum. Feed intake and body weights (initial and final), CP and ME intakes; and feed conversion efficiency were recorded for each treatment.

At the age between 280 and 287 days, where the egg production recording was over, the brids were kept under fasting for 20 hours. Then the birds were reweighed, slaughtered, eviscerated and dissected (Jones, 1984). The lengths of the shanks and digestive tracts and weights of the liver, gizzard and edible carcasses were recorded individually for each replication. The proximate components (A.O.A.C., 1980) and the gross energy (determined by Bomb Calorimeter) contents of the carcasses were recorded replicationwise.

In both experiments, the data were set for a  $4 \times 4$  (CP  $\times$  ME) factorial in a Completely Randomized Design. Analysis of variance compared the different recorded parameters for CP or ME levels and their interactions. The parameters were also regressed on either CP or ME levels to have the changes in different parameters against the unit change of CP or ME levels and then compared.

## Results

### Experiment 1.

Results of growth performances and carcass quality parameters of spent Starcross hens are presented in tables 2 & 3. Feed intake and feed conversion ratios decreased whereas the final body weight, length of digestive tracts, eviscerated carcass weight and edible carcass weight increased linearly as the dierary CP and or ME levels increased.

Spent hens receiving higher CP diets consumed significantly (p < 0.05) more CP and less ME than those receiving the lower CP diets. However, the CP intake decreased and the ME intake increased with the increasing dietary ME levels.

Shank length increased slightly (p > 0.05) with the increasing dietary CP levels, while increased significantly (p < 0.01) as the dictary ME levels increased. The liver and gizzard weights tended to be increased (p > 0.05) with the increasing dictary CP and/or ME levels (table 2).

Carcass drymatter tended to be decreased

whereas the crude protein content failed to have regular trend at the increasing dietary CP and ME levels. The protein content increased upto 19% dietary CP and 3000 kcal ME levels and then declined at the highest CP ME level. The carcass fat increased slightly (p > 0.05) as the dietary CP and ME levels increased. However, the carcass ash percentages as shown in table 2, increased (p > 0.05) with the increasing CP and decreasing ME levels in the diets. Moreover, the carcass energy content tended to be improved as the dietary CP and ME levels increased.

### Experiment 2.

Results of growth performances and carcass quality parameters of spent Starcross hens are presented in tables 2 and 3. As a consequence of feeding higher CP-ME diets, significantly (p < 0.01) lower feed intake and higher final body weight, feed conversion, eviscerated carcass weight and length of digestive tract were observed.

Having significant differences (p < 0.05), at all CP levels, the increased ME levels decreased the feed intake. However, the final body weight increased at all CP levels (except 13%) with the increase of dietary ME levels.

Crude protein intake increased significantly (p < 0.01) due to increasing dietary CP levels but decreased at increasing dietary ME levels. On the other hand, reverse trends were found in ME intake due to increasing dietary CP and ME levels. Shank length and edible carcass weight increased slightly as the dietary CP levels increased. But there was significant (p < 0.01) improvement in shank length and edible carcass weight due to higher ME levels in the diets compared to lower ones.

Carcass drymatter percentages tended to be decreased but the energy content (gross energy) increased slightly as the dietary CP and ME levels increased. Carcass crude protein content tended to be increased up to at 19% CP and 3000 kcal ME/kg levels and then declined at the highest CP ME levels. Carcass fat showed irregular trend at the increasing dietary CP levels but improved slightly as the dietary ME levels increased. However, the carcass energy tended to be increased at the increasing dietary CP levels and decreasing dietary ME levels. TABLE 2. GROWTH AND CARCASS YIELD PERFORMANCES OF SPENT STARCROSS HENS AS INFLUENCED BY DIETARY CRUDE PROTFIN (CP) AND METABOLIZABLE ENERGY (ME) LEVELS (EXPERIMENT

1 & 2)

Experiment 1.

