

ON THE ESTIMATE OF THE FIRST
EIGENVALUE OF THE LAPLACIAN ON
A COMPACT RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLD

DONG PYO CHI AND JIN HONG KIM

§0. Introduction

Throughout this paper, let M be an n -dimensional compact Riemannian manifold with or without boundary ∂M and be assumed that K and H are non-negative constants and d denotes the diameter of M .

We shall consider the solution of the equation

$$(0.1) \quad \Delta u = -\lambda u$$

defined on M . In case M is a manifold with boundary ∂M , we impose the following boundary condition:

$$(0.2) \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \Big|_{\partial M} = 0,$$

where ν is the unit normal vector to ∂M .

Our purpose is largely to show that results of a lower bound of the first non-zero eigenvalue obtained for the Laplacian on a compact Riemannian manifold by P.Li, S.T.Yau, and R.Chen can be improved by a simple but sharper inequality. More precisely, this article has triple purposes: Firstly, we generalize the first non-zero eigenvalue estimate of (0.1) obtained in P.Li-S.T.Yau [4,5] with $\text{Ricc}(M) \geq 0$ to the case $\text{Ricc}(M) \geq -(n-1)K$ ([Theorem 0.1]). Secondly, we improve the first non-zero eigenvalue estimate of (0.1) given by P.Li-S.T.Yau [4,5] via the inequality $(a-b)^2 \geq \xi a^2 - \frac{\xi}{(1-\xi)} b^2$ ($0 < \xi < 1$) without using a less sharp one $(a-b)^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} a^2 - b^2$ ([Theorem 0.2]). And finally, we introduce (probably improve) a lower bound of the non-zero Neumann

Received February 2, 1991. Revised July 13, 1991.

This was supported partially by the Basic Science Research Institute Programs Ministry of Education, and Korean Science and Engineering Foundation.

eigenvalue of (0.1) with (0.2) [2] which is a generalization of [4, [Theorem 9]] to a compact Riemannian manifold with possibly non-convex ∂M ([Theorem 0.3]).

Using a method similar to those of P.Li-S.T.Yau and R.Chen, we have the followings:

THEOREM 0.1. *Let M be a compact Riemannian manifold without boundary. Let λ_1 be the first non-zero eigenvalue of (0.1). Suppose $\text{Ricc}(M) \geq -(n-1)K$. Then*

$$\lambda_1 \geq \left[\frac{2\xi(n)}{(n-1)d^2} B^2 - (n-1)K \right] \exp(-B),$$

where

$$\xi(n) = (n-1) \{ \sqrt{(n-1)^2 + 2} - (n-1) \}$$

and

$$B = 1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{2(n-1)^2}{\xi(n)} d^2 K}$$

REMARK. Note that $\frac{1}{2} < \xi(n) < 1$. Hence this eigenvalue estimate is better than

$$\frac{1}{(n-1)d^2} \exp[-(1 + \sqrt{1 + 4(n-1)^2 d^2 K})]$$

obtained by Li-Yau [5, p.129].

THEOREM 0.2. *Let M be a compact Riemannian manifold with ∂M . Let η_1 be the first nonzero eigenvalue of (0.1) and (0.2). Let ∂M satisfy the "interior rolling ϵ -ball" condition. Suppose $\text{Ricc}(M) \geq -(n-1)K$ and the second fundamental form elements of $\partial M \geq -H$. By choosing ϵ small, we have*

$$\eta_1 \geq \frac{1}{(1+H)^2} \left[\frac{(1-\alpha^2)\xi(n, \alpha)}{2(n-1)d^2} B_1^2 - C \right] \exp(-B_1)$$

where α and $\epsilon < 1$,

$$B_1 = 1 + \left(1 + \frac{2(n-1)d^2 C}{(1-\alpha^2)\xi(n, \alpha)} \right)^{1/2},$$

$$C = (1 + H)C_1 + (1 + H)^2(n - 1)K$$

$$+ \frac{H^2[(2n - 2 - 2\xi(n, \alpha))^2 + (8n - 8 - 4\xi(n, \alpha))\xi(n, \alpha)\alpha^2]}{\xi(n, \alpha)\alpha^2\epsilon^2(n - 1)},$$

$$C_1 = \frac{2(n - 1)H(3H + 1)(H + 1)}{\epsilon} + \frac{H + H^2}{\epsilon^2},$$

and

$$\xi(n, \alpha) = \frac{(n - 1)}{1 - \alpha^2} \left\{ \sqrt{(n - 1)^2 + 2(1 - \alpha^2)} - (n - 1) \right\}.$$

