

## M-HOMOTOPY EXTENSION PROPERTY AND M-HOMOTOPY GROUPS

KEE YOUNG LEE

### 1. Introduction

In [4], R. Jerrad introduced  $m$ -functions as generalization of continuous function between topological spaces.  $m$ -functions are weighted, finitely valued functions with a property corresponding that of usual continuity. In [6], R. Jerrad and M.D.Meyerson defined the  $m$ -homotopy and  $m$ -homotopy groups and showed that the  $n$ -th  $m$ -homotopy group has a natural definition as  $m(\pi_n(Y)) = \text{hom}(S^n, Y)$  in a certain category of  $m$ -functions, which is an  $R$ -module under the addition of  $m$ -functions for a ring  $R$  with identity without zero divisors. They also showed that  $m$ -homotopy theory is a homology theory by proving it satisfies the Eilenberg-steenrod axioms.

In this paper we generalize the pasting lemma on continuous functions to that on  $m$ -functions and show that for a triangulable pair  $(X, A)$ ,  $A$  has certain extension property in  $X$  for  $m$ -functions similar to absolute homotopy extension property. By using those facts, we prove that the  $m$ -fundamental group acts on  $n$ -th  $m$ -homotopy group as a group automorphism for  $n \geq 1$ .

### 2. $m$ -function and $m$ -homotopy group

We introduce some definitions and  $m$ -homotopy groups in [4], [5]. Suppose that  $\bar{f} : X \times Y \rightarrow R$  is a (standard) function, where  $X$  and  $Y$  are  $T_2$ -spaces and  $R$  is a ring with identity and without zero divisors. Then we define a multiple-valued function over the ring  $R$   $f' : X \rightarrow Y$  by its graph  $f' = \text{cl}\{(x, y) | \bar{f}(x, y) \neq 0\}$ , which satisfies the following condition ;

---

Received December 28, 1991.

This research was supported by KOSEF.

- (1) for all  $x \in X, f'(x) = \{y \in Y | (x, y) \in f'\}$  is a finite or empty subset of  $Y$ .
- (2) if  $f'(x') = \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ , there exist disjoint neighborhoods  $\hat{V}_i(y_i)$  such that for any neighborhood  $V_i(y_i) \subset \hat{V}_i$  there is a neighborhood  $U(x')$  satisfying ;
  - (a)  $\sum_{y \in V_i} \bar{f}(x, y) = \bar{f}(x', y_i)$  for  $x \in U, i = 1, \dots, n$
  - (b)  $\bar{f}(x, y) = 0$  for  $x \in U$  and  $y \in [Y - \bigcup_{i=1}^n V_i]$
- (3) if  $f'(x') = \phi$ , there exists a neighborhood  $U(x')$  such that  $\bar{f}(x, y) = 0$  for all  $x \in U, y \in Y$

DEFINITION 2.1. Under the condition above, we define the multiple valued function  $f : X \rightarrow Y \times R$  given by

$$f = \{(x, (y, r)) | y \in f'(x) \text{ and } \bar{f}(x, y) = r\}$$

At this time,  $f$  is called an  $m$ -function from  $X$  to  $Y$  defined by the defining function  $\bar{f}$  (the ring  $R$  is usually fixed and dropped from the notation) and  $R$  is called weighting factor of  $f$  determined by the defining function  $\bar{f}$ .

The multiplicity of  $f$  is  $m(f) = \sum_{y \in Y} \bar{f}(x, y)$ ; it is independent of  $x$  if  $X$  is connected. The empty function, denoted by  $\phi$  is defined by  $\bar{\phi} : X \times Y \rightarrow 0$ . Any continuous function can be regarded as an  $m$ -function by assigning it multiplicity one.

The composition of two  $m$ -functions  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $g : Y \rightarrow Z$  is defined by  $\overline{g \circ f}(x, y) = \sum_{y \in Y} \bar{f}(x, y) \bar{g}(y, z)$ , so Hausdorff spaces and  $m$ -functions over  $R$  form a category  $R-T_2$ , with  $T_2$  as a subcategory. Any two  $m$ -functions may be added:  $f + g$  defined  $\overline{f + g} = \bar{f} + \bar{g}$ . Also, if  $a \in R$  we define the  $a \cdot f$  by  $\overline{a \cdot f} = a \cdot \bar{f}$ . Then  $\text{hom}(X, Y)$  is an  $R$ -module and there are functors  $\text{hom}(-, Z)$  and  $\text{hom}(Z, -) : R-T_2 \rightarrow (R\text{-modules})$ . The restriction of  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  to a subset  $A \subset X$  is defined by  $f|_A = f \circ i$  when  $i$  is the inclusion  $i : A \rightarrow X$ . An  $m$ -function on pairs of Hausdorff spaces is defined as follows:  $h : (X, A) \rightarrow (Y, B)$  is an  $m$ -function on

pairs if  $h'(A) \subset B$ . We say that  $f$  is *m*-homotopic to  $g$  relative to  $X'$  ( $f \sim_m g \text{ rel } X'$ ) if there exists an *m*-function  $F : (X, A) \times I \rightarrow (Y, B)$  with  $F(x, 0) = f(x)$ ,  $F(x, 1) = g(x)$  and  $F|_{X' \times \{t\}} = f|_{X'} = g|_{X'}$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$ . An *m*-function on pointed pairs  $f : (X, A, x_0) \rightarrow (Y, B, y_0)$  must satisfy  $f|_A : A \rightarrow B$  and  $f|_{x_0} : x_0 \rightarrow y_0$ .

