

## GENERALIZED SEMIAUTOMORPHISM GROUPS OF MODULES

CHIN-HONG PARK

DEFINITION. Let  $M$  and  $N$  be right  $R$ -modules. Let  $\alpha : R \rightarrow R$  and  $f : M \rightarrow N$  be maps. (1)  $f$  is a GENERALIZED  $R$ -MODULE HOMOMORPHISM with respect to  $\alpha$  (or briefly  $\alpha R$ -HOMOMORPHISM) if  $f(m + q) = f(m) + f(q)$  and  $f(ma) = f(m)\alpha(a)$  for all  $m, q \in M$  and  $a \in R$ .  $f$  is an  $R$ -HOMOMORPHISM if  $\alpha = I_R$ (identity).  $f$  is a SEMIR-HOMOMORPHISM if  $f(ma) = f(m)a$  for all  $m \in M$  and  $a \in R$ . (2)  $f$  is an  $\alpha R$ -MONOMORPHISM [resp. EPIMORPHISM, ISOMORPHISM] if  $f$  is an  $\alpha R$ -homomorphism and both  $f$  and  $\alpha$  are injective [resp. surjective, bijective]. (3)  $f$  is an  $\alpha R$ -ENDOMORPHISM if  $M = N$  and it is an  $\alpha R$ -homomorphism. (4)  $f$  is an  $\alpha R$ -AUTOMORPHISM if it is an  $\alpha R$ -isomorphism and  $M = N$ . (5) Let  $f$  and  $g$  be an  $\alpha R$ -homomorphism and an  $\beta R$ -homomorphism respectively. Then we define  $f = g$  if  $f = g$  with  $\alpha = \beta$ . (6)  $f$  is a SEMI $\alpha R$ -HOMOMORPHISM if  $f(ma) = f(m)\alpha(a)$  for all  $m \in M$  and  $a \in R$ . (7)  $f$  is a SEMI $\alpha R$ -ENDOMORPHISM [resp. SEMI $\alpha R$ -AUTOMORPHISM] if  $M = N$  and  $f$  is a semia $R$ -homomorphism [resp. semia $R$ -endomorphism and  $f, \alpha$  are bijective].

NOTATION.

$$\text{END}_R(M) = \{f \mid f \text{ is an } \alpha R \text{ - endomorphism with a map } \alpha : R \rightarrow R\}$$

$$\text{SEND}_R(M) = \{f \mid f \text{ is a semia}R \text{ - endomorphism with a map } \alpha : R \rightarrow R\}$$

$$\text{AUT}_R(M) = \{f \mid f \text{ is an } \alpha R \text{ - automorphism with a map } \alpha : R \rightarrow R\}$$

$$\text{SAUT}_R(M) = \{f \mid f \text{ is a semia}R \text{ - automorphism with a map } \alpha : R \rightarrow R\}$$

$$\text{End}_R(M) = \{f \mid f : M \rightarrow M \text{ is an } R \text{ - endomorphism}\}$$

$$\text{SEnd}_R(M) = \{f \mid f : M \rightarrow M \text{ is a semi}R \text{ - endomorphism}\}$$

$$\text{Aut}_R(M) = \{f \mid f : M \rightarrow M \text{ is an } R \text{ - automorphism}\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{SAut}_R(M) &= \{f \mid f : M \rightarrow M \text{ is a semi}R\text{-automorphism}\} \\
\text{Hom}_R(M, N) &= \{f \mid f : M \rightarrow N \text{ is an } R\text{-homomorphism}\} \\
\text{SHom}_R(M, N) &= \{f \mid f : M \rightarrow N \text{ is a semi}R\text{-homomorphism}\} \\
\text{SHOM}_R(M, N) &= \{f \mid f : M \rightarrow N \text{ is a semi}\alpha R\text{-homomorphism} \\
&\quad \text{with a map } \alpha : R \rightarrow R\}.
\end{aligned}$$

We note that for any  $f, g \in \text{END}_R(M)$ , if  $f$  is an  $\alpha R$ -homomorphism and  $g$  is an  $\beta R$ -homomorphism, then  $fg$  is an  $\alpha\beta R$ -homomorphism. From now on, unless specified otherwise, “ $R$ -module” means “right  $R$ -module”.