REMARK. When the boundary is convex, our estimate implies the eigenvalue estimate obtained in [Theorem 0.2] (See **Remark** after the [Lemma 2.2] below). In contrast to the result of R.Chen [2], $\xi(n, \alpha)$ has been inserted ($\frac{1}{2} < \xi(n, \alpha) < 1$). But we still don't know whether or not this result is sharper than that of R.Chen [2].

DEFINITION 0.3. Let ∂M be the boundary of a compact Riemannian manifold M . Then ∂M satisfies the interior rolling ϵ - ball condition if for each point $p \in \partial M$, there exists a geodesic ball $B_q(\epsilon/2)$ s.t.

$$p \in \overline{B_q(\epsilon/2)} \cap \partial M$$

and

$$B_q(\epsilon/2) \subset M.$$

In §1., we shall give gradient estimates which are essential in proofs of the main results. In §2., we shall give brief proofs of main theorems.

§1. Gradient Estimates

We have the followings:

LEMMA 1.1. Let M be as above with $\text{Ricc}(M) \geq -(n - 1)K$ and let u be a non-constant first eigenfunction of (0.1) with λ_1 s.t.

$$1 = \sup u > \inf u = -k \geq -1 \quad (0 < k \leq 1).$$

Then we get

$$|\nabla u|^2 \leq [\lambda_1 \frac{2}{1 + k} + (n - 1)K](1 - u)(k + u).$$

Proof. This result follows from the proof of P.Li-S.T.Yau [5, p.121] with handling the condition $\text{Ricc}(M) \geq -(n - 1)K$ carefully.

LEMMA 1.2. *If u is a non-constant first eigenfunction of (0.1) with $\sup u = 1$ and λ_1 , then*

$$|\nabla u| \leq \left[\frac{2(n-1)}{\xi(n)} \left(\frac{\beta}{\beta-1} \lambda_1 + (n-1)K \right) \right]^{1/2} (\beta - u),$$

where $\beta > 1$.

REMARK. If M is a compact Riemannian manifold with ∂M being convex, then we have the same gradient estimate with the same $G(x)$ defined amid the proof of [Lemma 1.2] under the Newmann condition by the maximum principle. Thus in that case the same first eigenvalue estimate as in [Theorem 0.2] can be obtained.

Proof. The proof is a slight modification of the proof given by P.Li-S.T.Yau [5]. As in [5], consider the function defined by

$$G(x) = \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{(\beta - u)^2}$$

By applying the maximum principle and the Bochner identity in line with the proof in [5], it is easy to get

$$(1.1) \quad \sum u_{ij}^2 - (\lambda_1 + (n-1)K)|\nabla u|^2 - G[\lambda_1 u(\beta - u) + |\nabla u|^2] \leq 0$$

at x_0 , at which G attains its maximum.

If we choose an orthonormal frame at x_0 s.t. $u_1 = |\nabla u|$ and $u_i = 0$ for all $i \neq 1$, then, from $\nabla G(x_0) = 0$,

$$(1.2) \quad u_{11} = -\frac{|\nabla u|^2}{(\beta - u)}$$

and

$$(1.3) \quad u_{ii} = 0, \quad i \neq 1$$

Also, at x_0 ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.4) \quad \sum u_{ij}^2 &\geq \sum u_{ii}^2 = u_{11}^2 + \sum_{i \neq 1} u_{ii}^2 \\
 &\geq u_{11}^2 + \frac{(\sum_{i \neq 1} u_{ii})^2}{(n-1)} \\
 &= u_{11}^2 + \frac{(\Delta u - u_{11})^2}{(n-1)} \\
 &\geq u_{11}^2 + \frac{\xi}{(n-1)} u_{11}^2 - \frac{\xi}{(n-1)(1-\xi)} (\Delta u)^2 \\
 &= \frac{(n-1+\xi)}{(n-1)} u_{11}^2 - \frac{\xi}{(n-1)(1-\xi)} (\Delta u)^2
 \end{aligned}$$