LEMMA 2.2. *In the category  $R_0 - phT_2$  of pointed pairs of Hausdorff spaces and *m*-homotopy classes of *m*-functions over  $R$  of multiplicity zero, the condition for an *m*-function to be pointed is equivalent to  $f|_{x_0} = \phi$ ; also, for  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ ,  $f : (X, A, x_0) \rightarrow (Y, y_0, y_0)$  if and only if  $f|_A = \phi$ . [6].*

For any pair  $(X, A) = (X, A, \phi)$  and integer  $n \geq 1$ , the *n*-th *m*-homotopy group  $m\pi_n(X, A)$  is defined to have as underlying set, the set of *m*-homotopy classes of *m*-functions (of multiplicity zero)  $f : (I^n, \partial I^n, 0) \rightarrow (X, A)$  where  $I^n$  is *n*-cube,  $\partial I^n$  is its boundary and 0 is  $\{(0, \dots, 0)\}$ . For  $n \geq 1$  the product of  $f$  and  $g$  in  $R_0 - phT_2$ ,  $f \cdot g : I^n \rightarrow X$  is defined by

$$f \cdot g(b, t, x) = \begin{cases} \bar{f}(b, 2t + 1, x), & 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \bar{g}(b, 2t - 1, x) & \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

where  $(b, t, x) \in I^{n-1} \times I \times X$ . Especially,  $m\pi_1(X)$  is called the *m*-fundamental group of  $X$ .

THEOREM 2.3.  *$fg \sim_m f + g$  (where  $f$  and  $g$  represent elements of  $m\pi_n(X, A)$  for  $n \geq 1$ ) [6].*

THEOREM 2.4. *For  $n \geq 1$ , the homotopy group  $m\pi_n(X, A)$  is the  $R$ -module  $hom((I^n, \partial I^n, 0), (X, A))$ , Litting  $A = \phi$ ,  $m\pi_n(X) = hom[(I^n, \partial I^n, 0), (X)]$  [6].*

### 3. A generalization of the pasting lemma

In this section, we prove the following theorem as a generalization of the pasting lemma on continuous functions. Here we let  $X$  be a Hausdorff space and  $R$  a ring with identity without zero divisors.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  be closed subspaces of  $X$  such that  $X = X_1 \cup X_2$ ,  $f : X_1 \rightarrow Y$  and  $g : X_2 \rightarrow Y$  *m*-functions with their*

defining functions  $\bar{f}$ ,  $\bar{g}$  respectively such that  $\bar{f}(x, y) = \bar{g}(x, y)$  for every  $x \in X_1 \cap X_2$ . If  $\bar{h} : X \times Y \rightarrow R$  is defined by

$$\bar{h}(x, y) = \begin{cases} \bar{f}(x, y), & \text{for } (x, y) \in X_1 \times Y, \\ \bar{g}(x, y), & \text{for } (x, y) \in X_2 \times Y, \end{cases}$$

then  $h : X \rightarrow Y$  defined by  $\bar{h}$  is an  $m$ -function.

All we have to do to prove the Theorem 3.1 is to show that  $\bar{h}$  satisfies the conditions (1), (2) and (3) mentioned in the Definition 2.1. Let's show those facts at following lemmas

**LEMMA 3.2.** Under the same hypothesis as the Theorem 3.1,  $h'(x)$  is finite, for all  $x \in X$ .

*Proof.* Since  $f'(x)$  and  $g'(x)$  are finite and if  $h' = f' \cup g'$ ,  $h'(x) = f'(x) \cup g'(x)$ , it is sufficient to show that  $h' = f' \cup g'$ .

$$\begin{aligned} h' &= \text{cl}\{(x, y) | \bar{h}(x, y) \neq 0\} \\ &= \text{cl}\{(x, y) | \bar{h}(x, y) \neq 0\} \cap (X_1 \times Y \cup X_2 \times Y) \\ &= [(\{(x, y) | \bar{h}(x, y) \neq 0\} \cap X_1 \times Y) \cup (\{(x, y) | \bar{h}(x, y) \neq 0\} \cap X_2 \times Y)] \\ &= [(\{(x, y) | \bar{f}(x, y) \neq 0\} \cap X_1 \times Y) \cup (\{(x, y) | \bar{g}(x, y) \neq 0\} \cap X_2 \times Y)] \\ &\subset (\text{cl}\{(x, y) | \bar{f}(x, y) \neq 0\} \cap X_1 \times Y) \cup (\text{cl}\{(x, y) | \bar{g}(x, y) \neq 0\} \cap X_2 \times Y) \\ &= \text{cl}_{X_1 \times Y}\{(x, y) | \bar{f}(x, y) \neq 0\} \cup \text{cl}_{X_2 \times Y}\{(x, y) | \bar{g}(x, y) \neq 0\} \\ &= f' \cup g' \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} f' &= \text{cl}_{X_1 \times Y}\{(x, y) \in X_1 \times Y | \bar{f}(x, y) \neq 0\} \\ &= \text{cl}\{(x, y) \in X_1 \times Y | \bar{f}(x, y) \neq 0\} \cap X_1 \times Y \\ &= \text{cl}\{(x, y) \in X_1 \times Y | \bar{h}(x, y) \neq 0\} \cap X_1 \times Y \\ &\subset \text{cl}\{(x, y) \in X \times Y | \bar{h}(x, y) \neq 0\} \cap X_1 \times Y \\ &= h' \cap X_1 \times Y \subset h' \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,  $g' \subset h'$ . Thus  $f' \cup g' \subset h'$ . Consequently,  $h' = f' \cup g'$ .