**PROPOSITION 1.** *Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. Then*

- (1)  $\text{AUT}_R(M)$ ,  $\text{SAUT}_R(M)$ ,  $\text{Aut}_R(M)$  and  $\text{SAut}_R(M)$  are groups;
- (2)  $\text{END}_R(M)$ ,  $\text{SEND}_R(M)$ ,  $\text{End}_R(M)$ , and  $\text{SEnd}_R(M)$  are monoids.

**LEMMA 2.** *Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. For any  $f, g \in \text{SEND}_R(M)$  let  $f$  and  $g$  be semi $\alpha R$ -endomorphism and semi $\beta R$ -endomorphism respectively. We define two relations on  $\text{SEND}_R(M)$  as follows :*

$$\begin{aligned}
(f, g) \in \sigma_E &\iff f = g \text{ on } \text{SEND}_R(M). \\
(f, g) \in \tau_E &\iff \alpha = \beta \text{ on } \text{SEND}_R(M).
\end{aligned}$$

Then  $\sigma_E$  and  $\tau_E$  are congruence relations on  $\text{SEND}_R(M)$ .

*Proof.* We will show that  $\tau_E$  is a congruence relation on  $\text{SEND}_R(M)$ . It is easy to show that  $\tau_E$  is an equivalence relation. To show  $\tau_E$  is a congruence relation, let  $(f, g) \in \tau_E$  where  $f$  and  $g$  are semi $\alpha R$ -endomorphisms. For any  $h \in \text{SEND}_R(M)$ , let  $h$  be a semi $\beta R$ -endomorphism. Then  $(f, g)h = (fh, gh) \in \tau_E$  and also,  $h(f, g) = (hf, hg) \in \tau_E$ . Similarly, it is easy to show that  $\sigma_E$  is a congruence relation.

**NOTE.** Similarly, for any  $f, g \in \text{SAUT}_R(M)$ , let  $f$  and  $g$  be semi $\alpha R$ -automorphism and semi $\beta R$ -automorphism respectively. We can define two congruence relations on  $\text{SAUT}_R(M)$  as follows :

$$\begin{aligned}
(f, g) \in \sigma_A &\iff f = g \text{ on } \text{SAUT}_R(M) \\
(f, g) \in \tau_A &\iff \alpha = \beta \text{ on } \text{SAUT}_R(M).
\end{aligned}$$

Then

- (1)  $\sigma_A$  and  $\tau_A$  are congruence relations on  $\text{SAUT}_R(M)$ .
- (2)  $\text{SAUT}_R(M)/\tau_A = \text{SAUT}_R(M)/\text{SAut}_R(M)$ .

DEFINITION. Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module and let  $a \in R$ .

(1)  $T_a : M \rightarrow M$  is called a RIGHT TRANSLATION if  $T_a(m) = ma$  for all  $m \in M$ .

(2) We define a congruence  $\mu_M \subset R \times R$  on  $R$  through  $(a, b) \in \mu_M \iff T_a = T_b$  for  $a, b \in R$ .

(3)  $M$  is CYCLIC iff  $M = mR$  for some  $m \in M$ . Also,  $m$  is called a GENERATOR.

(4)  $M$  is STRONGLY CONNECTED iff every element of  $M$  is a generator (or for any  $m, q \in M$ ,  $ma = q$  for some  $a \in R$ ).

(5)  $M$  is PERFECT iff  $M$  is strongly connected and  $R$  is a commutative ring.

LEMMA 3. Let  $M$  and  $N$  be  $R$ -modules. For any  $f, g \in \text{SHOM}_R(M, N)$  let  $f$  and  $g$  be semi $\alpha R$ -homomorphism and semi $\beta R$ -homomorphism respectively. If  $M$  is strongly connected, then

$$f = g \iff \alpha = \beta \text{ and } f(p) = g(p) \text{ for some } p \in M.$$

*Proof.* We will show that  $f(m) = g(m)$  for all  $m \in M$ . Since  $M$  is strongly connected,  $M = qR$  for every  $q \in M$ . So, we have  $M = pR$ . This implies that for any  $m \in M$ ,  $m = pa$  for some  $a \in R$ . Hence  $f(m) = f(pa) = f(p)\alpha(a) = g(p)\beta(a) = g(pa) = g(m)$ . i.e.,  $f = g$ . The converse is trivial.

COROLLARY 3.1. Let  $M$  and  $N$  be  $R$ -modules. Let  $M$  be strongly connected. Then for every  $f, g \in \text{SHom}_R(M, N)$ ,

$$f = g \iff f(m) = g(m) \text{ for some } m \in M.$$

COROLLARY 3.2. Let  $M$  be a strongly connected  $R$ -module. Then for any  $f, g \in \text{SEnd}_R(M)$ ,  $f = g \iff f(m) = g(m)$  for some  $m \in M$ .