for $0 < \xi < 1$. Substituting (1.2),(1.3) and (1.4) into (1.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{(n-1+\xi)}{(n-1)} \frac{|\nabla u|^4}{(\beta-u)^2} - \frac{\xi \lambda_1^2 u^2}{(n-1)(1-\xi)} - (\lambda_1 + (n-1)K) |\nabla u|^2 \\
 - \lambda_1 u \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{(\beta-u)} - \frac{|\nabla u|^4}{(\beta-u)^2} \leq 0
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{u}{(\beta-u)} \leq \frac{1}{(\beta-1)}$, simple computations show

$$G \leq \max \left\{ \frac{2(n-1)}{\xi} [\lambda_1 + (n-1)K + \lambda_1 \frac{1}{\beta-1}], \left(\frac{2}{1-\xi} \right)^{1/2} \lambda_1 \frac{1}{\beta-1} \right\},$$

so that

$$|\nabla u|^2 \leq \frac{2(n-1)}{\xi(n)} \left[\frac{\beta}{\beta-1} \lambda_1 + (n-1)K \right] (\beta-u)^2,$$

for $\xi(n)$ defined previously.

LEMMA 1.3. Let M be the same as [Theorem 0.3]. Let u be a non-constant solution of (0.1) and (0.2) with $\sup u = 1$ and η_1 . If $\beta > 1$ and ϵ is "small", then

$$|\nabla u|^2 \leq \frac{2(n-1)}{(1-\alpha^2)\xi(n, \alpha)} \left(C + (1+H)^2 \eta_1 \frac{\beta}{\beta-1} \right) (\beta-u)^2,$$

where

$C, \xi(n, \alpha) =$ the same as [Theorem 0.3].

Proof. Let $\psi(r), r, \phi, G(x)$ be the same completely as in the proof of [2]. The same kind of reasoning used in [2] gives

$$(1.5) \quad 0 \geq -\frac{C_1}{1+\phi} - \frac{2(\psi')^2}{\epsilon^2(1+\phi)^2} - \frac{2\psi' u_1 r_1}{\epsilon(1+\phi)(\beta-u)} \\ + \frac{\sum_{i \neq 1} u_{ii}^2}{u_1^2} + Ric_{11} - \frac{\eta_1 \beta}{\beta-u},$$

at the interior point x_0 , where G attains its maximum and we choose an orthonormal frame $\{e_i\}$ ($1 \leq i < n$) s.t. $u_1(x_0) = |\nabla u|(x_0)$. Then, at x_0 , $\nabla G(x_0) = 0$ gives

$$(1.6) \quad u_{1j} = -\frac{\psi' u_1 r_j}{\epsilon(1+\phi)} - \frac{u_1 u_j}{\beta-u}$$

It is obvious with (1.6) that

$$(1.7) \quad \sum_{i \neq 1} u_{ii}^2 \geq \frac{1}{n-1} \left(\sum_{i > 1} u_{ii} \right)^2 \\ = \frac{1}{n-1} (\Delta u - u_{11})^2 \\ \geq \frac{1}{n-1} \left(\xi(u_{11})^2 - \frac{\xi(\Delta u)^2}{\xi-1} \right) \quad (0 < \xi < 1) \\ \geq \frac{\xi u_1^4}{(n-1)(\beta-u)^2} + \frac{2\xi u_1^3 \psi' r_1}{\epsilon(n-1)(1+\phi)(\beta-u)} \\ + \frac{\xi(\psi')^2 u_1^2 r_1^2}{\epsilon^2(n-1)(1+\phi)^2} \\ - \frac{\xi \eta_1^2 u^2}{(1-\xi)(n-1)}$$