LEMMA 3.3. Under the same condition as the Theorem 3.1, if  $h'(x') = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ , then there exist disjoint neighborhoods  $\hat{V}_i(y_i)$  such that for any neighborhood  $V_i(y_i) \subset \hat{V}_i$  there is a neighborhood  $U(x')$  satisfying ;

$$(a) \sum_{y \in V_i} \bar{h}(x, y) = \bar{h}(x', y_i) \text{ for } x \in U, i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

$$(b) \bar{h}(x, y) = 0 \text{ for } x \in U \text{ and } y \in [Y - \bigcup_{i=1}^n V_i].$$

*Proof.* In order to prove the lemma, we consider three cases ; (1)  $x' \in X_1 - X_2$  (2)  $x' \in X_2 - X_1$  (3)  $x' \in X_1 \cap X_2$

The case (1) ;  $x' \in X_1 - X_2$ . Then  $g'(x') = \phi$ ,  $h'(x') = f'(x') = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ . But  $f$  is an *m*-function with defining function  $\bar{f}$ . Thus by definition, there exist disjoint neighborhoods  $\hat{V}_i(y_i)$  such that for any neighborhoods  $V_i(y_i) \subset \hat{V}_i(y_i)$ , there is a neighborhood  $U'(x')$  in  $X_1$  satisfying ;

$$(a) \sum_{y \in V_i} \bar{f}(x, y) = \bar{f}(x', y_i) \text{ for } x \in U', i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

$$(b) \bar{f}(x, y) = 0 \text{ for } x' \in U' \text{ and } y \in [Y - \bigcup_{i=1}^n V_i]$$

Since  $U'$  is open in  $X_1$ , there is an open  $U''(x')$  in  $X$  such that  $U' = U'' \cap X_1$ . Let  $U = U'' - X_2$ . Then  $U$  is open in  $X$  and contains  $x'$ . But  $U \subset U' \subset X_1$ . Thus  $\sum_{y \in V_i} \bar{h}(x, y) = \sum_{y \in V_i} \bar{f}(x, y) = \bar{f}(x', y_i) = \bar{h}(x', y_i)$  for

$$x \in U \text{ and } \bar{h}(x, y) = \bar{f}(x, y) = 0 \text{ for } x \in U \subset U' \text{ and } y \in (Y - \bigcup_{i=1}^n V_i).$$

The proof of the case (2) is similar to that of the case (1).

The case (3) ; Let  $x' \in X_1 \cap X_2$  and we note  $h'(x') = f'(x') \cup g'(x')$ . First, assume  $f'(x')$  or  $g'(x')$  is empty. Without loss of generality we may assume  $f'(x') = \phi$ . So we can let  $h'(x') = g'(x') = \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ . Since  $g$  is an *m*-function, there exist neighborhoods  $\hat{V}_i(y_i)$  such that for every neighborhoods  $V_i(y_i) \subset \hat{V}_i(y_i)$  there is a neighborhood  $U'_{X_2}(x')$  of

$x'$  which is open in  $X_2$  satisfying ;

$$\sum_{y \in V_i} \bar{g}(x, y) = \bar{g}(x', y_i) \text{ for } x \in U'(x'), i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

and

$$\bar{g}(x, y) = 0 \text{ for } x' \in U'(x'), y \in [Y - \bigcup_{i=1}^n V_i].$$

But since  $f'(x') = \phi$ , there exist a neighborhood  $U''_{X_1}(x')$  of  $x'$  which is open in  $X_1$  such that  $\bar{f}(x, y) = 0$  for  $x \in U''_{X_1}(x')$ . Let  $U(x') = U'(x') \cap U''(x')$ , where  $U'$  and  $U''$  are open in  $X$  such that  $U'_{X_1} = U' \cap X_1$  and  $U''_{X_2} = U'' \cap X_2$  respectively. Then  $\sum_{y \in V_i} \bar{h}(x, y) = \sum_{y \in V_i} \bar{g}(x, y) = \bar{g}(x', y_i) = \bar{h}(x', y_i)$  for  $x \in U \cap X_2$  and  $\sum_{y \in V_i} \bar{h}(x, y) = \sum_{y \in V_i} \bar{f}(x, y) = \bar{f}(x', y_i) = \bar{h}(x', y_i)$  for  $x \in U \cap X_1$ . Furthermore, if for  $x \in U$  and  $y \in [Y - \bigcup_{i=1}^n V_i]$ ,

$$\bar{h}(x, y) = \begin{cases} \bar{g}(x, y) = 0 & \text{for } x \in U \cap X_2 \\ \bar{f}(x, y) = 0 & \text{for } x \in U \cap X_1 \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, let's assume that  $f'(x')$  and  $g'(x')$  are not empty. Let  $f'(x') = \{y_1^f, \dots, y_n^f\}$  and  $g'(x') = \{y_1^g, \dots, y_m^g\}$  for  $n, m \geq 1$ . Since  $f$  (and  $g$ ) is a  $m$ -function, there are disjoint neighborhoods  $\hat{V}_i(y_i^f)$  (and  $\hat{V}_i(y_i^g)$ ) such that for any neighborhoods  $\hat{V}_i(y_i^f) \subset \hat{V}_i(y_i^f)$  (and  $\hat{V}_i(y_i^g) \subset \hat{V}_i(y_i^g)$ ) there is a neighborhood  $U'_{X_1}(x')$  in  $X_1$  (and  $U'_{X_2}(x')$  in  $X_2$ ) sat-

isfying ;

$$(a) \sum_{y \in V_i} \bar{f}(x, y) = \bar{f}(x', y_i^f) \text{ for } x \in U'_{X_1}, i = 1, \dots, n$$

$$(\text{and } \sum_{y \in V_i} \bar{g}(x, y) = \bar{g}(x', y_i^g) \text{ for } x \in U'_{X_2}, i = 1, \dots, m)$$

$$(b) \bar{f}(x, y) = 0 \text{ for } x' \in U'_{X_1} \text{ and } y \in [Y - \bigcup_{i=1}^n V_i]$$

$$((\text{and } \bar{g}(x, y) = 0 \text{ for } x' \in U'_{X_2} \text{ and } y \in [Y - \bigcup_{i=1}^m V_i]).$$

