

## FINITE RANK PERTURBATIONS OF SEMI-FREDHOLM OPERATORS

DONG HAK LEE

The "finite rank perturbation theorem" of Fredholm theory says that if  $X$  and  $Y$  are Banach spaces, if  $T \in BL(X, Y)$  is semi-Fredholm, and if  $K \in BL(X, Y)$  is finite rank then  $T + K$  is semi-Fredholm ([1] Corollary V.2.2; [2] Theorem 6.12.2). In this note we extend this result to incomplete normed spaces.

We recall [2] that if  $X$  and  $Y$  are normed spaces then if  $k > 0$  and if  $\|x\| \leq k\|Tx\|$  for each  $x \in X$  then we call  $T \in BL(X, Y)$  *bounded below*, if  $T$  is bounded below and has a closed range then we call  $T$  *closed*, if  $y \in \{Tx : \|x\| \leq k\|Tx\|\}$  for each  $y \in Y$  then we call  $T$  *open* and if  $y \in cl\{Tx : \|x\| \leq k\|Tx\|\}$  *almost open*. The operator  $T \in BL(X, Y)$  will be called *relatively open* (respectively, *relatively almost open*) if its truncation  $T^\wedge : X \rightarrow T(X)$  is open (respectively, almost open) (cf. [1], [4]). Thus bounded below is just relatively open one-one. Relative openness can be tested with the (*reduced*) *minimum modulus*

$$\gamma(T) = \inf \{\|Tx\| : \text{dist}(x, T^{-1}(0)) \geq 1\} \quad \text{if } 0 \neq T \in BL(X, Y) :$$

if  $T = 0$  we may take  $\gamma(T) = \infty$ . Evidently,  $T$  is relatively open if and only if  $\gamma(T) > 0$  (cf. [3]). If  $X$  and  $Y$  are complete then  $T$  is relatively open if and only if  $T$  has a closed range (cf. [1] Theorem IV.1.6). If  $M$  and  $N$  are normed spaces we shall assume  $M \times N$  is a normed space in such a way as to have the Cartesian product topology. If  $M$  and  $N$  are closed subspaces of  $X$  satisfying

$$(0.1) \quad M + N = X \quad \text{and} \quad M \cap N = \{0\}$$

then there is a one-one correspondence

$$(0.2) \quad m + n \longleftrightarrow (m, n) : X \longleftrightarrow M \times N.$$

We shall say that  $M$  and  $N$  are *complemented* subspaces if they satisfy (0.1), while the topology of  $X$  is the same as that induced on it by the mapping (0.2) and the Cartesian topology of the product  $M \times N$ . To indicate that this is so we shall write  $X = M \oplus N$ .

To prove the main result we need to three lemmas.

**LEMMA 1.** *If  $T \in BL(X, Y)$  is relatively open and if  $M$  is a subspace of  $X$  then the restriction of  $T$  to  $M + T^{-1}(0)$  is relatively open.*

*Proof.* If  $T_1$  is the restriction of  $T$  to  $M + T^{-1}(0)$  then  $T_1^{-1}(0) = T^{-1}(0)$ . Hence  $\gamma(T_1) \geq \gamma(T) > 0$ , which says that  $T_1$  is relatively open.

**LEMMA 2.** *Let  $T \in BL(X, Y)$ . If  $M$  is a closed subspace of  $X$  with  $\dim X/M < \infty$  and if  $T_M$  is the restriction of  $T$  to  $M$  then*

$$T_M \text{ closed} \implies T \text{ relatively open.}$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $M$  is a closed subspace of  $X$  with  $\dim X/M < \infty$ . If  $T_M$ , the restriction of  $T$  to  $M$ , is closed then there is a finite dimensional subspace  $N$  of  $X$  for which

$$X = M \oplus N \quad \text{and} \quad T^{-1}(0) \subseteq N,$$

and thus

$$T(X) = T(M) \oplus T(N),$$

where  $T(M)$  and  $T(N)$  are both closed. We thus have ([2] Theorem 2.5.1)

$$X \simeq M \times N \quad \text{and} \quad T(X) \simeq T(M) \times T(N).$$

Since, in particular,  $N$  is finite dimensional it follows from the open mapping theorem that  $T_N$ , the restriction of  $T$  to  $N$ , is relatively open. Therefore, for each  $x = y + z \in X$  with  $y \in M$  and  $z \in N$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tx\| &= \|T_M(y) + T_N(z)\| \geq k(\|T_M(y)\| + \|T_N(z)\|) \\ &\geq k(k'\|y\| + k''\|z'\|) \quad \text{with } z' \in z + T^{-1}(0) \\ &\geq K\|y + z'\| \quad \text{with } K = \inf\{kk', kk''\}, \end{aligned}$$

which says that  $T$  is relatively open.

LEMMA 3. Let  $T \in BL(X, Y)$ . Suppose that for any closed subspace  $M$  of  $X$  with  $\dim X/M < \infty$ ,  $T_M$  is not bounded below. Then given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is an infinite dimensional subspace  $M(\epsilon)$  of  $X$  such that  $T$  restricted to  $M(\epsilon)$  has norm not exceeding  $\epsilon$ .

*Proof.* See [1] Theorem III.1.9.