Combining (1.5) with (1.7),

$$(1.8) \quad 0 \geq \frac{\xi u_1^2}{(n-1)(\beta-u)^2} - \frac{2(n-1-\xi)\psi' u_1 r_1}{\epsilon(n-1)(1+\phi)(\beta-u)} \\ - \frac{C_1}{1+\phi} - \frac{2(n-1)(\psi')^2 - \xi(\psi')^2 r_1^2}{\epsilon^2(n-1)(1+\phi)^2} \\ - (n-1)K - \frac{\eta_1 \beta}{\beta-u} - \frac{\eta_1^2 u^2}{(n-1)u_1^2} \frac{\xi}{1-\xi}.$$

It is clear that

$$(1.9) \quad \frac{1}{n-1} \left(\frac{\alpha^2 u_1^2}{(\beta-u)^2} - \frac{2(2n-2-2\xi)u_1 \psi' r_1}{\xi \epsilon(1+\phi)(\beta-u)} \right) \\ \geq - \frac{(2n-2-2\xi)^2 (\psi')^2}{\xi^2 \epsilon^2 \alpha^2 (n-1)(1+\phi)^2}.$$

Hence ,with (1.9), (1.8) gives

$$0 \geq \left[\frac{1-\alpha^2}{n-1} \xi \right] \left(\frac{u_1}{\beta-u} \right)^2 \\ - \frac{[(2n-2-2\xi)^2 - 4\alpha^2 \xi^2] (\psi')^2}{4\xi \alpha^2 \epsilon^2 (n-1)(1+\phi)^2} \\ - \frac{2(\psi')^2}{\epsilon^2(1+\phi)^2} - \frac{C_1}{1+\phi} - (n-1)K - \frac{\eta_1 \beta}{\beta-u} \\ - \frac{\eta_1^2 \xi u^2}{(n-1)(1-\xi)u_1^2}$$

Multiplying through by $(1+\phi)^4 \frac{u_1^2}{(\beta-u)^2}$, it is easy to show

$$\frac{(1-\alpha^2)\xi}{n-1} G^2 \leq \left[\frac{[(2n-2-2\xi)^2 + (8n\xi - 8\xi - 4\xi^2)\alpha^2]}{4\xi \alpha^2 \epsilon^2 (n-1)} (\psi')^2 \right. \\ \left. + (1+\phi)C_1 + (1+\phi)^2(n-1)K + \frac{\eta_1 \beta}{\beta-u} (1+\phi)^2 \right] G \\ + \frac{\eta_1^2 \xi}{(n-1)(1-\xi)} (1+\phi)^4 \frac{u^2}{(\beta-u)^2}$$

i.e.

$$G \leq \max \left\{ \frac{2(n-1)}{(1-\alpha^2)\xi} \left[C + (1+H)^2 \frac{\beta\eta_1}{\beta-1} \right], \frac{\eta_1(1+H)^2}{\sqrt{1-\alpha^2}} \left(\frac{2}{1-\xi} \right)^{1/2} \frac{1}{\beta-1} \right\}$$

Hence, if $\xi(n, \alpha)$ is the same as above, then our result comes out.

§3. Proofs of Main Theorems 0.1-3

A similar argument to that of [2,5] with the newly-made gradient estimates in [Lemma 1.1-3] gives the conclusions immediately.

References

1. I. Chavel, *Eigenvalues in Riemannian Geometry*, Academic Press inc. 1984.
2. R. Chen, *Neumann Eigenvalue Estimate on a Compact Riemannian Manifold*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **108**(1990), 961-970.
3. P. Li, *A Lower bound for the first eigenvalue of the Laplacian on a Compact Manifold*, Indiana U. Math. J. **28**(1979), 1013-1019.
4. _____, and S. T. Yau, *Estimates of Eigenvalues of a Compact Riemannian manifold*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **36**(1980), 205-240.
5. R. Schoen and S. T. Yau, *Differential Geometry (Written in Chinese)*, Science Pub. Co. 1988.

Department of Mathematics
Seoul National University
Seoul 151-742, Korea