LEMMA 4. Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module and let  $T_R = \{T_a : a \in R\}$ . Then

- (1)  $T_R \subset \text{End}_R(M)$  if  $R$  is commutative.
- (2)  $T_R = \text{SEnd}_R(M)$  if  $M$  is perfect.
- (3)  $T_R \subset \text{End}_R(M) \iff R$  is commutative if  $M$  is free of rank 1.

(4)  $T_{ab} = T_a T_b$  for any  $a, b \in R$  if  $R$  is commutative.

*Proof.* For (1), for any  $T_a \in T_R$  we will show that  $T_a$  is an  $R$ -homomorphism. For all  $m \in M$  and  $b \in R$ ,  $T_a(mb) = (mb)a = m(ba) = m(ab) = (ma)b = T_a(m)b$ . Also, for all  $m, q \in M$ ,  $T_a(m+q) = (m+q)a = ma + qa = T_a(m) + T_a(q)$ . Hence  $T_a \in \text{End}_R(M)$ . For (2), it is enough to show  $T_R \supset \text{SEnd}_R(M)$ . Choose any  $f \in \text{SEnd}_R(M)$ . Claim:  $f = T_a$  for some  $a \in R$ . To prove this, let  $m \in M$  and  $f(m) = q$  for some  $q \in M$ . Since  $M$  is strongly connected, we have  $ma = q$  for some  $a \in R$ . So,  $f(m) = q = ma = T_a(m)$ . Hence  $f = T_a$  by Corollary 3.2. For (3), to show  $ab = ba$  for all  $a, b \in R$ , let  $\{m\}$  be a basis for  $M$ . Now,  $m(ab) = (ma)b = T_a(m)b = T_a(mb) = (mb)a = m(ba)$ . So, we have  $m(ab - ba) = 0$ . Hence  $ab = ba$ . (4) is trivial.

**PROPOSITION 5.** Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. Then the following conditions are equivalent :

- (1)  $\mu_M = 0$  on  $R$  where  $0$  is the identity relation.
- (2) For all  $a, b \in R$ ,  $T_a = T_b \implies a = b$ .
- (3)  $\sigma_A = 0$  on  $\text{SAUT}_R(M)$ .
- (4)  $\sigma_E = 0$  on  $\text{SEND}_R(M)$  if  $M$  is perfect.

*Proof.* (1)  $\iff$  (2) : Trivial. (2)  $\implies$  (3) : Let  $(f, g) \in \sigma_A$  where  $f$  and  $g$  are semi $\alpha R$ -automorphism and semi $\beta R$ -automorphism respectively. Since  $f, g \in \text{SAUT}_R(M)$  and  $f = g$ ,  $f(ma) = f(m)\alpha(a) = f(m)\beta(a)$  for all  $m \in M$  and  $a \in R$ . This means  $T_{\alpha(a)}(f(m)) = T_{\beta(a)}(f(m))$ . Since  $f$  is bijective,  $T_{\alpha(a)}(m) = T_{\beta(a)}(m)$  for all  $m \in M$ . So, we have  $T_{\alpha(a)} = T_{\beta(a)}$ . By assumption,  $\alpha(a) = \beta(a)$  for all  $a \in R$ . Hence  $\alpha = \beta$ . i.e.,  $\sigma_A = 0$ . (3)  $\implies$  (2) : We define a map  $\alpha : R \rightarrow R$  given by  $\alpha(a) = b$ ,  $\alpha(b) = a$  and  $\alpha(t) = t$  for all  $t \in R - \{a, b\}$ . Then  $\alpha$  is bijective with  $\alpha(\alpha(a)) = a$  and  $\alpha(\alpha(b)) = b$ . Let  $I : M \rightarrow M$  be the identity map. Then  $I$  is a semi $\alpha R$ -automorphism (it is easy to show this, using  $T_a = T_b$ ). So,  $I \in \text{SAUT}_R(M)$ . Also,  $I$  is a semi $I_R R$ -automorphism where  $I_R : R \rightarrow R$  is the identity map. Hence  $I \in \text{SAUT}_R(M)$ . So,  $(I, I) \in \sigma_A = 0$ . This means  $\alpha = I_R$ . Hence  $a = b$ . (2)  $\implies$  (4) : For any  $(f, g) \in \sigma_E$  let  $f$  and  $g$  be semi $\alpha R$ -endomorphism and semi $\beta R$ -endomorphism respectively. Then for all  $m \in M$  and  $a \in R$ ,  $f(ma) = f(m)\alpha(a)$  and  $g(ma) = g(m)\beta(a)$ . From  $f = g$ , we have  $f(m)\alpha(a) = f(m)\beta(a)$  for all  $m \in M$  and  $a \in R$ . This implies  $T_{\alpha(a)}(f(m)) = T_{\beta(a)}(f(m))$ . Since  $M$  is perfect, from Lemma 4