Without loss of generality, we can take

$$y_1^f = y_2^g, \dots, y_k^f = y_k^g, 0 \leq k \leq \min \{m, n\},$$

where we mean  $f'(x') \cap g'(x') = \phi$  by  $k = 0$ . Let's take  $\hat{V}_i = \hat{V}_i(y_i^f) \cap \hat{V}_i(y_i^g)$ ,  $\hat{V}_{k+j} = \hat{V}_{k+j}(y_i^f)$  and  $\hat{V}_{k+l} = \text{hat}V_{k+l}(y_i^g)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k, j = 1, \dots, n - k, l = 1, \dots, m - k$ . Let

$$y_i = \begin{cases} y_i^f = y_i^g, & \text{for } i = 1, \dots, k \\ y_{k+j}^f, & \text{for } i = k + 1, \dots, n, j = 1, \dots, n - k \\ y_{k+l}^g, & \text{for } i = n + 1, \dots, n + m - k, l = 1, \dots, m - k \end{cases}$$

Then  $h'(x') = f'(x') \cup g'(x') = \{y_1, \dots, y_{m+n-k}\}$  and  $\hat{V}_j$ 's are disjoint. If  $V_j(y_i) \subset \hat{V}_j(y_j)$ , there exists a neighborhood  $U'_{X_1}(x')$  (open in  $X_1$ ) and  $U'_{X_2}(x')$  (open in  $X_2$ ) satisfying ;  $\sum_{y \in V_j} \bar{f}(x, y) = \bar{f}(x', y_j)$  for  $x \in$

$$U'_{X_1}, i = 1, \dots, n \text{ and } \bar{f}(x, y) = 0 \text{ for } x \in U'_{X_1}, y \in [Y - \bigcup_{j=1}^n V_j].$$

$$\sum_{y \in V_j} \bar{g}(x, y) = \bar{g}(x', y_j) \text{ for } x \in U'_{X_2}, j = 1, \dots, k, n + 1, \dots, n + m + k$$

and  $\bar{g}(x, y) = 0$  for  $x \in U'_{X_2}$  and  $y \in Y - [(\bigcup_{j=1}^k V_j) \cup (\bigcup_{j=n+1}^{n+m-k} V_j)]$ . Take

$U = U_{X_1} \cap U_{X_2}$ , where  $U_{X_1}$  and  $U_{X_2}$  are open in  $X$  such that  $U_{X_1} \cap X_1 = U_{X_1}$ ,  $U_{X_2} \cap X_2 = U_{X_2}$  respectively. Then if  $l \leq i \leq k$ ,

$$\sum_{y \in V_i} \bar{h}(x, y) = \sum_{y \in V_i} \bar{f}(x, y) = \bar{f}(x', y_i) = \bar{h}(x', y_i) \text{ for } x \in U \cap X_1,$$

$$\sum_{y \in V_i} \bar{h}(x, y) = \sum_{y \in V_i} \bar{g}(x, y) = \bar{g}(x', y_i) = \bar{h}(x', y_i) \text{ for } x \in U \cap X_2$$

and if  $k + 1 \leq i \leq n$ ,

$$\sum_{y \in V_i} \bar{h}(x, y) = \sum_{y \in V_i} \bar{g}(x, y) = 0 = \bar{g}(x', y_i) = \bar{h}(x', y_i) \text{ for } x \in U \cap X_2,$$

$$\sum_{y \in V_i} \bar{h}(x, y) = \sum_{y \in V_i} \bar{g}(x, y) = 0 = \bar{g}(x', y_i) = \bar{h}(x', y_i) \text{ for } x \in U \cap X_2,$$

because  $y \in Y - [(\bigcup_{j=1}^k V_j) \cup (\bigcup_{j=n+1}^{n+m-k} V_j)]$ . Similarly, for  $i = n + 1, \dots, m +$

$n - k$ ,  $\sum_{y \in V_i} \bar{h}(x, y) = \bar{h}(x', y_i)$ . If  $x \in U$  and  $y \in [Y - \bigcup_{i=1}^{n+m-k} V_i]$ ,  $\bar{h}(x, y) =$

0. In fact, since  $y \in [Y - \bigcup_{i=1}^{n+m-k} V_i] \subset [Y - \bigcup_{i=1}^n V_i]$ ,  $\bar{h}(x, y) = \bar{f}(x, y) =$

0 for  $x \in U \cap X_1$  and since  $y \in [Y - \bigcup_{i=1}^{n+m-k} V_i] \subset [Y - (\bigcup_{i=1}^k V_i \cup$

$\bigcup_{i=n+1}^{n+m-k} V_i)]$ ,  $\bar{h}(x, y) = \bar{g}(x, y) = 0$  for  $x \in U \cap X_2$ .