	Crude		Metaboliza	able energ	y		SED and	significance
Parameters	protein		(kcal/kg)	in diets		Mean	[	evel
	in diets (%)	2600	2800	3000	3100		CP	ME
Feed intake	13	103.47	100.04	96.21	92.64	98.09	0.526	0.526
(g/bird/d)	16	98.45	94.68	90.61	89.55	93.32	**1	**
	19	94.75	91.99	87.58	81.47	88.94		
	22	89.70	86.49	84.49	78.31	84.74		
	Mean	96.59	93.30	89.72	85.49	91.27		
Protein intake	13	13.45	13.00	12.50	12.04	12.74	0.102	0.102
(g/bird/d)	16	15.75	15.14	14.49	14.32	14.92	**	**
	19	18.00	17.47	16.64	15.47	16.89		
	22	19.73	19.02	18.58	17.22	18.63		
	Mean	16.73	16.15	15.55	14.76	15.79		
Energy intake	13	269.02	280.11	288.64	287.21	281.24	1.515	1.515
(kcal_ME/bird/d)	16	255.96	265.12	271.85	277.61	267.63	**	**
	19	246.35	257.58	262.74	252.5 <b>6</b>	254.80		
	22	233.24	242,18	253.49	242.77	242.92		
	Mean	251.14	261.24	269.18	265.03	261.64		
Initial body	13	315.00	320.00	312.50	310.00	314.37	NS	NS
weight (g)	16	317.50	310.00	310.00	310.00	311.87		
	19	312.50	315.00	317.50	315.00	315.00		
	22	307.50	317.50	305.00	307.50	309.37		
	Mean	313.12	315.62	311.25	310.62	312.65		_
Final body	13	1562.50	1620.00	1607.50	1565.00	1588.75	15.226	15.226
weight (g)	16	1637.50	1680.00	1757.50	1832.50	1726.87	**	**
	19	1722.50	1780.00	1845.00	2030.00	1844.37		
	22	1745.00	1847.50	1925.00	2065.00	1895.62		
	Mean	1666.87	1731.87	1783.75	1873.12	1763.90		
Feed efficiency	13	22.81	21.17	20.42	20.30	21.17	0.190	0.190
(feed/gain)	16	20.51	19.00	17.21	16.17	18.22	**	**
	19	18.84	17.26	15.26	13.07	16.01		
	22	17.16	15.54	14,34	12.26	14.82		
	Mean	19.74	18.24	16.80	15.45	17.55		

(continued)								
	Crude	ر ر	Metaboliza	ble energy			SED and s	significance
Parameters	protein		(kcal/kg)	in diets		Mean	lev	vel
	in diets (%)	2600	2800	3000	3100		CP	ME
Shank length	13	9.45	9.65	9.85	10.05	9.75	0.096	0.096
(cm)	16	9.50	9.75	9.80	10.15	9.80	NS	**
	19	9.65	9.65	9.70	10.40	9.85		
	22	9.60	9.80	9.90	10.30	9.90		
	Mean	9.55	9.71	9.81	10.22	9.82		
Length of	13	139.19	140.16	140.41	141.32	140.27	0.225	0.225
digestive	16	139.75	140.17	141.26	141.98	140.79	**	**
tract (cm)	19	141.27	141.09	142.59	143.43	142.09		
	22	142.31	142.57	143.43	143.56	142.96		
	Mean	140.63	140.99	141.92	142.57	142.52		
Liver weight	13	2.32	2.33	2.33	2.34	2.32	0.015	0.015
(%)	16	2.32	2.33	2.33	2.33	2.32	NS	NS
	19	2.33	2.32	2.32	2.34	2.32		
	22	2.33	2.32	2.34	2.34	2.33		
	Mean	2.32	2.32	2.33	2.33	2.32		
Gizzard weight	13	2.75	2.75	2.73	2.77	2.75	0.018	0.018
(%)	16	2.75	2.77	2.77	2.78	2.76	NS	NS
	19	2.78	2.77	2.78	2.77	2.77		
	22	2.79	2.78	2.80	2.78	2.77		
	Mean	2.78	2.77	2.78	2.77	2.77		
Eviscerated	13	60.16	60.34	<b>60</b> .34	60.54	60.34	0.152	0.152
carcass weight	16	59.99	60.41	60.70	61.38	60.62	*	**
(%)	19	60.23	60.38	60.43	61.94	60.74		
	22	60.31	60.34	60.90	62.10	60.91		
	Mean	60.17	60.36	60.59	61.49	60.65		
Edible carcass	13	66.08	65.58	66.25	65.96	65.96	0.381	0.381
weight (%)	16	66.25	66.21	66.55	66.85	66.46	*	*
	19	66.47	66.57	67.20	68.34	67.14		
	22	66.18	66.70	67.65	68.63	67.29		
_	Mean	66.24	66.26	66.91	67.44	66.71		
Carcass dry	13	35.10	35.03	34.95	35.23	35.07	0.191	0.191
matter (%)	16	35.13	35.09	35.04	34.47	34.93	NS	NS
	19	35.00	34.87	34.95	34.63	34.86		
	22	34.95	34.96	34.44	34.58	34.73		
	Mean	35.04	34.98	34.84	34.72	34.89		