and Corollary 3.2 we have  $T_{\alpha(a)} = T_{\beta(a)}$ . By assumption,  $\alpha(a) = \beta(a)$  for all  $a \in R$ . Hence  $\alpha = \beta$ . i.e.,  $\sigma_E = 0$ . (4)  $\implies$  (2) : Clear from  $\sigma_A \leq \sigma_E = 0$ .

**DEFINITION.** An  $R$ -module  $M$  is called a **MODULE with REDUCED  $R$**  if one of the equivalent statements of Proposition 5 is satisfied.

**PROPOSITION 6.** Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. Let  $T_R = \{T_a : a \in R\}$  and let  $\langle T_R \rangle$  be the semigroup generated by  $T_R$ . Then  $R/\mu_M \cong \langle T_R \rangle$  where  $\cong$  means semigroup isomorphic.

**DEFINITION.**  $R/\mu_M$  is called the characteristic semigroup of a module  $M$ .

**LEMMA 7.** Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module and let  $a, b \in R$ .

(1) If  $f \in \text{SAUT}_R(M)$  and  $f$  is a semiauto- $R$ -automorphism, then

$$(a, b) \in \mu_M \iff (\alpha(a), \alpha(b)) \in \mu_M.$$

(2) Assume  $M$  is perfect. If  $f \in \text{SEND}_R(M)$  and  $f$  is a semiauto- $R$ -endomorphism, then  $(a, b) \in \mu_E \implies (\alpha(a), \alpha(b)) \in \mu_M$ .

*Proof.* For (1),

$$\begin{aligned} (a, b) \in \mu_M &\iff T_a = T_b \iff T_a(m) = T_b(m) \text{ for all } m \in M \\ &\iff ma = mb \iff f(ma) = f(mb) \\ &\iff f(m)\alpha(a) = f(m)\alpha(b) \\ &\iff T_{\alpha(a)}(f(m)) = T_{\alpha(b)}(f(m)) \\ &\iff T_{\alpha(a)} = T_{\alpha(b)} \iff (\alpha(a), \alpha(b)) \in \mu_M. \end{aligned}$$

For (2),

$$\begin{aligned} (a, b) \in \mu_M &\iff T_a = T_b \iff T_a(m) = T_b(m) \text{ for all } m \in M \\ &\iff ma = mb \implies f(ma) = f(mb) \\ &\iff f(m)\alpha(a) = f(m)\alpha(b) \\ &\iff T_{\alpha(a)}(f(m)) = T_{\alpha(b)}(f(m)) \\ &\iff T_{\alpha(a)} = T_{\alpha(b)} \iff (\alpha(a), \alpha(b)) \in \mu_M. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 8. Let  $M$  be a perfect module and let  $\alpha, \beta : R \rightarrow R$  be maps. Let  $\Pi_\alpha$  and  $\Pi_\beta$  be maps defined by  $\Pi_\alpha([a]) = [\alpha(a)]$  and  $\Pi_\beta([a]) = [\beta(a)]$  for  $a \in R$  respectively where  $[ ] = [ ]_{\mu_M}$ . For any  $f, g \in \text{SEND}_R(M)$  let  $f$  and  $g$  be a semi $\alpha R$ -endomorphism and a semi $\beta R$ -endomorphism respectively. Then we have the following statements:

(1)  $\Pi_\alpha$  and  $\Pi_\beta$  are endomorphisms if  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are ring-homomorphisms.

(2)  $\Pi_{\beta\alpha} = \Pi_\beta\Pi_\alpha$ .

(3)  $\Pi_\alpha = \Pi_\beta \iff \alpha = \beta$  if  $R$  is reduced

where the product of maps means the composition of maps.