**LEMMA 3.4.** *Under the same hypothesis as the Theorem 3.1, if  $h'(x') = \phi$  then there exists a neighborhood  $U(x')$  such that  $\bar{h}(x, y) = 0$  for all  $x \in U$ ,  $y \in Y$ .*

*Proof.* Assume  $x' \in X_1 - X_2$ . Then  $h'(x') = f'(x') = \phi$ . So there exists a neighborhood  $U'_{X_1}(x')$  in  $X_1$  such that  $\bar{f}(x, y) = 0$  for all  $x \in U_{X_1}$ ,  $y \in Y$ . Take  $U = U_{X_1} - X_2$ , where  $U_{X_1}$  is open in  $X$  such that  $U'_{X_1} = U_{X_1} \cap X_1$ . Then  $\bar{h}(x, y) = \bar{f}(x, y) = 0$  for  $x \in U$ ,  $y \in Y$ .



We can prove in case  $x' \in X_2 - X_1$  similarly. Assume  $x' \in X_1 \cap X_2$ . Then since  $h'(x') \supset f'(x')$  and  $h'(x') \supset g'(x')$  and  $h'(x') = \phi$  by hypothesis,  $f'(x') = \phi$  and  $g'(x') = \phi$ . So there exist  $U'_{X_1}$  and  $U'_{X_2}$  such that  $\bar{f}(x, y) = 0$  for  $x \in U'_{X_1}$ ,  $y \in Y$  and  $\bar{g}(x, y) = 0$  for  $x \in U'_{X_2}$  and  $y \in Y$ . Let  $U = U_{X_1} \cap U_{X_2}$ , where  $U_{X_1}$  and  $U_{X_2}$  are open in  $X$  such that  $U'_{X_1} = U_{X_1} \cap X_1$  and  $U'_{X_2} = U_{X_2} \cap X_2$  respectively. Then  $\bar{h}(x, y) = 0$  for  $x \in U$  and  $y \in Y$ .

After all, we have completed the proof of Theorem 3.1 by Lemma 3.2, Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.4.

**COROLLARY 3.5.** *Under the same hypothesis as the Theorem 3.1 if  $f$  and  $g$  are continuous function, then  $m$ -function  $h$  is continuous*

*Proof.* We recall that any continuous function  $f$  from  $X$  to  $Y$  has the defining function  $\bar{f} : X \times Y \rightarrow R$  defined by

$$\bar{f}(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } y \neq f(x), \\ 1 & \text{if } y = f(x). \end{cases}$$

Since  $h' = f' \cup g'$  and  $f'$  and  $g'$  are single valued and  $f'(x) = g'(x)$  for  $x \in X_1 \cap X_2$ ,  $h'$  is single valued. Thus  $m$ -function  $h : X \rightarrow Y$  defined by  $h(x) = h'(x)$ . Let  $h(x') = y \in Y$  and  $V(y)$  be an neighborhood of  $Y$ . By the definition of  $m$ -function, there is a neighborhood  $\hat{V}(y)$  such that for any neighborhood  $V \subset \hat{V}(y)$  there exists a nbd  $U(x')$  satisfying;  $\sum_{y \in V} \bar{h}(x, y) = \bar{h}(x', y) = 1$  for  $x \in U(x')$ . Let  $V = V(y) \cap \hat{V}(y)$ . Then  $\bar{h}(x, y') \neq 0$  for some  $y' \in V$ . So  $(x, y') \in h'$ . But since  $h'$  is single valued,  $h(x) = h'(x) = y' \in V \subset V(y)$ . Thus  $h(U(x')) \subset V(y)$ . We conclude that  $h$  is a continuous function.

#### 4. Proof of the main theorem

In this section, we prove the main theorem that the  $m$ -fundamental group acts on  $m$ -homotopy group as a group automorphism. In order to do that we introudce some definitons, and lemmas.

**DEFINITION 4.1.** A subspace  $A$  of a space  $X$  is said to have the absolute  $m$ -homotopy extension property (AMHEP) if for every  $m$ -homotopy  $h : A \times I \rightarrow Y$  of an arbitrary  $m$ -function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , there exists an  $m$ -homotopy  $g : X \times I \rightarrow Y$  such that  $g|_{X \times 0} = f$  and  $g|_{A \times I} = h$ .

**REMARK.**  $A$  has the AMHEP in  $X$  if and only if for every defining function  $\bar{h} : A \times I \times Y \rightarrow R$  and  $\bar{f} : X \times Y \rightarrow R$  such that  $\bar{h}(a, 0, y) = \bar{f}(a, y)$  for  $(a, y) \in A \times Y$ , there exists a defining function  $\bar{g} : A \times I \times Y \rightarrow R$  such that  $\bar{g}(a, t, y) = \bar{h}(a, t, y)$  for  $(a, t, y) \in A \times I \times Y$  and  $\bar{g}(x, 0, y) = \bar{f}(x, y)$ .

**LEMMA 4.2.** If  $(X, A)$  is a (finitely) triangulable pair, then  $A$  has the AMHEP in  $X$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f$  be a given  $m$ -function with its defining function  $\bar{f} : X \times Y \rightarrow R$  and  $h : A \times I \rightarrow Y$  a given  $m$ -homotopy of  $f$  with its defining function  $\bar{h} : A \times I \times Y \rightarrow R$ , that is,  $\bar{h}(a, 0, y) = \bar{f}(a, y)$  for  $a \in A, y \in Y$ . Consider the product space  $M = X \times I$  and its closed subspace  $L = X \times 0 \cup A \times I$ . Define a  $m$ -function  $H : L \rightarrow Y$  by its defining function defined by

$$\bar{H}(x, 0, y) = \begin{cases} \bar{f}(x, y) & \text{for } (x, 0, y) \in X \times 0 \times Y \\ \bar{h}(a, t, y) & \text{for } (a, t, y) \in A \times I \times Y \end{cases}$$

We define  $\bar{F} : X \times I \times Y \rightarrow R$  by  $\bar{F}(x, t, y) = \bar{H}(r(x, t), y)$ , where  $r : X \times I \rightarrow X \times 0 \cup A \times I$  is a retraction. Then  $\bar{F}(x, 0, y) = \bar{H}(r(x, 0), y) = \bar{f}(x, y)$  and  $\bar{F}(a, t, y) = \bar{H}(r(a, t), y) = \bar{h}(a, t, y)$ . Hence  $m$ -function  $F : X \times I \rightarrow Y$  defined by  $\bar{F}$  is an extension of  $h$  such that  $F|_{X \times 0} = f$ .