# GROWTH AND CARCASS YIELD PERFORMANCE

(continued)								
Parameters	Crude protein	1	Metaboliza (kcal/kg)	ble energy in diets	(	Mean	SED and	significance evel
	in diets (%)	2600	2800	3000	3100		CP	ME_
Crude protein	13	54.01	54.03	54.91	54.89	54.46	0.387	0.387
in carcass (%)	16	54.91	54.62	54.79	54.54	54,71	NS	NS
(DM basis)	19	54,73	55.34	54.66	54.21	54.98		
	22	54.45	55.28	54.94	54,18	54.71		
	Mean	54.52	54.81	54.82	54.70	54,71		
Fat in carcass	13	36.36	36.13	36.35	36.43	36.31	0.242	0.242
(%) (DM basis)	16	35.93	35.95	36.27	36.29	36.11	NS	NS
	19	36.04	36.09	36.35	36.46	36.23		
	22	36.09	36.68	36.73	36.92	36.60		
	Mean	36.10	36.21	36.42	36.52	36.31		
Ash in carcass	13	8.19	8.09	7.70	7.68	7.91	0.099	0.099
(%)	16	8.14	8.11	7.96	8.06	8.06	NS	NS
	19	8.25	7.79	8.14	8.11	8.07		
	22	8.27	8.24	8.18	8.10	8.19		
	Mean	8.21	8.05	7.99	7.98	8.05		
Energy content	3	169.61	170.80	172,38	172.42	171.30	0.954	0.954
(kcal/100 gm	16	172.26	172.04	172.26	172.95	172.37	NS	NS
fresh meat)	19	172.22	172.07	173.52	172.77	172.64		
	22	172.95	172.65	173.70	173.52	173.13		
	Mean	171.76	171.89	172.89	172.91	172.36		

SALAH UDDIN ET AL.

, NS p > 0.05; , a p < 0.05; , at p < 0.05; , and p < 0.01;

Experiment 2.

	Crude	N	Aetabeliza	ble energy			SED and	significance
Parameters	protein		(kcal/kg)	in diets		Mean	le	vel
	in diets (%)	2600	2800	3000	3100		CP	ME
Feed intake	13	94.58	90. <b>2</b> 0	87.99	83.63	89.10	0.547	0.547
(g/bird/d)	16	88.57	85.89	82.71	83.31	85.12		**
	19	86.09	83.52	79,99	78.29	81.97		
	22	81.58	81.03	79.12	77.03	79.69		
	Mean	87.70	85.16	82.45	80.56	83.97		
Protein intake	13	12.29	11.72	11.43	10,87	11.57	0.086	0.086
(g/bird/d)	16	14.17	13.74	13.23	13.01	13.53	**	**
	19	16.35	15.86	15.19	14.87	15.56		
	22	17.94	17.40	16.96	17.52	17.52		
	Mean	15.18	14.78	14.31	13.92	14.54		
Energy intake	13	245.90	252.57	263.98	259.27	255.43	1.505	1.505
(kcal_ME/bird/d)	16	230.28	240,49	248.13	252.07	242.74	<b>市市</b>	**
	19	223.49	233.86	239.97	242.72	235.01		
	22	212.11	226.88	237.38	238.81	228.79		
	Mean	227.94	238.45	247.36	248.21	240. <b>49</b>		

## GROWTH AND CARCASS YIELD PERFORMANCE

(continued)