We recall ([2, Definition 3.2.7]) that  $T \in BL(X, Y)$  is *proper* if the mapping  $\text{core}(T): X/T^{-1}(0) \longrightarrow \text{cl}(TX)$  defined by setting

$$\text{core}(T)(x + T^{-1}(0)) = Tx \in \text{cl}(TX) \quad \text{for each } x \in X$$

is invertible. Evidently,

$$T \text{ proper} \iff T \text{ relatively open and } T(X) \text{ closed}$$

We also recall ([2] Definition 6.10.1) that the operator  $T \in BL(X, Y)$  is called *upper semi-Fredholm* if it is proper with finite dimensional null space, and *lower semi-Fredholm* if it is proper with the closure of its range of finite co-dimension. If  $T$  is either upper or lower semi-Fredholm we shall call it *semi-Fredholm*, and *Fredholm* if it is both. These concepts are also ([2] (6.12.1.19)) dual to one another:

$$T \text{ lower semi-Fredholm} \iff T^* \text{ upper semi-Fredholm.}$$

The *index* of a Fredholm operator is given by

$$\text{index}(T) = \dim T^{-1}(0) - \dim Y/T(X).$$

It is known ([2] Theorem 6.10.2) that the index is continuous even in the incomplete spaces.

We are ready for finite rank perturbations of the semi-Fredholm operators for incomplete spaces. The third part of the next theorem was noticed in [2, Theorem 6,3,4].

THEOREM 1. *If  $T$  and  $K$  are in  $BL(X, Y)$  then*

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{array}{l} T \text{ upper semi-Fredholm, } K \text{ finite rank} \\ \implies T + K \text{ upper semi-Fredholm,} \end{array}$$

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{array}{l} T \text{ lower semi-Fredholm, } K \text{ finite rank} \\ \implies T + K \text{ lower semi-Fredholm,} \end{array}$$

and hence

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{array}{l} T \text{ Fredholm, } K \text{ finite rank} \\ \implies T + K \text{ Fredholm with } \text{index}(T + K) = \text{index}(T). \end{array}$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $T \in BL(X, Y)$  is upper semi-Fredholm. Since  $T^{-1}(0)$  is finite dimensional, we can find a closed subspace  $M$  of  $X$  for which

$$X = M \oplus T^{-1}(0).$$

Let  $T_M$  be the restriction of  $T$  to  $M$ . Then  $T_M$  is bounded below and hence there is  $k > 0$  for which

$$k\|x\| \leq \|T_M(x)\| \quad \text{for each } x \in M.$$

Suppose  $K \in BL(X, Y)$  is finite rank. Clearly,  $T + K$  has a closed range because  $T(X)$  is closed and  $K(X)$  is finite dimensional. Assume  $T + K$  is not relatively open. Thus, if  $K_M$  is the restriction of  $K$  to  $M$  then, by Lemma 2,  $T_M + K_M$  is not closed. Since  $T_M(X) = T(X)$  is closed and  $K_M(X)$  is finite dimensional, it follows that  $T_M + K_M$  has a closed range and thus that  $T_M + K_M$  is not bounded below. Hence, by Lemma 3, there is an infinite dimensional subspace  $M_0$  of  $M$  for which

$$\|(T_M + K_M)x\| \leq \frac{k}{2}\|x\| \quad \text{for all } x \in M_0.$$

It therefore follows that

$$\|K_M(x)\| \geq \|T_M(x)\| - \|(T_M + K_M)x\| \geq \frac{k}{2}\|x\| \quad \text{for all } x \in M_0,$$

which says that the restriction of  $K$  to  $M_0$  is bounded below. This, however, contradicts the fact that  $K$  is finite rank. Therefore  $T + K$  is relatively open; thus  $T + K$  is proper. We now prove that  $(T + K)^{-1}(0)$  is finite dimensional. There is a closed subspace  $N_1$  for which

$$(1.4) \quad (T + K)^{-1}(0) = \{(T + K)^{-1}(0) \cap T^{-1}(0)\} \oplus N_1.$$

Then, by Lemma 1, the restriction of  $T$  to  $N_1 + T^{-1}(0)$  is relatively open, and thus the restriction of  $T$  to  $N_1$  is bounded below. Since  $K = -T$  on  $N_1$  and  $K$  is finite rank,  $N_1$  must be finite dimensional. Thus, by (1.4),  $(T + K)^{-1}(0)$  is finite dimensional. This proves (1.1). For (1.2) apply (1.1) to the duals of  $T$  and  $K$ . Towards (1.3) suppose  $T \in BL(X, Y)$  is Fredholm. Since  $\lambda K$  is finite rank for each scalar  $\lambda$ , we have, by (1.1) and (1.2),  $T + \lambda K$  is also Fredholm. Further, by the continuity of the index ([2] (6.10.2.3)),  $\text{index}(T + \lambda K)$  is a continuous function of  $\lambda$ .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The author is very grateful to Professor Woo Young Lee for helpful correspondence concerning this paper.

### References

1. S. Goldberg, *Unbounded linear operators*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966.
2. R. Harte, *Invertibility and singularity for bounded linear operators*, Dekker, New York, 1988.
3. R. Harte and W.Y.Lee, *The punctured neighborhood theorem for incomplete spaces*, Journal of Operator Theory (to appear).
4. W. Y. Lee, *Relatively open mappings*, Proc.Amer.Math.Soc. 108(1) (1990), 93-94.

Department of Mathematics Education  
Kangwon National University  
Choonchun 200-701, Korea