*Proof.* We note that  $\Pi_\alpha$  and  $\Pi_\beta$  are well-defined from Lemma 7(2). For (1) and (2), it is easy to check them. For (3), for every  $t \in R$ ,  $\Pi_\alpha([t]) = \Pi_\beta([t])$ . This implies  $[\alpha(t)] = [\beta(t)]$ . Hence  $(\alpha(t), \beta(t)) \in \mu_M$ . Moreover,  $(\alpha(t), \beta(t)) \in \mu_M \iff T_{\alpha(t)} = T_{\beta(t)}$ . From the fact that  $M$  is a module with reduced  $R$  we can conclude that  $T_{\alpha(t)} = T_{\beta(t)} \implies \alpha(t) = \beta(t)$ . i.e.,  $\alpha = \beta$ . The converse is trivial.

COROLLARY 8.1. Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. For any  $f, g \in \text{SAUT}_R(M)$  let  $f$  and  $g$  be a semi $\alpha R$ -automorphism and a semi $\beta R$ -automorphism respectively. Then the following statements hold:

(1)  $\Pi_\alpha$  and  $\Pi_\beta$  are semigroup-automorphisms if  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are ring-homomorphisms.

(2)  $\Pi_{\beta\alpha} = \Pi_\beta\Pi_\alpha$ .

(3)  $\Pi_\alpha = \Pi_\beta \iff \alpha = \beta$  if  $R$  is reduced.

RECALL. Let  $S$  and  $T$  be semigroups. Let  $f : S \rightarrow T$  be a homomorphism. The Kernel of  $f$  is the set  $\text{Ker } f$  of all the elements of  $S \times S$  which are carried by  $f$  onto the same element of  $T$ . That is,  $\text{Ker } f = \{(a, b) \in S \times S : f(a) = f(b)\}$ .

LEMMA 9. Let  $M$  be a perfect  $R$ -module and let  $\text{End}(R/\mu_M)$  be the set of all endomorphisms (not  $R$ -endomorphisms) on  $R/\mu_M$ . Let  $h : \text{SEND}_R(M) \rightarrow \text{End}(R/\mu_M)$  be a map defined by  $h(f) = \Pi_\alpha$  with semi $\alpha R$ -endomorphism  $f$  where  $\alpha : R \rightarrow R$  is a ring-homomorphism. Then

(1)  $h$  is a homomorphism.

(2)  $\text{Ker } h = \tau_E$  if  $M$  is with reduced  $R$ .

*Proof.* (1) is trivial. For (2),

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ker } h &= \{(f, g) : h(f) = h(g)\} = \{(f, g) : \Pi_\alpha = \Pi_\beta \text{ for semi}\alpha R\text{-} \\ &\quad \text{endomorphism } f \text{ and semi}\beta R\text{-} \text{endomorphism } g\} \\ &= \{(f, g) : \alpha = \beta \text{ for semi}\alpha R\text{-} \text{endomorphism } f \text{ and semi}\beta R\text{-} \\ &\quad \text{endomorphism } g\} = \tau_E. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 9 we have the following proposition.

**PROPOSITION 10.** *Let  $M$  be a perfect  $R$ -module with reduced  $R$ . Then  $\text{SEND}_R(M)/\tau_E$  is isomorphic to a submonoid of  $\text{End}(R/\mu_M)$ .*

**LEMMA 11.** *Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module and let  $\text{Aut}(R/\mu_M)$  be the set of all automorphisms (not  $R$ -automorphisms) on  $R/\mu_M$ . Let  $h : \text{SAUT}_R(M) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(R/\mu_M)$  be a map defined by  $h(f) = \Pi_\alpha$  with semi $\alpha R$ -automorphism  $f$  where  $\alpha : R \rightarrow R$  is a ring-homomorphism. Then*

- (1)  $h$  is a group-homomorphism.
- (2)  $\text{Ker } h = \text{SAut}_R(M)$  if  $R$  is reduced.

*Proof.* (1) is trivial. For (2),

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ker } h &= \{f \in \text{AUT}_R(M) : h(f) = I \text{ (identity map)}\} \\ &= \{f \in \text{AUT}_R(M) : \Pi_\alpha = I \text{ for semi}\alpha R\text{-} \text{automorphism } f\} \\ &= \{f \in \text{AUT}_R(M) : \alpha = I_R \text{ for semi}\alpha R\text{-} \text{automorphism } f\} \\ &= \text{SAut}_R(M). \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 11 we can obtain the following proposition.