**THEOREM 4.3.** Let  $p : (I, \partial I) \rightarrow (X, x_0)$  be an  $m$ -function with multiplicity 0. Then  $p$  induces a transformation  $p_n : m\pi_n(X, x_0) \rightarrow m\pi_n(X, x_0)$  which depends only on the  $m$ -homotopy of the  $m$ -function  $p$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f : (I^n, \partial I^n) \rightarrow (X, x_0)$  be an  $m$ -function with multiplicity zero. Define  $\bar{\varphi}_p : \partial I^n \times I \times X \rightarrow R$  by  $\bar{\varphi}_p(u, t, x) = \bar{p}(1 - t, x)$ , where  $\bar{p}$  is the defining function of  $p$ . Then  $\bar{\varphi}_p$  defines an  $m$ -function  $\varphi_p : \partial I^n \times I \rightarrow X$ . Define  $\bar{F}' : [(I^n \times 0) \cup (\partial I^n \times I)] \times X \rightarrow R$  by

$$\bar{F}'(u, t, x) = \begin{cases} \bar{f}(u, x) & \text{if } (u, t, x) \in I^n \times 0 \times X \\ \bar{\varphi}_p(u, t, x) & \text{if } (u, t, x) \in \partial I^n \times I \times X. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\bar{F}'$  is a defining function by Theorem 3.1. So  $\bar{F}'$  defines an  $m$ -function  $F' : I^n \times 0 \cup \partial I^n \times I \rightarrow X$  such that  $F'|_{I^n \times 0} = f$  and  $F'|_{\partial I^n \times I} = \varphi_p$ . By the Lemma 4.2,  $\partial I^n$  has the AMHEP in  $I^n$ . Thus there exists an  $m$ -function  $F : I^n \times I \rightarrow X$  such that  $F|_{I^n \times 0} = f$  and  $F|_{\partial I^n \times I} = \varphi_p$ .

From now on, we shall call such  $F$   $m$ -function of  $f$  along  $p$ .

Let  $F|_{I^n \times 1} = f_1$ . Then  $m(f_1) = m(f) = 0$  and  $\bar{f}(v, x) = \bar{F}(v, 1, x) = \bar{p}(1 - 1, x) = 0$  for  $v \in \partial I^n$ , where  $\bar{F}$  is a defining function of  $F$ . Define  $p_n : m\pi_n(X, x_0) \rightarrow m\pi_n(X, x_0)$  by  $p_n[f] = [f_1]$ . Let's show that  $p_n$  is well-defined. Let  $f \sim_m g(\text{rel}\partial I^n)$  and  $p \sim_m q(\text{rel}\partial I)$  and  $H$  and  $G$   $m$ -homotopies of  $f$  along  $p$  and  $g$  along  $q$  respectively. It is sufficient to show that  $f_1 \sim_m g_1(\text{rel}\partial I^n)$ . Since  $F|_{I^n \times 0} = f$ ,  $G|_{I^n \times 0} = g$ , and  $f \sim_m g(\text{rel}\partial I^n)$ , there exists an  $m$ -homotopy  $H_1 : I^n \times 0 \times I \rightarrow X$  such that  $H_1|_{I^n \times 0 \times 0} = F|_{I^n \times 0}$ , and  $H_1|_{I^n \times 0 \times 1} = G|_{I^n \times 0}$ , and  $H_1|_{\partial I^n \times 0 \times t} = \phi$ . Furthermore, since  $p \sim_m q(\text{rel}\partial I)$ , there is an  $m$ -function  $h : I \times I \rightarrow X$  with its defining function  $\bar{h} : I \times I \times X \rightarrow R$  such that  $\bar{h}(t, 0, x) = \bar{p}(t, x)$ ,  $\bar{h}(t, 1, x) = \bar{q}(t, x)$ , and  $\bar{h}(\{0, 1\} \times s \times x) = 0$ , where  $\bar{p}$  and  $\bar{q}$  are the defining functions of  $p$  and  $q$  respectively. Define  $\bar{H}_2 : \partial I^n \times I \times I \times X \rightarrow R$  by  $\bar{H}_2(v, t, s, x) = \bar{h}(1 - t, s, x)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{H}_2(v, t, 0, x) &= \bar{h}(1 - t, 0, x) = \bar{p}(1 - t, x) = \bar{\varphi}_p(v, t, x), \\ \bar{H}_2(v, t, 1, x) &= \bar{h}(1 - t, 1, x) = \bar{q}(1 - t, x) = \bar{\varphi}_q(v, t, x), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\bar{H}_2(v \times \{0, 1\} \times s \times x) = 0.$$

Thus  $\bar{H}_2$  defines an  $m$ -homotopy  $H_2 : \partial I^n \times I \times I \rightarrow X$  between  $F|_{\partial I^n \times I} (= \varphi_p)$  and  $G|_{\partial I^n \times I} (= \varphi_q)$  relative to  $\partial I^n \times 0 \cup \partial I^n \times 1$ . Let  $A = I^n \times 0 \cup \partial I^n \times I$ . Define  $H : A \times I \rightarrow X$  by

$$H|_{I^n \times 0 \times I} = H_1 \text{ and } H|_{\partial I^n \times I \times I} = H_2.$$