Crude Metabolizable energy SED and significance Parameters protein (kcal/kg) in diets Mean level in diets (%) 2600 2800 3000 3100 CP ME Initial body 297.50 305.00 302.50 297.50 NS NS 13 300.62 weight (g) 16 302.50 302.50 307.50 302.50 303.75 19 302.50 297.50 312.50 305.00 304.37 22 307.50 305.00 295.00 302.50 302.50 Mean 302.50 304.37 301.87 302.50 302.81 Find body 13 1520.00 1535.00 15.423 1470.00 1527.50 1513,12 15.423 水水 \*\* weight (g) 16 1510.00 1592.50 1682.50 1705.00 1622.50 19 1577.50 1647.50 1792.50 1812.50 1707.50 22 1637.50 1705.00 1797.50 1872.50 1753.12 Меал 1548.75 1618.12 1698.12 1731.25 1649.06 19.60 18.59 Feed efficiency 13 22.18 20.29 20.16 0.262 0.262 **39.66** \*\* (feed/gain) 16 20.17 18.30 16.54 15.95 17,74 19 18.58 17.01 14.86 14.28 16.18 22 16.88 15.91 4.48 13.49 15.19 16.37 15.57 17.31 Mean 19.45 17.87 9.45 9.39 0.051 Shank length 13 9.22 9.32 9.60 0.051 \*\* (cm) 16 9.25 9.42 9.50 9.57 9.43 NS 19 9.32 9.44 9.55 9.70 9.50 22 9.52 9.77 9.32 9.50 9.52 9.50 9.65 9.46 Mean 9.27 9.42 0.009 13 2.26 2.28 2.26 0.009 Liver weight 2.25 2.27 NS NS (%) 16 2,26 2.27 2.27 2.28 2.27 19 2.29 2.29 2.28 2.27 2.29 22 2.27 2.28 2.29 2.302.28 Mean 2.26 2.27 2.27 2.28 2.27 Gizzard weight 13 2,78 2.772.79 2.802.780.018 0.018 16 2.80 2.79 2.79 2.81 2.79 NS NS (%) 19 2.81 2.82 2.81 2.82 2.81 22 2.83 2.83 2.82 2.82 2.82 2.80 Mean 2.80 2.80 2.81 2.8059.76 60.88 59.42 0.4880.488 Eviscerated 13 58.49 58.58 \*\* 58.77 60.77 60.30 carcass weight 16 59.50 62.16 (%) 19 59.58 60.38 61.50 62.47 60.98 22 59.38 61.87 63.69 61.27 60.14 59.46 60.97 60.30 60.49 Mean 59.24

SALAH	UDDIN	ΕT	AL.

(continued)			_					
	Crude	, ,	Metaboliza	ble energy	,		SED and s	ignificance
Parameters	protein		(kcal/kg)	in diets		Мсал	lev	el
	in diets (%)	2600	2800	3000	3100		<u>CP</u>	ME
Edible carcass	13	64.45	64.96	66.00	66.30	65.42	0.448	0.448
weight (%)	16	65.06	65.77	66.42	67.29	66.13	NS	*
	19	65.29	66.15	67.08	67.45	66.49		
	22	65.49	66.56	67.30	67.68	66.75		
	Mean	65.07	65.86	66.70	67.18	66.20		
Length of	13	134.25	135,42	138.07	138.67	136.60	0.760	0.760
digestive	16	135.22	136.75	139.02	140.40	137.85	**	**
tract (cm)	19	135.55	136.85	141.75	143.50	139.41		
	22	137.12	137,52	140.77	144.07	139.87		
	Меал	135,53	136.63	139.90	141.66	138.43		
Carcass dry	13	36.00	34.89	35.31	34.98	35.29	0.321	0.321
matter (%)	16	35.52	34.98	35.21	34.45	35.04	NS	NS
	19	34.75	35.22	34.41	35.20	34.89		
	22	35.32	34.32	34.12	34.19	34.48		
	Mean	35.39	34.85	34,76	34.70	34.92		
Crude protein in	13	54.48	54.15	54.09	54.92	54.41	0.297	0.297
carcass (%)	16	54.81	54.78	54.53	54.97	54.77	NS	NS
(DM basis)	19	55.09	55.18	55.42	55.08	55.19		
	22	54.46	54.78	55.49	54.18	54.7 <b>2</b>		
	Mean	54.71	54,72	54.88	54.78	54.77		
Fat in carcass (%)	13	36.24	36.17	36.43	36.46	36.32	0.386	0.386
(DM basis)	16	35.41	35.58	35.68	35.77	35.61	NS	NS
	19	35.96	35.92	35.90	35.94	35.93		
	22	36.43	36.46	36.32	36.49	36.42		
	Mean	36.01	3 <b>6.</b> 03	36.08	36,16	36.07		
Ash in carcass (%)	) 13	8.74	8.54	8.18	7.92	8.34	0.188	0.188
	16	8.68	8.62	8.47	8,25	8.50	NS	NS
	19	8.84	8.88	8.76	8.51	8.74		
	22	8.91	8.84	8.80	8.69	8.81		
	Mean	8.79	8.72	8.55	8,34	8.60		
Energy content	13	171.89	172.04	172.23	172.98	172.28	1.190	1.190
(kcal/100 g	16	172.46	173.40	173.00	172.71	172.89	NS	NS
fresh meat)	19	173.05	174.19	174.44	174.95	174.15		
	22	174.18	174.62	174.96	174.13	174.47		
	Mean	172.89	173.56	173.65	173.69	173.44		