**PROPOSITION 12.** *Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module with reduced  $R$ . Then the factor group  $\text{SAUT}_R(M)/\text{SAut}_R(M)$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(R/\mu_M)$ .*

DEFINITION. Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. Let  $\Omega_M = \{f : M \rightarrow M \text{ is a transformation map}\}$ . i.e., the semigroup of all transformation maps of  $M$  into  $M$ .

(1) We define the CENTRALIZER  $C(T_R)$  and the NORMALIZER  $N(T_R)$  of  $T_R$  in  $\Omega_M$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} C(T_R) &= \{f \in \Omega_M : T_a f = f T_a \text{ for all } T_a \in T_R\} \\ N(T_R) &= \{f \in \Omega_M : T_R f = f T_R\}. \end{aligned}$$

(2) We define the PERMUTATION CENTRALIZER (briefly  $p$ -CENTRALIZER)  $C_p(T_R)$  and the PERMUTATION NORMALIZER (briefly  $p$ -NORMALIZER)  $N_p(T_R)$  of  $T_R$  as follows:

$$C_p(T_R) = C(T_R) \cap S_M \text{ and } N_p(T_R) = N(T_R) \cap S_M$$

where  $S_M$  is the symmetric group over  $M$ .

NOTE.  $N(T_R)$  is a monoid and  $C(T_R) \leq N(T_R)$  (a submonoid of  $N(T_R)$ ).

LEMMA 13. Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module with reduced  $R$ . Let  $f \in N_p(T_R)$ . Then for any  $T_a \in T_R \exists! T_b \in T_R$  such that  $f T_b = T_a f$  (or  $f T_a = T_b f$ ).

*Proof.* Suppose there is another  $T_c \in T_R$  such that  $T_a f = f T_c$ . Then  $f T_b = f T_c$  and  $f T_b(m) = f T_c(m)$  for all  $m \in M$ . This implies that  $f(mb) = f(mc)$ . Since  $f$  is 1-1,  $mb = mc$ . This means that  $T_b(m) = T_c(m)$  for all  $m \in M$ . i.e.,  $T_b = T_c$ . Hence  $b = c$ .

PROPOSITION 14. Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. Then

- (1)  $S\text{End}_R(M) = C(T_R)$  and  $S\text{Aut}_R(M) = C_p(T_R)$ .
- (2)  $C_p(T_R)$  is a normal subgroup of  $N_p(T_R)$ .
- (3)  $SAUT_R(M) = N_p(T_R)$  if  $R$  is reduced.

*Proof.* For the first part of (1),  $S\text{End}_R(M) \subset C(T_R)$ : For any  $f \in S\text{End}_R(M)$ , it is enough to show  $f T_a = T_a f$  for all  $T_a \in T_R$ . To do this, choose any  $m \in M$ . Then  $f T_a(m) = f(ma) = f(m)a = T_a f(m)$ . Hence it holds. Similarly, the converse can be shown easily.



The second part of (1) follows from the first part of (1). For (2), for any  $f \in N_p(T_R)$ ,  $g \in C_p(T_R)$  and  $T_a \in T_R$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} T_a f g f^{-1} &= f T_b g f^{-1} \quad \text{for some } T_b \in T_R \\ &= f g T_b f^{-1} \\ &= f g f^{-1} T_a. \end{aligned}$$

Hence it holds. For (3),  $\text{SAUT}_R(A) \subset N_p(T_R)$ : To prove this, choose any  $f \in \text{SAUT}_R(M)$  and let  $f$  be a semi $\alpha R$ -automorphism. Then we have  $f(ma) = f(m)\alpha(a)$  for all  $m \in M$  and  $a \in R$ . This means that  $f[T_a(m)] = T_{\alpha(a)}[f(m)]$ . Also, this implies that  $fT_a = T_{\alpha(a)}f$ . Hence since  $\alpha$  is bijective,  $fT_R = T_R f$ . i.e.,  $f \in N_p(T_R)$ .  $\text{SAUT}_R(A) \supset N_p(T_R)$ : By Lemma 13, for any  $f \in N_p(T_R)$  and  $T_a \in T_R \exists! T_b \in T_R$  such that  $fT_a = T_b f$ . Let  $\alpha : R \rightarrow R$  be a map defined by  $\alpha(a) = b$  with  $fT_a = T_b f$ .