Then  $H$  is well-defined  $m$ -function, because  $H_1|_{\partial I^n \times 0 \times t} = \phi = H_2|_{\partial I^n \times 0 \times t}$ . Since  $H|_{A \times 0} = F|_A$ ,  $H|_{A \times 1} = G|_A$ , and  $H|_{\partial I^n \times 0 \times t \cup \partial I^n \times 1 \times t} = \phi$ ,  $H$  is an  $m$ -homotopy between  $F|_A$  and  $G|_A$  relative to  $\partial I^n \times 0 \times t \cup \partial I^n \times 1 \times t$ . Thus by the AMHEP, there is an  $m$ -homotopy  $H' : I^n \times I \times I \rightarrow X$  such that  $H'|_{A \times I} = H$ ,  $H'|_{I^n \times I \times 0} = F$ ,  $H'|_{A \times 1} = G|_A$ , and  $H'|_{\partial I^n \times 1 \times t} = \phi$  for all  $t \in I$ . Let  $T = H'|_{I^n \times I \times 1} : I^n \times I \rightarrow X$ . Then  $T|_{I^n \times 0} = g$ ,

$T|_{\partial I^n \times I} = \varphi_q$ . So  $T$  is an  $m$ -homotopy of  $g$  along  $q$ . Let  $T|_{I^n \times 1} = h_1$ . Then  $f_1$  is homotopic to  $h_1$  relative to  $\partial I^n$  by the  $m$ -homotopy  $H'|_{I^n \times 1 \times I}$ .

Now, Let's prove that  $g_1$  and  $h_1$  are  $m$ -homotopic relative to  $\partial I^n$ . Define an  $m$ -function  $M : I^n \times I \rightarrow X$  by its defining function  $\bar{M} : I^n \times I \times X \rightarrow R$  defined by

$$\bar{M}(u, s, x) = \begin{cases} \bar{G}(u, 1 - 2s, x) & (u \in I^n, 0 \leq s \leq \frac{1}{2}) \\ \bar{T}(u, 2s - 1, x) & (u \in I^n, \frac{1}{2} \leq s \leq 1) \end{cases}$$

Then for each  $v \in \partial I^n$ , we have  $\bar{M}(v, s, x) = \bar{M}(v, 1 - s, x)$ . Therefore we may define a  $m$ -homotopy  $N : (\partial I^n \times I \cup I^n \times \partial I) \times I \rightarrow X$  by the defining function  $\bar{N} : (\partial I^n \times I \cup I^n \times \partial I) \times I \times X \rightarrow R$  defined by

$$\bar{N}(u, s, t, x) = \begin{cases} \bar{M}(u, s, x) & (u \in I^n, s \in \partial I) \\ \bar{M}(u, s - ts, x) & (u \in \partial I^n, 0 \leq s \leq \frac{1}{2}) \\ \bar{M}(u, (1 - s)(1 - t), x) & (u \in \partial I^n, \frac{1}{2} \leq s \leq 1) \end{cases}$$

Since  $\partial I^n \times I \cup I^n \times \partial I$  has the AMHEP in  $I^n \times I$ , the  $m$ -homotopy  $N$  has an extension  $m$ -homotopy  $L : I^n \times I \times I \rightarrow X$  such that  $L|_{I^n \times I \times 0} = M$ . Let  $O = L|_{I^n \times I \times 1}$ . Then  $O|_{I^n \times 0} = L|_{I^n \times 0 \times 1} = N|_{I^n \times 0 \times 1} = M|_{I^n \times 0} = G|_{I^n \times 1} = g_1, O|_{I^n \times 1} = T|_{I^n \times 1} = h_1$ , and  $O|_{\partial I^n \times s} = \phi$  for every  $s \in I$ . This implies that  $g_1$  and  $h_1$  are  $m$ -homotopic relative to  $\partial I^n$ .

So we have constructed a transformation  $p_n : m\pi_n(X) \rightarrow m\pi_n(X)$  which depends only on the  $m$ -homotopy of the  $m$ -function  $p : (I, \partial I) \rightarrow (X, x_0)$ .

**THEOREM 4.4.**  $m\pi_1(X)$  acts on  $m\pi_n(X)$  as a group automorphism,  $n \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* It is sufficient to show that  $p_n$  constructed at the Theorem 4.3 is isomorphism.

Let  $\alpha, \beta$  be arbitrary elements of  $m\pi_n(X)$  represented by the  $m$ -functions with multiplicity zero  $f, g : (I^n, \partial I^n) \rightarrow (X, x_0)$ . Let  $F, G : I^n \times I \rightarrow X$  be  $m$ -homotopies along  $p$  of  $f, g$  respectively. Then  $f_1 = F|_{I^n \times 1}$  represents  $p_n(\alpha)$  and  $g_1 = G|_{I^n \times 1}$  represents  $p_n(\beta)$ . Let  $\overline{F \cdot G} : I^{n-1} \times I \times I \times X \rightarrow R$  be the defining function defined by

$$\overline{F \cdot G}(u, s, t, x) = \begin{cases} \bar{F}(u, 2s, t, x) & 0 \leq s \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \bar{G}(u, 2s - 1, t, x) & \frac{1}{2} \leq s \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\overline{F \cdot G}$  defines an  $m$ -function  $F \cdot G : I^n \times I \rightarrow X$ . But