<sup>3</sup> NS p > 0.05; \* p < 0.05; \* p < 0.05; \*\* p < 0.01.

CRUDE	
DIETARY	
NO	
HENS	
STARCROSS	
D CARCASS YIELD PERFORMANCE PARAMETERS (Y) OF SPENT	ABLE EVERGY (ME) LEVELS (X) (EXPERIMENT 1 & 2)
H AN	BOLIZ
ROWT	META
ЭH G	OR
NS (	(P)
, REGRESSIO	PROTE/N (
3LE 3	
TAE	

		Experiment 1			Experiment 2	
Parameters	77	a.	L		ه   ا	
X = Crude Protein (CP) in diets (%)						
Feed intake (g/bird/d)	117 180	-1479	0.756** 1	101 358	-1 029	-0.738**
Proten intake (g/bird/d)	4.344	0.655	0.94 **	2.958	0.662	0.975**
Energy intake (kca ME bird/d)	336.209	4.260	-0.882**	291 620	2.921	$-0.741^{**}$
Final body weight (g)	1158.333	34.604	0.769**	1189.479	26.333	0.730**
Feed efficiency (feed/ga n)	29.965	-0.708	-0.805**	25.274	0.520	-0.692**
Shank length (cm)	9.533	0.016	0.186**	9.273	0 010	0.229NS
Length of digestive tract (cm)	136.099	0310	0.764**	131.309	0.378	0.414*
Liver weight $(\%)$	2.319	0 000 2	0 100NS	2.238	0.00229	0.424*
Gizzard weight ( $\%$ )	2.699	0.0041	0.447*	2.732	0 0043	0.463**
Eviscerated carcass weight $(\%)$	60 074	0.034	0.291NS	56.875	0.207	0.428*
Edible carcass weight (%)	64.008	0.154	0.529**	63.663	0.145	0.368*
X = Metabolizable energy (ME) in diets (kcal/kg)						
Feed intake (g/bird/d)	98.154	-0.0024	-0.201NS	126.400	-0.0148	-0.608**
Protein intake (g/bird/d)	17.139	-0.00047	-0.109NS	21 649	-0.0024	-0.207NS
Energy intake (kcal ME/bird/d)	171.552	0.031	0.371*	119.633	0.042	0.611**
Fina body weight (g)	669.639	0.380	0.484*	575.233	0.373	0.594**
Feed efficiency (feed/gain)	42.336	-0.0082	-0.0537 <b>NS</b>	39.546	- 0.007	-0.609**
Shank length (cm)	6.462	0.0011	0.751**	9.200	0.00009	0.309NS
Length of digestive tract (cm)	140.519	0.00037	0.148NS	102.512	0.012	0.783**
Liver weight $(\%)$	2.259	0.00002	0.204NS	2.154	0.000043	0.46]**
Gizzard we ght $(\%)$	2.729	0.000014	0.090NS	2.743	0.000022	0.137NS
Eviscerated carcass weight $(\%)$	54.112	0.002	0.685**	43.208	0.006	0.712**
Edible carcass weight $(\%)$	59.359	0.0023	0.466**	54 160	0.0041	0.608**

# GROWTH AND CARCASS YIELD PERFORMANCE

<sup>3</sup> NS p > 0.05; \* p < 0.05; \* p < 0.05.