Claim:  $\alpha$  is bijective. (i)  $\alpha$  is well-defined : To prove this, let  $t = u$  for  $t, u \in R$ . By Lemma 13, for  $T_t$  and  $T_u \exists! T_c, T_d \in T_R$  such that  $fT_t = T_c f$  and  $fT_u = T_d f$ . This implies  $T_c f = T_d f$ . Hence  $T_c = T_d$ . So, we have  $c = d$  since  $R$  is reduced. Thus,  $\alpha(t) = c = d = \alpha(u)$ . (ii)  $\alpha = 1 - 1$ : Suppose  $\alpha(t) = \alpha(u)$ . Let  $\alpha(t) = c$  with  $fT_t = T_c f$  and let  $\alpha(u) = d$  with  $fT_u = T_d f$ . Then from  $c = d$   $fT_t = fT_u$ . Hence  $T_t = T_u$ . Thus, we have  $t = u$ . (iii)  $\alpha$  is onto : For any  $b \in R$ , consider  $T_b \in T_R$ . By Lemma 13  $\exists! T_a \in T_R$  such that  $T_b f = fT_a$ . Hence  $\exists a \in R$  such that  $\alpha(a) = b$  with  $fT_a = T_b f$ .

Now, we will show that  $f$  is a semi $\alpha R$ -homomorphism. For any  $m \in M$  and  $a \in R$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} f(m)\alpha(a) &= f(m)b \quad \text{with } fT_a = T_b f \\ &= T_b f(m) = fT_a(m) = f(ma). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $f \in \text{SAUT}_R(A)$ .

**COROLLARY 14.1.** *Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module with reduced  $R$ . Then the following statements hold:*

- (1)  $N_p(T_R)/C_p(T_R) \cong$  a subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(S/\mu_M)$ .
- (2)  $\text{SAut}_R(M)$  is a normal subgroup of  $\text{SAUT}_R(M)$ .

NOTATION. Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module and  $\alpha : R \rightarrow R$  be a map. For  $m, q \in M$ ,  $H_{m\alpha q} = \{a \in R : m\alpha(a) = q\}$  and  $H_{mq} = \{a \in R : ma = q\}$ .

LEMMA 15. Let  $M$  and  $N$  be  $R$ -modules. Let  $m \in M$  be a fixed element and let  $\alpha : R \rightarrow R$  be a map. If  $f : M \rightarrow N$  is any map, then the following statements hold:

- (1) If  $f(mt) = f(m)\alpha(t)$  for all  $t \in R$ , then  $H_{mq} \subset H_{f(m)\alpha f(q)}$  for all  $q \in M$ .
- (2) If  $H_{mq} \subset H_{f(m)\alpha f(q)}$  for some  $q \in M$ , then  $f(mt) = f(m)\alpha(t)$  for all  $t \in H_{mq}$ .
- (3)  $f(mt) = f(m)\alpha(t)$  for all  $t \in H_{mq} \iff H_{mq} \subset H_{f(m)\alpha f(q)}$  for all  $q \in M$ .
- (4) Assume  $M$  is strongly connected. Then  $f(mt) = f(m)\alpha(t)$  for all  $t \in R \iff H_{mq} \subset H_{f(m)\alpha f(q)}$  for all  $q \in M$ .

*Proof.* For (1), for every  $a \in H_{mq}$  we have  $ma = q$ . This implies  $f(q) = f(ma) = f(m)\alpha(a)$ . Hence  $a \in H_{f(m)\alpha f(q)}$ .

For (2), for every  $t \in H_{mq}$  we have  $mt = q$  and also, since  $t \in H_{f(m)\alpha f(q)}$ , we have  $f(m)\alpha(t) = f(q)$ . This implies  $f(m)\alpha(t) = f(mt)$ .

(3) is clear from (1) and (2). For (4), suppose  $M$  is strongly connected. Then we have  $M = mR$ . So, for every  $t \in R$ , we have  $k = mt$  for some  $k \in M$ . This implies  $t \in H_{mk} \subset H_{f(m)\alpha f(k)}$ . Thus,  $f(m)\alpha(t) = f(k)$ . Hence  $f(mt) = f(k) = f(m)\alpha(t)$ . The converse is clear from (1).