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{F \cdot G}(u, s, 0, x) &= \begin{cases} \bar{F}(u, 2s, 0, x) & 0 \leq s \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \bar{G}(u, 2s - 1, 0, x) & \frac{1}{2} \leq s \leq 1 \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \bar{f}(u, 2s, x) & 0 \leq s \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \bar{g}(u, 2s - 1, x) & \frac{1}{2} \leq s \leq 1, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\bar{f}, \bar{g}$  are defining functions of  $f, g$  respectively. So  $F \cdot G|_{I^n \times 0} = f \cdot g$ . On the other hand, for  $(u, s) \in \partial(I^{n-1} \times I) = \partial I^{n-1} \times I \cup I^{n-1} \times \{0, 1\}$ ,  $\overline{F \cdot G}(u, s, t, x) = \bar{p}(1 - t, x)$ , where  $\bar{p}$  is a defining function of  $p$ . So  $p_n[f \cdot g] = [F \cdot G|_{I^n \times 1}] = [f_1 \cdot g_1]$ . Since  $fg \sim_m f + g(\text{rel} \partial I^n)$  by Theorem 2.2

$$p_n[f + g] = p_n[f \cdot g] = [f_1 \cdot g_1] = [f_1 + g_1] = [f_1] + [g_1] = p_n[f] + p_n[g].$$

Consequently,  $p_n$  is a homomorphism.

Finally, let us prove that the homomorphism  $p_n$  is an isomorphism. First we show that the composition of  $p_n \circ q_n = (p + q)_n$ , where  $p, q : (I, \partial I) \rightarrow (X, x_0)$  are  $m$ -functions with multiplicity zero. Let  $q_n[f] = [f_1]$  and  $p_n[f_1] = [f_2]$ . Then there are  $m$ -homotopies  $F_1, F_2$  of  $f, f_1$  along  $p, q$  respectively. This means that there are defining functions  $\bar{F}_1, \bar{F}_2 : I^n \times I \times X \rightarrow R$  such that  $\bar{F}_1(u, 0, x) = \bar{f}(u, x)$ ,  $\bar{F}_1(v, t, x) = \bar{q}(1 - t, x)$  for  $v \in \partial I^n$ , and  $\bar{F}_1(u, 1, x) = \bar{f}_1(u, x)$ , and  $\bar{F}_2(v, t, x) = \bar{p}(1 - t, x)$  for  $v \in \partial I^n$ ,  $\bar{F}_2(u, 0, x) = \bar{f}_1(u, x)$ ,  $\bar{F}_2(u, 1, x) = \bar{f}_2(u, x)$ . Define the defining function  $\bar{F} : I^n \times I \times X \rightarrow R$  by taking

$$\bar{F}(u, t, x) = \begin{cases} \bar{F}_1(u, 2t, x) & 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \bar{F}_2(u, 2t - 1, x) & \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

$$\text{Then } \bar{F}(u, 0, x) = \bar{f}(u, x), \bar{F}(v, t, x) = \begin{cases} \bar{q}(1 - 2t, x) & 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \bar{p}(2 - 2t, x) & \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore,  $F(u, 1, x) = \bar{f}_2(u, x)$ . So  $\bar{F}$  defines a  $m$ -homotopy  $F : I^n \times I \rightarrow X$  such that  $F|_{I^n \times 0} = f, F|_{I^n \times 1} = f_2$ , and  $F|_{\partial I^n \times I} = \varphi_{p \cdot q}$ . This means  $(p \cdot q)_n[f] = [f_2]$ . Since  $p \cdot q \sim_m p + q(\text{rel} \partial I)$  by Theorem 2.2,  $(p \cdot q)_n = (p + q)_n$  by Theorem 4.3. Thus

$$p_n(q_n[f]) = p_n[f_1] = [f_2] = (p \cdot q)_n[f] = (p + q)_n[f].$$

Let  $\mathcal{O} \in m\pi_1(X)$  be the 0-element. Then  $\mathcal{O}_n[f] = [f]$  for every  $[f] \in m\pi_n(X)$ . In fact, if  $F : I^n \times I \rightarrow X$  is a  $m$ -homotopy of  $f$  along  $\mathcal{O}$ , then  $F|_{I^n \times 0} = f$ ,  $F|_{I^n \times 1} = f_1$ , and  $F|_{\partial I^n \times I} = \varphi_{\mathcal{O}} = \phi$ . So  $f \sim_m f_1(\text{rel} \partial I^n)$ . Since

$$p_n(-p_n)[f] = (p - p)_n[f] = \mathcal{O}_n[f] = [f],$$

$p_n$  is an epimorphism. Moreover, since  $(-p_n)(p_n[f]) = (-p + p)_n = \mathcal{O}_n[f] = [f]$ ,  $p_n$  is a monomorphism. We conclude  $p_n$  is an isomorphism.

### References

- [1] J. Dugundji, *Topology*, Boston; Allyn and Bacon Inc, 1968.
- [2] S. Eilenberg and N. Steenrod, *Foundation of algebraic topology*, Princeton, New-Jersey Princeton University Press, 1952.
- [3] S.T. Hue, *Homotopy theory*, Academic press, New York and London, 1959.
- [4] R. Jerrad, *Homology with multiple-valued functions applied to fixed points*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **213** (1975), 407-427.
- [5] ———, *A stronger invariant for homology theory*, Mic. Math. J. **62** (1979), 33-46.
- [6] R. Jerrad and M.D. Meyerson, *Homotopy with  $m$ -functions*, Pacific J. of Math. **84** (1979), 305-318.
- [7] E. Spanier, *Algebraic Topology*, New York MacGraw-Hill, 1966.

Department of Mathematics  
Taejeon National University of Technology  
Taejeon 305-300, Korea