# Discussion

Current findings revealed that the hens fed on high CP-ME diets consumed less feed than those fed on low CP-ME diets. In contrast to the present results, Reddy et al. (1979) and Keshavarz (1984) reported the improved feed intake with the increased dietary CP levels. This might have been due to increased rate of egg production (Gleaves et al., 1977). The decreased feed intake at the higher CP and ME levels found in this study are supported by Doran et al. (1983). The higher nutrient (protein and energy) intakes at their increasing dictary levels were possibly due to higher rate of egg production (not shown) and body weight gain at the increasing dietary CP and ME levels. Similar results were reported by Reddy et al. (1980), and Doran et al. (1980). The increased protein or energy intakes with the increase of their dietary contents observed in the present study are confirmed by the observations of Leeson and Summers (1989). However, in contrast to the present observations (Experiment 1 & 2), Bolton et al. (1987) reported that the increased dictary CP levels depressed the CP intake which might possibly be due to increased rates of decrease in feed intake. But the early observations by Keshavarz (1984), and Spratt and Leesoni (1987) revealed that increasing dietary CP contents improved the ME intake in the rearing period compared to those diets containing higher ME contents. The increased CP and ME intakes at the higher CP and ME levels were perhaps related to the increased rates of live weight gain and egg production.

The results of the present study (Experiment 1 & 2) showed evidence that the live weight gain might be improved with increase of dietary CP and/or ME levels. These results are in agreement with the observations of Doran et al. (1980). Inconsistently, some other findings by Hamilton (1978), Kissikinen (1984) and Saxena et al. (1986). revealed the lack of effect of dietary CP or ME levels on body weight of pullets. This might possibly be due to ingredient variability, better amino acid pattern, rate of weight gain and stage of egg production. Most probably due to increased nutrient (protein, energy, mineral, amino acids, fat etc.) intakes (Experiment 1 & 2), the hens receiving high CP-ME dicts gained more weight compared to those receiving the low CP-ME diets (Nagabhushanam et al., 1979). Moreover, the increased pre-larging body weight gain might have interacted with improved CP and ME intakes and the final body weight gain was enhanced at the higher dictary CP and ME levels.

The apparent metabolizable energy (AME) might also be associated with increased live weight gain at the increasing dietary CP and ME levels (Pearson and Herron, 1982). The results of this study indicated that the simultaneous increase of CP and ME may promte growth more than increasing CP or ME alone in the diets (Charles, 1986).

Results in both experiments exhibited that hens reared on high CP-ME diets showed the highest feed conversion efficiency (with respect to live weight gain) compared to those on low CP-ME ones. With respect to live weight gain, the feed conversion efficiency increased with the increasing dictary CP levels (Nagabhushanam et al., 1979). Contradicting the general believe, Chi (1985) failed to detect any difference in feed conversion efficiency (7 to 24 weeks) that could be explained by the nature of starter (18.2 to 14.9% CP) and grower (15.1 to 10.9% CP) diets. Most probably due to higher increasing rates of live weight gain with higher nutrient intakes and ligher decreasing rates of feed intake at the higher CP and ME levels, the feed conversion efficiency increased linearly (Nagabhushanam et al., 1979).

Data presented in table 2 showed that the increased dietary CP and ME increased the lengths of the shanks. The increased shank lengths with increasing dietary ME levels agree with the findings of Lesson and Summers (1989). Increasing ME intake might have been resulted in improved shank length but the CP intake had no significant effect on shank length. These results are in consistent with those of Spratt and Leeson (1987). The early increased growth response at the higher CP levels reflected in shank length during the growing period (Leeson and Summers, 1989).

It is evident that the increased length of the digestive tracts for the hens fed on the high CP-ME diets was possibly the function of increased body size. The digestive organs of birds (Gallinaccious birds and water fowl) have been recorded to differ in size and structure with changes in the quality or quantity of diet (Ank-

## ney, 1977).

Current findings (Experiment 1 & 2) provided documentations that the dietary CP or ME profile may have no consistent effect on the percentages of liver and gizzard weights (Virk et al., 1979; Keshavarz, 1984). The improving tendency of liver weight with the increasing dietary ME concentrations are also in concurrence with observations of Ivy and Nesheim (1973) and Cunningham and Morrison (1977),

Present observations support that the eviscerated and edible carcass weight increased as the dietary CP and ME level increased simultaneously. Similar findings were reported by Summers et al. (1985), Kubena et al. (1972), Abdel Hakim and El-Naggar (1987). It might be assumed that the eviscerating and dressing losses were greater with the smaller birds fed on low CP and ME containing diets which decreased the percentages of the eviscerated and edible carcass weight. Early observations by Card and Neshcim (1978) revealed the similar results. Possibly due to increased deposition of subcutaneous and intramuscular fat in the body of the spent hens offered high ME diet, the edible carcass weight percentages increased.