PROPOSITION 16. Let  $M$  and  $N$  be  $R$ -modules. Let  $f : M \rightarrow N$  and  $\alpha : R \rightarrow R$  be maps. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1)  $f : M \rightarrow N$  is a semi- $\alpha R$ -homomorphism.
- (2)  $H_{mq} \subset H_{f(m)\alpha f(q)}$  for any  $m, q \in M$ .
- (3)  $f(qa) = f(q)\alpha(a)$  for some  $q \in M$  and all  $a \in R$  if  $M$  is strongly connected and  $\alpha$  is a semigroup-homomorphism.

*Proof.* (1)  $\implies$  (2): For all  $m \in M$  and  $t \in R$ ,  $f(mt) = f(m)\alpha(t)$ . Hence it holds by Lemma 15(1). (2)  $\implies$  (1): To show  $f(mt) = f(m)\alpha(t)$  for all  $m \in M$  and  $t \in R$ , we recall  $R = \cup_{q \in M} H_{mq}$ . Now, for any  $t \in R$ , we have  $t \in H_{mq}$  for some  $q \in M$ . By the assumption,  $t \in H_{mq} \subset H_{f(m)\alpha f(q)}$ . Hence it holds from (2) of Lemma 15. (2)  $\implies$  (3): Since  $M$  is strongly connected, we have  $M = qR$  for

some  $q \in M$ . This means that for any  $a \in R$ , there is an  $k \in M$  such that  $k = qa$ . This implies  $a \in H_{qk} \subset H_{f(q)\alpha f(k)}$ . So, we have  $f(q)\alpha(a) = f(k) = f(qa)$ . (3)  $\implies$  (1): We have  $M = qR$  from the strong connectedness. This implies that for any  $m \in M$  there is an  $b \in R$  such that  $m = qb$ . So, we have  $ma = (qb)a$ . Hence for any  $m \in M$  and  $a \in R$  we have  $f(ma) = f((qb)a) = f(q(ba)) = f(q)\alpha(ba) = f(q)\alpha(b)\alpha(a) = [f(q)\alpha(b)]\alpha(a) = f(qb)\alpha(a) = f(m)\alpha(a)$ .

**COROLLARY 16.1.** Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. Then  $f : M \rightarrow M$  is a semiautomorphism  $\iff f$  and  $\alpha$  are permutations on  $M$  and  $R$  respectively and  $H_{mq} \subset H_{f(m)\alpha f(q)}$  for any  $m, q \in M$ .

**NOTE.** If  $f \in \text{SAUT}_R(M)$ , then  $f^n \in \text{SAUT}_R(M)$  for any nonnegative interger  $n$  where  $f^n = fff \dots f$  ( $n$  times) and the product means the composition of  $f$ 's.

**DEFINITION.** Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. Then we say that a mapping  $\alpha : R \rightarrow R$  is an  $M$ -HOMOMORPHISM if  $m\alpha(a) = ma$  for all  $m \in M$  and  $a \in R$ . We recall that  $f$  is a REGULAR PERMUTATION on a set  $M$  if  $f$  is a permutation on  $M$  and for every power, say  $f^n$ , of  $f$ , it is the case that  $f^n(p) = p$  for some  $p \in M$  implies  $f^n = I$  (identity).

**PROPOSITION 17.** Let  $M$  be a strongly connected  $R$ -module. For every  $f \in \text{SAUT}_R(M)$  let  $f$  be a semiautomorphism. Then  $f$  is a regular permutation on  $M$  if  $\alpha : R \rightarrow R$  is an  $M$ -homomorphism.

*Proof.* Suppose that for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f^n(x) = x$  for some  $x \in M$ .

Claim:  $f^n = I$  (identity). Proof. Since  $f \in \text{SAUT}_R(M)$ ,  $f^n$  is a semiautomorphism and  $f^n \in \text{SAUT}_R(M)$ . This implies  $f^n \in \text{SEND}_R(M)$ . Also, for all  $m \in M$  and  $a \in R$   $I(ma) = ma = m\alpha(a) = I(m)\alpha(a)$ . This implies that  $I$  is a semiautomorphism. Hence  $I^n$  is a semiautomorphism and  $I^n \in \text{SEND}_R(M)$ . From Lemma 3 we have  $f^n = I$ .

## References

1. C. H. Park, *Algebraic properties associated with the input semigroup  $S$  of an automaton*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 27(1990), 69-83.
2. ———, *On right congruences associated with the input semigroup  $S$  of Automata*, Semigroup Forum, to appear.
3. F. Kasch, *Modules and Rings*, Academic Press New York, 1982.

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science  
Fayetteville State University  
Fayetteville, NC 28301  
U.S.A.