Present results obtained in both experiments illustrated that the dietary CP and ME concentrations may exert no influence on the carcass composition (dry matter, crude protein and crude fat) as supported by early observations (Leeson and Summers, 1989). Slightly increasing lendency of crude protein, fat and decreasing tendency of drymatter and ash percentages are, however, confirmed by Bennet and Leeson (1990). Insignificantly increasing tendency (p > 0.05) of the carcass protein, fat and ash percentages towards the increasing dictary CP levels are also in consistent with the early findings by Lecson and Summers (1989) and Bennett and Leeson (1990). In experiment by Adekunmis and Robbins (1990), the body composition analysis indicated significant decrease in concentration of carcass drymatter and of increased concentration of carcass crude protein in birds fed the high protein diets. Also, the hirds fed the low CP (14%) high mineral acid base balance diet contained less carcass fat than did the birds fed on low CP, low acid base balance diet.

Richter et al. (1980) and Rose and Michie (1982) have difficulty of explaining their perfor-

mance results with heavy turkeys fed on varying dietary CP and ME levels, while Auckland and Morris (1971) and Salmon (1974) reported that the dietary ME intake did not correlate with carcass composition.

It is evident from the table 2, that the carcass energy concentrations were not affected by the concentrations of the dietary CP and ME in the diets. This lack of effect of dietary CP levels on carcass energy contents is in line with those of Azhan and Forbes (1989). Similarly, Salmon et al. (1982) failed to show the significant effect of dietary ME content on the carcass energy content. This might possibly be due to insignificant differences in fat content of the carcass (table 2).

### Literature Cited

- Abdel-Hakim, N. F. and N. M. El-Naggar. 1987. Effect of energy level on performance of growing Fayoumi chicks. Agricultural Research Review 61(6): 71-90.
- Adeknnmis, A. A. and K. R. Robbins. 1990. Effects of dietary protein and dietary mineral acid-base balance on growth and development of broiler chickens. Poult. Sci. 64 (Supplement 1):1.
- Ankney, C. D. 1977. Feeding and digestive organ size in breeding lesser Snow Geeze, Auk. 94:275-282.
- AOAC. 1980. Official Methods of Analysis. 12th ed. Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Washington, D. C.
- Auckland, J. N. and T. R. Morris. 1971. The effect of dietary nutrient concentration and calorie to protein ratio on growth and body composition of male and female poults. Brit. Poult. Sci. 12: 305-311.
- Azhan, E. A. and J. M. Forbes. 1989. Growth, food intake, and energy balance of layer and broiler chickens offered glucose in the drinking water and the effect of dictary protein content. Brit. Poult. Sci. 30:907-917.
- Balton, G., I. Suciu, V. Miclea, I. Tat, V. Meiesan and C. Sebestyen, 1987. Response of White and red layer hybrids, kept in multi-storey batteries, to diets with different amounts of protein. poultry Abshae 10(9):273.
- Bennet, C. D. and S. Leeson, 1990. Influence of energy intake on development of broiler breeder pullets. Canadian J. Anim. Sci. 70:259-266.
- Bolton, W. and R. Blair. 1977. Poultry Nutrition, Bulletin-174 4th edn. Agricultural Research Council's Poultry Research Centre, Edinburgh, pp. 114-117.
- Card, L. E. and M. C. Nesheim. 1978. Poultry Production. 11th ed. Lea and Febzer, Philadelphia.
- Charles, D. R. 1986. Temperature for broilers. World's poult. Sci. J. 42(3):249-258.

- Chi, M. S. 1985. Effect of low protein diets for grewing Leghorn pullets upon subsequent laying performances. Brit. poult. Sci. 26:433-440.
- Cunningham, D. C and W. D. Morrison. 1977. Dietary energy and fat content as factors in the nutrition of developing egg strain pullets and young hens.
  2. Effects on subsequent productive performance and body chemical composition of present day egg strain layers at the termination of lay. Poult Sci. 56:1405-1416.
- Doran, B. H., J. H. Quisenberry, W. F. Krueger and J. W. Bradley, 1980. Response of thirty egg type stocks to four layer diets differing in protein and caloric levels. Poult. Sci. 59:1082-1089.
- Doran, B. H., W. E. Krueger and J. W. Bradley. 1983. Effect of step down and step-up proteinenergy feeding systems on egg type pullet growth and laying performance. Poult. Sci. 62:255 262.
- Gleaves, E. W., F. B. Mather and M. M. Ahmed. 1977. Effects of dictary calcium, protein and energy on feed intake, egg shell quality and hen performance. Poult. Sci. 56:402-406
- Hamilton, R. M. G. 1978. The effect of dictary protein level on productive performance and egg quality of feur strains of White Leghorn hens Poult, Sci. 57:1355-1364.
- Ivy, C. A and M. C. Nesheim. 1973. Factors influencing the liver fat content of laying hens. Poult. Sci. 52:281-291.
- Jones, R. 1984. A standard method of dissection of poultry for carcass analysis, West of Scotland Agricultural College, Technical Bulletin No. 222. Agri. Scotland
- Keshavarz, K. 1984. The effect of different dietary protein levels in the rearing and laying periods on performance of White Leghorn chickens. Poult. Sci 63:2229-2240
- Kilskinen, T. 1984. Feeding regimen as a means to reduce the use of protein in poultry production. Annales Agriculture Fenniae 23(1):8-25.
- Kubena, L. F., B. D. Lott, J. W. Deaton, F. N. Recce and J. D. May, 1972. Body composition of chicks as influenced by environmental temperature and selected dietary factors. Poult. Sci. 51:517-522.
- Leeson, S. and J. D. Summers 1989 Response of Leghorn pullets to protein and energy in the diet when reared in regular or hot cyclic envirenments. Poult. Sci. 68:546-557.
- NRC. 1977. Nutrient requirements of domestic animals 1 Nutrient requirements of Poultry, 6th ed. National Academy of Sciences Washington, D.C.
- Nagabhushanam, N., V. R. Reddy and C. V. Reddy. 1979. A study on protein energy relationship in

the diet of starter chicks. Indian J. Poult. Sci. 14:32-42.

- Pearson, R. A. and K. M. Herron. 1982. Relationship hetween energy and protein intakes and laying characteristics in individually caged broiler breeder hens. Brit. Poult. Sci. 23:143-159.
- Reddy, P. M., V. R. Reddy, C. V. Reddy and P. S. P. Rao, 1979. Egg weight, shape index and hatchability in Khaki Campbell duck eggs Indian J. Poult. Sci. 14:26-31.
- Reddy, G. V., C. V. Reddy and V. R. Reddy. 1980. Production traits of caged layers as influenced by their dietary protein and energy levels. Indian J. Anim. Sci. 50:748-752.
- Richter, G., M. Prinz and A. Hennig. 1980 Untersuchungen uber die Anforderungen von Puten in Bedenhaltung en den Energie and Roh-proteinge halt des Mischuffers. Atch. Tierenahr 30:373-380.
- Rose, S. P. and W. Michie. 1982. The food intake and growth of choicefed turkeys offered balancer mixtures of different compositions. Brit. Poult Sci. 23:547-554.
- Salmon, R. E. 1974. Effect of dietary fat concentration and energy to protein ratio on the performance, yield of carcass components and composition of skin and meat of turkeys as related to age. Brit. Poult. Sci. 15:543-560.
- Salmon, R. E., K. E. Dunkelgod and R. J. Wilson. 1982 Influence of dietary protein concentration and frequency of diet changes on rate of growth, efficiency of feed utilization and carcass quality of large white turkeys. Brit Poult, Sci. 23:501-517.
- Saxena, V. P., A. B. Manda and R. S. Thakur. 1986. Performance of commercial laying pullets on different protein and energy levels during winter months. Indian J. Anim. Sci. 56:262-266.
- Snyder, J. M., O. A. Rowoth, J. C. Scholes and C. E. Lee. 1958. Profitable Poultry Management 23rd ed. The Beacon Milling Company, Inc. Cayouga, New York. pp. 107.
- Spratt, R. S. and S. Leason. 1987. Broiler breeder performance in response to diet protein and energy. Poult. Sci. 66:683-693.
- Summers, J. D., S. J. Slinger and G. C. Ashton 1965 The effect of dictary energy and protein on careass composition with a note on a method for estimating careass composition. Poult. Sci. 44:501-509.
- Virk, R. S., R. P. Sethi and H. S. Garcha. 1979. Conversion of Poultry dropping by pleurotus ostreatus. Indian J. Poult. Sci. 14 (Supplement No. 63).